The Oligochaete and the Chironomid fauna of the Upper Tisa Region and its tributaries

András Szító

Introduction

River Tisa and its tributaries serve as a possibility for organisms living in water to migrate as in a corridor. The drifting of different animals is well known, which is a passive way of travelling. Fishes often swim against the stream.

Scientific data showed that other animals, too, were able to migrate against water stream. Invertebrates, such the snail species of *Theodoxus fluviatilis* (Soós, 1965), a fresh water mussel (*Dreisena polimorpha*: Mollusca, Bivalvia) or a worm species (*Hypania invalida*: Annelida, Polychaeta) showed the praxis of this form of migration in recent years. *Hypania invalida* was first detected in 1969 near Szeged (Ferencz, 1969), and its specimens have become common in River Tisa near Tokaj by present time (Szító, 1996).

Different river sections serve as refuges for the species. Following an ecological injury, a species-poor river section will be recolonised by their active and passive migration.

There have been no literature sources about the oligochaete and chironomid fauna of the Upper Tisa Region (Pop, 1943, 1950; Albu, 1966), therefore our present data collection will the basis, showing the current situation.

The main goals were as follows: to make a data collection which shows the present situation of the species, identifies them, and presents the species-richness of different parts of the river system; to find the character species on different river courses, and to try to qualify the river profiles by indicating the presence or absence of indicator species in the river courses. This work is part of the data collection and evaluation analysing the state of ecological health in River Tisa and its tributaries, and serves as a standard for the assessment of ecological changes in the future (Szító, 1995).

Keywords: Oligochaeta, Chironomidae, Upper Tisa

Materials and Methods

Sediment samples were taken from the source area of the rivers Bila and Chorna Tisa, and from their mouth to the Hungarian reach down to Tiszaszalka in 17 cross sections (Figure 1.).




Figure 1. Sampling places

Contracting distriction	Hamischia albimanus	Eukiefferiella quadridentata	Eukiefferiella longicalcar	Eukiefferiella bavarica	Cryptotendipes anomalus	Cryptochironomus redekei	Cryptochironomus defectus	Cricotopus bicinctus	Cricotopus algarum	Cladotanytarsus mancus	Chironomus thummi	Chironomus riparius	Arctopelopia sp.	Anatopynia plumipes	Acricotopus lucens	Ablabesmyia monilis	Chironomidae	Total ind. (%)	Tubifex nevaensis	Tubifex ignotus	Pristina longiseta	Potamothrix vejdovskyi	Peloscolex ferox	Paranais litoralis	Ophidonais serpentina	Limnodrilus udekemianus	Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri	Eiseniella tetraedra	Aulodrilus limnobius	Species: Oligochaetae	Sampling sites	•
+		25													25			100										100		near the bank	Head- Breboya waters	Γ
1		5							H		\vdash	H			5 25							H					\vdash	0		near the bank	s Bre	1
†				-		H		\vdash	H				-		5 33			0			_	Н						H	\vdash	gravels	boya	
†							F		r		H				3			0 (П							\vdash			stagnant water	_	Bila Tisa
7	25	25		П		Г	Г	T			T	H						0 (П							Н	near the bank	ij	ISA
	\neg	5 43					H		H		Г				11			0				Н					-	Н	Н	biotecton	junction	
7	7							T	Т									0 100				П						100		near the bank		
1	1	100						\vdash	Н			Н		-						_					_	-		0		biotecton	Head- waters	Ω
1		_					H			\vdash								0				П				Н	H	H		sandy sediment	Sta Co	Chorna Tisa
1	\exists				Т				T					_	Н			0		_	_	П				- 8		Н	Н	33 (2) (3)	Control station	SSIL
+	\dashv	67	Н			-	-			\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	_	Н	Н		0 100	-	_		Н	_	Н	_		\vdash		H	biotecton		┤¯
+	\dashv	-	Н			_	-		-			H		-				8	38	33		Н					-	\vdash	_	near the bank	Yasinya	
+	+	-	-	-	_		-	-	-	H	H	H		_	50	_		0 10	_	_	Н	Н		_	_		-	_	-	biotecton		+
+	\dashv	-	Н	Н	_		_	-	00	\vdash	H		Н	_	15	_		100 1	33	33	1		_		_		_	33		near the bank	Rahiv	
+	\dashv	-					_	13	සු	H	H	-		_	19	6		100			100	-	_	_			-	-	_	biotecton	Dilove	-
+	\dashv	-	-		_	_	-		H	\vdash	H	-				H		00 1	52	13			_		_	-	35	H	H	near the bank	ilove	
+	\dashv					7				H	-	7	Н	_	Н	_		100 100 100	_	_		100	_				L	_		stagnant water		
+	\dashv		Н	-		_	_			H		-	H	-		Н		8	-			Н	_	Н			-	100		filamentous algae		-
+	\dashv	-	-			_	-	H	22	H	H	H	Н		13	Н		0	_	-	_	-	_				_	H		near the bank	eresv	
+	\dashv			Н	-	_		-	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	-		Н	_		0		-	-			\dashv	-		H	Н	10	biotecton	Teresva Tereblia	
+	\dashv	-	50		_				\vdash	\vdash	-	-	Н	(A) (1)	_	_		0			_									near the bank	rebli	
+	\dashv	-	-				-	-	7	\vdash	-	H		_	-			0									-		-	biotecton		
+	\dashv	-			_	H	33	-	H	H			Н	_	_	Н		0	_	_		Н		-	_	-	H	\vdash	H	near the bank	Bustina	
+	\dashv	-					-			H		14	H			Н		0			-	Н					-			sandy sediment	_	
+	\dashv		-	50			\vdash		21	-	\vdash				_	-		0	_		Н	Н	_	-	_		\vdash	\vdash	H	biotecton	Vis	
+	\dashv	-		H	-		H	_	-			ယ		_	_	Н		0 1		Н			_		_	_	\vdash	-	_	near the bank	skove	
+	\dashv	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	H	25	H			_		1 001					100		_		-	-	H	biotecton		
+	\dashv		Н	H	-	_	H	\vdash		H	H	H	-			-	8 8	00 1	75			H		25		H		\vdash	98239	near the bank	Hust	isa
+	\dashv	20	Н	\vdash	_	-	_	\vdash	20	-	H		40		_	_		100 100 100			_	Н	_			_	ၓ	H	67		<	
4	\dashv	_		L			L	-	L	L	L	ය	L	16				00 1		32		Н			1		32	_	37	near the bank	Vinogradiv	
4	\dashv	_	_	H			_	_	L	-	_	L	_	_		_		100				Н	_		100	-	_	L	L	biotecton (slow current)	adiv	
4	4	ᇮ	Щ		L	_		L	4	4		L	7		4			0 1				Н				-	_	L	_	biotecton (rapid water)		
4	-	_		_	00		_	_	L	L	27	_				4		100									8	-		near the bank	Trosnik	
4	\dashv			L		_		_	8	ವ		L		_		38		0				Н			_	_	_	_	L	biotecton	~	
4	4				_	_	L	L	L	L		4						0				Ц			_		_	L	_	Interst. water		
-	-			_	_		L					L						0									_	L		near the bank	V. namény	
4	_		L		_	L	L	_	-	_	-	-	_	L	H	_		0		ļ.,		Н	Ц		_	L	L	L	_	main current	yny S. T	
							25									63		100	17	17						_	58			near the bank	Tisza- szalka	

Table 1. The percentage rate of oligochete and chironomid species in the source area and in the upper section of River Tisa

Total ind. (%) Species number	Thienemannimyia lentiginosa	Tanytarsus lobatifrons	Tanytarsus gregarius	Tanytarsus curticornis	Tanypus punctipennis	Smittia terrestris	Rheocricotopus gouini	Rheocricotopus effusus	Psectrocladius psilopterus	Psectrocladius dilatatus	Psectrocladius barbimanus	Prodiamesa olivacea	Procladius choreus	Polypedilum scalaenum	Polypedilum convictum	Pentapedilum sordens	Paratanytarsus lauterborni	Paralauterborniella nigrohalteralis	Paracladopelma camptolabis	Orthocladius thienemanni	Orthocladius saxicola	Odontomesa fulva	Nanocladius bicolor	Microtendipes chloris	Micropsectra praecox	Microcricotopus bicolor	Macropelopia notata	Macropelopia nebulosa	Krenopelopia binotata	Species: Chironomids		Sampling sites
. 10												13									38					100000				near the bank	waters	Head-
4 100	+	T	\vdash	\vdash			H		H			ω		25		25			H		8	H				50		H		near the bank	S	Bre
4 4 3		T	T	T			T					\vdash		5		5	_	_		ယ္	33					0				gravels	١,	Breboya
0	Т	T					T		T										Н	ω.	ω	H								stagnant water		_
		T		T			T		h	Т		25			H	25								Lucco						near the bank		Ju
100 100 4 5		T	T						Г	16	T	5	_			5			H		29						\vdash			biotecton		Junction Head-
15	1	T					98	Т		3,	Н							200			9	\vdash	_							near the bank	wa	퓬
3 0 1 0	1	T				_	8												-			-	2							biotecton	waters	ad-
15	+	+	t				H					40					1880											50	_		sta	ဂ္ဂ
		†		H	\vdash	Н	H	\vdash		H		0						_										0	0	sandy sediment	station	Control
<u> </u>		\vdash	\vdash	H	H	H	\vdash	-		H	H	_	_	-	_	_	_	_		\vdash	33	H	_	\vdash	_		_		_	biotecton		
6 0	\vdash	+	\vdash	-	H	H	\vdash	\vdash		H	\vdash	50			-	-	-		25	-		H					25			near the bank		nizse
100 100 100 6 6 13	-	+	∞	\vdash	_	H	\vdash	-	00	-	∞		_		_			_	_	-		-		8	8				8	biotecton	<u> </u>	Yaszinya Rahiv
<u> </u>	-	+	4	\vdash	31	œ	H			H	H	4		15	4	_			4	4	4	H								near the bank		ahiv
3 1	-	+	-	H	-	_	\vdash	H	-		\vdash	-		-	H	H	Н	_		H		H			_		L			biotecton	_	-
<u>ω 8</u>	-	H	┝	-		H	\vdash	-	H	H	-	40		H			_					-					-	60	200	near the bank		Dilove
100 100 100 9 8 2	-	H	1	H	=	L	H	\vdash			H	29	11	7	H	_	_		4		_	_	_	4				21	_	stagnant water	_	
2 8	+	╀	-	L	L	_		_	-	_	L					100			_			L								filamentous algae		_
1 8	-	9	2	20	_	2	L	_	2	=	_					7					13	L								near the bank		eres
00	+		H	\vdash	-	-	H	_	\vdash	H			-	-	H		_					-			ell or		H	H		biotecton		¥a T
2 1	+	H	\vdash	⊢	\vdash	-	H	il.	\vdash	-	H		_	-	_	070	200	2000		_	50	_								near the bank		Teresva Tereblia Bustina
100 100 7 3	+	H	-	H		7	-	3	-		H	H	_			47	13	_		_	7	L	_	Н	_	_		7	_	biotecton	_	B.
ω 8	-	+		L	17	_	L	_	_	-				50	_							L		H	_			L		near the bank		ustin
3 100	1	+	-	L	_				L					86	H							L	_		_	_		L	_	sandy sediment		a
	$\overline{}$	L	L	-	L	_		L	L	500						29				_		_	_		0000			L	_	biotecton		_
<u>6</u>	-	1	-	L	ω	_	L	_	L		_			90	L					_		ω	_	_		_				near the bank		Viskove
4	1	L	-	L					L			L	L		L	50	25		L			L	L							biotecton		
N 0		╀	L	L			L	_	L						L															near the bank		Hust
6 0		_	_	_	_		L	_	L								20		L	_						_				biotecton		
100				L	=		L	L					5	2	L				2		2	L					L			near the bank	١,	Vinogradiv
4		1			20			2.5											6								L	L		biotecton (slow current)		radiv
100 100 13 7	4	_	L			4	L		7	4		L				11					29	L							4	biotecton (rapid water)		
					4					L				50				8												near the bank		Trosnik
ಎಡ	L	L		L			L		L	L	_		L									L	L	L		L		L	L	biotecton		¥
6 100	L			11									11	1										11			11			Interst. water		
					E STATE																				arcies	200				near the bank		V. namény
0			T	T	T	-			T	T										-										9	1	mén
	+	+	+	\vdash		-	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash			\vdash	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\vdash	-	-	-	- 1	-	H	\vdash	-	main current	SZ	y Tie
7									100	3																				near the bank	szalka	Tisza-

Table 1. continue

Qualitative samples were taken from the surface of stone and gravel pieces by washing them off into a drifting net in each profile. Sampling sites were at various distances from the left and the right banks, and in the main current as well when it was possible.

Each sample was washed through a metal screen with a mesh pore size of 250 µm and was preserved in 3-4 % formol solution. The retained material was separated in the laboratorium into groups of oligochaetes, chironomids and 'other' using a Zeiss stereo microscope with 4 to 6 times magnification. The animals were preserved in 80 % ethylic alcohol.

For taxonomic identification the following works were used: Bíró, 1981; Brinkhurst and Jamieson, 1971; Cranston et al. 1983; Ferencz, 1979, Fittkau, 1962; Fittkau et al. 1983; Pinder et al. 1983; Pop, 1943, 1950.

Results

The qualitative rate of oligochaetes and chironomid species at different sampling places is presented in Table 1.

During the expedition, 11 species of oligochaetes and 46 species of chironomids were found in the examined river sections.

Species richness was low both in Bila and in Chorna Tisa. The very same oligochaete species, *Eiseniellla tetraedra* was the only one present in these rivers. The big boulders, the gravel and the rapid water current were inappropriate for other oligochaete species to live here.

Oligochaete specimens were often present in samples collected near the river bank. The number of the species changed between 0-3, with 4 species being the maximum (at only one sampling place: by Tiszaszalka). Some specimens of oligochaetes were found below the mouths of rivers Bila Tisa and Chorna Tisa. These species, except for *Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri*, were characteristic for clean streams (Table 1).

Only 11 chironomid species were found in Bila Tisa and 15 species were present in Chorna Tisa. The species richness changed between 3-5 in samples from Bila Tisa and between 1-6 in Chorna Tisa. The common species were as follows:

Specimens of *Prodiamesa olivacea* were found in the sediment only, while the rest of the listed species were present both in the sediment and in the biotecton (Table 1). Species found commonly in both rivers showed that the environment and the ecosystem were similar in the rivers Bila and Chorna Tisa. The presence of *Prodiamesa olivacea* indicated a low pollution level and clean water here.

13 chironomid species were found below the mouths of rivers Bila and Chorna Tisa. 10 species were present in the Teresva tributary. They were found in the biotecton only. No chironomid species were found in the sediment consisting of gravels. In the Tereblia estuary 8 chironomid species were determined from the sediment and 7 species from the biotecton.

The species richness of chironomids changed between 2-6 in the river section Bustina - Viskove - Hust, 10-13 species were present at Vinogradiv, and their number decreased to 3-7 species by Troznik (Table 1.).

32 % of the chironomid species were characteristic for living in the sediment. They were as follows: Chironomus riparius, Chironomus thummi, Cladotanytarsus mancus, Cryptochironomus defectus, Cryptochironomus redekei, Harnischia albimanus, Krenopelopia binotata, Macropelopia sp., Paracladopelma camptolabis, Paralauterborniella nigrohalteralis, Pentapedilum sordens, Procladius choreus, Tanypus punctipennis and Tanytarsus curticornis.

The remainder 68 % of chironomid species were characteristic for the biotecton: algae growing on the surface of boulders and gravel served for them as food as well as a living environment.

Summary

A very important data collection was made during the international expedition, to cover up the oligochaete and chironomid fauna on the Upper Tisa Region and the tributaries of River Tisa. The information presented here serves as basic ecological information, because there had been no similar data and information from this area previously.

The river beds were covered by boulders and gravel, sediment was found only rarerly and it was not characteristic for this region. This was the reason why the macrozoobenthos was poor in species as well as in individuals. Its species- and specimen richness was bigger than those of the benthos.

Pollutants could not concentrate because of the lack of sediment, but these materials were transported downstream and were diluted. Anthropogenic pollution effects were not detected during the expedition, although the chironomid species *Prodiamesa olivacea* was not present in River Tisa below Delove. The absence of this species showed as an indicator that some kind of pollution effect may exist in this river region periodically.

References

- Albu, P. (1966): Verzeichnis der bis jetzt aus Rumänien bekannten Chironomiden. Gewässer und Abwässer 41/42: 145-148.
- Bíró, K. (1981): Árvaszúnyoglárvák (Chironomidae) kishatározója (A guide for the identification of Chironomidae larvae). In: Felföldy (ed.) Vízügyi Hidrobiológia, VÍZDOK, Budapest, 11: 1-230 (Hungarian)
- Brinkhurst, R. O. & Jamieson, B.G.M. (1971): Aquatic Oligochaeta of the world. Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, 1-860.

- Cranston P. S., Olivier D.S. and Saether O.A. (1983): The larvae of Orthocladiinae (Diptera: Chironomidae) of the Holoarctic region Keys and diagnoses. Ent. Scand. Suppl. 19: 149-291.
- Ferencz, M. (1969): Occurrence of *Hypania invalida* (GRUBE) in the Tisza (Annelida, Polychaeta). Tiscia (Szeged) 5, 69-71.
- Ferencz, M. (1979): A vízi kevéssertéjû gyûrûsférgek (Oligochaeta) kishatározója (A guide for the identification of aquatic Oligochaeta). In: Felföldy (ed.) Vízügyi Hidrobiológia, VÍZDOK, Budapest, 7: 1-167 (Hungarian)
- Fittkau, E. J. and Roback, S. S. (1983): The larvae of Tanypodinae (Diptera: Chironomidae) of the Holoarctic region Keys and diagnoses. Ent Scand.Suppl. 19: 33-110.
- Fittkau, E. J. (1962): Die Tanypodinae (Diptera, Chironomidae). Abh. Larvalsyst. Insekten, 6: 1-453.
- Hirvenoja, M. (1973): Revision der Gattung Cricotopus van der Wulp und ihrer Vervandten (Diptera: Chironomidae). Annal Zool. Fenn., 10: 1-163.
- Pinder, L. C. and Reiss, F., 1983: The larvae of Chironominae (Diptera: Chironomidae) of the Holoarctic region. Keys and diagnoses. Ent. Scand.Suppl. 19: 293-435.
- Pop, V. (1943): Einheimische und ausländische Lumbriciden des Ungarischen National-Museums in Budapest. Ann. Nat. Hist. Mus. Hung., 36: 12-24.
- Pop, V. (1950): Lumbricidele din Romania. Ann. Acad. REP. Pop. Romane, Ser. A.1.
- Soós, L. (1965): A Theodoxus fluviatilis L. (Gastropoda, Prosobranchiata) állítólagos előfordulása a Tiszában (The alleged occurence of Theodoxus fluviatilis L. *Gastropoda, Prosobranchiata* in River Tisa). Állattani Közl. 52, 107-110.
- Szító, A. (1995): Macrozoobenthos in the Maros (Mureş) river. In: Hamar & Sárkány (eds.): The Maros (Mureş) River Valley. A study of the geography, hydrobiology and ecology of the river and its environment. Tiscia, monograph series 1, 185-192
- Szító, A. (1996): A Tisza üledéklakó életközösségének változása az első adatoktól napjainkig (The changes in macrozoobenthos of River Tisa from the first data to present time). Hidrológiai Közlöny (Hungarian, in press.)

András Szító Fish Culture Research Institute 5440 Szarvas Hungary