

On a process concerning inaccessible cardinals. II

By G. FODOR in Szeged

This paper is a continuation of reference I (see [1]), in which a process concerning inaccessible cardinals has been defined. In this paper we freely make use of the notations, definitions, and theorems of [1].

From now on, in the definition of the process, we start with strongly inaccessible initial numbers. This means that the values of the function $f_\eta(\alpha^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})$ are strongly inaccessible numbers.

First we prove the following

Theorem 2. *If $\alpha = n_{\eta, \eta}(0)$ and $\eta < \alpha$ then the set of the ordinal numbers of the form $f_\eta(\alpha^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)}) < \alpha$ is non-stationary in α .*

Proof. We may assume by Theorem 1 of [1] that $\eta \cong \omega$. Denote by $\gamma(\beta)$ the value $f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \beta)$. As the first step we prove the following statement.

(j₁) *Suppose that $\beta \neq 0$. Then $\gamma(\beta)$ satisfies the equality*

$$\gamma(\beta) = f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \gamma(\beta), \psi^{(\mu+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\xi)}, \dots, \psi^{(n)})$$

for every $\mu < \eta$, provided that $\psi^{(n)} < \beta$ and $\psi^{(\xi)} < \gamma(\beta)$ for each ξ ($\mu + 1 \cong \xi < \eta$).

To prove this statement, we write η in the form $\eta = \omega\xi + n$, where $\xi \cong \eta$ and $0 \cong n < \omega$.

We distinguish the cases $n = 0$ and $n > 0$.

Case $n = 0$. We prove the following three statements, the third of which immediately implies (j₁):

(a) *If $\nu < \beta$ and $\tau < \eta$ then $\gamma(\beta)$ satisfies the equality*

$$\gamma(\beta) = f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \overset{(\tau)}{\gamma(\beta)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \nu).$$

(b) *If $\nu < \beta$, $\sigma < \xi$ and $0 < m < \omega$ then $\gamma(\beta)$ satisfies the equality*

$$\gamma(\beta) = f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \gamma(\beta), \psi^{(\omega\sigma+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+m)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \nu),$$

provided that $\psi^{(\omega\sigma+1)} < \gamma(\beta)$ for each l ($1 \cong l \cong m$).

(c) *If $\nu < \beta$, $0 < \sigma < \xi$, $\kappa < \omega\sigma$ and $0 \cong m < \omega$ then $\gamma(\beta)$ satisfies the equality*

$$\gamma(\beta) = f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \overset{(\kappa)}{\gamma(\beta)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+m)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \nu),$$

provided that $\psi^{(\omega\sigma+1)} < \gamma(\beta)$ for each l ($0 \cong l \cong m$).

Ad (a): Since $\gamma(\beta) = f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \beta)$, we have

$$(19) \quad \gamma(\beta) \in Rf_\eta(\alpha^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \beta).$$

It follows from the definition of $f_\eta(\alpha^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})$ that

$$(20) \quad Rf_\eta(\alpha^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \varkappa) = \bigcap_{\nu < \varkappa} Rf_\eta(\alpha^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \nu),$$

where \varkappa is a limit number,

$$(21) \quad Rf_\eta(\alpha^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \varrho + 1) = \bigcap_{\tau < \eta} Rf_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha^{(\tau)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \varrho),$$

and

$$(22) \quad f_\eta(\alpha^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \nu) = (f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha^{(\tau)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \nu))^\tau.$$

With the help of (19), (20) and (21) we obtain

$$(23) \quad \gamma(\beta) \in Rf_\eta(\alpha^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0, \nu)$$

for every $\nu \leq \beta$; moreover, (23) and (21) imply

$$(24) \quad \gamma(\beta) \in Rf_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha^{(\tau)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \nu)$$

for every $\nu < \beta$ and for every $\tau < \eta$. From this we conclude that (a) is valid. For if not, then there are three ordinal numbers $\nu_0 < \beta$, $\tau_0 < \eta$ and $\varrho_0 < \gamma(\beta)$ such that

$$\gamma(\beta) = f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \varrho_0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \nu_0)^{(\tau_0)}.$$

Hence, by (22), we have

$$\gamma(\beta) \notin Rf_\eta(\alpha^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \nu_0)^{(\tau_0+1)}.$$

Thus, by the definition of $f_\eta(\alpha^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})$, we obtain

$$\gamma(\beta) \notin Rf_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, 0, \alpha^{(\tau_0+1)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \nu_0),$$

which contradicts the fact that (24) is valid for every $\nu < \beta$ and $\tau < \eta$.

Ad (b): From (a) we get

$$\gamma(\beta) = f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \gamma(\beta), 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \nu)^{(\omega\sigma+m)}$$

for every $\nu < \beta$, $\sigma < \eta$ and for every m ($0 < m < \omega$). Hence

$$(25) \quad \gamma(\beta) \in Rf_\eta(\alpha^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \gamma(\beta), 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \nu)^{(\omega\sigma+m)}$$

for every $\nu < \beta$, $\sigma < \eta$ and for every m ($0 < m < \omega$).

It follows from the definition of $f_\eta(\alpha^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})$ that

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} & Rf_\eta(\alpha^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \gamma(\beta), 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \nu)^{(\omega\sigma+m)} \\ &= \bigcap_{\mu < \gamma(\beta)} Rf_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha^{(\omega\sigma+m-1)}, \mu, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \nu) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} & f_{\eta}(\alpha^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \overset{(\omega\sigma+m)}{\mu+1}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, v) = \\ & = (f_{\eta}(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha^{(\omega\sigma+m-1)}, \mu, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, v))'. \end{aligned}$$

By (25) and (26) we have

$$(28) \quad \gamma(\beta) \in Rf_{\eta}(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha^{(\omega\sigma+m-1)}, \mu, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, v)$$

for every $\mu < \gamma(\beta)$ and for every fixed $v < \beta$, $\sigma < \eta$ and m ($0 < m < \omega$). First we show that $\gamma(\beta)$ satisfies the equality

$$(29) \quad \gamma(\beta) = f_{\eta}(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \overset{(\omega\sigma+m-1)}{\gamma(\beta)}, \mu, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, v)$$

for every $\mu < \gamma(\beta)$ and for every fixed $v < \beta$, $\sigma < \eta$ and m ($0 < m < \omega$). If not, then there are two ordinal numbers $\mu_0 < \gamma(\beta)$ and $\varrho_0 < \gamma(\beta)$ such that

$$\gamma(\beta) = f_{\eta}(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \overset{(\omega\sigma+m)}{\varrho_0}, \mu_0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, v).$$

Hence, by (27)

$$\gamma(\beta) \notin Rf_{\eta}(\alpha^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \overset{(\omega\sigma+m)}{\mu_0+1}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, v).$$

On the other hand it follows from this and the construction of $f_{\eta}(\alpha^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})$

that
$$\gamma(\beta) \notin Rf_{\eta}(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha^{(\omega\sigma+m-1)}, \mu_0+1, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, v),$$

which contradicts the fact that (28) holds for every $\mu < \gamma(\beta)$ and for every fixed $v < \beta$, $\sigma < \eta$ and m ($0 < m < \omega$). Thus we conclude that $\gamma(\beta)$ satisfies (29) for every $v < \beta$, $\sigma < \eta$ and for every m ($0 < m < \omega$).

Let now l be a natural number for which $0 < l < m$. Assume that whenever $v < \beta$, $\sigma < \eta$, $0 < m < \omega$ and $\psi^{(\omega\sigma+i)} < \gamma(\beta)$ ($i = l+1, \dots, m$) then

$$\gamma(\beta) = f_{\eta}(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \gamma(\beta), \psi^{(\omega\sigma+l+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+i)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+m)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, v).$$

Since $\gamma(\beta) = f_{\eta}(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \overset{(m\sigma+m-1)}{\gamma(\beta)}, \mu, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, v)$ for every $v < \beta$, $\sigma < \eta$, $0 < m < \omega$ and for every $\mu < \gamma(\beta)$ it remains to prove that this assumption implies that whenever $v < \beta$, $\sigma < \eta$, $0 < m < \omega$ and $\psi^{(\omega\sigma+i)} < \gamma(\beta)$ ($l \leq i \leq m$) then

$$\gamma(\beta) = f_{\eta}(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \gamma(\beta), \psi^{(\omega\sigma+l)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+i)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+m)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, v).$$

It follows from the definition of $f_{\eta}(\alpha^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})$ that, for given σ, v , $0 < m < \omega$, $\psi^{(\omega\sigma+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+m)}$ the equalities

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} & Rf_{\eta}(\alpha^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \gamma(\beta), \psi^{(\omega\sigma+l+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+m)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, v) = \\ & = \bigcap_{\mu < \gamma(\beta)} Rf_{\eta}(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha^{(\omega\sigma+l-1)}, \mu, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+l+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+m)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, v) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(31) \quad f_{\eta}(\alpha^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \mu + 1, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+l+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+m)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, v) =$$

$$= (f_{\eta}(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha^{(\omega\sigma+l-1)}, \mu, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+l+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+m)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, v)$$

hold.

By (30) and (31) we obtain for every $\mu < \gamma(\beta)$ and for any fixed $v < \beta$, $\sigma < \eta$, $0 < m < \omega$ and $\psi^{(\omega\sigma+i)} < \gamma(\beta)$ ($l+1 \leq i \leq m$) that

(32)

$$\gamma(\beta) \in Rf_{\eta}(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha^{(\omega\sigma+l-1)}, \mu, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+l+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+i)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+m)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, v).$$

Now we show for every $\mu < \gamma(\beta)$ and for any fixed $v < \beta$, $0 < \eta$, $0 < m < \omega$, $\psi^{(\omega\sigma+i)} < \gamma(\beta)$ ($l+1 \leq i \leq m$) that the ordinal number $\gamma(\beta)$ satisfies the equality

$$\gamma(\beta) = f_{\eta}(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \gamma(\beta), \mu, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+l+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+i)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+m)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, v).$$

In the contrary case there are two ordinal numbers $\mu_0 < \gamma(\beta)$ and $\tau_0 < \gamma(\beta)$ such that

$$\gamma(\beta) = f_{\eta}(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \tau_0, \mu_0, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+l+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+m)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, v).$$

Hence, by (30), we have

$$\gamma(\beta) \notin Rf_{\eta}(\alpha^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \mu_0 + 1, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+l+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+m)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, v).$$

Consequently, by the definition of $f_{\eta}(\alpha^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})$

$$\gamma(\beta) \notin Rf_{\eta}(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha^{(\omega\sigma+l-1)}, \mu_0 + 1, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+l+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+m)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, v).$$

Since $\gamma(\beta)$ is a limit number, we have $\mu_0 + 1 < \gamma(\beta)$, which contradicts the fact that (32) holds for every $\mu < \gamma(\beta)$ and for any fixed $v < \beta$, $\sigma < \eta$, $0 < m < \omega$ and $\psi^{(\omega\sigma+i)} < \gamma(\beta)$ ($l+1 \leq i \leq m$). Thus we may conclude that the statement (b) is true.

Ad (c): If $v < \beta$, $\sigma < \xi$ and $0 < m < \omega$ then, by (b), $\gamma(\beta)$ satisfies the equality

$$\gamma(\beta) = f_{\eta}(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \gamma(\beta), \psi^{(\omega\sigma+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+l)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+m)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, v),$$

provided that $\psi^{(\omega\sigma+l)} < \gamma(\beta)$ for each l ($1 \leq l \leq m$). It follows from this, under the same conditions, that

$$\gamma(\beta) \in Rf_{\eta}(\alpha^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \gamma(\beta), \psi^{(\omega\sigma+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+l)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+m)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, v).$$

Since, by the construction of $f_{\eta}(\alpha^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})$

$$Rf_{\eta}(\alpha^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \gamma(\beta), \psi^{(\omega\sigma+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+m)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, v) =$$

$$= \bigcap_{\mu < \gamma(\beta)} Rf_{\eta}(\alpha^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \mu, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+m)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, v),$$

$$Rf_{\eta}(\alpha^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \mu + 1, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+m)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, v) =$$

$$= \bigcap_{\tau < \omega\sigma} Rf_{\eta}(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha^{(\tau)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \mu, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+m)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, v),$$

and

$$f_{\eta}(\alpha^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, 0, \overset{(\tau+1)}{1}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, v) = (f_{\eta}(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha^{(\tau)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, v))^{\tau},$$

we can apply the method used in the proof of (a). Thus we obtain the proof of (c).

Case $n > 0$. By the same argument as in the proof of (a) and (b) we obtain that $\gamma(\beta)$ satisfies the equality

$$\gamma(\beta) = f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \gamma(\beta), \psi^{(\omega\xi+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\xi+l)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\xi+n)})$$

for any $\psi^{(\omega\sigma+l)} < \gamma(\beta)$ ($1 \leq l \leq n-1$) and $\psi^{(n)} < \beta$.

Hence, by the argument used in the proof of (c), we obtain that $\gamma(\beta)$ satisfies the equality

$$\gamma(\beta) = f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \overset{(\omega)}{\gamma(\beta)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\xi)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\xi+l)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\xi+n)})$$

for any $\kappa < \omega\xi$, $\psi^{(\omega\xi+l)} < \gamma(\beta)$ ($0 \leq l \leq n-1$) and $\psi^{(n)} < \beta$.

From this, by the argument applied in the proof of (b), we conclude that $\gamma(\beta)$ satisfies the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(\beta) = f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \gamma(\beta), \psi^{(\omega\sigma+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+k)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+m)}, 0, \dots \\ \dots, 0, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\xi)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\xi+l)}, \dots, \psi^{(n)}) \end{aligned}$$

whenever $0 < m < \omega$, $\sigma < \xi$, $\psi^{(\omega\sigma+k)} < \gamma(\beta)$ ($0 < k \leq m$), $\psi^{(\omega\xi+l)} < \gamma(\beta)$ ($0 \leq l \leq n-1$), and $\psi^{(n)} < \beta$.

Finally, by the argument of the proof of (c), we obtain that $\gamma(\beta)$ satisfies the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(\beta) = f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \overset{(\omega)}{\gamma(\beta)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+k)}, \dots \\ \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+m)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\xi)}, \dots, \psi^{(\omega\sigma+l)}, \dots, \psi^{(n)}) \end{aligned}$$

whenever $m < \omega$, $\kappa < \omega\sigma$, $\psi^{(\omega\sigma+k)} < \gamma(\beta)$ ($0 \leq k \leq m$), $\psi^{(\omega\xi+l)} < \gamma(\beta)$ ($0 \leq l \leq n-1$), and $\psi^{(n)} < \beta$. This immediately implies the statement (j_1) in the case $n > 0$ too.

The same method can be used to prove the following statement:

(j_2) Assume that $\underline{\alpha}^{(\mu)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(n)}$ ($0 < \mu \leq \eta$) are given ordinal numbers and $\underline{\alpha}^{(n)} \neq 0$. Then $\gamma = f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(\mu)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(n)})$ satisfies the equality

$$\gamma = f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \gamma, \psi^{(\tau+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\xi)}, \dots, \psi^{(\mu)}, \underline{\alpha}^{(\mu+1)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(n)})$$

or every τ ($0 \leq \tau \leq \mu$) provided that $\psi^{(\mu)} < \underline{\alpha}^{(\mu)}$ and $\psi^{(\xi)} < \gamma$ for each ξ ($\tau + 1 \leq \xi < \mu$).

Now we proceed to prove the following statement:

(j_3) Assume that $\underline{\alpha}^{(0)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(\mu)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(n)}$ ($0 \leq \mu \leq \eta$) are given ordinal numbers, $\underline{\alpha}^{(0)} \neq 0$ and $\underline{\alpha}^{(\mu)} \neq 0$. Then $\gamma = f_\eta(\underline{\alpha}^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(\mu)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(n)})$ satisfies the equality

$$\gamma = f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \gamma, \psi^{(\tau+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\xi)}, \dots, \psi^{(\mu)}, \underline{\alpha}^{(\mu+1)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(n)})$$

for every τ ($0 \leq \tau < \mu$), provided that $\psi^{(\mu)} < \underline{\alpha}^{(\mu)}$ and $\psi^{(\xi)} < \gamma$ for each ξ ($\tau + 1 \leq \xi < \mu$).

Let us denote λ the ordinal number $\underline{\alpha}^{(\mu)}$. Consider first the case when μ is an ordinal number of the first kind. It follows from the definition of $f_\eta(\alpha^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})$ that

$$f(\alpha^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \varrho + 1, \underline{\alpha}^{(\mu+1)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(n)}) = (f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha^{(\mu-1)}, \varrho, \underline{\alpha}^{(\mu+1)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(n)})$$

for $\lambda = \varrho + 1$ and

$$Rf_\eta(\alpha^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \lambda, \underline{\alpha}^{(\mu+1)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(n)}) = \bigcap_{\nu < \lambda} Rf_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha^{(\mu-1)}, \nu, \underline{\alpha}^{(\mu+1)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(n)})$$

for a limit number λ . These imply that for every $\nu < \lambda$

$$\gamma \in Rf_{\eta}(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha^{(\mu-1)}, \nu, \alpha^{(\mu+1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)}).$$

Hence we easily conclude that

$$\gamma = f_{\eta}(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \gamma, \nu, \alpha^{(\mu+1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)}).$$

Thus, by (j₂), we get (j₃) in the case where μ is an ordinal number of the first kind.

Suppose now that μ is a limit number. Then from the definition of $f_{\eta}(\alpha^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned} Rf_{\eta}(\alpha^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \varrho+1, \alpha^{(\mu+1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)}) &= \\ &= \bigcap_{\xi < \mu} Rf_{\eta}(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha^{(\xi)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \varrho, \alpha^{(\mu+1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)}) \end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda = \varrho + 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} Rf_{\eta}(\alpha^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \lambda, \alpha^{(\mu+1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)}) &= \\ &= \bigcap_{\nu < \lambda} Rf_{\eta}(\alpha^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \nu, \alpha^{(\mu+1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)}) \end{aligned}$$

for a limit number λ . By a proof analogous to that of (b) and (c), we obtain (j₃) in the case where μ is a limit number.

Now we can prove the following statement:

(j₄) Let $\{\kappa_{\zeta}\}_{\zeta \leq \sigma}$ ($\sigma \leq \eta$) be the strictly increasing sequence of the ordinal numbers $\kappa \leq \eta$ for which $\alpha^{(\kappa)} \neq 0$. Assume that $\kappa_0 = 0$. Then $\gamma = f_{\eta}(\alpha^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})$ satisfies the equality

$$\gamma = f_{\eta}(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \gamma, \psi^{(\tau+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\xi)}, \dots, \psi^{(\kappa_{\tau})}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha^{(\kappa_{\tau+1})}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})$$

for every ζ ($1 \leq \zeta \leq \sigma$) and for every τ ($0 \leq \tau \leq \kappa_{\zeta}$), provided that $\psi^{(\kappa_{\tau})} < \alpha^{(\kappa_{\tau})}$ and $\psi^{(\xi)} < \gamma$ for each ξ ($\tau + 1 \leq \xi < \kappa_{\zeta}$).

Indeed, if (j₄) is true for a fixed ζ ($0 < \zeta \leq \sigma$), then

$$\gamma = f_{\eta}(\gamma, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha^{(\kappa_{\zeta})}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha^{(\kappa_{\zeta+1})}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)}).$$

If we apply (j₃) to $\alpha^{(0)} = \gamma$, we obtain that

$$\gamma = f_{\eta}(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \gamma, \psi^{(\tau+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\xi)}, \dots, \psi^{(\kappa_{\tau})}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha^{(\kappa_{\tau+1})}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})$$

for every τ ($0 \leq \tau \leq \kappa_{\zeta}$), provided that $\psi^{(\kappa_{\tau})} < \alpha^{(\kappa_{\tau})}$ and $\psi^{(\xi)} < \gamma$ for each ξ ($\tau + 1 \leq \xi < \kappa_{\zeta}$). This proves the statement (j₄).

Now we proceed to the proof of Theorem 2 by showing that the set

$$(3) \quad Rf_{\eta}(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \beta)/\alpha$$

is non-stationary in α . We define a function g on $M = Rf_{\eta}(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \beta)/\alpha$ by writing

$$g(f_{\eta}(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \beta)) = \beta.$$

Since $f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \tau)$ is a strictly increasing function of the variable τ and for every $\beta < \alpha$ the inequality

$$\beta < f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \beta)$$

holds, we obtain that the function g is strictly divergent and regressive on M . Therefore Theorem I (see [1]) implies that the set (33) is non-stationary in α .

Next we prove, by transfinite induction, the following statement.

(j₅) For every $\mu, 0 < \mu \leq \eta$ the set

$$Rf_\eta(\alpha_\xi^{(0)}, \alpha_\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_\psi^{(0)}, \dots, \alpha^{(\mu)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})/\alpha$$

is non-stationary in α , where $\alpha^{(\mu)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)}$ are given ordinal numbers $< \alpha$.

First we show that the set

$$N = Rf_\eta(\alpha_\xi^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})/\alpha$$

is non-stationary in α . We define a function g on N by writing

$$g(f_\eta(\alpha_\xi^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})) = \alpha_\xi^{(0)}.$$

From the definition of $\alpha_\xi^{(0)}(\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})$ and $f_\eta(\alpha^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})$, we obtain

$$\alpha_\xi^{(0)} < f_\eta(\alpha_\xi^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})$$

and

$$f_\eta(\alpha_\xi^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)}) < f_\eta(\alpha_{\xi+1}^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)}).$$

From these we infer that the function g is strictly divergent and regressive on N and, therefore, by Theorem I ([1]), we obtain that the set N is non-stationary in α .

Let ν be a given ordinal number and suppose that for every $\mu (1 \leq \mu < \nu)$ the set

$$Rf_\eta(\alpha_\xi^{(0)}, \alpha_\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_\psi^{(0)}, \dots, \alpha^{(\mu)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})/\alpha$$

is non-stationary in α .

There are two cases:

- a) ν is an ordinal number of the first kind, i.e. $\nu = \tau + 1$,
- b) ν is an ordinal number of the second kind.

Case a): We show that the set

$$L = Rf_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha_\phi^{(\tau)}, \alpha^{(\tau+1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})/\alpha$$

is non-stationary in α . We define a function g on L by writing

$$g(f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha_\phi^{(\tau)}, \alpha^{(\tau+1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})) = \alpha_\phi^{(\tau)}.$$

From the definition of $\alpha_\phi^{(\tau)}(\alpha^{(\tau+1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})$ and $f_\eta(\alpha^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})$ we obtain

$$\alpha_\phi^{(\tau)} < f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha_\phi^{(\tau)}, \alpha^{(\tau+1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})$$

and

$$f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha_\phi^{(\tau)}, \alpha^{(\tau+1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)}) < f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha_{\phi+1}^{(\tau)}, \alpha^{(\tau+1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)}).$$

From these we conclude that the function g is strictly divergent and regressive on

L , and, therefore, by Theorem I ([1]), we obtain that the set L is non-stationary in α . It follows from the construction of $f_\eta(\alpha^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})$ that

$$f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \underline{\alpha}_\varphi^{(\tau)}, \underline{\alpha}^{(\tau+1)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(n)}) \subseteq f_\eta(\alpha_\xi^{(0)}, \dots, \alpha_\psi^{(\varrho)}, \dots, \alpha_\varphi^{(\tau)}, \underline{\alpha}^{(\tau+1)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(n)}).$$

By our assumption, for given $\underline{\alpha}_\varphi^{(\tau)}$ the set

$$Rf_\eta(\alpha_\xi^{(0)}, \alpha_\zeta^{(1)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}_\varphi^{(\tau)}, \underline{\alpha}^{(\tau+1)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(n)})/\alpha$$

is non-stationary in α . On the other hand it is easy to verify that for any two different elements $\underline{\alpha}_\varphi^{(\tau)}$ and $\underline{\alpha}_\sigma^{(\tau)}$ the sets

$$Rf_\eta(\alpha_\xi^{(0)}, \alpha_\zeta^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_\psi^{(\varrho)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}_\varphi^{(\tau)}, \underline{\alpha}^{(\tau+1)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(n)})/\alpha$$

and

$$Rf_\eta(\alpha_\xi^{(0)}, \alpha_\zeta^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_\psi^{(\varrho)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}_\sigma^{(\tau)}, \underline{\alpha}^{(\tau+1)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(n)})/\alpha$$

have no common elements. Since the set of the first elements of the sets

$$Rf_\eta(\alpha_\xi^{(0)}, \alpha_\zeta^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_\psi^{(\varrho)}, \dots, \alpha_\varphi^{(\tau)}, \underline{\alpha}^{(\tau+1)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(n)})/\alpha$$

with $\alpha_\varphi^{(\tau)} \in A_{\tau, \eta}(\underline{\alpha}^{(\tau+1)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(n)})$ is equal to L we obtain from Theorem II ([1]) that the union of these sets is non-stationary in α .

Case b): Put

$$Q_{\mu, \nu, \eta} = Rf_\eta(\alpha_\xi^{(0)}, \alpha_\zeta^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_\mu^{(\delta)}, \dots, \alpha_\nu^{(\delta)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(\nu)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(n)})/\alpha,$$

where $\underline{\alpha}_\nu^{(\delta)}$ is fixed for each δ ($\mu \leq \delta < \nu$). It is easy to see that

$$Q_{1, \nu, \eta} \subset Q_{2, \nu, \eta} \subset \dots \subset Q_{\mu, \nu, \eta} \subset \dots \quad (\mu < \nu).$$

By the hypothesis the set $Q_{\mu, \nu, \eta}$ ($\mu < \nu$) is non-stationary in α . Since $\mu < \nu \leq \eta < \alpha$ by Theorem III ([1]), we obtain that the set

$$\bigcup_{\mu < \nu} Q_{\mu, \nu, \eta} = Rf_\eta(\alpha_\xi^{(0)}, \alpha_\zeta^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_\varphi^{(\mu)}, \dots, \alpha_\nu^{(\nu)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(n)})/\alpha$$

is non-stationary in α . Thus the statement (j₅) is proved.

Since the set $Rf_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \beta)/\alpha$ is non-stationary in α , we obtain from (j₅) that the set

$$K = Rf_\eta(\alpha_\xi^{(0)}, \alpha_\zeta^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_\varrho^{(n)})/\alpha$$

is non-stationary in α .

Consider now an arbitrary element $\gamma = f_\eta(\underline{\alpha}^{(0)}, \underline{\alpha}^{(1)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(n)})$ of K . Let $\{\kappa_\zeta\}_{\zeta \leq \sigma}$ ($\sigma \leq \eta$) be the strictly increasing sequence of the ordinal numbers κ , $0 \leq \kappa \leq \eta$, for which $\alpha^{(\kappa)} \neq 0$. Let us denote by ζ_0 the smallest ordinal number $\zeta \leq \sigma$ for which $\kappa_\zeta \geq 2$. Then the statements (j₁)—(j₅) imply that

$$(34) \quad \gamma = f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \gamma, \psi^{(\tau+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\xi)}, \dots, \psi^{(\kappa_\zeta)}, \underline{\alpha}^{(\kappa_\zeta+1)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(n)})$$

for every ζ ($\zeta_0 \leq \zeta \leq \sigma$) and τ ($0 \leq \tau \leq \kappa_\zeta$), provided that $\psi^{(\kappa_\zeta)} < \underline{\alpha}^{(\kappa_\zeta)}$ and $\psi^{(\xi)} < \gamma$ for each ξ ($\tau + 1 \leq \xi < \kappa_\zeta$).

Let us denote by $S_{\zeta, \tau}$, where $\zeta_0 \leq \zeta \leq \sigma$ and $0 \leq \tau \leq \kappa_\zeta$, the set of the sequences

$$(\psi^{(\tau+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\xi)}, \dots, \psi^{(\kappa_\zeta)})$$

such that $\psi^{(\kappa_\zeta)} < \underline{\alpha}^{(\kappa_\zeta)}$ and $\psi^{(\xi)} < \gamma$ for each ξ ($\tau + 1 \leq \xi < \kappa_\zeta$). Since $\eta < \alpha$ and α is a strongly inaccessible initial number, the power of the set $S_{\zeta, \tau}$ is smaller than α .

It follows from the statement (j₅) that for any element $(\psi^{(\tau+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\xi)}, \dots, \psi^{(\kappa\tau)})$ of $S_{\zeta, \tau}$ the set

$$C(\psi^{(\tau+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\kappa\tau)}) = Rf_{\eta}(\alpha_{\xi}^{(0)}, \alpha_{\xi}^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{\rho}^{(\delta)}, \dots, \gamma, \psi^{(\tau+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\kappa\tau)}, \underline{\alpha}^{(\kappa\tau+1)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(n)})/\alpha$$

is non-stationary in α .

Since $\zeta \leq \sigma \leq \eta < \alpha$ and α is a strongly inaccessible initial number and hence the power of $S_{\zeta, \tau}$ is smaller than α , Theorem III ([1]) implies that the set

$$B(\gamma) = \bigcup_{\zeta \leq \sigma} \bigcup_{\tau < \kappa_{\zeta}} \bigcup_{\psi^{(\tau+1)} < \gamma} \dots \bigcup_{\psi^{(\xi)} < \gamma} \dots \bigcup_{\psi^{(\kappa\tau)} < \underline{\alpha}^{(\kappa\tau)}} C(\psi^{(\tau+1)}, \dots, \psi^{(\xi)}, \dots, \psi^{(\kappa\tau)})$$

is non-stationary in α . On the other hand, by (34), the smallest element of the set $B(\gamma)$ is γ .

In this manner, with every element $\gamma = f_{\eta}(\alpha_{\xi}^{(0)}, \alpha_{\xi}^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{\delta}^{(n)})$ of K we have associated a non-stationary set $B(\alpha_{\xi}^{(0)}, \alpha_{\xi}^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{\delta}^{(n)})$ the smallest element of which is γ . It only remains to prove that

$$\bigcup_{\gamma \in M} B(\gamma)$$

is non-stationary in α . Since K is non-stationary in α , the sets

$$\begin{aligned} B_0 &= Rf_{\eta}(\alpha_{\xi}^{(0)}, \alpha_{\xi}^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{\delta}^{(n)})/\alpha, \\ B_1 &= Rf_{\eta}(0, \alpha_{\xi}^{(1)}, \alpha_{\psi}^{(2)}, \dots, \alpha_{\delta}^{(n)})/\alpha, \\ &\vdots \\ B_{\mu} &= Rf_{\eta}(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha_{\rho}^{(\mu)}, \alpha_{\varphi}^{(\mu+1)}, \dots, \alpha_{\delta}^{(n)})/\alpha, \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

are non-stationary in α , where $\alpha_{\xi}^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{\rho}^{(\mu)}, \dots, \alpha_{\delta}^{(n)}$ are fixed ordinal numbers $< \alpha$.

Let $\nu > 1$ be a given ordinal number, and suppose that for every μ ($1 \leq \mu < \nu$) the set

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} D(\alpha_{\rho}^{(\mu)}, \alpha_{\varphi}^{(\mu+1)}, \dots, \alpha_{\delta}^{(n)}) &= \\ &= \bigcup_{\substack{\alpha_{\xi}^{(0)} \in A_{0, \eta} \\ \alpha_{\xi}^{(0)} < \alpha}} \dots \bigcup_{\substack{\alpha_{\psi}^{(s)} \in A_{s, \eta} \\ \alpha_{\psi}^{(s)} < \alpha}} \dots B(\alpha_{\xi}^{(0)}, \alpha_{\xi}^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{\psi}^{(s)}, \dots, \alpha_{\rho}^{(\mu)}, \dots, \alpha_{\delta}^{(n)}) \end{aligned}$$

is non-stationary in α . We must prove that the set

$$(36) \quad \begin{aligned} D(\alpha_{\varphi}^{(\nu)}, \dots, \alpha_{\delta}^{(n)}) &= \\ &= \bigcup_{\substack{\alpha_{\xi}^{(0)} \in A_{0, \eta} \\ \alpha_{\xi}^{(0)} < \alpha}} \dots \bigcup_{\substack{\alpha_{\psi}^{(s)} \in A_{s, \eta} \\ \alpha_{\psi}^{(s)} < \alpha}} \dots B(\alpha_{\xi}^{(0)}, \alpha_{\xi}^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{\psi}^{(s)}, \dots, \alpha_{\varphi}^{(\nu)}, \dots, \alpha_{\delta}^{(n)}) \end{aligned}$$

is non-stationary in α . It is easy to verify that the smallest element of (35) is $f_{\eta}(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha_{\rho}^{(\mu)}, \dots, \alpha_{\delta}^{(n)})$. Thus the set of the first elements of the sets

$D(\alpha_\varphi^{(\mu)}, \alpha_\varphi^{(\mu+1)}, \dots, \alpha_\delta^{(\eta)})$ with $\alpha_\varphi^{(\mu)} \in A_{\mu, \eta}(\alpha_\varphi^{(\mu+1)}, \dots, \alpha_\delta^{(\eta)})$ is equal to B_μ . Suppose now that ν is a number of the first kind, i.e. $\nu = \vartheta + 1$. In this case Theorem IV (I1) implies that the set

$$\bigcup_{\alpha_\sigma^{(\vartheta)} \in A_{\sigma, \eta}, \alpha_\sigma^{(\vartheta)} < \alpha} D(\alpha_\sigma^{(\vartheta)}, \alpha_\varphi^{(\vartheta+1)}, \dots, \alpha_\delta^{(\eta)})$$

is non-stationary in α . Suppose now that ν is a limit number. For the proof of our statement it is sufficient to show that the set

$$\bigcup_{\mu < \nu} D(\alpha_\varphi^{(\mu)}, \dots, \alpha_\delta^{(\eta)}) \quad (\nu \cong \eta < \alpha)$$

is non-stationary in α . But this follows from the hypothesis and from Theorem IV. Thus the proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

In an entirely analogous way it may be proved the following

Theorem 3. *If $\eta < \alpha$, $\mu < \eta$ and $\alpha = n_{\mu, \eta}(\alpha_\mu^{(\mu)}, \dots, \alpha_\eta^{(\eta)})$ then the set of the ordinal numbers of the form $f_\eta(\alpha^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(\mu)}, \dots, \alpha^{(\eta)}) < \alpha$ is non-stationary in α .*

We prove now the following

Theorem 4. *If $\alpha = f_\eta(\alpha^{(0)}, \dots, \alpha^{(\xi)}, \dots, \alpha^{(\eta)})$, $\eta < \alpha$, and $\alpha^{(\xi)} < \alpha$ for each $\xi \cong \eta$ then the set of the ordinal numbers of the form $f_\eta(\alpha^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(\eta)}) < \alpha$ is non-stationary in α .*

Proof. Let $\{\xi_\zeta\}_{\zeta \leq \sigma}$ ($\sigma \cong \eta$) be the strictly increasing sequence of the ordinal numbers $\xi \cong \eta$ for which $\alpha^{(\xi)} \neq 0$.

Put

$$\gamma(v^{(\xi_\mu)}) = f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, v^{(\xi_\mu)}, \alpha^{(\xi_\mu+1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(\eta)}),$$

where $v^{(\xi_\mu)} < \alpha^{(\xi_\mu)}$ if $\mu = 0$ and $v^{(\xi_\mu)} \cong \alpha^{(\xi_\mu)}$ if $0 < \mu \cong \sigma$.

First we show that the set

$$(37) \quad \{f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, v^{(\xi_0)}, \dots, \alpha^{(\xi_0+1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(\eta)})\}_{v^{(\xi_0)} < \alpha^{(\xi_0)}}$$

is non-stationary in α . Indeed, if $\alpha^{(\xi_0)} = \nu^{(\xi_0)} + 1$ then

$$f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \nu^{(\xi_0)}, \alpha^{(\xi_0+1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(\eta)}) < f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha^{(\xi_0)}, \dots, \alpha^{(\eta)});$$

moreover, if $\alpha^{(\xi_0)}$ is a limit number, then

$$\lim_{v^{(\xi_0)} < \alpha^{(\xi_0)}} f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, v^{(\xi_0)}, \alpha^{(\xi_0+1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(\eta)}) < \alpha,$$

because $\alpha^{(\xi_0)} < \alpha$ and α are regular. This implies that the set (37) is non-stationary in α .

Now we show that for every μ ($0 < \mu \cong \sigma$) the set

$$(38) \quad \{f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, v^{(\xi_\mu)}, \alpha^{(\xi_\mu+1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(\eta)})\}_{v^{(\xi_\mu)} \cong \alpha^{(\xi_\mu)}}$$

is non-stationary in α . Indeed, if $\mu > 0$ then

$$f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha^{(\xi_\mu)}, \dots, \alpha^{(\eta)}) < f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha^{(\xi_0)}, \dots, \alpha^{(\xi_\mu)}, \dots, \alpha^{(\eta)}),$$

on the other hand

$$f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha^{(\xi_0)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha^{(\xi_\mu)}, \dots, \alpha^{(\eta)}) \cong f_\eta(\alpha^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(\eta)}) = \alpha.$$

Hence, for $\mu > 0$,

$$f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(\xi_\mu)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(n)}) < \alpha.$$

Consequently, the set (38), where $0 < \mu \leq \sigma$ is non-stationary in α .

We may suppose without loss of generality that $\xi_0 = 0$. [In virtue of (j₅) and the non-stationarity of the sets (38) with $0 < \mu \leq \sigma$, the set

$$(39) \quad \bigcup_{0 < \mu \leq \sigma} \bigcup_{\nu(\xi_\mu) \leq \alpha(\xi_\mu)} Rf_\eta(\alpha_\xi^{(0)}, \dots, \alpha_\phi^{(0)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(\xi_{\mu+1})}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(n)})/\alpha$$

is non-stationary in α . Applying to the set (39) the argument used for the set $Rf_\eta(\alpha_\xi^{(0)}, \alpha_\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_\phi^{(n)})/\alpha$ after the proof of (j₅) in the proof of Theorem 2, we obtain Theorem 4.

Remark. If in the definition of the process we start with weakly inaccessible initial numbers then we can only prove Theorems 2 (see [1]), 3, and 4 for $\eta < \omega$. We prove now the following

Theorem 5. *If α is the smallest ordinal number of η for which $\eta = f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, 1)$ then the set of the ordinal numbers of the form $f_\tau(\alpha^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})$, where $\tau < \eta$, is non-stationary in α .*

Proof. First we show that the set $N = \{f_\varrho(0, \dots, 0, \dots, 1)\}_{\varrho < \alpha}$ is non-stationary in α .

Since α is the smallest ordinal number of η for which $\eta = f_\eta(0, \dots, 0, \dots, 1)$, the relation

$$(40) \quad \varrho < f_\varrho(0, \dots, 0, \dots, 1)$$

holds for each $\varrho < \alpha$. By the definition of $f_\eta(\alpha^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})$ we have

$$(41) \quad f_\varrho(0, \dots, 0, \dots, 1) < f_{\varrho+1}(0, \dots, 0, \dots, 1).$$

Let us define the function g on the set N by writing

$$g(f_\varrho(0, \dots, 0, \dots, 1)) = \varrho.$$

It follows from (40) and (41) that the function g is strictly divergent and regressive on the set N . Therefore, by Theorem I ([1]), the set N is non-stationary in α .

Consider the set

$$(42) \quad Rf_\varrho(\alpha_\xi^{(0)}, \alpha_\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_\phi^{(e)})/\alpha \quad (\varrho < \alpha).$$

Since, as by the definition of $f_\eta(\alpha^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(n)})$ the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} f_\alpha(\alpha^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, 0) &= f_0(\alpha^{(0)}), \\ f_\alpha(\alpha^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, 0) &= f_1(\alpha^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}), \\ &\vdots \\ f_\alpha(\alpha^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(e)}, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, 0) &= f_e(\alpha^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(e)}), \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

hold, we may assume $\alpha_\phi^{(e)} \geq 1$ in (42).

With the help of (j₅) we get for given ϱ that the set

$$M_\varrho = Rf_\varrho(\alpha_\xi^{(0)}, \alpha_\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_\delta^{(\varrho)})/\alpha \quad (\varrho < \alpha, \alpha_\delta^{(\varrho)} \cong 1)$$

is non-stationary in α . But the set $\{f_\varrho(0, \dots, 0, \dots, 1)\}_{\varrho < \alpha}$ of the first elements of the sets M_ϱ with $\varrho < \alpha$ is non-stationary in α . Therefore, making use of (j₅), the set

$$(43) \quad \bigcup_{\varrho < \alpha} Rf_\varrho(\alpha_\xi^{(0)}, \alpha_\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_\delta^{(\varrho)})/\alpha \quad (\alpha_\delta^{(\varrho)} \cong 1)$$

is non-stationary in α . Applying the same argument to the set (43) as in the proof of Theorem 2, after the proof of (j₅) for the set $Rf_\eta(\alpha_\xi^{(0)}, \alpha_\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_\delta^{(n)})/\alpha$, Theorem 5 will be proved.

Reference

- [1] G. FODOR, On a process concerning inaccessible cardinals. I, *Acta Sci. Math.*, 27 (1966), 111—124.

(Received February 1, 1965)