



On the structure of set mappings and the existence of free sets

By G. FODOR and A. MÁTÉ in Szeged

To Professor László Kalmár on his 60th birthday

Let E be an infinite set of power m and suppose that to every element x of E there corresponds a subset $S(x)$ of E such that $x \notin S(x)$. Two distinct elements of E , x and y , are called *independent* if $x \notin S(y)$ and $y \notin S(x)$. A subset F of E is called *free* if any two distinct elements of F are independent. Throughout this paper we assume that m is regular and the power of the set $S(x)$ is $< m$ for every $x \in E$.

Let us denote by $\mathbf{Pt}(E)$ the set of all subsets of E . Let $\mathbf{S} \subseteq \mathbf{Pt}(E)$. We say that \mathbf{S} satisfies the ascending chain condition for the ordinal number τ , if there exists no sequence $\{X_\xi\}_{\xi < \tau}$ of type τ of elements of \mathbf{S} such that $X_\theta \subset X_\lambda$ holds for every $\theta < \lambda < \tau$. Let us denote by $\mathbf{Ac}(E, \tau)$ the set of all sets $\mathbf{S} \subseteq \mathbf{Pt}(E)$ which satisfy the ascending chain condition for τ . By $S|H$ we denote the set $\{S(x) \cap H : x \in E\}$, where $H \subseteq E$.

We consider the following two conditions for the set mapping $S(x)$:

(A) For every $X \in \mathfrak{S} = \{S(x)\}_{x \in E}$, the set $\{Y \in \mathfrak{S} : Y \subseteq X\}$ is well ordered by \subseteq and the ordinal type $\xi(X)$ of the set $\{Y \in \mathfrak{S} : Y \subset X\}$ is less than $\omega(m)$. The least upper bound of the ordinal numbers $\xi(X)$, where $X \in \mathfrak{S}$, is $\omega(m)$. The set of the elements $X \in \mathfrak{S}$ for which $\xi(X) = \xi$ has power less than m , and every subset of \mathfrak{S} which is well ordered by \subseteq has power $< m$.

(B) For every decomposition $E = \bigcup_{\eta < \tau} E_\eta$ of E , where $\tau < \omega(m)$, $\overline{E_\eta} = m$, and the sets E_η are mutually disjoint, there exists an ordinal number $\xi < \tau$ such that $S|E_\xi \in \mathbf{Ac}(E_\xi, \omega(m))$.

In this paper we deal with the following question:

Whether or not the condition (A) (or (B)) implies the existence of free subsets of certain cardinalities of E ?

In section I we shall prove that the condition (A) does not imply the existence of a free set of power m . In section II we shall prove that the condition (B) implies the existence of a free set of power \aleph_0 .

We shall use the following notations: For any set \mathbf{P} of sets let

$$\langle \mathbf{P} \rangle = \bigcup_{X \in \mathbf{P}} X.$$

For any cardinal number p we denote by $\omega(p)$ the initial number of p and by p^+ the cardinal number following p immediately. The symbols \overline{S} and \overline{y} denote the

cardinal numbers of the set S and of the ordinal number γ , respectively. For any element x of E let $S^{-1}(x) = \{y \in E: x \in S(y)\}$ and for any $X \subseteq E$ let $S(X) = \bigcup_{x \in X} S(x)$ and $S^{-1}(X) = \bigcup_{x \in X} S^{-1}(x)$.

I.

First we consider the following conditions:

- (a) *There is a cardinal number $n < m$ such that, for every $x \in E$, $\overline{S(x)} < n$.*
 (b) *For every $x \in E$, $\overline{S(x)} < m$.*

If the condition (a) is satisfied, then there exists a free subset of E with the power m . (See [1].)

On the other hand, it is easy to see that (b) does not imply the existence of an independent pair.

Example: $E = \{\xi: \xi < \omega(m)\}$ and $S(\xi) = \{\eta: \eta < \xi\}$ for every $\xi \in E$.

In this case the set \mathfrak{S} of all sets $S(x)$ forms a well ordered set of type $\omega(m)$ with respect to inclusion \subseteq .

In the following we assume that the condition (b) holds for the sets $S(x)$, where $x \in E$.

Now, we consider the following condition for the system $\mathfrak{S} = \{S(x)\}_{x \in E}$:

- (c) *For every $X \in \mathfrak{S}$, the set $\{Y \in \mathfrak{S}: Y \subseteq X\}$ is well ordered by \subseteq and the ordinal type $\xi(X)$ of the set $\{Y \in \mathfrak{S}: Y \subset X\}$ is less than $\omega(m)$.*

The ordinal number $\xi(X)$ is called the order of the element X . The order of the system \mathfrak{S} is the least upper bound of the orders of its elements.

It is easy to prove that there exists a system $\mathfrak{S} = \{S(x)\}_{x \in E}$ of order 1 such that there exists no free subset of power greater than 2 of E . Let E_1 and E_2 two disjoint subsets of power m of E such that $E = E_1 \cup E_2$. Let $\{x_\xi^1\}_{\xi < \omega(m)}$ and $\{x_\xi^2\}_{\xi < \omega(m)}$ be well orderings of E_1 and E_2 respectively. If $x = x_\gamma^1 \in E_1$, then let

$$S(x) = \{x_\gamma^2\} \cup \{x_\xi^1\}_{\xi < \gamma}$$

and if $x = x_\gamma^2 \in E_2$, then let similarly

$$S(x) = \{x_\gamma^1\} \cup \{x_\xi^2\}_{\xi < \gamma}$$

It is easy to see that the system \mathfrak{S} of the sets $S(x)$ has the order 1 and there exists no free subset of power greater than 2 of E .

Therefore in the sequel we assume the following condition:

- (A) *The system $\mathfrak{S} = \{S(x)\}_{x \in E}$ satisfies the conditions (b) and (c), the order of \mathfrak{S} is $\omega(m)$, the set of the elements of order ξ of \mathfrak{S} has power less than m , and every subset of \mathfrak{S} which is well ordered by \subseteq has power $< m$.*

In this section we deal with the following question:

Whether or not the condition (A) implies the existence of a free subset of power m of E ?

In discussing of this question we shall need another formulation for the properties of the system \mathfrak{S} .

Definition 1.1. The ordered pair (R, \cong) is said to be a ramification system if R is a set and \cong is a partial ordering relation defined on the set R satisfying the condition: for every $x \in R$ the set $\{y \in R: y \cong x\}$ is well ordered by \cong .

Definition 1.2. The order $\zeta(x)$ of an element x of a ramification system (R, \cong) is the ordinal type of the well ordered set $\{y \in R: y < x\}$.

Definition 1.3. The order of the system (R, \cong) is the least upper bound of the orders of its elements.

Definition 1.4. By a (p, β) ramification system we mean a system of order β , where for each $\zeta < \beta$ the set R_ζ of the elements of order ζ has power less than p .

Definition 1.5. We say that the property $Q(p, \beta)$ holds, if there is a (p, β) ramification system (R, \cong) of order β such that every subset of R which is well ordered by \cong has power $< \beta$.

It is known that the property $Q(p, \omega(p))$ holds (see [2]), if

- (i) $p = n^+$, where n is strongly inaccessible,
- (ii) $p = n^+$, where n is regular (assuming the generalized continuum hypothesis),
- (iii) p is singular.

We prove now the following

Theorem 1. *The condition (A) does not imply the existence of a free set of power m .*

Proof. We shall define a system $\mathfrak{S} = \{S(x)\}_{x \in E}$ which satisfies the condition (A) and for which Theorem 1 is valid, assuming that $Q(m, \omega(m))$ holds (otherwise (A) cannot be satisfied). In this case there exists a ramification system (R, \cong) satisfying the following conditions:

$$(1) \quad R = \bigcup_{\xi < \omega(m)} R_\xi, \quad R_{\xi_1} \cap R_{\xi_2} = 0 \quad \text{for every } \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \omega(m),$$

where R_ξ is the non-empty set of the elements of order ξ of R ,

$$(2) \quad 0 < \overline{R}_\xi < m$$

for every $\xi < \omega(m)$,

$$(3) \quad \overline{R} = m$$

(this follows from (1) and (2)),

$$(4) \quad \overline{R}' < m$$

for every subset R' of R which is well ordered by \cong .

Consider now an arbitrary element r of R . We define the set $S(r)$ as follows. There is an ordinal number $\xi < \omega(m)$ such that $r \in R_\xi$. Let

$$S(r) = \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \xi} R_\alpha - W(r)$$

where $W(r) = \{y \in R: y \cong r\}$. It is obvious that for every $r \in R$, the power of the set $S(r)$ is $< m$. We prove now

- (j) for the system $\mathfrak{S} = \{S(r)\}_{r \in R}$ the condition (A) holds,
- (jj) there exists no free set of power m .

Since for $r, s \in R$ the relation $r < s$ holds if and only if the relation $S(r) \subset S(s)$ holds, (j) follows from (1)–(4).

Since r and s are independent if and only if $r < s$ or $s < r$, any free set is ordered, consequently it is well ordered (see 1. 1) and so because of (4) it has power $< m$. Put $E = R$. The theorem is proved.

II.

We assume in the sequel that m is regular and the condition $\overline{S(x)} < m$ holds for $x \in E$. In this section we prove the following

Theorem 2. *The condition (B) implies the existence of a free set of power \aleph_0 .*

We need, for the proof of Theorem 2, some lemmas and definitions.

Lemma 2. 1. *Let F be a set of power m , where m is regular and $m \cong \aleph_0$. Further let $S \subseteq \text{Pt}(F)$ such that*

- (1) $S \in \text{Ac}(F, \omega(m))$,
- (2) $\overline{X} < m$ for every $X \in S$.

Then there exists a subset K of power $< m$ of F such that

- (3) $K \not\subseteq X$ for every $X \in S$.

Proof. Consider the partial ordering of S with respect to the relation of inclusion. By a theorem of HAUSDORFF [3] there is a maximal ordered subset P of S . By another theorem of HAUSDORFF [3] P has a well ordered subset Q which is confinal to P . It is obvious that $\langle P \rangle = \langle Q \rangle$. It follows from (1) that $\overline{Q} < m$. Since m is regular and $Q \subseteq S$, we obtain from (2) that $\langle \overline{Q} \rangle < m$. As $\langle P \rangle = \langle Q \rangle$, we have that $\langle \overline{P} \rangle < m$.

Since $\overline{F} = m$, $F - \langle P \rangle \neq \emptyset$. Let x be an arbitrary element of $F - \langle P \rangle$. Clearly the power of $\langle P \rangle \cup \{x\}$ is $< m$. By the maximality of P there exists no set X in S for which $\langle P \rangle \cup \{x\} \subseteq X$ holds. Put $K = \langle P \rangle \cup \{x\}$. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 2. 2. *The condition (B) with $\tau = 1$ implies the existence of a subset K of power $< m$ of E for which $\bigcap_{x \in K} S^{-1}(x) = \emptyset$ holds.*

Proof. It follows from (B) with $\tau = 1$ that $S|E$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2. 1 with $E = F$ and $S|E = S$. Consequently there is a subset K of power $< m$ of E such that $K \not\subseteq S(x)$ for every $x \in E$, i. e. $\bigcap_{x \in K} S^{-1}(x) = \emptyset$.

Definition 2. 3. Let $\mathcal{F} = (E, \subseteq)$ be an arbitrary partial ordering of the set E . If $x \in E$, then we denote by $\mathcal{F}(x)$ the set of the minimal elements of the set $\{y \in E: x < y\}$; moreover let $\mathcal{F}^{(0)}(x) = x$ and $\mathcal{F}^{(k)}(x) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}^{(k-1)}(x))$ for the natural number k . If $X \subseteq E$ then we put $\mathcal{F}(X) = \bigcup_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}(x)$, $\mathcal{F}^{(0)}(X) = X$, and $\mathcal{F}^{(k)}(X) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}^{(k-1)}(X))$.

Definition 2. 4. Let K be the set defined in Lemma 2. 2. The partial ordering $\mathcal{F} = (E, \subseteq)$ is said to be free if

- (1) $\mathcal{F} = (E, \subseteq)$ is a ramification system (defined in 1. 1),
- (2) each element of K is minimal in \mathcal{F} and if the element $x \in E - K$ is minimal in \mathcal{F} , then it is maximal too.

(3) if $x < y$ in \mathcal{F} , then x and y are independent.

Definition 2.5. The partial ordering $\mathcal{R} = (E, \cong)$ is said to be regular if

(1) \mathcal{R} is free,

(2) for each $x \in E$, $\overline{\mathcal{R}(x)} < m$ (see Definition 2.3),

(3) for each $x \in E$ for which $\mathcal{R}(x) \neq 0$, $\bigcap_{y \in \mathcal{R}(x)} S^{-1}(y) = 0$.

Definition 2.6. If the partial ordering $\mathcal{R} = (E, \cong)$ is regular, then the set $X \subseteq E$ is said to be a path if

(1) X is well ordered by \cong ,

(2) if $x \in X$ and $y < x$, then $y \in X$,

(3) $X \cap K \neq 0$ (for K , see Lemma 2.2 and Definition 2.4).

Let us denote by $\sigma(\mathcal{R})$ the set of the paths in \mathcal{R} , which are maximal with respect to the relation of inclusion \subseteq . Further, for every natural number k , let us denote by $\sigma(\mathcal{R}, k)$ the set of the paths of power k in \mathcal{R} .

Lemma 2.7. Let \mathcal{R} be a regular partial ordering having only paths of finite length. Then

$$(1) \overline{\sigma(\mathcal{R})} < m \quad \text{and} \quad (2) \bigcap_{X \in \sigma(\mathcal{R})} S^{-1}(X) = 0.$$

Proof. First we prove the statement (1). It is easy to see by 2.5/(2), that for every positive integer k

$$\overline{\sigma(\mathcal{R}, k)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}^{k-1}(K)} < m.$$

If $m > \aleph_0$, then by the regularity of m we obtain:

$$\overline{\sigma(\mathcal{R})} \subseteq \bigcup_{1 \leq k < \omega} \overline{\sigma(\mathcal{R}, k)} < m.$$

If $m = \aleph_0$, then a simple argument of D. KÖNIG [4] gives the existence of a positive integer t with $\mathcal{R}^t(K) = 0$, and so we have

$$\overline{\sigma(\mathcal{R})} = \bigcup_{1 \leq k < t} \overline{\sigma(\mathcal{R}, k)} < \aleph_0$$

which proves the statement (1).

To prove the statement (2) let y be an arbitrary element of E . It is enough to show that there exists a path $X \in \sigma(\mathcal{R})$ for which $y \notin S^{-1}(X)$. For this purpose let x_0 be an element of K with $y \notin S^{-1}(x_0)$ (such an x_0 clearly exists — see 2.2). Now suppose that for the positive integer k the path $X_k = \{x_i\}_{i < k}$ has been already defined such that $y \notin S^{-1}(X_k)$. If X_k is no maximal path, then let $x_k \in \mathcal{R}(x_{k-1})$ for which $y \notin S^{-1}(x_k)$ (such an x_k clearly exists — see 2.5/(3)). Since every path in \mathcal{R} is finite, in a finite number of steps we obtain a maximal path $X = X_t = \{x_i\}_{i < t}$ with the positive integer t , such that $y \notin S^{-1}(X)$. The lemma is proved.

Definition 2.8. Let \mathcal{X} denote the set of all regular partial orderings \mathcal{R} . We define a partial ordering $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{X}, \cong)$ as follows: Let \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 be two elements of \mathcal{X} , then we put $\mathcal{R}_1 \cong \mathcal{R}_2$ if

(1) the relation $x \cong y$ in \mathcal{R}_1 implies the relation $x \cong y$ in \mathcal{R}_2 and

(2) $\mathcal{R}_1(x) \neq 0$ implies $\mathcal{R}_1(x) = \mathcal{R}_2(x)$.

Lemma 2.9. Let \mathcal{X}' be an ordered subset of \mathcal{X} . Then

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{R}' = \bigcup_{\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{X}'} \mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{X} \quad \text{and} \quad (2) \quad \mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{X}' \text{ implies } \mathcal{R} \cong \mathcal{R}'.$$

We omit the proof.

It follows from 2.9 with the aid of the Kuratowski—Zorn lemma the following Lemma 2.10. The set \mathcal{X} has a maximal element in \mathfrak{M} .

Lemma 2.11. If \mathcal{R} is an element of \mathcal{X} having only paths of finite length, then \mathcal{R} is not maximal in \mathfrak{M} .

Proof. By 2.7(1) we have $\overline{\sigma(\mathcal{R})} < m$. Let

$$X_0, X_1, \dots, X_\xi, \dots \quad (\xi < \tau)$$

be a well ordering of $\overline{\sigma(\mathcal{R})}$ for some $\tau < \omega(m)$. As $\overline{\sigma(\mathcal{R})} < m$ and for every $\xi < \tau$ $\overline{X_\xi} < \aleph_0$, we have $\langle \overline{\sigma(\mathcal{R})} \rangle < m$. Thus $\overline{S(\langle \overline{\sigma(\mathcal{R})} \rangle)} < m$ because m is regular and for every $x \in E$, $\overline{S(x)} < m$. Put $G = E - \langle \overline{\sigma(\mathcal{R})} \rangle - S(\langle \overline{\sigma(\mathcal{R})} \rangle)$. It follows that

$$(i) \quad \overline{E - G} < m.$$

Let

$$G_\xi = \{x \in G : X_\xi \cup \{x\} \text{ is free}\}.$$

Obviously $G_\xi = G - S^{-1}(X_\xi)$. Thus

$$\bigcup_{\xi < \tau} G_\xi = \bigcup_{\xi < \tau} (G - S^{-1}(X_\xi)) = G - \bigcap_{\xi < \tau} S^{-1}(X_\xi) = G - \bigcap_{X \in \sigma(\mathcal{R})} S^{-1}(X).$$

By means of 2.7(2) we have:

$$\bigcup_{\xi < \tau} G_\xi = G - \bigcap_{X \in \sigma(\mathcal{R})} S^{-1}(X) = G.$$

For every $\xi < \tau$, let

$$H_\xi = G_\xi - \bigcup_{\alpha < \xi} G_\alpha.$$

It is obvious that

$$(ii) \quad \bigcup_{\xi < \tau} H_\xi = \bigcup_{\xi < \tau} G_\xi = G.$$

Let now $F_\xi = H_\xi$ if $\overline{H_\xi} = m$ and $F_\xi = 0$ if $\overline{H_\xi} < m$. In accordance with (i) and (ii) we obtain that

$$\overline{E - \bigcup_{\alpha < \tau} F_\alpha} < m.$$

It follows from the condition (B) that there is an $F_\xi \neq 0$ such that

$$S \upharpoonright F_\xi \in \mathbf{Ac}(F_\xi, \omega(m)).$$

Therefore we can easily conclude by Lemma 2.1 that there is a set $L \subseteq F_\xi$ with $\overline{L} < m$ such that $L \subseteq S(x)$ for every $x \in E$, i. e. $\bigcap_{y \in L} S^{-1}(y) = 0$. Now we define the partial ordering \mathcal{R}' as follows. Let $x \cong y$ in \mathcal{R}' if $x \in X_\xi$ and $y \in L$, in the other cases

let $u \cong v$ in \mathcal{R}' if and only if $u \cong v$ in \mathcal{R} . It is easy to see that \mathcal{R}' is regular and $\mathcal{R} < \mathcal{R}'$ in \mathfrak{N} . Consequently \mathcal{R} is not maximal. Thus Lemma 2.11 is proved.

Lemma 2.12. *There exists a regular partial ordering which has an infinite path X .*

Proof. This follows trivially from 2.10 and 2.11.

It follows from the definition of the regular partial ordering (2.5) that the path X defined in 2.12 is an infinite free set. Thus Theorem 2 is proved.

References

- [1] A. HAJNAL, Proof of a conjecture of S. Ruziewicz, *Fundamenta Math.*, **50** (1961–1962), 123–128.
- [2] P. ERDŐS and A. TARSKI, On some problems involving inaccessible cardinals, *Essays on the Foundations of Mathematics* (Jerusalem, 1961), 50–82.
- [3] F. HAUSDORFF, Grundzüge einer Theorie der geordneten Mengen, *Math. Annalen*, **65** (1908), 435–505.
- [4] D. KÖNIG, Über eine Schlußweise aus dem Endlichen ins Unendliche, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **3** (1927), 121–130.

(Received December 18, 1964)