

## On $\nu_1$ -products of commutative automata

By F. GÉCSEG

The aim of this paper is to show the existence of commutative automata such that each of them forms a homomorphically complete system with respect to the  $\nu_1$ -product in the class of all commutative automata.

By an *automaton* we mean a system  $\mathbf{A} = (X, A, \delta)$ , where  $X$  is a nonvoid finite set of *input signals*,  $A$  is a nonvoid finite set of *states*, and  $\delta: A \times X \rightarrow A$  is the *transition function*. The automaton  $\mathbf{A}$  is *commutative* if  $\delta(a, xy) = \delta(a, yx)$  holds for arbitrary  $a \in A$  and  $x, y \in X$ . (The transition  $\delta(a, p)$  ( $a \in A, p \in X^*$ ) is defined by  $\delta(a, e) = a$  and  $\delta(a, qx) = \delta(\delta(a, q), x)$  ( $a \in A, q \in X^*, x \in X$ ), where  $X^*$  is the set of all finite words over  $X$  and  $e$  denotes the empty word.)

Since automata can be considered unary algebras (cf. for instance [5]) the concept of a subautomaton of an automaton, and those of isomorphism and homomorphism of automata can be defined in a natural way.

Let  $\mathbf{A}_i = (X_i, A_i, \delta_i)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ) be a system of automata,  $X$  a nonvoid finite set and  $\varphi: A_1 \times \dots \times A_k \times X \rightarrow X_1 \times \dots \times X_k$  a function. Take the automaton  $\mathbf{A} = (X, A, \delta)$  given by  $A = A_1 \times \dots \times A_k$  and  $\delta((a_1, \dots, a_k), x) = (\delta_1(a_1, x_1), \dots, \delta_k(a_k, x_k))$  ( $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in A, x \in X$ ), where  $(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \varphi(a_1, \dots, a_k, x) = (\varphi_1(a_1, \dots, a_k, x), \dots, \varphi_k(a_1, \dots, a_k, x))$ . Then  $\mathbf{A}$  is called the *product* of  $\mathbf{A}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_k$  with respect to  $X$  and  $\varphi$ , and we denote it by

$$\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{A}_i[X, \varphi].$$

Consider the above product  $\mathbf{A}$ , and take a non-negative integer  $i$ . We say that  $\mathbf{A}$  is an  $\alpha_i$ -*product* if for every  $t$  ( $1 \leq t \leq k$ ),  $\varphi_t$  is independent of its  $j^{\text{th}}$  component ( $1 \leq j \leq k$ ) whenever  $t \geq j + i$ . Moreover, if for all  $t$  ( $t = 1, \dots, k$ ),  $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in A$  and  $x \in X$ ,  $\varphi_t(a_1, \dots, a_k, x)$  may depend on  $x$  only then  $\mathbf{A}$  is a *quasi-direct product*.

Again take the product  $\mathbf{A}$  above. Moreover, let  $\nu: N_k \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}(N_k)$  be a mapping, where  $N_k$  is the set of the first  $k$  positive integers and  $\mathfrak{P}$  is the powerset-operator. If  $i$  is a non-negative integer such that for every  $t \in N_k$ ,  $|\nu(t)| \leq i$  and  $\varphi_t$  is independent of its  $j^{\text{th}}$  component ( $1 \leq j \leq k$ ) whenever  $j \notin \nu(t)$  then  $\mathbf{A}$  is called a  $\nu_i$ -*product* (see [1]). If  $\mathbf{A}_1 = \dots = \mathbf{A}_k = \mathbf{B}$  then  $\mathbf{A}$  is a  $\nu_i$ -*power* of  $\mathbf{B}$ . Moreover, in  $\varphi_t$  we shall indicate only those variables, on which it may depend. Finally, for the  $\nu_i$ -product  $\mathbf{A}$  we shall use the notation

$$\mathbf{A} = \prod_{t=1}^k \mathbf{A}_t[X, \varphi, \nu].$$

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a class of automata. Then

$\mathbf{H}(\mathcal{K})$ : homomorphic images of automata from  $\mathcal{K}$ .

$\mathbf{S}(\mathcal{K})$ : subautomata of automata from  $\mathcal{K}$ .

$\mathbf{Q}(\mathcal{K})$ : quasi-direct products of automata from  $\mathcal{K}$ .

$\mathbf{P}_{v_i}(\mathcal{K})$ :  $v_i$ -products of automata from  $\mathcal{K}$ .

For every prime number  $p$  consider the automata  $\mathbf{A}_p = (X_p, A_p, \delta_p)$ , where  $X_p = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}\}$ ,  $A_p = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  and  $\delta_p(i, x_j) = i \oplus_p j$ , where  $0 \leq i, j < p$  and  $\oplus_p$  denotes the modulo  $p$  addition. Obviously, each  $\mathbf{A}_p$  is a commutative automaton.

We are now ready to state and prove the following

**Theorem.** For an arbitrary commutative automaton  $\mathbf{A}$  and for every prime number  $p$  the inclusion  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{HSP}_{v_1}(\{\mathbf{A}_p\})$  holds.

*Proof.* For every prime number  $p$  and every positive integer  $n$  take the automaton  $\mathbf{B}_{(p,n)} = (X, B_{(p,n)}, \delta_{(p,n)})$  with  $X = \{x, y\}$ ,  $B_{(p,n)} = \{0, 1, \dots, p^n - 1\}$ ,  $\delta_{(p,n)}(i, x) = i \oplus_{p^n} 1$  and  $\delta_{(p,n)}(i, y) = i$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, p^n - 1$ ). Moreover, for every natural number  $n$  let  $\mathbf{E}_n = (\{x, y\}, \{0, 1, \dots, n\}, \delta_n)$  be the  $n+1$  state *elevator*, that is the automaton with  $\delta_n(i, y) = i$  ( $i = 0, \dots, n$ ) and

$$\delta_n(i, x) = \begin{cases} i+1 & \text{if } 0 \leq i < n, \\ n & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{K}$  the class of all  $\mathbf{B}_{(p,n)}$  and  $\mathbf{E}_n$ . In [3] it is shown that  $\mathbf{HSQ}(\mathcal{K})$  is the class of all commutative automata. Since every quasi-direct product of  $v_1$ -products of automata is isomorphic to a  $v_1$ -product of the same automata in order to prove our theorem it is enough to show that for arbitrary prime numbers  $p, q$  and positive integer  $n$  the inclusions  $\mathbf{B}_{(q,n)} \in \mathbf{HSP}_{v_1}(\{\mathbf{A}_p\})$  and  $\mathbf{E}_n \in \mathbf{HSP}_{v_1}(\{\mathbf{A}_p\})$  hold. We start with the proof of  $\mathbf{B}_{(q,n)} \in \mathbf{HSP}_{v_1}(\{\mathbf{A}_p\})$ . Let us fix  $p, q$  and  $n$ . We distinguish the following two cases.

*Case 1.*  $p \neq q$ . Then  $q^n$  divides  $p^m - 1$  for some  $m > 0$ . (We may also assume that  $m > 1$ .) Therefore, it is sufficient to show the existence of a  $v_1$ -power  $\mathbf{B} = (X, B, \delta)$  of  $\mathbf{A}_p$  such that  $\mathbf{B}$  contains a subautomaton which is a cycle of length  $p^m - 1$  under  $x$ , and  $y$  induces the identity mapping of this subautomaton.

Let  $\mathbf{B} = (X, B, \delta) = (\underbrace{\mathbf{A}_p \times \dots \times \mathbf{A}_p}_{p^m - 1 \text{ times}})[X, \varphi, \nu]$  be the  $v_1$ -product, where for every

$i \in \{1, \dots, p^m - 1\}$

$$\nu(i) = \begin{cases} i-1 & \text{if } i > 1, \\ p^m - 1 & \text{if } i = 1, \end{cases}$$

and for arbitrary  $i \in \{1, \dots, p^m - 1\}$  and  $j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$

$$\varphi_i(j, z) = \begin{cases} x_j & \text{if } z = x, \\ x_0 & \text{if } z = y. \end{cases}$$

Take a  $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{p^m-1})$  from  $B$ . Then, by the definition of  $\mathbf{B}$ , we obviously have  $\delta(b, x) = (b_1 \oplus_p b_{p^m-1}, b_2 \oplus_p b_1, \dots, b_{p^m-1} \oplus_p b_{p^m-2})$ .

In the rest of the paper all multiplications of integers, and all the binomial coefficients  $\binom{k}{l} \left( = \frac{k!}{l!(k-l)!} \right)$  are taken modulo  $p$ . Moreover,  $\oplus$  and  $\ominus$  will stand for the modulo  $p$  addition and modulo  $p$  subtraction, respectively. Finally, we denote  $p^m - 1$  by  $t$ .

One can easily show, by induction on  $k$ , that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(b, x^k) &= \left( \binom{k}{0} b_1 \oplus \binom{k}{1} b_t \oplus \binom{k}{2} b_{t-1} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{k}{k} b_{t-k+1}, \right. \\ &\left. \binom{k}{0} b_2 \oplus \binom{k}{1} b_1 \oplus \binom{k}{2} b_t \oplus \binom{k}{3} b_{t-1} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{k}{k} b_{t-k+2}, \dots \right. \\ &\left. \dots, \binom{k}{0} b_t \oplus \binom{k}{1} b_{t-1} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{k}{k} b_{t-k} \right) \end{aligned}$$

if  $1 \leq k < t$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(b, x^t) &= \left( \left( \binom{t}{0} \oplus \binom{t}{t} \right) b_1 \oplus \binom{t}{1} b_t \oplus \binom{t}{2} b_{t-1} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{t}{t-1} b_2, \right. \\ &\left( \binom{t}{0} \oplus \binom{t}{t} \right) b_2 \oplus \binom{t}{1} b_1 \oplus \binom{t}{2} b_t \oplus \binom{t}{3} b_{t-1} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{t}{t-1} b_3, \dots \\ &\dots, \left( \binom{t}{0} \oplus \binom{t}{t} \right) b_t \oplus \binom{t}{1} b_{t-1} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{t}{t-1} b_1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

We would like to find a  $b$  such that  $\delta(b, x^t) = b$  holds, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \binom{t}{0} \oplus \binom{t}{t} \right) b_1 \oplus \binom{t}{1} b_t \oplus \binom{t}{2} b_{t-1} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{t}{t-1} b_2 = b_1, \\ &\left( \binom{t}{0} \oplus \binom{t}{t} \right) b_2 \oplus \binom{t}{1} b_1 \oplus \binom{t}{2} b_t \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{t}{t-1} b_3 = b_2 \\ (*) \quad &\vdots \\ &\left( \binom{t}{0} \oplus \binom{t}{t} \right) b_t \oplus \binom{t}{1} b_{t-1} \oplus \binom{t}{2} b_{t-2} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{t}{t-1} b_1 = b_t \end{aligned}$$

holds. Let us consider (\*) a system of equations over the prime field  $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  with modulo  $p$  addition and modulo  $p$  multiplication, where  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t$  are unknowns. Add (modulo  $p$ )  $(p-1)b_i$  to both sides of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  equation in (\*) for every  $i$  ( $= 1, \dots, t$ ). Then we get the linear homogeneous system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} &\binom{t}{0} b_1 \oplus \binom{t}{1} b_t \oplus \binom{t}{2} b_{t-1} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{t}{t-1} b_2 = 0, \\ &\binom{t}{0} b_2 \oplus \binom{t}{1} b_1 \oplus \binom{t}{2} b_t \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{t}{t-1} b_3 = 0, \\ (**) \quad &\vdots \\ &\binom{t}{0} b_t \oplus \binom{t}{1} b_{t-1} \oplus \binom{t}{2} b_{t-2} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{t}{t-1} b_1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using the congruence  $\binom{t+1}{l} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  ( $1 \leq l \leq t$ ), one can easily show that for every  $l$  ( $=0, 1, \dots, t-1$ ),  $\binom{t}{l} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  if  $l$  is even, and  $\binom{t}{l} \equiv p-1 \pmod{p}$  if  $l$  is odd. (Therefore, the determinant of (\*\*\*) is 0, consequently (\*\*\*) has a nontrivial solution.) It can be seen immediately that  $b_1=1, b_2=1, b_3=0, \dots, b_t=0$  is a solution of (\*\*). Moreover, by the construction of **B**, the states  $b, \delta(b, x), \dots, \delta(b, x^{t-1})$  are pairwise distinct, that is they form a cycle of length  $t$  ( $=p^m-1$ ) under  $x$ .

*Case 2.  $p=q$ .* We now show the existence of a  $v_1$ -power **B**  $= (X, B, \delta)$  of  $A_p$  such that **B** contains a subautomaton which is a cycle of length  $p^n$  under  $x$ , and  $y$  induces the identity mapping on this subautomaton.

Let **B**  $= (X, B, \delta) = (\underbrace{A_p \times \dots \times A_p}_{p^n \text{ times}})[X, \varphi, \nu]$  be the  $v_1$ -product given in the following way. For every  $i \in \{1, \dots, p^n\}$

$$\nu(i) = \begin{cases} i-1 & \text{if } i > 1, \\ \emptyset & \text{if } i = 1, \end{cases}$$

and for arbitrary  $i \in \{2, \dots, p^n\}$  and  $j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$

$$\varphi_i(j, z) = \begin{cases} x_j & \text{if } z = x, \\ x_0 & \text{if } z = y, \end{cases}$$

moreover,  $\varphi_1(x) = \varphi_1(y) = x_0$ .

Take the state  $b = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$  of **B**. One can prove easily, by induction on  $k$ , that for every  $k$  ( $=1, \dots, p^n-1$ )

$$\delta(b, x^k) = \left( \binom{k}{0}, \binom{k}{1}, \dots, \binom{k}{k}, 0, \dots, 0 \right).$$

Therefore

$$\delta(b, x^{p^n}) = \left( \binom{p^n}{0}, \binom{p^n}{1}, \dots, \binom{p^n}{p^n-1} \right).$$

As it has been noted, for every  $k$  ( $=1, \dots, p^n-1$ ),  $\binom{p^n}{k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Thus  $\delta(b, x^{p^n}) = b$  showing that the states  $b, \delta(b, x), \dots, \delta(b, x^{p^n-1})$  form a desired cycle.

To prove that for arbitrary natural number  $n$  and prime number  $p$  the inclusion  $E_n \in \text{HSP}_{v_1}(\{A_p\})$  holds take the automaton

$$\mathbf{B} = (X, B, \delta) = (\underbrace{A_p \times \dots \times A_p}_{p^n \text{ times}})[X, \varphi, \nu]$$

defined in the same way as in Case 2 with the following exceptions:  $\nu(1) = p^n$  and  $\varphi_1(j, x) = x_p \ominus_j$  ( $j=0, \dots, p-1$ ). Again take  $b = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Then, like in Case 2, for every  $k$  ( $=1, \dots, p^n-1$ )

$$\delta(b, x^k) = \left( \binom{k}{0}, \binom{k}{1}, \dots, \binom{k}{k}, 0, \dots, 0 \right).$$

Therefore

$$\delta(b, x^{p^n}) = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$$

showing that the states  $b, \delta(b, x), \dots, \delta(b, x^{p^n})$  form a  $p^n + 1$  state elevator. Since  $p^n > n$  this ends the proof of the Theorem.

**Remark.** It follows from Theorem 3 in [4] that there exists no finite system of automata which is homomorphically complete with respect to the  $\alpha_1$ -product in the class of all commutative automata. Thus, by the Theorem above, in this respect the  $v_1$ -product is more powerful than the  $\alpha_1$ -product. (By the Theorem in [2], the  $v_1$ -product is not stronger than any of the  $\alpha_i$ -products if  $i > 1$ .)

### Acknowledgements

The author wants to thank Dr. B. Imreh for detecting a gap in the first version of the proof of the Theorem.

DEPT. OF COMPUTER SCIENCE  
A. JÓZSEF UNIVERSITY  
ARADI VÉRTANÚK TERE 1.  
SZEGED, HUNGARY  
H-6720

### References

- [1] DÖMÖSI, P., IMREH, B., On  $v_i$ -products of automata, Acta Cybernet., v. VI. 2, 1983, pp. 149—162.
- [2] ÉSIK, Z., HORVÁTH, GY., The  $\alpha_2$ -product is homomorphically general, Papers on Automata Theory, v. V. 1983, pp. 49—62.
- [3] GÉCSEG, F., On subdirect representations of finite commutative unoids, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), v. 36, 1974, pp. 33—38.
- [4] GÉCSEG, F., On products of abstract automata, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), v. 38, 1976, pp. 21—43.
- [5] GÉCSEG, F., STEINBY, M., Tree automata, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1984.

(Received Febr. 1, 1984)