## An individual ergodic theorem for non-commutative transformations.

By NELSON DUNFORD in New Haven, Conn.

In a recent correspondence Professor H. E. ROBBINS has raised the following interesting question. Let  $\Omega$  be a measure space of finite measure, let  $p \ge 1$ , and let  $L_p(\Omega)$  be the normed linear space consisting of those measurable functions f on  $\Omega$  for which  $|f| = (\int_{\Omega} |f(\omega)|^p d\omega)^{1/p} < \infty$ . Let  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  be one-to-one measure preserving maps of  $\Omega$  onto itself. Is it true that for any  $f \in L_p(\Omega)$  the double limit

$$\lim_{n,n\to\infty} (m \cdot n)^{-1} \sum_{\nu_1=0}^{m-1} \sum_{\nu_2=0}^{n-1} f(\varphi_1^{\nu_1} \varphi_2^{\nu_2} \omega)$$

exists almost everywhere on  $\Omega$ ? ROBBINS has pointed out that this double sequence converges in the mean of order p. In fact, this follows readily from the mean ergodic theorem of F. RIESZ<sup>1</sup>). As far as the almost everywhere convergence is concerned, it appears that the known methods for proving the individual ergodic theorem fail unless the transformations  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  commute. It is curious, however, that a proper combination of known ergodic theorems will yield an affirmative answer to ROBBINS' question in case p > 1. The question, as far as I know, is unanswered in the case p = 1. In this note we shall demonstrate the

Theorem. Let  $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k$  be one-to-one measure preserving maps of the measure space  $\Omega$  onto itself and let p > 1. Then for every  $f \in L_p(\Omega)$  the multiple sequence

(1) 
$$(m_1 \cdots m_k)^{-1} \sum_{\nu_1=0}^{m_1-1} \cdots \sum_{\nu_k=0}^{m_k-1} f(\varphi^{\nu_1} \cdots \varphi^{\nu_k} \omega)$$

is convergent (as  $m_1, \ldots, m_k \rightarrow \infty$  independently) almost everywhere on  $\Omega$ , as well as in the mean of order p. Furthermore, this multiple sequence is dominated by a function in  $L_p(\Omega)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) F. RIESZ, Some mean ergodic theorems, Journal London Math. Soc., 13 (1938), pp. 274-278.

## N. Dunford

For a given function  $f \in L_p(\Omega)$  we shall write  $\overline{f}$  for the function whose value at the place  $\omega$  is  $\overline{f}(\omega) = |f(\omega)|$ , and reserve the symbol |f| for the norm of f as a vector in  $L_p(\Omega)$ . If A is a transformation in  $L_p(\Omega)$  we shall write  $A(f, \omega)$  for the value of Af at the point  $\omega \in \Omega$ . We shall be concerned with the following transformations

$$T_{i}(f, \omega) = f(\varphi_{i} \omega), \quad i = 1, ..., k,$$

$$U(i, m) = m^{-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} T_{i}^{\nu}, \quad i = 1, ..., k; \quad m = 1, 2, ...,$$

$$V(m_{1}, ..., m_{k}) = U(k, m_{k}) ... U(2, m_{2}) U(1, m_{1})$$

With this terminology then,  $V(m_1, \ldots, m_k)(f, \omega)$  is the multiple sequence (1). Since  $\varphi_i$  is measure preserving we have  $|T_i f| = |f|$  and hence

(2) 
$$|U(i,m)f| \leq |f|, |V(m_1,\ldots,m_k)f| \leq |f|.$$

By the mean ergodic theorem of F. RIESZ we know that there is a projection operator  $E_i$  with

(3) 
$$\lim_{m} U(i,m) f = E_{i} f, \quad f \in L_{p}(\Omega), \quad i = 1, \dots, k$$

From (2) and (3) it follows immediately that

(4) 
$$\lim V(m_1,\ldots,m_k) f = E_k \ldots E_1 f, \quad f \in L_p(\Omega),$$

for indeed suppose this fact has been established for k-1 maps  $\varphi_2, \ldots, \varphi_k$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned} |(U(k, m_k) \dots U(1, m_1) - E_k \dots E_1) f| &\leq |U(k, m_k) \dots U(2, m_2) \{U(1, m_1) - E_1\} f| + \\ + |\{U(k, m_k) \dots U(2, m_2) - E_k \dots E_2\} E_1 f| &\leq \\ &\leq |\{U(1, m_1) - E_1\} f| + |\{U(k, m_k) \dots U(2, m_2) - E_k \dots E_2\} E_1 f| \end{aligned}$$

approaches zero by our induction hypothesis. In connection with his proof of equation (3) RIESZ has shown that  $E_i$  projects  $L_p(\Omega)$  onto the manifold  $\mathfrak{M}_i$  of those f for which  $T_i f = f$  and the complementary projection  $E'_i = I - E_i$ projects  $L_p(\Omega)$  onto the closure of the manifold  $(I - T_i) L_p(\Omega)$ . Thus if we define  $\mathfrak{N}_i$  as the set of functions of the form  $(I - T_i) f$  with  $\sup_{\omega} |f(\omega)| < \infty$ , we have

(5) 
$$\mathfrak{M}_i + \mathfrak{N}_i$$
 is dense in  $L_p(\Omega)$ ,

a fact which will be needed later. Now let  $g = (I - T_1) f \in \mathfrak{N}_1$  with  $|f(\omega)| \leq k$ . Then  $U(1, m)(g, \omega) = m^{-1} [f(\omega) - f(\varphi_1^m \omega)]$ , so  $|V(m_1, \ldots, m_k)(g, \omega)| \leq 2k/m_1$ , and so

(6) 
$$\lim V(m_1,\ldots,m_k)(g,\omega) = 0, \ \omega \in \Omega, \ g \in \mathfrak{N}_1.$$

For a function  $f \in \mathfrak{M}_1$  we have  $f(q_1 \omega) = f(\omega)$ , for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$  and thus  $U(1,m)(f,\omega) = f(\omega)$  for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$  and all  $m = 1, 2, \ldots$  Since the

theorem is known<sup>2</sup>) to be true for k = 1, we shall apply induction and assume that is has been proved for the case of k-1 transformations  $\varphi_2, \ldots, \varphi_k$ . The induction hypothesis yields then for  $f \in \mathfrak{M}_1$  the almost everywhere convergence of the multiple sequence

$$V(m_1,\ldots,m_k)(f,\omega) = U(k,m_k)\ldots U(2,m_2)(f,\omega).$$

This fact combined with (5) and (6) shows that

(7) For every f in a set dense in  $L_p(\Omega)$  the sequence  $V(m_1, \ldots, m_k)(f, \Omega)$  converges almost everywhere on  $\Omega$ .

Next we define the operator  $D_i$  (not linear) by the equation

 $D_i(f, \omega) = \lim_{1 \leq m} U(i, m)(\overline{f}, \omega), \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$ 

It has been shown by N. WIENER<sup>B</sup>) that  $D_i f \in L_p(\Omega)$  if  $f \in L_p(\Omega)$ . Hence if  $g_1 = D_1(f)$ ,  $g_i = D_i(g_{i-1})$ , i = 2, ..., k, it follows that  $g_k \in L_p(\Omega)$ . Since  $U(i, m_i)$  is a positive operation, i. e., it takes positive functions into positive functions, we have

$$U(2, m_2) U(1, m_1) (\bar{f}, \omega) \leq U(2, m_2) (D_1(f), \omega) \leq \\ \leq D_2 (g_1, \omega) = g_2(\omega), \quad \omega \in \Omega, m_1, \quad m_2 = 1, 2, \dots, \\ U(2, m_2) U(2, m_2) U(2, m_2) (\bar{f}, \omega) \leq \sigma_1(\omega), \quad \omega \in \Omega, \quad m_2, \dots, m_n = 1, 2$$

$$U(3, m_3) U(2, m_2) U(1, m_1) (f, \omega) \leq g_3(\omega), \ \omega \in \Omega, \ m_1, m_2, m_3 = 1, 2, \dots,$$

etc. This yields

(8) For every  $f \in L_p(\Omega)$  we have  $|V(m_1, \ldots, m_k)(f, \omega)| \le g_k(\omega)$ , and  $g_k \in L_p(\Omega)$ .

The weaker statement

(9) For every  $f \in L_p(\Omega)$  we have  $\sup_{1 \le m_1, \ldots, m_k} |V(m_1, \ldots, m_k)(f, \omega)| < \infty$ ,

together with (7) suffices to prove

(10) For every  $f \in L_p(\Omega)$  the lim  $V(m_1, \ldots, m_k)(f, \omega)$  exists a. e. on  $\Omega$ .

This final implication is proved in Lemma 7 of a paper by N. DUNFORD and D. S. MILLER<sup>4</sup>). In that lemma we simply take  $\Gamma_p$  to be the set of all  $\gamma = (m_1, \ldots, m_k)$  with  $m_i \ge p$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, k_k$  and define for  $\gamma = (m_1, \ldots, m_k) \in \Gamma_1$  the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>) The almost everywhere convergence has been proved by H. KHINTOHINZ, Zu Birkhoff's Lösung des Ergodenproblems, *Math. Annalen*, 107 (1933), pp. 285-288. The mean convergence has been proved in the paper of F. RIESZ referred to above. The dominated convergence has been proved by N. WIENER, The ergodic theorem, *Duke Math. Journal*, 5 (1939), pp. 1-18.

<sup>8)</sup> Ibid.

<sup>4)</sup> N. DUNFORD and D. S. MILLER, On the ergodic theorem, Transactions American Math. Soc., 60 (1946), pp. 538-549.

operator  $T_{\gamma} \equiv V(m_1, \dots, m_k)$ . Thus statements (4), (8), and (10) prove the theorem.

It should be mentioned that if f belongs to ZYGMUND'S class defined by  $\int_{\Omega} |f(\omega)| \log^+ |f(\omega)| d\omega < \infty$ , then  $D_1(f) \in L_1(\Omega)$ . This has been shown by N. WIENER<sup>6</sup>). Hence for such an f we see by the maximal ergodic theorem of YOSIDA and KAKUTANI<sup>6</sup>) that

$$|U(2, m_2) U(1, m_1)(f, \omega)| \leq U(2, m_2)(g_1, \omega) \leq g_2(\omega),$$

and  $g_2(\omega) < \infty$  a. e. on  $\Omega$ . Thus, since the only place where the hypothesis p > 1 entered was in the proof of (10), we may say that in case k = 2 we have the  $\lim V(m_1, m_2)(f, \omega)$  existing a. e. on  $\Omega$  providing

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(\omega)| \log^+ |f(\omega)| d\omega < \infty.$$

This fact has also been proved by ZYGMUND (unpublished).

YALE UNIVERSITY New Haven, Conn.

## (Received August 16, 1949.)

<sup>6</sup>) K. YOSIDA and S. KAKUTANI, Birkhoff's ergodic theorem and the maximal ergodic theorem, *Proceedings Imperial Acad. Tokyo*, 15 (1939), pp. 165-168.