

Unitary dilations and coisometric extensions

By JAMES A. DEDDENS in Ann Arbor (Michigan, U.S.A.) *)

Let \mathfrak{H} be a complex Hilbert space, and let $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ denote the algebra of bounded linear operators on \mathfrak{H} . For a subspace $\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{H}$, let $P_{\mathfrak{M}}$ denote the projection of \mathfrak{H} onto \mathfrak{M} . If S is a commutative semigroup with identity 0, then we say that $\mathcal{T} = \{T(g) : g \in S\}$ is a *semigroup of operators* on \mathfrak{H} if $T(g) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{H})$, $T(0) = I$, and $T(g_1 + g_2) = T(g_1)T(g_2)$ for all $g_1, g_2 \in S$. We write $\mathcal{T}^* = \{T(g)^* : g \in S\}$. A semigroup \mathcal{D} of operators on $\mathfrak{K} \supset \mathfrak{H}$ is called a *dilation* of \mathcal{T} if $T(g) = P_{\mathfrak{H}}D(g)|_{\mathfrak{H}}$ for all $g \in S$, while \mathcal{D} is called an *extension* of \mathcal{T} if $T(g) = D(g)|_{\mathfrak{H}}$ for all $g \in S$; here \mathfrak{H} must be invariant for $D(g)$.

We first prove a theorem relating unitary dilations and coisometric extensions. The proof is an extension of a proof by SZ.-NAGY—FOIAŞ [3, p. 12] for the semigroup $S = Z^+$ (the additive semigroup of non-negative integers), and uses the following theorem of ITO [2]: Every isometric semigroup has a unitary extension.

Theorem 1. *A semigroup $\mathcal{T} = \{T(g) : g \in S\}$ of operators on \mathfrak{H} has a unitary dilation if and only if it has a coisometric extension.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{V}^* on $\mathfrak{K}_1 \supset \mathfrak{H}$ be a coisometric extension of \mathcal{T} . By ITO's theorem \mathcal{V}^* has a unitary extension \mathcal{U}^* on $\mathfrak{K}_2 \supset \mathfrak{K}_1$. But $V(g) = U(g)^*|_{\mathfrak{K}_1}$ implies $V(g)^* = P_{\mathfrak{K}_1}U(g)|_{\mathfrak{K}_1}$. Hence

$$T(g) = V(g)^*|_{\mathfrak{H}} = P_{\mathfrak{H}}(P_{\mathfrak{K}_1}U(g)|_{\mathfrak{K}_1})|_{\mathfrak{H}} = P_{\mathfrak{H}}U(g)|_{\mathfrak{H}}.$$

So \mathcal{U} is a unitary dilation of \mathcal{T} .

Conversely, let \mathcal{U} on $\mathfrak{K}_2 \supset \mathfrak{H}$ be a unitary dilation of \mathcal{T} . Define \mathcal{V}^* on

$$\mathfrak{K}_1 = \bigvee_{g \in S} U(g)^* \mathfrak{H}$$

by
$$V(g) = U(g)^*|_{\mathfrak{K}_1};$$

\mathfrak{K}_1 is invariant for \mathcal{U}^* and includes \mathfrak{H} . Hence

$$T(g) = P_{\mathfrak{H}}U(g)|_{\mathfrak{H}} = P_{\mathfrak{H}}(P_{\mathfrak{K}_1}U(g)|_{\mathfrak{K}_1})|_{\mathfrak{H}} = P_{\mathfrak{H}}V(g)^*|_{\mathfrak{H}}.$$

*) This paper is part of the author's doctoral thesis, written under the direction of Dr. Peter Fillmore at Indiana University.

In order to prove that \mathcal{V}^* is an extension of \mathcal{T} we need only show that \mathfrak{H} is invariant for \mathcal{V}^* . Let Q be the projection onto $\mathfrak{R}_1 \ominus \mathfrak{H}$. If $x \in \mathfrak{H}$, then

$$V(g)x = T(g)^*x + (V(g) - T(g)^*)x.$$

Hence

$$QV(g)x = (V(g) - T(g)^*)x.$$

Since

$$V(g_1)(V(g) - T(g)^*) = (V(g_1 + g) - T(g_1 + g)^*) - (V(g_1) - T(g_1)^*)T(g)^*$$

and because

$$\mathfrak{R}_1 \ominus \mathfrak{H} = Q\mathfrak{R}_1 = \bigvee_{g \in S} QV(g)\mathfrak{H} = \bigvee_{g \in S} (V(g) - T(g)^*)\mathfrak{H},$$

$\mathfrak{R}_1 \ominus \mathfrak{H}$ is invariant for \mathcal{V} , or equivalently, \mathfrak{H} is invariant for \mathcal{V}^* .

For a set A , we now consider the special semigroup

$$S = Z^{+(A)} \equiv \{\text{finitely non-zero functions from } A \text{ to } Z^+\}.$$

For $g \in S$, $\text{supp}(g) \equiv \{\omega \in A : g(\omega) \neq 0\}$ is a finite set. For v a finite subset of A , let $\chi_v \in S$ be defined by:

$$\chi_v(\omega) = 1 \text{ if } \omega \in v, \text{ and } = 0 \text{ otherwise,}$$

and let $|v|$ = the number of elements of v . If $\mathcal{T} = \{T(g) : g \in S\}$ is a semigroup of operators, we write $T_\omega = T(\chi_{\{\omega\}})$ for $\omega \in A$. We say that \mathcal{T} is a **-commuting* semigroup of operators, if $T_\omega T_\lambda^* = T_\lambda^* T_\omega$ for all $\omega, \lambda \in A, \omega \neq \lambda$.

Our next theorem relates a particular kind of unitary dilation to an extension to a particular kind of coisometric semigroup. The former is called a Sz.-Nagy—Brehmer dilation [1] or a regular dilation [3] in the literature. It is natural to call the latter a **-commuting coisometric extension*.

Theorem 2. *If \mathcal{T} is a semigroup of operators on H with $S = Z^{+(A)}$, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *there exists a *-commuting coisometric extension of \mathcal{T} ;*
- (ii) *there exists a unitary dilation \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{T} satisfying*

$$T(g_1)^* T(g_2) = P_H U(g_2) U(g_1)^* |H$$

for $g_1, g_2 \in S$ with disjoint supports;

- (iii) *for all finite subsets F of A , $\sum_{v \subset F} (-1)^{|v|} T(\chi_v)^* T(\chi_v) \equiv 0$.*

Proof. That (ii) and (iii) are equivalent is Theorem 9.1 in SZ.-NAGY and FOIAS [3]. We will prove that (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

Let \mathcal{V}^* be a $*$ -commuting coisometric extension on $\mathfrak{K}_1 \supset \mathfrak{H}$ of \mathcal{T} . Then by Ito's theorem there exists a unitary extension \mathcal{U}^* on $\mathfrak{K}_2 \supset \mathfrak{K}_1$ of \mathcal{V} . If $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ have disjoint supports, then

$$\begin{aligned} T(g_1)^* T(g_2) &= P_{\mathfrak{H}} V(g_1) | \mathfrak{H} \cdot V(g_2)^* | \mathfrak{H} = P_{\mathfrak{H}} V(g_1) V(g_2)^* | \mathfrak{H} \\ &= P_{\mathfrak{H}} V(g_2)^* V(g_1) | \mathfrak{H} \quad \text{by } * \text{-commutativity} \\ &= P_{\mathfrak{H}} [P_{\mathfrak{K}_1} U(g_2) | \mathfrak{K}_1 \cdot U(g_1)^* | \mathfrak{K}_1] | \mathfrak{H} = P_{\mathfrak{H}} U(g_2) U(g_1)^* | \mathfrak{H}, \end{aligned}$$

so that \mathcal{U} is a unitary dilation of \mathcal{T} satisfying (ii).

On the other hand, let \mathcal{U} on $\mathfrak{K}_2 \supset \mathfrak{H}$ be a unitary dilation of \mathcal{T} , which satisfies (ii). As in the proof of Theorem 1, if

$$(1) \quad \mathfrak{K}_1 = \bigvee_{g \in \mathcal{S}} U(g)^* \mathfrak{H} \quad \text{and} \quad V(g) = U(g)^* | \mathfrak{K}_1$$

then

$$(2) \quad T(g) = V(g)^* | \mathfrak{H}.$$

Thus \mathcal{V}^* on $\mathfrak{K}_1 \supset \mathfrak{H}$ is a coisometric extension of \mathcal{T} . We need only show that \mathcal{V}^* is a $*$ -commuting semigroup.

If $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ have disjoint supports, then, by (ii) and (1),

$$(3) \quad T(g_1)^* T(g_2) = P_{\mathfrak{H}} U(g_2) U(g_1)^* | \mathfrak{H} = P_{\mathfrak{H}} V(g_2)^* V(g_1) | \mathfrak{H}.$$

Also, by (2)

$$(4) \quad T(g_1)^* T(g_2) = P_{\mathfrak{H}} V(g_1) | \mathfrak{H} \cdot V(g_2)^* | \mathfrak{H} = P_{\mathfrak{H}} V(g_1) V(g_2)^* | \mathfrak{H}.$$

Subtracting (3) from (4) we obtain

$$(5) \quad P_{\mathfrak{H}} (V(g_1) V(g_2)^* - V(g_2)^* V(g_1)) | \mathfrak{H} = 0,$$

for $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ with disjoint supports.

We claim that for all $g \in \mathcal{S}$

$$(6) \quad P_{\mathfrak{H}} V(g)^* (V(g_1) V(g_2)^* - V(g_2)^* V(g_1)) | \mathfrak{H} = 0.$$

We first remark that it is sufficient to prove (6) for g such that g and g_1 have disjoint supports. (Note that $V(g)^* V(g_1) = V(g')^* V(g'_1)$ where g' and g'_1 have disjoint supports. In fact take $g' = g - \min(g, g_1)$ and $g'_1 = g_1 - \min(g, g_1)$.) Let $g \in \mathcal{S}$ be such that $\text{supp}(g)$ is disjoint from $\text{supp}(g_1)$. Then $\text{supp}(g + g_2)$ is disjoint from $\text{supp}(g_1)$, so that (5) implies

$$(7) \quad P_{\mathfrak{H}} (V(g_1) V(g + g_2)^* - V(g + g_2)^* V(g_1)) | \mathfrak{H} = 0,$$

and

$$(8) \quad P_{\mathfrak{H}} (V(g_1) V(g)^* - V(g)^* V(g_1)) | \mathfrak{H} = 0.$$

Multiplying in (8) by $V(g_2)^* | \mathfrak{H}$ from the right, and subtracting from (7), we obtain (6).

Since \mathcal{V}^* acts on

$$\mathfrak{R}_1 = \bigvee_{g \in \mathcal{S}} V(g)\mathfrak{S}$$

and since $P_{V(g)\mathfrak{S}} = V(g)P_{\mathfrak{S}}V(g)^*$, (6) implies

$$(9) \quad (V(g_1)V(g_2)^* - V(g_2)^*V(g_1))\mathfrak{S} = 0,$$

for $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ with disjoint supports.

We now claim that for all $g \in \mathcal{S}$

$$(10) \quad (V(g_1)V(g_2)^* - V(g_2)^*V(g_1))V(g)\mathfrak{S} = 0.$$

As above we need only prove (10) for $g \in \mathcal{S}$ such that g and g_2 have disjoint supports.

We use (9) to obtain

$$(11) \quad (V(g_1 + g)V(g_2)^* - V(g_2)^*V(g_1 + g))\mathfrak{S} = 0,$$

and

$$(12) \quad (V(g)V(g_2)^* - V(g_2)^*V(g))\mathfrak{S} = 0.$$

Multiplying in (12) by $V(g_1)$ from the left and subtracting from (11) we obtain (10).

But (10) implies

$$V(g_1)V(g_2)^* - V(g_2)^*V(g_1) = 0,$$

for $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ with disjoint supports. In particular for $g_1 = \chi_{\{\omega\}}$, $g_2 = \chi_{\{\lambda\}}$ with $\omega, \lambda \in \mathcal{A}$, $\omega \neq \lambda$. Thus \mathcal{V}^* is a *-commuting coisometric semigroup.

Bibliography

- [1] I. HALPERIN, Sz.-Nagy—Brehmer dilations, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **23** (1962), 279—289.
- [2] T. ITO, On the commutative family of subnormal operators, *J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ.*, **14** (1958), 1—15.
- [3] B. SZ.-NAGY—C. FOIAŞ, *Analyse harmonique des opérateurs de l'espace de Hilbert* (Budapest, 1967).

(Received September 20, 1969)