

## On factorisable groups.

By J. SZÉP and L. RÉDEI in Szeged.

We shall call the group  $\mathcal{G}$  factorisable by the (proper) subgroups  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$ , if  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H}\mathcal{K}$ . There are several papers dealing with the special case  $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{K} = E^1$  ( $E$  the unit element) or  $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{D}$  where  $\mathcal{D}$  is a normal subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}^2$ ). The purpose of the present paper is to deduce quite general results on the same problem by omitting the condition of the normality of  $\mathcal{D}$ . We shall restrict ourselves to finite groups but many of our results may be extended to infinite groups.

Let  $H, H', \dots$  and  $K, K', \dots$  denote elements of  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  respectively.

It is known that if the group  $\mathcal{G}$  of finite order is representable as the product of two subgroups,  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H}\mathcal{K}$  where  $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{K} = E$ , then each element of  $\mathcal{G}$  can be represented, precisely once, both in the form  $HK$  and in the form  $KH$ . In the relation  $HK = K'H'$  the elements  $K'$  and  $H'$  are uniquely defined whenever  $K$  and  $H$  are given. If  $K$  is fixed, then  $H'$  together with  $H$  runs over all elements of  $\mathcal{H}$ . We may thus associate with each  $K$  the permutation  $\begin{pmatrix} H \\ H' \end{pmatrix}$  of the elements of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

The case is more difficult, if  $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{D} \neq E$ . Even in this case the elements of  $\mathcal{G}$  can be represented in the form  $HK$ , and also in the form  $KH$ , but these representations are no more unique. Moreover if we fix the element  $K$  in  $HK = K'H'$  then exactly  $d$  solutions of  $H'$

<sup>1</sup>) G. ZAPPA, Costruzione dei gruppi prodotto di due dati sottogruppi permutabili tra loro, *Atti Secondo Congresso Unione Mat. Italiana Bologna. 1940*, pp. 115—125.

J. SZÉP, Über die als Produkt zweier Untergruppen darstellbaren endlichen Gruppen, *Commentarii Math. Helvetici*, **22** (1948), pp. 31—33; On the structure of groups which can be represented as the product of two subgroups, *these Acta*, **12 A** (1950), pp. 57—61.

L. RÉDEI, Die Anwendung des schiefen Produktes in der Gruppentheorie *Journal für die reine und angewandte Math.*, **188** (1951), pp. 201—227.

<sup>2</sup>) G. CASADIO, Costruzione di gruppi come prodotto di sottogruppi permutabili, *Rendiconti di Mat. e delle sue applicazioni*, (V) **2**, Fasc. III—IV (1941).

belong to each  $H$ ,  $d$  being the order of  $\mathfrak{D}$ . We shall show that we may associate, also in this case, with each  $K$  a set of permutations  $\begin{pmatrix} H \\ H' \end{pmatrix}$  and we shall discuss with these sets the structure of the group  $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{R}$ . From the results of I we get an explicit theorem and corollary in II.

## I.

We shall prove the following

**Theorem 1.** *Let the finite group  $\mathfrak{G}$  be factorisable by two proper subgroups  $\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{R}$ :*

$$(1) \quad \mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{R}.$$

*Then to each  $K (\in \mathfrak{R})$  we can find a permutation  $H \rightarrow H'$  of  $\mathfrak{S}$  such that*

$$(2) \quad HKH^{-1} \in \mathfrak{R}$$

*for each element  $H (\in \mathfrak{S})$ . Denote by  $[K]$  the set of these permutations (belonging to  $K$ ) and by  $[\mathfrak{R}]$  the totality of the permutations in all sets  $[K]$ .*

*Then  $[\mathfrak{R}]$  is a group;  $[E]$  is a normal subgroup of  $[\mathfrak{R}]$  ( $E$  is the unit element of  $\mathfrak{G}$ ) and each  $[K]$  is some coset of  $[E]$ ; furthermore the following homomorphism holds:*

$$(3) \quad \mathfrak{R} \sim [\mathfrak{R}]/[E].$$

**Remark.** It is known, that

$$(4) \quad \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{S},$$

thus the theorem holds also for  $\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{S}$  instead of  $\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{R}$ .

From (4) we get

$$(5) \quad HK = K'H',$$

where  $K', H'$  are not uniquely determined by  $H$  and  $K$ . If  $K$  is fixed and  $H$  runs over all elements of  $\mathfrak{S}$ , then the elements  $H'$  are, in general, not all different. We shall show that we can choose elements  $K'$  such that the corresponding elements  $H'$  shall be different.

Let  $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{S} \cap \mathfrak{R}$  and let  $d$  denote the order of  $\mathfrak{D}$ . First we show that the system of the elements  $H'$  (each  $H'$  taken with its multiplicity) contains from each coset in the right side of  $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{D} + \mathfrak{D}\bar{H} + \dots$  exactly  $d$  elements. Indeed the system of the  $H'$  contains from no coset  $\mathfrak{D}\bar{H}$  more elements than  $d$ . For, if we had in (5)

$$(6) \quad H_x K = K_x H'_x \quad (x = 1, \dots, d+1),$$

where  $H_1, \dots, H_{d+1}$  are different and  $H'_x \in \mathfrak{D}\bar{H}$ , then from (6) we should get

$$(7) \quad H_x H'_y^{-1} = K_x H'_x H'_y^{-1} K_y^{-1} \quad (x, y = 1, \dots, d+1).$$

This is absurd, because the elements  $H'_x H'_y^{-1}$  and hence even the elements  $H_x H'_y^{-1} = K_x H'_x H'_y^{-1} K_y^{-1}$  all belong to  $\mathfrak{D}$  for  $x = 1, \dots, d+1$ , in contradiction to the fact that  $\mathfrak{D}$  contains  $d$  elements.

We write (5) in the form

$$(8) \quad HK = K'D^{-1}DH'$$

with  $D \in \mathfrak{D}$ ; then  $K'D^{-1} \in \mathfrak{R}$ ,  $DH' \in \mathfrak{S}$ . The above discussions imply that to each  $H \in \mathfrak{S}$  we can select a suitable element  $D = D(H)$  such that in (8)  $DH'$  together with  $H$  runs over all elements of  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

According to (8) we may associate with each  $K \in \mathfrak{R}$  a non-empty set of permutations of the elements of  $\mathfrak{S}$ . The set of permutations belonging to  $K$  will be denoted by  $[K]$ .

Let  $\rho \in [K]$ ,  $\sigma \in [K']$  be two permutations,  $K, K' \in \mathfrak{R}$ . Then  $HK(\rho H)^{-1}$ ,  $HK'(\sigma H)^{-1} \in \mathfrak{R}$  ( $\rho H$  and  $\sigma H$  denote the elements of  $\mathfrak{S}$  into which  $H$  passes by the permutation  $\rho$  resp.  $\sigma$ ), hence  $HKK'(\sigma\rho H)^{-1} \in K$ , i. e.  $\sigma\rho \in [KK']$ .

This fact will be expressed in following manner:

$$(9) \quad [K][K'] \subseteq [KK']$$

From (9) it follows that  $[\mathfrak{R}]$  (the totality of the permutations in all sets  $[K]$ ) is a group, further  $[E]^2 \subseteq [E]$ , i. e.  $[E]$  is a subgroup of  $[\mathfrak{R}]$ . Furthermore (9) implies that  $[K][E] \subseteq [K]$ , i. e.  $[K][E] = [K]$  and of course dually  $[E][K] = [K]$ . Hence we get that  $[E]$  is a normal subgroup of  $[\mathfrak{R}]$  and instead of (9) we can write

$$(10) \quad [K][K'] = [KK']$$

The correspondence  $K \rightarrow [K]$  is a many-to-one mapping of  $\mathfrak{R}$  onto the factor-group  $[\mathfrak{R}]/[E]$ , and clearly it is (according to (10)) a homomorphism. This completes the proof of theorem 1.

Using Theorem 1 we prove the following

*Lemma. If the group  $\mathfrak{R}$  has an element  $K (\neq E)$  for which  $[K]$  contains the unit permutation (i. e.  $[K] = [E]$ ) then  $\mathfrak{G}$  has a normal subgroup  $\mathfrak{N} (\neq E)$  for which the isomorphism*

$$(11) \quad \mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{N} \cong [\mathfrak{R}]/[E]$$

holds.

*Proof.* The elements  $K$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$  with  $[K] = [E]$ , form a subgroup  $\mathfrak{N}$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$  which is by (3) normal in  $\mathfrak{R}$  and (11) holds. Further, since for  $K (\in \mathfrak{N})$  we have

$$(K' =) HKH^{-1} \in \mathfrak{R} \quad (H \in \mathfrak{S}),$$

therefore

$$H^{-1}K'H \in \mathfrak{R} \quad (H \in \mathfrak{S})$$

and hence  $K' \in \mathfrak{N}$ . Consequently,  $H\mathfrak{N}H^{-1} = \mathfrak{N}$ , i. e.  $\mathfrak{N}$  is normal subgroup in  $\mathfrak{G} (= \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{R})$ .

## II.

From Theorem 1 we obtain the following

**Theorem 2.** *If the group  $\mathcal{G}$  is factorisable by two of its proper subgroups  $\mathfrak{H}$  and  $\mathfrak{K}$  and  $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{H} \cap \mathfrak{K}$  contains a normal subgroup ( $\neq E$ ) of  $\mathfrak{H}$  (or  $\mathfrak{K}$ ), then  $\mathcal{G}$  is not simple.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathfrak{D}' (\subset \mathfrak{D})$  be a normal subgroup of  $\mathfrak{H}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}' \neq E$ . If  $D (\neq E) \in \mathfrak{D}'$ , then  $HDH^{-1} \in \mathfrak{D}' \subset \mathfrak{K}$  ( $H \in \mathfrak{H}$ ), therefore according to Theorem 1  $[D]$  contains the unit permutation  $H \rightarrow H$ , i. e.  $[D] = [E]$ . This together with Lemma implies the statement.

The following corollary might be of particular interest.

**Corollary.** *Let  $\mathcal{G} = \mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{K}$ , where  $\mathfrak{H}$  and  $\mathfrak{K}$  are proper subgroups of  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}$  is Abelian, further  $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{H} \cap \mathfrak{K} \neq E$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}$  is not simple.*

In fact, Theorem 2 proves the assertion, since  $\mathfrak{D}$  is a normal subgroup of  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

**Remark.** It is easy to see that every finite group, not a cyclic  $p$ -group, is factorisable if it has a subgroup of prime index.

The authors did not find any finite groups which are not factorisable, except the cyclic  $p$ -groups.

(Received April 1, revised October 31, 1950.)