

On the ordering of quotient rings and quotient semigroups

By L. FUCHS in Budapest

Dedicated to L. Rédei on his 60th birthday

It is a rather familiar problem how to extend the ordering relation of a fully ordered ring R to a full order of a larger ring S , in particular, those cases are of great interest in which such an extension is uniquely possible. The most important special case of this problem is when R is a domain of integrity and S is its quotient field; this case is dealt with in most textbooks on algebra. Other important special cases have been considered by several authors. ALBERT [1] and NEUMANN [6] discussed the case in which S was the Ore quotient skewfield of R . Recently, Prof. RÉDEI has been interested in this problem [7] when R was supposed to be a ring without divisors of zero and S its ring extension with identity containing no divisors of zero. GRÄTZER and SCHMIDT [4] considered the more general problem when R was an ideal of S and S was supposed to be free of divisors of zero. Here we wish to give a common generalization of these results. Our starting point is a rather general definition of quotient ring, one which includes the relationship of R and S in all above-mentioned cases.

Our main result can be carried over to semigroups. Because of the failure of a positive cone in semigroups by means of which it is easy to handle the ordering relation in rings, a certain amount of complication arises, but this is merely of technical character. Its effect appears in that the proof is somewhat longer. As corollaries we obtain well-known results of TAMARI—ALIMOV—NAKADA and CONRAD, respectively.

By a *fully ordered ring* R we mean an associative (but not necessarily commutative) ring which is at the same time a fully ordered set satisfying: $a \leq b$ implies $a \pm c \leq b \pm c$, $ac \leq bc$ and $ca \leq cb$ for all $c > 0$ in the ring. By the *positive cone* P of R we mean the set of all $x \in R$ with $x \geq 0$. P has the characterizing properties: it is closed with respect to addition and multiplication, it contains 0 and for any $x \in R$, $x \neq 0$, exactly one of $x, -x$.

The positive cone P uniquely determines the ordering relation of R , since $a \leq b$ if and only if $b - a \in P$.

Let R be an arbitrary ring and S an overring of R . Assume that to each $a \in S \setminus R$ there exist elements $a, b \in R$ such that (i) a is not a left divisor of zero in S , (ii) b is not a right divisor of zero in S , (iii) $aa = c$ and $ab = d$ belong to R . In this case S will be called a *quotient ring of R* .

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *A full order of an (associative) ring R can be uniquely extended to a full order of an arbitrary quotient ring S of R .*

Let R be a fully ordered ring with the positive cone P and S a quotient ring of R . There is no loss of generality in assuming that in (i) and (ii) the elements a, b are > 0 . Then in (iii) the elements c and d have the same sign, for $cb = aab = ad$, and so the sign of aa and ab does not depend on the special choice of a or b . We define the positive cone Q of S to consist of P and of all $a \in S \setminus R$ such that aa (and so ab) lies in P . Then we see immediately that for any $a \neq 0$ in S , either a or $-a$ belongs to Q , but not both. If $\alpha, \beta \in Q$, then there exist elements $a, b \in P$ with (i) and (ii) or $= 1$ such that $a\alpha, \beta b \in P$. Hence $a(\alpha + \beta)b = (a\alpha)b + a(\beta b) \in P$, and so¹⁾ $\alpha + \beta \in Q$. Again, $a(\alpha\beta)b = (a\alpha)(\beta b) \in P$ whence $\alpha\beta \in Q$. Consequently, Q defines a full order in S . The uniqueness is evident. Q. e. d.

We mention the following consequences of our theorem.²⁾

1. If R is a fully ordered ring having an Ore left quotient skewfield S , then S can be fully ordered uniquely so as to continue the ordering of R . (ALBERT [1], NEUMANN [6].)

2. Any full order of a domain of integrity can be uniquely extended to a full order of its quotient field.

3. Let R be an ideal of a ring S containing at least one element which is not a divisor of zero. Then any full order of R can be extended in a unique way to a full order of S . (Cp. GRÄTZER—SCHMIDT [4].)

4. Let R be a ring containing a non-divisor of zero and S a minimal ring with identity³⁾ containing R . Every full order of R can be extended uniquely to a full order of S . (Cp. RÉDEI [7].)

Let us turn to semigroups. By a *fully ordered semigroup* S is meant a

¹⁾ Here we make use of the fact that if $a, b > 0$ and $c = aab \in R$, then a and c have the same sign. In fact, if $d > 0$ is chosen so that $d(aa) = f \in R$, then the elements $a, f, fb = dc, c$ are simultaneously positive or negative.

²⁾ The proofs are immediate and therefore may be left to the reader.

³⁾ If the ring contains elements which are not divisors of zero, then it has a ring extension with identity in which they remain non-divisors of zero. We understand this by "minimal".

semigroup which is at the same time a fully ordered set satisfying: $a \leq b$ implies $ac \leq bc$ and $ca \leq cb$ for all $c \in S$.

Let T be a semigroup containing the semigroup S . Assume that to any $\alpha \in T \setminus S$ there exist elements $a, b \in S$ such that (i) a is left-cancellable⁴⁾ in T , (ii) b is right-cancellable in T and (iii) $\alpha a, \alpha b$ belong to S . Then we shall say that T is a *quotient semigroup of S* .

We have the following analogue of Theorem 1:

Theorem 2. *A full order of a semigroup S can be extended, in one and only one way, to a full order of an arbitrary quotient semigroup T of S .*

If T properly contains S , then there exist surely elements $a, b \in S$ which are left- resp. right-cancellable in T , and therefore in the above definition the case $\alpha \in S$ need not be excluded. Now if $\alpha, \beta \in T$ ($\alpha \neq \beta$) and if a, b are left- resp. right-cancellable elements such that $\alpha a, \beta b \in S$, then $\alpha a b$ and $a \beta b$ are different elements of S , and we define $\alpha \geq \beta$ according as $\alpha a b \geq a \beta b$ in S . It is a trivial fact that for the elements of S this definition coincides with that originally given in S . The definition does not depend on the special choice of a, b . For, if a', b' are again left- resp. right-cancellable elements with $a' a, \beta b' \in S$, then — taking a left- and a right-cancellable element $a'', b'' \in S$ such that $a''(a' \beta), (\alpha b) b'' \in S$ — we obtain e.g. from $\alpha a b < a \beta b$ in turn $\alpha a b b'' < a \beta b b''$, $\alpha b b'' < \beta b b''$, $a' a' a b b'' < a' a' a \beta b b''$, $a' a' a < a' a' a \beta$, $a' a' a b' < a' a' a \beta b'$, $a' a b' < a' \beta b'$. A similar reasoning applies if a', b' are determined so as to have $a' \beta, \alpha b' \in S$. The transitivity of $<$ follows by a straightforward computation of similar kind. Finally, we show that $\alpha \leq \beta$ implies $\gamma \alpha \leq \gamma \beta$ for all $\gamma \in T$. If a, b, b'' are defined as before and $c \in S$ is left-cancellable such that $c \gamma \in S$, then we get successively $\alpha a b \leq a \beta b$, $\alpha a b b'' \leq a \beta b b''$, $\alpha b b'' \leq \beta b b''$, $c \gamma \alpha b b'' \leq c \gamma \beta b b''$. Hence, by multiplying by suitable elements we arrive at $\gamma \alpha \leq \gamma \beta$. The uniqueness of the extension is evident.

We obtain the following corollaries.

1. Let S be a cancellative fully ordered semigroup satisfying:⁵⁾ for each pair $a, b \in S$ there is a pair $x, y \in S$ such that $ax = by$. Then there exists a fully ordered group G containing S in such a way that every $g \in G$ has the form $g = ab^{-1}$ ($a, b \in S$) and $g > e$ if and only if $a > b$ in S . This G is unique within to order-isomorphism. (CONRAD [3].)

2. A cancellative commutative fully ordered semigroup S has a quotient group which can be fully ordered in a unique manner. (TAMARI [8], ALIMOV [2], NAKADA [5].)

⁴⁾ An element a is left-cancellable if $ax = ay$ implies $x = y$.

⁵⁾ This is just the Ore condition and ensures the existence of a quotient group of S .

References

- [1] A. A. ALBERT, A property of ordered rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **8** (1957), 128—129.
- [2] Н. Г. АЛИМОВ, Об упорядоченных полугруппах, *Изв. Акад. Наук СССР*, **14** (1950), 569—576.
- [3] P. F. CONRAD, Ordered semigroups, *Nagoya Math. Journ.*, **16** (1960), 51—64.
- [4] G. GRÄTZER—E. T. SCHMIDT, Über die Anordnung von Ringen, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.*, **8** (1957), 259—260.
- [5] O. NAKADA, Partially ordered abelian semigroups. I—II, *Journ. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ.*, **11** (1951), 181—189; **12** (1952), 73—86.
- [6] B. H. NEUMANN, On ordered groups, *Amer. Journ. Math.*, **71** (1949), 1—18.
- [7] L. RÉDEI, *Algebra*, vol. I (Budapest, 1954).
- [8] D. TAMARI, Groupoïdes reliés et demi-groupes ordonnés, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **228** (1949), 1184—1186.

(Received December 15, 1960.)