Generalized context-free grammars

By J. GRUSKA

1. Introduction. Generalized context-free grammars can be thought of as context-free grammars all rules of which are of the form $A \rightarrow \alpha$ where α is a regular expression. Generalized context-free grammars and their representation by a set of finite-state diagrams are a convenient tool to describe context-free languages. In this paper a classification of context-free languages according to the minimal number of non-terminals of generalized context-free grammars is studied and the corresponding decision problems are investigated.

2. Definitions. By a generalized context-free grammar we mean a quadruple $G = \langle V, \Sigma, P, \sigma \rangle$ where V, Σ and σ have the same meaning as for context-free grammars (see [2]) and P is a set (maybe infinite) of context-free rules such that for any nonterminal $A \in V - \Sigma$, the set $\{w; A \rightarrow w \in P\} \subset V^*$ is regular. The relations \Rightarrow and $\stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow}$ for a generalized context-free grammar are defined in the same way as for context-free grammars.

It is obvious that a language L is context-free if and ony if L=L(G) for a generalized context-free grammar G.

3. Representations. A generalized context-free grammar $G = \langle V, \Sigma, P, \sigma \rangle$ can be represented by a finite set of rules $A \rightarrow \alpha$, one for each nonterminal in $V - \Sigma$, where α is a regular expression over V. This in turn means that a generalized context-free grammar can be represented by a finite set of transition diagrams, one for each nonterminal of G, each of which represents a finite-state automaton which is capable of recursively calling other finite state automata [1], or G can be represented by a finite set of the so-called flag diagrams, one for each nonterminal of G [4].

4. Problems. As suggested by Kalmár [4], for a context-free language L let N(L) be the minimum of the number of non-terminals of generalized context-free grammars generating L. Since N(L) is also the minimum of transition diagrams for L, N(L) may be thought of as a measure of non-finite state character of L.

5. Results. It will be shown now that for any integer *n* there is a context-free language L_n such that $N(L_n) = n$ and that there is no effective way to calculate N(L).

Theorem 1. For any integer *n* there is a context-free language $L_n \subset \{a, b\}^*$ such that $N(L_n) = n$.

3*

J. Gruska

Proof. The case n=1 is trivial. Let now n>1 and let L_n be the language generated by the context-free grammar

$$\sigma \to a\sigma b, \qquad \sigma \to aba^2 A_2 bab$$

$$A_i \to a^i A_i b, \qquad A_i \to ba^{i+1} A_{i+1} ba \qquad 2 \le i \le n-1$$

$$A_n \to a^n A_n b, \qquad A_n \to b\sigma a, \qquad A_n \to b^2 a^2.$$

Let G be a generalized context-free grammar generating L_n and such that no generalized context-free grammar for L_n has fewer nonterminals. It means that from any nonterminal of G an infinite set of terminal words can be derived. All words of L_n posses a very regular structure. It holds

- (1) If $x \in L_n$, then $x = ub^2 a^2 v$, u(v) is uniquely determined by v (by u) and neither u nor v contains $b^2 a^2$ as a subword. From (1) it follows
- (2) All rules of G are of the form $A \rightarrow uBv$ or $A \rightarrow ub^2 a^2 v$ where $u, v \in \Sigma^*$ and $B \in V \Sigma$.
- (3) If $A \rightarrow uBv$, $A \rightarrow u'Bv$ or $A \rightarrow ub^2 a^2 v$ and $A \rightarrow u'b^2 a^2 v$ are rules of G, then u=u'. If $A \rightarrow uBv$, $A \rightarrow uBv'$ or $A \rightarrow ub^2 a^2 v$, $A \rightarrow ub^2 a^2 v'$ are rules of G, then v=v'.

Since for any nonterminal A of G, the set $\{w; A \rightarrow w \in P\}$ is regular, it follows easily from (1) to (3) that the set P must be finite and therefore G is a "normal" context-free grammar. It was shown in [3], that the language L_n can not be generated by a context-free grammar having less than n nonterminals and therefore $N(L_n) \ge n$. Since $N(L_n) \le n$ is obviously true we get the theorem.

Theorem 2. Let $n \ge 1$ be an integer. It is undecidable for an arbitrary context-free grammar G whether or not N(L(G)) = n.

Proof. Let us first consider the case n=1. Let x and y be arbitrary *m*-tuples of non-empty words over the alphabet $\{a, b\}$. Let L(x), L(x, y) and L_s be the languages defined by

$$L(x) = \{ ba^{i_1} ba^{i_2} \dots ba^{i_k} cx_{i_k} \dots x_{i_2} x_{i_1}; 1 \le i_j \le m \\ L(x, y) = L(x) cL^R(y) \\ L_s = \{ w_1 cw_2 cw_2^R cw_1^R; w_1 w_2 \in \{a, b\}^* \}$$

where, for a word w, w^R is the reverse of w and for a language L, $L^R = \{w^R; w \in L\}$.

By [2], given x and y, one can effectively construct a context-free grammar $G_{x,y}$ generating the language

$$L_{x,y} = \{a, b, c\}^* - L(x, y) \cap L_{x,y}$$

If $L(x, y) \wedge L_s = \emptyset$, then obviously $N(L_{x,y}) = 1$. Let us now consider the case $L(x, y) \wedge L_s \neq \emptyset$ and let us assume that again $N(L_{x,y}) = 1$. Then there is a generalized context-free grammar $G = \langle V, \Sigma, P, \sigma \rangle$ with only one nonterminal σ which generates the language $L_{x,y}$.

Since $L(x, y) \wedge L_s \neq \emptyset$, there are indices i_1, \ldots, i_k such that if we denote

$$I = ba^{i_1} \dots ba^{i_k}, \quad X = x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_n}, \quad j = I^R, \quad Y = X^R$$

then $I' cX' cY' cJ' \in L_{x,y}$ for no integer $r \ge 1$.

Since the set $R = \{\alpha; \sigma \to \alpha \in P\}$ is regular, there must exists an integer N such that if i > N, then $z_i = I^i c X^{i+1} c Y^{i+1} c J^{i+1} \notin R$ and, moreover, if $u_i \sigma v_i \notin R$, $u_i v_i \neq \varepsilon$,

Generalized context-free grammars

 $u_i \in \{a, b, c\}^*$, $u_i \sigma v_i \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} z_i$, then u_i does not contain the symbol c. Hence there exists a word $\bar{u}_i c \bar{v}_i \in L(G)$ such that $\bar{u}_i \in \{a, b\}^*$ and $u_i \bar{u}_i c \bar{v}_i v_i = z_i$. But then the word $\bar{u}_i I c \bar{v}_i$ is also in $L_{x,y}$ and therefore L(G) generates the word $u_i \bar{u}_i I c \bar{v}_i v_i = I^{i+1} c X^{i+1} c Y^{i+1} c J^{i+1} \notin$ $\notin L_{x,y}$ what is a contradiction. Thus $N(L_{x,y}) = 1$ if and only if $L(x, y) \wedge L_s = \emptyset$. Since it is undecidable for arbitrary x and y whether or not $L(x, y) \wedge L_s = \emptyset$ [2], we get the theorem for the case n = 1.

For n > 1 we proceed as follows. By Theorem 2, for n > 2 there is a context-free language $L_{n-2} \subset \{d, e\}^*$ such that $N(L_{n-2}) = n-2$. For n=2 let us consider the language $L_{x,y,2} = \{a, b, c\}^* - L(x, y) \land L_s \cup \{f\}$ and for n > 2 let $L_{x,y,n} = L_{x,y} \cup \{f\} \cup L_{n-2}$ where f, d, e are new symbols. It is easy to verify that $N(L_{x,y,n}) = n$ if and only if $L(x, y) \land L_s = \emptyset$ and now the theorem for the case n > 1 follows in the same way as for n=1.

Corollary. There is no effective way to construct for an arbitrary context-free grammar G a generalized context-free grammar with fewest states and generating the language L(G).

It follows from this corollary that there is no effective way to determine for an arbitrary context-free grammar G the minimum of transition diagrams for the language L(G). Can we, however, at least to minimize effectively the overall number of states of transition diagrams for L(G)? It was shown implicitly in the course of the proof of Theorem 2 that the answer is again in negative.

Обовщенные контекстно-свободные грамматики

Обобщенные контекстно-свободные грамматики — это грамматики имеющие правила вида $A - \alpha$, где A вспомогательный символ и α регулярное выражение над основыми и вспомогательными символами. В работе установлена классификация контекстно-свободных языков в зависимости от минимального числа вспомогательных символов обощенных контекстносвободных грамматик, которые порождают данный контекстно-свободный язык. Доказана алгоритмическая неразрешимость основных проблем связанных с этой классификацией, как напр. проблема построить минимальную грамматику для данного языка.

References

- CONWAY, M. E., Design of a separable transition-diagrams compiler, Comm. ACM. v. 6, 1963, pp. 396-408.
- [2] GINSBURG, S., The mathematical theory of context-free languages, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
- [3] GRUSKA, J., Some classifications of context-free languages, Information and Control, v. 14, 1969, pp. 152-173.
- [4] KALMÁR, L., An intuitive representation of context-free languages, COLING, The proceedings of the International Conference on Computational Linguistics, Sånga-Säby, 1969.

(Received April 18, 1972)