

On a class of infinite products whose value can be expressed in closed form.

By MIKLÓS MIKOLÁS in Budapest.

1. The familiar formula of WALLIS

$$(1) \quad \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2m)^2}{(2m-1)(2m+1)} = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

gives a very simple, not-trivial example of an infinite product with well-known value. L. FEJÉR suggested the problem to find a possibly wide class of infinite products, including the WALLIS product, whose value can be expressed in finite form by means of the elementary functions.

In a previous paper¹⁾ I discussed the product

$$(2) \quad P = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{a_n + a_{n+1} + \dots + a_{n+r}}{r+1} \right)^{r+1}}{a_n a_{n+1} \dots a_{n+r}}$$

for fixed $r \geq 1$, $\{a_n\}$ meaning a strictly increasing sequence of *positive* numbers; it was proved that P is convergent if and only if the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{a_{n+r}}{a_n} - 1 \right)^2$ converges, and some formulae were deduced for the case of an arithmetical progression.

2. Now let d , A and D mean fixed *complex* numbers $\neq 0$, and let z be a complex variable. We denote by $\mathfrak{A}_r(z)$, $\mathfrak{G}_r(z)$ the arithmetic and geometric mean²⁾, respectively, of $z, z+d, \dots, z+(r-1)d$, and consider, for a fixed $r \geq 2$, the product

$$(3) \quad Q = \prod_{\substack{z=A+nD \\ n=0, 1, 2, \dots}} \left(\frac{\mathfrak{A}_r(z)}{\mathfrak{G}_r(z)} \right)^r = \prod_{\substack{z=A+nD \\ n=0, 1, 2, \dots}} \frac{\left(z + \frac{r-1}{2}d \right)^r}{z(z+d) \dots (z+(r-1)d)};$$

¹⁾ Cf. M. MIKOLÁS, Sur un produit infini; *these Acta*, 12 A (1950), 68–72. — The auxiliary function used here, $\mathfrak{E}(t, \alpha) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{t^2}{(n+\alpha)^2} \right)$, may be written also in the form $\Gamma(\alpha)^2 / \Gamma(\alpha+t)\Gamma(\alpha-t)$.

²⁾ Any value of the r^{th} root may be chosen.

Q may be regarded plainly as a generalization of the WALLIS product. — Let, for the sake of brevity, $\alpha = \frac{A}{D}$, $\delta = \frac{d}{D}$, $h = \frac{\nu-1}{2}$.

We need the following well-known facts from the theory of the gamma-function :

$$(4) \quad \Gamma(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n! n^z}{z(z+1) \dots (z+n)} \quad (z \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots),$$

$$(5) \quad \Gamma(z+1) = z\Gamma(z) \quad (z \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots),$$

$$(6) \quad \Gamma(z)\Gamma(1-z) = \pi \operatorname{cosec} \pi z \quad (z \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots),$$

$$(7) \quad \prod_{\mu=0}^{\nu-1} \Gamma\left(z + \frac{\mu}{\nu}\right) = (2\pi)^h \nu^{\frac{1}{2}-\nu z} \Gamma(\nu z) \quad (\nu z \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots),$$

furthermore

$$(8) \quad \Gamma(m) = (m-1)! \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots),$$

$$(9) \quad \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\pi}.$$

Theorem I. *The product (3) converges if and only if neither of the numbers $\alpha + h\delta$, $\alpha + \mu\delta$ ($\mu = 0, 1, \dots, \nu-1$) is 0 or a negative integer. In this case Q can be written in closed form by means of the gamma-function, namely*

$$(10) \quad Q = \Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha+\delta)\dots\Gamma(\alpha+\overline{\nu-1}\delta)\cdot\Gamma(\alpha+h\delta)^{-\nu}.$$

Especially, if $\delta = 1$, we have for $\nu = 2$

$$(11) \quad Q = \alpha \left(\frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma\left(\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\right)} \right)^2$$

and for any $\nu > 2$

$$(12) \quad Q = \frac{(\alpha + \nu - 2)(\alpha + \nu - 3)^2 \dots (\alpha + [h])^{2h-[h]}}{\alpha(\alpha+1)^2 \dots (\alpha+[h]-1)^{[h]}} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+[h])}{\Gamma(\alpha+h)} \right)^{\nu}; \text{ } ^3)$$

if $\delta = \frac{1}{\nu}$, (10) becomes

$$(13) \quad Q = (2\pi)^h \nu^{\frac{1}{2}-\nu\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(\nu\alpha)}{\Gamma\left(\alpha + \frac{h}{\nu}\right)^{\nu}};$$

in case $\alpha + h\delta = 1$ we obtain by putting $\Theta_{\mu} = 1 - (\alpha + \mu\delta)$

$$(14) \quad Q = \prod_{\mu=0}^{\left[\frac{\nu}{2}\right]-1} \frac{\pi \Theta_{\mu}}{\sin \pi \Theta_{\mu}},$$

provided that neither of $\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \dots$ is an integer.

³⁾ $[h]$ denotes the integer part of h . — If ν is odd, the last factor in (12) (including gamma-values) may be plainly omitted.

Proof. 1° If one of $\alpha + h\delta, \alpha + \mu\delta$ ($\mu = 0, 1, \dots, \nu - 1$) is zero or a negative integer, then the nominator or denominator of

$$(15) \quad Q_N = \prod_{n=0}^N \frac{(A + nD + hd)^r}{(A + nD)(A + nD + d) \cdots (A + nD + \nu - 1d)} = \\ = \prod_{n=0}^N \frac{(\alpha + h\delta + n)^r}{(\alpha + n)(\alpha + \delta + n) \cdots (\alpha + \nu - 1\delta + n)}$$

vanishes for N sufficiently large, and so Q_N has the value 0, or it has no meaning, respectively.

Otherwise we write

$$Q_N = \frac{\{(\alpha + h\delta)(\alpha + h\delta + 1) \cdots (\alpha + h\delta + N)\}^r}{\alpha(\alpha + 1) \cdots (\alpha + N) \cdot (\alpha + \delta)(\alpha + \delta + 1) \cdots (\alpha + \delta + N) \cdots (\alpha + \nu - 1\delta) \cdots (\alpha + \nu - 1\delta + N)} \\ = \left(\frac{(\alpha + h\delta)(\alpha + h\delta + 1) \cdots (\alpha + h\delta + N)^r}{N! N^{\alpha + h\delta}} \right) \prod_{\mu=0}^{\nu-1} \frac{N! N^{\alpha + \mu\delta}}{(\alpha + \mu\delta)(\alpha + \mu\delta + 1) \cdots (\alpha + \mu\delta + N)}$$

Here, by (4), every fraction has a limit as $N \rightarrow \infty$, moreover

$$(16) \quad Q = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha + h\delta + n)^r}{(\alpha + n)(\alpha + \delta + n) \cdots (\alpha + \nu - 1\delta + n)} = \Gamma(\alpha + h\delta)^{-r} \prod_{\mu=0}^{\nu-1} \Gamma(\alpha + \mu\delta).$$

2° Let $\delta = 1$. For $\nu = 2$ the last formula becomes at once (11) because of (5). — If $\nu > 2$, we use the relation

$$(17) \quad \Gamma(z) = (z-1)(z-2) \cdots (z-\lambda)\Gamma(z-\lambda) \quad (\lambda = 1, 2, \dots),$$

arising from (5) by repetition; considering that

$$\Gamma(\alpha + [h] + p) = (\alpha + [h] - 1) \cdots (\alpha + [h])\Gamma(\alpha + [h]) \quad (p = 1, 2, \dots, 2h - [h]),$$

$$\Gamma(\alpha + [h] - q) = \{(\alpha + [h] - q) \cdots (\alpha + [h] - 1)\}^{-1}\Gamma(\alpha + [h]) \quad (q = 1, 2, \dots, [h]),$$

(16) may be written in the form (12).

Concerning (13), (14), we have only to put $\delta = \frac{1}{\nu}$, $\delta = \frac{1-\alpha}{h}$ in (16) and then to apply the multiplication theorem of GAUSS (7), furthermore the functional equations (5); (6), respectively.

3. In some particular cases it is possible to find for Q a finite expression which is free from gamma-values. We have namely the following

Theorem II. Assume that neither of $\alpha + h\delta, \alpha + \mu\delta$ ($\mu = 0, 1, \dots, \nu - 1$) is 0 or a negative integer. — On the basis of (5)–(9) exclusively, Q can be transformed into a closed analytical expression built from $2, \pi, \nu$, factorial numbers, and from α, δ by means of rational operations, square roots and the sine-function, if and only if at least one of the following conditions is satisfied: 1) δ is an integer and $(\nu - 1)\delta$ is even, 2) $2\alpha + (\nu - 1)\delta = K$, where K means an integer different from zero and the negative even numbers.

Proof. Let $\alpha, \alpha + \delta, \dots, \alpha + (\nu - 1)\delta, \alpha + h\delta$ be complex numbers, different from $0, -1, -2, \dots$

1° Suppose that δ and $h\delta$ are integers (i. e. $(\nu - 1)\delta \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$). Then it follows by (17) ($\mu = 0, 1, \dots, \nu - 1$)

$$(18) \Gamma(\alpha + \mu\delta) = \begin{cases} (\alpha + \mu\delta - 1)(\alpha + \mu\delta - 2)\dots(\alpha + h\delta)\Gamma(\alpha + h\delta) & \text{if } (\mu - h)\delta > 0, \\ \{(\alpha + \mu\delta)(\alpha + \mu\delta + 1)\dots(\alpha + h\delta - 1)\}^{-1}\Gamma(\alpha + h\delta) & \text{if } (\mu - h)\delta < 0, \end{cases}$$

so that we obtain from (10) by substitution and simplification with $\Gamma(\alpha + h\delta)^\nu$ a finite and in α, δ rational expression for Q .

Let $2\alpha + (\nu - 1)\delta = K$ ($K = 1, 2, 3, \dots; -1, -3, -5, \dots$). This implies (cf. (5), (9))

$$(19) \Gamma(\alpha + h\delta) = \Gamma\left(\frac{K}{2}\right) = \begin{cases} (s-1)! & \text{for } K = 2s \quad (s = 1, 2, \dots), \\ 2^{-s}(2s+1)!!\sqrt{\pi} & \text{if } K = 2s+1 \quad (s = 0, 1, 2, \dots), \\ (-2)^{s+1}\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{(2s+1)!!} & \text{if } K = -(2s+1) \quad (s = 0, 1, 2, \dots). \end{cases}$$

Next consider the product

$$(20) \Gamma(\alpha + \mu\delta)\Gamma(\alpha + \overline{\nu - \mu - 1}\delta) = \Gamma(\alpha + \mu\delta)\Gamma(K - \alpha - \mu\delta)$$

with an integer $\mu, 0 \leq \mu \leq \nu - 1$; the second term contains two factorial numbers if $\alpha + \mu\delta$ is a positive integer (cf. (8)), otherwise it can be represented, on the basis of (17) and (6), as a closed expression of π, α, δ by means of rational operations and sine-values.

2° Now, we should like to know *all* the cases, in which the right-hand side of (10) can be written by using (5)–(9) in form required above. As it is at once to see, in any case in question $\Gamma(\alpha + h\delta)^\nu \prod_{\mu=0}^{\nu-1} \Gamma(\alpha + \mu\delta)$ must be reducible by (5), (6), (7) (in a definite number of steps) so that the closed expression obtained does not contain values of $\Gamma(z)$ except possibly those with $z = 1, 2, 3, \dots; \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{3}{2}, \pm \frac{5}{2}, \dots$

Concerning the factor $\Gamma(\alpha + h\delta)$, this implies plainly two possibilities: 1) it occurs only ‘apparently’, i. e. we can simplify with $\Gamma(\alpha + h\delta)^\nu$ (after transformations permitted) in the product mentioned; 2) $\alpha + h\delta$ is a positive integer or one of the fractions $\pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{3}{2}, \dots$, i. e.

$$\alpha + h\delta = \frac{K}{2} \quad (K = 1, 2, 3, \dots; -1, -3, -5, \dots).$$

The case 1) can be realised only if any of the values $\Gamma(\alpha + \mu\delta)$ ($\mu = 0, 1, \dots, \nu - 1$) can be written by (5) and (17), respectively, as a product of (18) type; but such a relation between $\Gamma(\alpha + \mu\delta)$ and $\Gamma(\alpha + h\delta)$ assumes that $(\mu - h)\delta$, and therefore, in particular, $(\mu + 1 - h)\delta - (\mu - h)\delta =$

$= \delta$ and $(\nu-1-h)\delta = \frac{1}{2}(\nu-1)\delta$ are integers ($u=0, 1, \dots, \nu-1$). Thus we have got the first condition of the theorem.

In the case 2) one has $2\alpha + (\nu-1)\delta = K$ ($K=1, 2, 3, \dots; -1, -3, -5, \dots$), i. e. the second condition must be fulfilled.

This completes the proof.

4. We give a few examples.

(11) becomes for $\nu=2$ and $\alpha=\delta=1$

$$(21) \quad \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2}{m(m+1)} = \frac{4}{\pi},$$

and with $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, $\delta = 1$ the formula (1) of WALLIS.

From (13) it results by putting $\alpha = \frac{1}{2\nu}$

$$(22) \quad \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[(2n+1)\nu]^{\nu}}{(2n\nu+1)(2n\nu+3)\cdots(2n\nu+2\nu-1)} = 2^{\frac{\nu-1}{2}} \quad (\nu=2, 3, \dots),$$

while for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\nu}$ we obtain

$$(23) \quad \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[2(n+1)\nu]^{\nu}}{[(2n+1)\nu+1][(2n+1)\nu+3]\cdots[(2n+1)\nu+2\nu-1]} = (2\pi)^h \nu^{-\frac{\nu}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right) =$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{(2\pi)^h h!}{\nu^{\frac{\nu}{2}}} & \text{for } \nu = 3, 5, 7, \dots; \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\pi}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{\nu}{2}} (\nu-1)!! & \text{for } \nu = 2, 4, 6, \dots \end{cases}$$

Since we have, by (6) and (7),

$$(24) \quad \prod_{l=1}^{\nu-1} \sin \frac{l\pi}{\nu} = \frac{\nu}{2^{\nu-1}} \quad (\nu=2, 3, \dots),$$

(14) transforms itself for $\nu=2\varrho$, $\alpha = \frac{1}{2\varrho}$, $\delta = \frac{1}{\varrho}$ ($\varrho=1, 2, \dots$) into

$$(25) \quad \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[(2n+1)\varrho]^{2\varrho}}{(2n\varrho+1)(2n\varrho+3)\cdots(2n\varrho+4\varrho-1)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{\varrho}\right)^{\varrho} (2\varrho-1)!!,$$

which is a remarkably simple generalization of (1) ($\varrho=1$).

It may be mentioned that (25) follows easily also from (22) and (23) if we take $\nu=2\varrho$ and multiply the corresponding terms.

(Received January 21, 1955.)