On a classification of primes

By I. KÁTAI in Budapest

1. Let l, D be coprime natural numbers. The letters $p, p_1, \dots, q, q_1, \dots$ denote prime numbers. Let $\mathcal{A}_{D,l}$ denote the set of those p for which $q \nmid p+1$, if $q \equiv l \pmod{D}$. Let N(x, D, l) be the number of the elements of $\mathcal{A}_{D,l}$ which are smaller than x. It seems to be interesting to know whether $N(x, D, l) \to \infty$ for $x \to \infty$ or not. Using the variance-method due to Yu. V. Linnik [1], or the method of C. Hooley [2] combined with Bombieri's large sieve theorem (see [3]), we deduce the inequality

(1.1)
$$N(x, 4, 3) \gg \frac{x}{(\log x)^4}$$
.

Sharpening the method of HOOLEY we are also able to prove that

(1.2)
$$N(x, D, l) \gg \frac{x}{(\log x)^4}$$
,

provided that there exists some Dirichlet character $\chi \pmod{D}$ such that $\chi(l) = -1$. For the remaining cases we are unable to prove that $N(x, D, l) \to \infty$ for $x \to \infty$. Furthermore, by Selberg's sieve method we obtain

(1.3)
$$N(x, D, l) \ll x/(\log x)^{1-1/\varphi(D)}.$$

It seems probable that this is the exact order of N(x, D, l).

We shall give a detailed proof of the inequalities (1.1)—(1.2) in another paper. Here we investigate only the special case l=D-1, D prime, and one of its applications.

Let $\varphi(n)$ denote the Euler function, and $\sigma(n)$ the sum of the positive divisors of n. Let $\varphi(n) = \varphi_1(n)$, $\sigma(n) = \sigma_1(n)$, $\varphi_k(n) = \varphi(\varphi_{k-1}(n))$, $\sigma_k(n) = \sigma(\sigma_{k-1}(n))$ for all $k \ge 2$.

Let *D* be a fixed odd prime. We say, that the prime number q belongs to the rth class, if $\varphi_r(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{D}$ but $\varphi_k(q) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{D}$, whenever k < r. Let f(D, r, x) denote the number of the primes in the rth class smaller than x. Using

208 I. Kátai

the prime-number theorem for arithmetical progressions and the eratosthenian sieve, ERDős [4] proved that

$$f(D, 1, x) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{x}{(D - 1)\log x}; \quad f(D, 2, x) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{D - 2}{D - 1} \cdot \frac{x}{\log x}.$$

But he has left open the problem whether $f(D, 3, x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

We formulate now analogous questions for $\sigma(n)$ instead of $\varphi(n)$.

We say, that the prime number q belongs to the rth class, if $\sigma_r(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{D}$ but $\sigma_k(q) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{D}$ whenever k < r. Let g(D, r, x) denote the number of the primes in the rth class smaller than x. Using the same method as Erdős, it is easy to see that

$$g(D, 1, x) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{1}{D - 1} \frac{x}{\log x}; \quad g(D, 2, x) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{D - 2}{D - 1} \frac{x}{\log x}.$$

In this paper we shall prove, that $g(D, 3, x) \gg x (\log x)^{-4}$ if $x \to \infty$. The method cannot be applied to the lower estimation of f(D, 3, x).

Theorem. We have
$$g(D, 3, x) \gg \frac{x}{(\log x)^4}$$
.

Remark. Sharpening the method we are able to improve this inequality (see [5]).

2. For the proof we need some lemmas.

Lemma 1. (Е. Вомвієкі [3])

$$\sum_{\substack{D \leq Y \ l \pmod{D} \\ (l, D) = 1}} \max_{z \leq x} \left| \pi(z, D, l) - \frac{\operatorname{li} z}{\varphi(D)} \right| \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^A},$$

where

$$Y = x^{1/2} (\log x)^{-B}, \quad B \ge 4A + 40,$$

A being an arbitrary constant.

Let $\chi(n)$ denote a character mod D such that $\chi(-1) = -1$. Let further

$$r(n) = \sum_{d|n} \chi(d) = \prod_{p^{\alpha}||n} \{1 + \chi(p) + \cdots + \chi(p^{\alpha})\}.$$

Let

$$K(x) = \sum_{\substack{q \le x \\ q \ne -1 \pmod{D}}} r(q+1) |\mu(q+1)|.$$

Using the method of C. HOOLEY [2] combined with the theorem of BOMBIERI (Lemma 1), we can prove the following

Lemma 2. $K(x) = A_D \ln x + O(\ln x \cdot (\log \log x)^{-\alpha})$, where $\alpha > 0$, $A_D \neq 0$ are suitable constants.

We shall give a detailed proof of this assertion in another paper.

Lemma 3. Let N(k, x) denote the number of the couples of primes satisfying the conditions p+1=kq, $p \le x$. Then

$$N(k, x) \ll \frac{x}{\varphi(k) \log^2 \frac{x}{k}}$$
.

For the proof see Prachar [6] p. 51, Theorem 4.6.

Let

$$M(x, y) = \sum_{n \le x} |r(n)|,$$

where the dash means that we sum over those n all prime divisors of which are smaller than y.

Lemma 4. We have

$$M(x, y) < x \exp\left(-\frac{\log_3 y}{\log y} \log x + c \log_2 y + O\left(\frac{\log_2 y}{\log_3 y}\right)\right),$$

when
$$1 < y(x) < x$$
; $y(x) \to \infty$ as $x \to \infty$; $c = \sqrt{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{D-1} \right)^{1/2}$.

The proof is similar to the proof of RANKIN's theorem (PRACHAR [6], p. 158) and so we omit it.

Let f(n) be a totally additive arithmetical function defined as follows:

$$f(p) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } y$$

Using Bombieri's theorem, we obtain:

Lemma 5. We have

$$\sum_{q \le x} \{f(q+1) - A_{x,y}\}^2 \ll \operatorname{li} x \cdot A_{x,y},$$

where

$$A_{x,y} = \sum_{\substack{y$$

Corollary. If $\frac{\log x}{\log y} \to \infty$, then the number of those q for which f(q+1) = 0 is at most o(li x).

Lemma 6.
$$\sum_{q \le x} |r^2(q+1)| \ll x \log^2 x.$$

The proof is simple and can be omitted.

3. Proof of the Theorem

The letters $p_1, q, Q, p_1, p_2, \dots, q_1, q_2, \dots, Q_1, Q_2, \dots$, denote prime numbers. Let \mathfrak{A} , denote the set of those q which belong to the rth class. It is evident that those q in the sum K(x), for which

$$r(q+1) \mu(q+1) \neq 0$$

are not belonging to the classes \mathfrak{A}_1 , \mathfrak{A}_2 . Indeed, if $r(q+1) \mu(q+1) \neq 0$, $q \not\equiv -1 \pmod{D}$ then

(3.1)
$$q+1 = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_r, (q_1 < \cdots < q_r) \text{ and } \chi(q_i) \neq -1,$$

i.e. $q_i + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{D}$.

If for a q, represented in the form (3.1), there exists a Q, such that $Q \equiv -1 \pmod{D}$ and $\sigma(q+1) = (q_1+1)\cdots(q_r+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{Q}$, but $[\sigma(q+1) \not\equiv$ $\not\equiv 0 \pmod{Q}$ then $q \in \mathfrak{A}_3$.

Let

(3.2)
$$z_0 = (\log x)^5, z_1 = z_0^{\log_2 x}, z_2 = x^{1/\log_2 x}.$$

Let S_1 denote the set of those q which are represented in the form (3.1), and for which there exists a prime number $Q, Q > z_0, Q \equiv -1 \pmod{D}$ such that

$$\sigma(q+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{Q^2}.$$

Let S_2 denote the set of those q for which

$$\sigma(q+1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{Q}$$
,

if $Q > z_0$ and $Q \equiv -1 \pmod{D}$.

Let

(3.3)
$$S_i(x) = \sum_{\substack{q \leq x \\ q \in S_i \\ D+q+1}} |r(q+1)| |\mu(q+1)| \qquad (i=1,2),$$

and let
$$A_3(x) = \sum_{\substack{q \le x \ q \in \mathfrak{A}_3}} |r(q+1)| |\mu(q+1)|.$$

Obviously

(3.4)
$$A_3(x) \ge |K(x)| - |S_1(x)| - |S_2(x)|.$$

Lemma 7. We have

(3. 5)
$$S_1(x) = o(li x),$$

(3. 6)
$$S_2(x) = o(li x).$$

Proof. Since $|r(m)| \le d(m)$, where d(m) denotes the number of divisors of m, so we have $S_1(x) \ll \sum_1 + \sum_2$ with

$$\sum_1 = \sum_{\substack{z_0 < Q \leq x \\ Q \equiv -1 \pmod{D}}} \sum_{\substack{q_1 \equiv q_2 \equiv -1 \pmod{Q} \\ q_1, q_2 \leq x}} d(q_1 q_2 m), \quad \sum_2 = \sum_{\substack{z_0 < Q \leq x \\ Q \equiv -1 \pmod{D}}} \sum_{\substack{q \equiv -1 \pmod{Q^2} \\ q \leq x}} d(q m).$$

We obtain evidently, that

$$\sum_{1} \ll x \log x \sum_{\substack{z_0 < Q \le x \\ q_1 \equiv q_2 \equiv -1(Q)}} \frac{1}{q_1 q_2} \ll \frac{x (\log x)^3}{z_0} \ll \frac{x}{\log^2 x}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\sum_{2} \ll \sum_{z_0 < Q \le x} \frac{x \log^3 x}{Q^2} \ll \frac{x}{\log^2 x}$$

and so (3. 5) is proved.

In order to prove (3.6), let

$$S_2(x) = S_3(x) + S_4(x),$$

where in $S_3(x)$ we sum over those q+1 the greatest prime divisor of which is smaller than z_2 , and in $S_4(x)$ over the others.

Using Lemma 4, we easily deduce that

$$S_3(x) \le M(x, z_2) \ll \frac{x}{\log^2 x}.$$

We consider now $S_4(x)$. For the q occurring in the sum $S_4(x)$ let q+1=A(q) B(q), where

$$A(q) = \prod_{\substack{p \mid q+1 \\ p \leq z_1}} p, \quad B(q) = \prod_{\substack{p \mid q+1 \\ p > z_1}} p.$$

Let p^* denote the maximal prime divisor of q+1, and write

$$B^*(q) \cdot p^* = B(q), \quad A(q)B^*(q) = k.$$

Since, for a fixed k, by Lemma 3 it follows that

$$\sum_{A(q)B^*(q)=k} r(q+1) \ll |r(k)| N(k,x) \ll \frac{|r(k)|}{\varphi(k)} \frac{x}{\log^2 \frac{x}{k}},$$

so we have

$$\begin{split} S_4(x) &\ll \sum_{k \leq \frac{x}{z_2}} |r(k)| \, N(k, x) \ll \frac{x (\log_2 x)^2}{\log^2 x} \sum_{k \leq \frac{x}{z_2}} \frac{|r(k)|}{\varphi(k)} \ll \\ &\ll \frac{x (\log_2 x)^2}{\log^2 x} \prod_{p \leq z_1} \left\{ 1 + \frac{|r(p)|}{p-1} \right\} \cdot \prod_{\substack{z_1$$

Here \mathscr{T} denotes the set of those p for which $p+1\not\equiv 0\pmod{Q}$, if $Q\equiv -1\pmod{D}$ and $Q>z_0$.

Obviously

$$\prod_{p < z_1} \left\{ 1 + \frac{|r(p)|}{p-1} \right\} \ll (\log z_1)^2 \ll (\log_2 x)^4.$$

Furthermore, applying Lemma 5 and the Corollary to $y=z_0$, $u \ge z_1$, we have

$$\log \prod_{\substack{z_1$$

whence it follows

$$\prod_{\substack{z_1 \leq p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{F}}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{|r(p)|}{p-1} \right\} \ll (\log x)^{\varepsilon}.$$

So (3. 6) holds.

Taking into account the inequality (3.4), from Lemma 2 and Lemma 7 it follows that

$$A_3(x)\gg \text{li }x.$$

Using the Cauchy—Schwartz inequality and Lemma 6, we obtain

$$\frac{x}{\log x} \ll A_3(x) \ll \left\{ \sum_{\substack{q \le x \\ q \in \mathfrak{A}_3}} 1 \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{q \le x \\ q \in \mathfrak{A}_3}} |r^2(q+1)| \right\}^{1/2} \ll g(D,3,x)^{1/2} \cdot x^{1/2} \log x.$$

Hence the assertion of the Theorem evidently follows.

Refereces

- [1] Ю. В. Линник, Дисперсионный метод в бинарных аддитивных задачах, Изд. Ленинградского Университета, 1961.
- [2] C. Hooley, On the representation of numbers as the sum of two squares and a prime, Acta Math., 97 (1957), 189—210.
- [3] E. Bombieri, On the large sieve, Mathematika, 12 (1965), 201—225.
- [4] P. Erdős, Remarks on number theory. II, Mat. Lapok, 12 (1961), 161-169 (in Hungarian).
- [5] I. KÁTAI, Statistical theorems for the number of prime factors of integers, unpublished.
- [6] K. PRACHAR, Primzahlverteilung (Berlin-Heidelberg-Göttingen, 1957).

(Received September 26, 1967)