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#### Abstract

A $\nu_{i}$-product is a network of automata such that each automaton is fed back to at most $i$ of the component automata. We show that the $\nu_{i}$-hierachy is proper with respect to homomorphic simulation.


For all notions and notations not defined here, see [2], [3] or [6]. An automaton $\mathrm{A}=(A, X, \delta)$ is a finite automaton with state set $A$, input set $X$ and transition $\delta: A \times X \rightarrow A$. The transition is also used in the extended sense, i.e. as a function $\delta: A \times X^{*} \rightarrow A$ where $X^{*}$ is the free monoid of all words over $X$.

Let $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A}_{1} \times \ldots \times \mathbf{A}_{n}(X, \varphi)$ be a general product (or $g$-product) of automata $\mathbf{A}_{j}=\left(A_{j}, X_{j}, \delta_{j}\right), j=1, \ldots, n, n \geq 1$. A function

$$
\gamma:\{1, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow 2^{\{1, \ldots, n\}}
$$

is a neighbourhood function of $\mathbf{A}$ if each feedback function $\varphi_{j}$ is independent of the actual state of any component $\mathbf{A}_{k}$ with $k \notin \gamma(j)$. Thus the concept of a general product with a neighbourhood function is essentially the same as the automata networks of [7]. A general product $A$ with a neighbourhood function satisfying $\operatorname{card}(\gamma(j)) \leq i$ for all $j=1, \ldots, n$, where $i$ is a fixed positive integer, is referred to a $\nu_{i}$-product, cf. [4]. An $\alpha_{0}-\nu_{i}$-product is a $\nu_{i}$-product which is also an $\alpha_{0}$-product (i.e. loop-free product).

Let $\mathbf{A}=(A, X, \delta)$ and $\mathbf{B}=\left(B, Y, \delta^{\prime}\right)$ be automata. We say that $\mathbf{A}$ homomorphically simulates B if there are $A^{\prime} \subseteq A$ and mappings $h_{1}: A^{\prime} \rightarrow B$ and $h_{2}: Y \rightarrow X^{*}$ such that $h_{1}$ is onto, moreover, $\delta\left(\bar{a}, h_{2}(y)\right) \in A^{\prime}$ and

$$
h_{1}\left(\delta\left(a, h_{2}(y)\right)\right)=\delta^{\prime}\left(h_{1}(a), y\right)
$$

for all $a \in A^{\prime}$ and $y \in Y$. The function $h_{2}$ will be used also in the extended sense, i.e. as a monoid homomorphism $Y^{*} \rightarrow X^{*}$. Thus $A$ homomorphically simulates $B$ if and only if the transformation monoid corresponding to $B$ is covered by the transformation monoid corresponding to $\mathbf{A}$, cf. [5]. If $X=Y$ and $B$ is a homomorphic image of a subautomaton of $\mathbf{A}$ then $\mathbf{B}$ is homomorhpically realized by A, cf. [6].

[^0]Let $K$ be a class of automata and let $\beta$ refer to one of the above particular cases of the $g$-product. If an automaton $\mathbf{A}$ is homomorphically realized (simulated) by a $\beta$-product of automata from $K$ then we write $\mathbf{A} \in H S P_{\beta}(K)\left(\mathbf{A} \in H S^{*} P_{\beta}(K)\right)$.

Now let $n \geq 1$ be an integer and let $C_{n}=\left(C_{n},\{x\}, \delta_{n}\right)$ with $C_{n}=\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ and $\delta_{n}(i, x)=i+1 \bmod n$, for all $i \in C_{n}$. Thus $C_{n}$ is a counter with length n. Let $\mathbf{E}=\left(E,\{x, y\}, \delta_{0}\right)$ be an elevator, so that $E=\{0,1\}, \delta_{0}(0, x)=0$ and $\delta_{0}(0, y)=\delta_{0}(1, x)=\delta_{0}(1, y)=1$. We set

$$
K=\{\mathbf{E}\} \cup\left\{\mathbf{C}_{p} \mid p>1 \text { is a prime }\right\}
$$

and prove that there exists an automaton $\mathbf{M} \in H S P_{\alpha_{0}-\nu_{i+1}}(K)$ which does not belong to $H S^{*} P_{\nu_{i}}(K)$, where $i \geq 1$ is any fixed integer.

Let $m$ be the product of the first $i+1$ prime numbers. We define $M=$ $\left(M,\{x, y\}, \delta^{\prime}\right)$ with $M=\{0, \ldots, m\}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta^{\prime}(j, x)= \begin{cases}j+1 \bmod m & \text { if } j=0, \ldots, m-1 \\
m & \text { if } j=m\end{cases} \\
& \delta^{\prime}(j, y)= \begin{cases}j+1 \bmod m & \text { if } j=1, \ldots, m-1 \\
m & \text { if } j=0 \text { or } j=m .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof that $\mathbf{M} \notin H S^{*} P_{\nu_{i}}(K)$. Assume to the contrary that a $\nu_{i}$-product with neighbourhood function $\gamma$

$$
\mathbf{A}=(A, X, \delta)=\mathbf{A}_{1} \times \ldots \times \mathbf{A}_{n}(X, \varphi)
$$

of automata form $K$ homomorphically simulates $M$. We may suppose that $n$ is minimal with this property, i.e., if $\mathbf{B}$ is a $\nu_{i}$-product of automata from $K$ which homomorphically simulates $\mathbf{M}$, then the number of factors of $\mathbf{B}$ is at least $n$. Let $A^{\prime} \subseteq A$ and let $h_{1}: A^{\prime} \rightarrow M, h_{2}:\{x, y\} \rightarrow X^{*}$ be mappings such that $h_{1}$ is onto and

$$
\delta^{\prime}\left(h_{1}(a), z\right)=h_{1}\left(\delta\left(a, h_{2}(z)\right)\right)
$$

for all $a \in A^{\prime}$ and $z=x, y$, where it is assumed that $\delta\left(a, h_{2}(z)\right) \in A^{\prime}$. We may choose $A^{\prime}$ and the functions $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ such that card $\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ is minimal.

Let us partition $A^{\prime}$ as $A^{\prime}=A_{0} \cup A_{1}$ where $A_{0}=h_{1}^{-1}(M-\{m\})$ and $A_{1}=$ $h_{1}^{-1}(m)$. If $a \in A_{0}$ and $b \in A^{\prime}$ then, by the minimality of $\operatorname{card}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$, there is a word $u \in\{x, y\}^{*}$ with $\delta\left(a, h_{2}(u)\right)=b$. Therefore, if $p r_{j}\left(a_{0}\right)=1$ and $\mathbf{A}_{j}=\mathbf{E}$ for some $j=1, \ldots, n$ and $a_{0} \in A_{0}$, then $\operatorname{pr}_{j}(a)=1$ for all $a \in A^{\prime}$. (Of course, $\operatorname{pr}_{j}$ denotes the $j$-th projection.) But then we can get rid of the $j$-th component obtaining a $\nu_{i}$ product of $n-1$ factors that homomorphically simulates $M$. Since this contradicts the minimality of $n$ we have $\operatorname{pr}_{j}(a)=0$ for all $a \in A_{0}$ and $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $\mathbf{A}_{j}=E$. By the construction of $\mathbf{A}$ and the minimality of $\operatorname{card}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ it is easy to see that for every $a \in A_{1}$ there exists $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $p r_{j}(a)=1$ and $\mathbf{A}_{j}=\mathbf{E}$.

Now let $a \in h_{1}^{-1}(0)$ be a fixed state. We have $\delta\left(a, h_{2}(y)\right) \in A_{1}$, so that $\operatorname{pr}_{j}\left(\delta\left(a, h_{2}(y)\right)\right)=1$ and $\mathbf{A}_{j}=\mathbf{E}$ for some $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Let $\gamma(j)=\left\{j_{1}, \ldots j_{t}\right\}$, $t \leq i$. For $s=1, \ldots, t$, define $r_{s}=p$ if $A_{j}=C_{p}$ and $r_{s}=1$ if $A_{j}=\mathbf{E}$. Let $r$ be the product of the integers $r_{s}$. It is clear that $m$ is not a divisor of $r$. Thus, for $u=h_{2}(x), \delta\left(a, u^{r}\right)=b \in h_{1}^{-1}(q)$ with $q \in\{1, \ldots, m-1\}$. Since $p r_{j_{g}}(b)=p r_{j_{0}}(a)$ for all $s=1, \ldots, t$, it follows that $p r_{j}\left(\delta\left(b, h_{2}(y)\right)\right)=1$, which contradicts $\delta\left(b, h_{2}(y)\right) \in A_{0}$.

Proof that $M \in H S P_{\alpha_{0}-\nu_{i+1}}(K)$. For each $j=1, \ldots, i+1$, let $p_{j}$ denote the $j$-th prime number. We construct an $\alpha_{0}-\nu_{i+1}$-product

$$
\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{C}_{p_{1}} \times \ldots \times \mathbf{C}_{p_{i+1}} \times \mathbf{E}(\{x, y\}, \varphi)
$$

with

$$
\varphi_{j}\left(k_{1}, \ldots k_{i+1}, k, z\right)= \begin{cases}y & \text { if } k_{1}=\ldots=k_{i+1}=0, j=i+2 \text { and } z=y \\ x & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

It is straightforward to show that $\mathbf{A}$ maps homomorphically onto $\mathbf{M}$.
Theorem 1 The $\nu_{i}$-hierarchy is proper with respect to both homomorphic simulation and homomorphic realization. There exists a class $K$ with the following properties, where $i \geq 1$ is any integer:
(i) $H S P_{\nu_{i}}(K) \subset H S P_{\nu_{i+1}}(K)$,
(ii) $H S^{*} P_{\nu_{i}}(K) \subset H S^{*} P_{\nu_{i+1}}(K)$,
(iii) $H S P_{\alpha_{0}-\nu_{i}}(K) \subset H S P_{\alpha_{0}-\nu_{i+1}}(K)$,
(iv) $H S^{*} P_{\alpha_{0}-\nu_{i}}(K) \subset H S^{*} P_{\alpha_{0}-\nu_{i+1}}(K)$.

Remarks. For the class $K$ exhibited in the proof we even have $H S P_{\alpha_{0}}(K)=$ $H S P_{g}(K)$. Consequently

$$
H S P_{\nu_{i}}(K) \subset H S P_{\alpha_{0}}(K) \text { and } H S^{*} P_{\nu_{i}}(K) \subset H S^{*} P_{\alpha_{0}}(K)
$$

hold, too. One might wish to modify the definition of homomorphic simulation by requiring that only nonempty words occur in the range of function $h_{2}$. Our result holds with the same proof for this notion or homomorphic simulation, too. Part i) has been already proved in [2] and part ii) in [1]. Nevertheless the class $K$ given above is considerably simpler than that in [1] or [2].
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