

## Remark on a set of integers\*

By ECKFORD COHEN in Knoxville (Tennessee, USA)

**1. Introduction.** Let  $k$  be a fixed integer  $> 1$  and let  $Q_k^*(x)$  denote the number of positive integers  $n \leq x$  with the property that the multiplicity of each prime divisor of  $n$  is not a multiple of  $k$ . In a previous paper [1] it was shown by purely elementary methods that

$$Q_k^*(x) = \alpha_k x + O(\sqrt[k]{x} \log x),$$

where

$$\alpha_k = \zeta(k) \prod_p \left( 1 - \frac{2}{p^k} + \frac{1}{p^{k+1}} \right),$$

the product ranging over the primes. In the same paper, making use of the properties of real Dirichlet series, the above formula was refined to

$$(1) \quad Q_k^*(x) = \alpha_k x + O(\sqrt[k]{x}).$$

It is the purpose of the present note to give a proof of (1) which eliminates the use of Dirichlet series. The proof is based on the almost trivial observation that

$$(2) \quad [x] = x + O(x^s), \quad 0 \leq s < 1,$$

where  $[x]$  is the number of  $n \leq x$ . This device has been of use in previous papers; in particular, we refer to [2].

**2. Proof of (1).** As in [1] we work with the function  $Q_{k,r}^*(x)$  which denotes the number of  $n \leq x$  none of whose prime factors is of multiplicity  $jk$  where  $j \leq r$ . We shall prove that

$$(3) \quad Q_{k,r}^*(x) = \alpha_{k,r} x + O(\sqrt[k]{x}),$$

uniformly in  $r$ , where

$$\alpha_{k,r} = \zeta(k) \prod_p \left( 1 - \frac{2}{p^k} + \frac{1}{p^{k+1}} + \frac{1}{p^{kr+k}} - \frac{1}{p^{kr+k+1}} \right).$$

It suffices to prove (3) since (1) results in the limiting case as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\varphi(x, n)$  denote the number of integers  $\leq x$  which are prime to  $n$ ,  $\varphi(n) = \varphi(n, n)$ , and let  $\sigma_t^*(n)$  denote the sum of the  $t$ -th powers of the square-free divisors of  $n$ . Let  $\mu(n)$  denote the Möbius function. We prove first the

---

\*) This research was partially supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

Lemma. For each  $s$ ,  $0 \leq s < 1$ ,

$$(4) \quad \varphi(x, n) = \left( \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} \right) x + O(x^s \sigma_{-s}^*(n)).$$

Proof. It is well known that

$$\varphi(x, n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \left\lfloor \frac{x}{d} \right\rfloor,$$

so that by (2)

$$\varphi(x, n) = x \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} + O\left(x^s \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{d^s}\right).$$

The lemma is proved.

Let  $\mu_r(n)$  denote the generalized Möbius function introduced in [1, § 3] and let  $\sigma_r(n)$  have its usual meaning as the sum of the  $r$ -th power divisors of  $n$ . We proceed on the basis of the relation

$$Q_{k,r}^*(x) = \sum_{\substack{n \\ n \leq \sqrt[k]{x}}} \mu_r(n) \varphi\left(\frac{x}{n^k}, n\right)$$

proved in [1, (3.14)]. By (4) we obtain then (cf. [1, Lemma 3.5])

$$Q_{k,r}^*(x) = \alpha_{k,r} x + O\left(x \sum_{\substack{n \\ n > \sqrt[k]{x}}} \frac{1}{n^k}\right) + O\left(x^s \sum_{\substack{n \\ n \leq \sqrt[k]{x}}} \frac{\sigma_{-s}(n)}{n^{sk}}\right),$$

$0 \leq s < 1$ , where the  $O$ -terms are uniform in  $r$ . Denote the sum in the second  $O$ -term by  $R_{k,s}(x)$ . Then

$$R_{k,s}(x) = \sum_{\substack{n \\ n \leq \sqrt[k]{x}}} n^{-ks} \sum_{d\delta=n} d^{-s} = \sum_{\substack{n \\ d \leq \sqrt[k]{x}}} d^{-s(k+1)} \sum_{\substack{n \\ \delta \leq \sqrt[k]{x}/d}} \delta^{-ks}.$$

Restricting  $s$  to the range  $0 \leq s < 1/k$ , it follows that

$$R_{k,s}(x) = O\left(\sum_{\substack{n \\ d \leq \sqrt[k]{x}}} d^{-s(k+1)} \cdot \left(\frac{x^{1/k}}{d}\right)^{1-ks}\right) = O(x^{1/k-s} \sum_{\substack{n \\ d \leq \sqrt[k]{x}}} d^{-s-1}).$$

With the further restriction,  $0 < s < 1/k$ , we have  $R_{k,s}(x) = O(x^{1/k-s})$ , from which (3) results.

### Bibliography

- [1] ECKFORD COHEN, Some sets of integers related to the  $k$ -free integers, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **22** (1961), 223–233.
- [2] ECKFORD COHEN, An elementary estimate for the  $k$ -free integers, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **69** (1963), 762–765.

THE UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE

(Received November 26, 1963)