

On generalized absolute Cesàro summability of orthogonal series

By ISTVÁN SZALAY in Szeged

As usual we denote by $\sigma_n^{(\alpha)}$ the n th Cesàro means of order α of a series Σa_n . The following definition is due to FLETT [1]: A series Σa_n is said to be $|C, \alpha, \gamma|_\kappa$ summable, where $\kappa \geq 1$ and $\alpha > -1$, if the series $\Sigma n^{\gamma\kappa+\kappa-1} |\sigma_n^{(\alpha)} - \sigma_{n-1}^{(\alpha)}|^\kappa$ is convergent.

We prove the following theorems:

Theorem 1. Let $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $1 \leq \kappa \leq 2$. The condition

$$(1) \quad \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{n=2^m+1}^{2^{m+1}} n^{2\gamma} a_n^2 \right\}^{\kappa/2} < \infty$$

is necessary and sufficient that for any orthonormal system $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$ on $(0, 1)$ the series

$$(2) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \varphi_n(x)$$

be summable $|C, \alpha, \gamma|_\kappa$ almost everywhere in $(0, 1)$.

This theorem reduces for $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, $\gamma = 0$ and $\kappa = 1$ to a theorem of LEINDLER [2] which in turn contains a theorem of TANDORI [3], case $\alpha = 1$, $\gamma = 0$, $\kappa = 1$.

The sequence of ideas of our proof is similar to that of LEINDLER.

Theorem 2. Let $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ and $1 \leq \kappa \leq 2$. Then the conditions

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{n=2^m+1}^{2^{m+1}} n^{2\gamma} a_n^2 \log n \right\}^{\kappa/2} < \infty \quad \left(\text{for } \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

and

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{n=2^m+1}^{2^{m+1}} n^{1+2\gamma-2\alpha} a_n^2 \right\}^{\kappa/2} < \infty \quad \left(\text{for } -1 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

are sufficient that the series (2) be summable $|C, \alpha, \gamma|_\kappa$ for any orthonormal system $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$ in $(0, 1)$, almost everywhere in $(0, 1)$.

In the special case $\gamma = 0$, $\kappa = 1$ this theorem was proved by LEINDLER ([2], p. 253). The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1, so we omit it.

It is of some interest to remark the following corollary to Theorems 1 and 2 and to a theorem of FLETT (see [1], p. 359).

Corollary. Let $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ and $\alpha \geq 2$. The series (2) is $|C, \alpha, \gamma|_\infty$ summable for any orthonormal system $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$ almost everywhere in $(0, 1)$ in each of the following three cases:

$$(i) \quad \alpha > 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{2\gamma} a_n^2 < \infty,$$

$$(ii) \quad \alpha = 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2\gamma} a_n^2 \log n < \infty,$$

$$(iii) \quad \alpha \geq \beta + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\gamma} \quad \left(-1 < \beta < \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{1+2\gamma-2\beta} a_n^2 < \infty, \quad 0 \leq \gamma < \min(1, 1+\beta).$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $A_m^{(\alpha)} = \binom{m+\alpha}{m}$. Then we have:

$$(3) \quad 0 < c_1(\alpha) \leq \frac{A_m^{(\alpha)}}{m^\alpha} \leq c_2(\alpha) \quad (m > 0, \alpha > -1),$$

$$(4) \quad A_m^{(\alpha)} > 0 \quad (m \geq 0, \alpha > -1),$$

and

$$(5) \quad A_{m+1}^{(\alpha)} > A_m^{(\alpha)} \quad (m \geq 0, \alpha > 0),$$

where $c_1(\alpha)$ and $c_2(\alpha)$ are independent of m . (See ZYGMUND [4], p. 77.) We define

$$L_{n,v}^{(\alpha)} = \frac{A_{n+1-v}^{(\alpha)}}{A_{n+1}^{(\alpha)}} - \frac{A_{n-v}^{(\alpha)}}{A_n^{(\alpha)}} = \frac{A_{n-v}^{(\alpha)}}{A_n^{(\alpha)}} \cdot \frac{v\alpha}{(n+1-v)(n+1+\alpha)}.$$

From (3), (4) and (5) it easily follows that for any $n = 1, 2, \dots$; $v = 0, 1, \dots, n$; $\alpha > -1$, $\alpha \neq 0$:

$$(6) \quad 0 < d_1(\alpha) \frac{(n+1-v)^{\alpha-1} v}{n^{\alpha+1}} \leq |L_{n,v}^{(\alpha)}| \leq d_2(\alpha) \frac{(n+1-v)^{\alpha-1} v}{n^{\alpha+1}}$$

and

$$\operatorname{sgn} L_{n,v}^{(\alpha)} = \operatorname{sgn} \alpha,$$

where $d_1(\alpha)$ and $d_2(\alpha)$ are independent of n .

First we prove the necessity of condition (1). Without loss of generality we may assume that $a_0 = a_1 = 0$ and $a_n \neq 0$ for $n \geq 2$. We define by induction a special orthonormal system of step functions $\{\chi_n(x)\}$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$) in $(0, 1)$. Let

$$\chi_n(x) = r_n(x) \quad (n = 0, 1, 2).^1)$$

¹⁾ $r_n(x) = \operatorname{sign} \sin 2^n \pi x$ the n -th Rademacher function.

Let $s (\geq 1)$ be any natural number. Suppose that the step functions $\chi_n(x) (n=0, 1, \dots, 2^s)$ have been defined such that $\{\chi_n(x)\} (n=0, \dots, 2^s)$ is a H -type system i.e. $\chi_n(x)\chi_m(x)=0$ for any $x \in (0, 1)$, if $2^k < n, m \leq 2^{k+1}, n \neq m$ and $k = 0, 1, \dots, s-1$. Then the interval $(0, 1)$ can be dissected into subintervals J_ϱ ($1 \leq \varrho \leq \varrho_s$) such that on any J_ϱ every $\chi_n(x) (n=0, 1, \dots, 2^s)$ is constant. We define the following sequence:

$$\varrho_0^{(m)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \varrho_k^{(m)} = \frac{1}{A_m^{2/\kappa}} \sum_{n=1}^k a_{2^m+n}^2 \quad (k=1, \dots, 2^m),$$

where $A_m = \left\{ \sum_{n=2^m+1}^{2^{m+1}} a_n^2 \right\}^{\kappa/2}$ ($m=0, 1, \dots$). Now we dissect every interval $J_\varrho = (u_\varrho, v_\varrho)$ into 2^s intervals as follows:

$$I_k(s, J_\varrho) = (u_\varrho^{(k)}, v_\varrho^{(k)}),$$

where

$$u_\varrho^{(k)} = u_\varrho + \mu(J_\varrho) \varrho_{k-1}^{(s)} \quad \text{and} \quad v_\varrho^{(k)} = u_\varrho + \mu(J_\varrho) \varrho_k^{(s)} \quad (k=1, \dots, 2^s). \quad ^2)$$

Then we define

$$\chi_{2^s+k}(x) = \frac{A_s^{1/\kappa}}{a_{2^s+k}^{2/\kappa}} \sum_{\varrho=1}^{\varrho_s} r_s(x; I_k(s, J_\varrho)). \quad ^3)$$

These functions $\chi_n(x) (2^s \leq n \leq 2^{s+1})$ are step functions and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \chi_{2^s+k}^2(x) dx &= \frac{A_s^{2/\kappa}}{a_{2^s+k}^{2/\kappa}} \sum_{\varrho=1}^{\varrho_s} \int_0^1 r_s^2(x; I_k(s, J_\varrho)) dx = \\ &= \frac{A_s^{2/\kappa}}{a_{2^s+k}^{2/\kappa}} \sum_{\varrho=1}^{\varrho_s} \frac{a_{2^s+k}^2}{A_s^{2/\kappa}} \mu(J_\varrho) = \sum_{\varrho=1}^{\varrho_s} \mu(J_\varrho) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition it is clear that the functions $\chi_n(x) (n=0, 1, \dots, 2^{s+1})$ give rise to an orthonormal system on $(0, 1)$ and for every $x \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\chi_n(x)\chi_m(x) = 0 \quad (2^l < n, m \leq 2^{l+1}; 0 \leq l \leq s).$$

Hence, by induction, we get an infinite H -type system.

²⁾ μ denotes Lebesgue measure.

³⁾ If $I(u, v)$ is a finite interval and $h(x)$ is a function defined on $(0, 1)$, then

$$h(x; I) = \begin{cases} h\left(\frac{x-u}{v-u}\right), & \text{if } u < x < v \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $\int_u^v h(x; I) dx = \mu(I) \int_0^1 h(x) dx$.

We consider the series

$$(7) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \chi_n(x).$$

Denote $\bar{\sigma}_n^{(\alpha)}$ the n -th (C, α) means of series (7). Let us assume that the series (2) for any orthonormal system is $|C, \alpha, \gamma|_x$ summable almost everywhere in $(0, 1)$. Then we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{x\gamma+x-1} |\bar{\sigma}_n^{(\alpha)}(x) - \bar{\sigma}_{n-1}^{(\alpha)}(x)|^x < \infty$$

almost everywhere in $(0, 1)$.

Let $\varepsilon = \min \{1; 2^{-(7+3x+3\alpha)} d_1^{(\alpha)} d_2^{(\alpha)}\}$, where $d_1^{(\alpha)}$ and $d_2^{(\alpha)}$ are the same constants as in (6). By the Egorov theorem there exists a measurable set E with $\mu(E) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ and a positive constant K such that for every $x \in E$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{x\gamma+x-1} |\bar{\sigma}_n^{(\alpha)}(x) - \bar{\sigma}_{n-1}^{(\alpha)}(x)|^x < K.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_E n^{x\gamma+x-1} |\bar{\sigma}_n^{(\alpha)}(x) - \bar{\sigma}_{n-1}^{(\alpha)}(x)|^x \leq K \mu(E).$$

Let m and n be integers such that $2^m < n \leq 2^{m+1}$. Then we put

$$R_l(x; m, n) = \sum_{v=2^l+1}^{2^{l+1}} L_{n,v}^{(\alpha)} a_v \chi_v(x) \quad (l=0, 1, \dots, m-1),$$

$$R_m(x; m, n) = \sum_{v=2^m+1}^n L_{n,v}^{(\alpha)} a_v \chi_v(x), \quad R_{m+1}(x; m, n) = \frac{1}{A_{n+1}^{(\alpha)}} a_{n+1} \chi_{n+1}(x).$$

These functions $R_l(x; m, n)$ ($l = 0, 1, \dots, m+1$) satisfy the conditions of the following

Lemma. (LEINDLER [2]) *Let $\{R_n(x)\}$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$) be a system of step functions defined on $(0, 1)$. Denote $J_s(n)$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$; $s=1, 2, \dots, s_n$) the intervals on which $R_n(x)$ is constant. If for every $m > n$*

$$\int_{J_s(n)} \operatorname{sign} R_m(x) dx = 0 \quad (s=1, \dots, s_n),$$

then for any sequence of numbers d_1, \dots, d_N there exists a set E_k of subintervals such that for any $x \in E_k$

$$\left| \sum_{l=1}^N d_l R_l(x) \right| \leq |d_{N-k} R_{N-k}(x)| \quad (k=0, 1, \dots, N-1)$$

and

$$\mu(E_k \cap J_s(N-k-1)) = \frac{\mu(J_s(N-k-1))}{2^{k+1}}$$

$$(k=0, 1, \dots, N-1; s=1, 2, \dots, s_{N-k-1}; J_1(0)=(0, 1)).$$

We use this lemma in case $N = m+1$ and $k=3$. The suitable set E_k will be denoted by $E_3(m, n)$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
(8) \quad & \sum_{n=2^3+1}^{\infty} \int_E n^{x\gamma+x-1} |\tilde{\sigma}_n^{(\alpha)}(x) - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-1}^{(\alpha)}(x)|^x dx \geq \\
& \geq \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2^m+1}^{2^{m+1}} n^{x\gamma+x-1} \int_E \left| \sum_{v=0}^n L_{n,v}^{(\alpha)} a_v \chi_v(x) + \frac{1}{A_{n+1}^{(\alpha)}} a_{n+1} \chi_{n+1}(x) \right|^x dx = \\
& = \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2^m+1}^{2^{m+1}} n^{x\gamma+x-1} \int_E \left| \sum_{l=0}^{m+1} R_l(x; m, n) \right|^x dx \geq \\
& \geq \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2^m+1}^{2^{m+1}} n^{x\gamma+x-1} \int_{E \cap E_3(m, n)} |R_{m-2}(x; m, n)|^x dx \geq \\
& \geq \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2^m+1}^{2^{m+1}} \left(\int_{E_3(m, n)} - \int_{E_3(m, n) \cap E_3(m, n) \cap E} \right) |R_{m-2}(x; m, n)|^x dx = S.
\end{aligned}$$

By the lemma we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{E_3(m, n)} |R_{m-2}(x; m, n)|^x dx = \int_{E_3(m, n)} \left| \sum_{v=2^{m-2}+1}^{2^{m-1}} L_{n,v}^{(\alpha)} a_v \chi_v(x) \right|^x dx = \\
& = \int_{E_3(m, n)} \sum_{v=2^{m-2}+1}^{2^{m-1}} (L_{n,v}^{(\alpha)})^x a_v^x |\chi_v(x)|^x dx \geq \\
& \geq \sum_{k=1}^{2^{m-2}} (L_{n, 2^{m-2}+k}^{(\alpha)})^x a_{2^{m-2}+k}^x \sum_{\varrho=1}^{\varrho_{m-2}} \int_{E_3(m, n) \cap I_k(m-2, J_\varrho)} \frac{A_{m-2}}{a_{2^{m-2}+k}^{2/x}} dx \geq \\
& \geq \sum_{k=1}^{2^{m-2}} (L_{n, 2^{m-2}+k}^{(\alpha)})^x A_{m-2} \sum_{\varrho=1}^{\varrho_{m-2}} \frac{\mu(I_k(m-2, J_\varrho))}{2^4} = \\
& = \frac{1}{2^4} \sum_{k=1}^{2^{m-2}} (L_{n, 2^{m-2}+k}^{(\alpha)})^x A_{m-2} \sum_{\varrho=1}^{\varrho_{m-2}} \frac{d_{2^{m-2}+k}^2}{A_{m-2}^{2/x}} \mu(J_\varrho) = \\
& = \frac{1}{2^4} \sum_{v=2^{m-2}+1}^{2^{m-1}} (L_{n,v}^{(\alpha)})^x A_{m-2}^{1-2/x} a_v^2.
\end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate the second integral in (8) we apply the Hölder inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_3(m, n) - E_3(m, n) \cap E} |R_{m-2}(x; m, n)|^x dx &\leq \varepsilon^{\frac{2-x}{2}} \left(\int_0^1 \left| \sum_{v=2^{m-2}+1}^{2^{m-1}} L_{n,v}^{(\alpha)} a_v \chi_v(x) \right|^2 dx \right)^{x/2} = \\ &= \varepsilon^{\frac{2-x}{2}} \left(\sum_{v=2^{m-2}+1}^{2^{m-1}} (L_{n,v}^{(\alpha)})^2 a_v^2 \right)^{x/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by a standard computation, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} S &\geq \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2^m+1}^{2^{m+1}} n^{xy+x-1} \cdot \\ &\cdot \left\{ 2^{-4} \sum_{v=2^{m-2}+1}^{2^{m-1}} (L_{n,v}^{(\alpha)})^x A_{m-2}^{1-2/x} a_v^2 - \varepsilon^{\frac{2-x}{2}} \left(\sum_{v=2^{m-2}+1}^{2^{m-1}} (L_{n,v}^{(\alpha)})^2 a_v^2 \right)^{x/2} \right\} \geq \\ &\geq \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} 2^{-4} d_1^x(\alpha) A_{m-2}^{1-2/x} \sum_{v=2^{m-2}+1}^{2^{m-1}} n^{xy+x-1} \left(\frac{(n+1-v)^{x-1} v}{n^{x+1}} \right)^x - \\ &- \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2^m+1}^{2^{m+1}} n^{xy+x-1} d_x^2(\alpha) \varepsilon^{\frac{2-x}{2}} \left(\sum_{v=2^{m-2}+1}^{2^{m-1}} \left(\frac{(n+1-v)^{x-1} v}{n^{x+1}} \right)^2 a_v^2 \right)^{x/2} \geq \\ &\geq d_1^x(\alpha) 2^{-(6+x+2x\alpha-xy)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{v=2^m+1}^{2^{m+1}} v^{2y} a_v^2 \right\}^{x/2}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. the necessity of condition (1) is proved.

Next we prove that condition (1) is also sufficient. We suppose, as we may do without loss of generality, that $a_0 = a_1 = 0$. Applying (3), (6), and the Hölder inequality we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} n^{xy+x-1} \int_0^1 |\sigma_n^{(\alpha)}(x) - \sigma_{n-1}^{(\alpha)}(x)|^x dx \leq \\ &\leq O(1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2^m+1}^{2^{m+1}} n^{xy+x-1} \left(\int_0^1 |\sigma_{n+1}^{(\alpha)}(x) - \sigma_n^{(\alpha)}(x)|^2 dx \right)^{x/2} \leq \\ &\leq O(1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{xm(y+\frac{1}{2})} \left(\sum_{n=2^m+1}^{2^{m+1}} \left(\sum_{v=0}^n (L_{n,v}^{(\alpha)})^2 a_v^2 + \frac{1}{(A_{n+1}^{(\alpha)})^2} a_{n+1}^2 \right) \right)^{x/2} = \\ &= O(1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{xm(y+\frac{1}{2})} \left(\sum_{n=2^m+1}^{2^{m+1}} \sum_{v=0}^n \frac{(n+1-v)^{2x-2}}{n^{2x+2}} v^2 a_v^2 \right)^{x/2} + \\ &+ O(1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{xm(y+\frac{1}{2})} \left(\sum_{n=2^m+2}^{2^{m+1}+1} \frac{a_n^2}{(A_n^{(\alpha)})^2} \right)^{x/2} = O(1)(\sum_1 + \sum_2). \end{aligned}$$

A standard computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_1 &\leq O(1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha m(\gamma+\frac{1}{2})} \left(\sum_{n=2^m+1}^{2^{m+1}} \sum_{l=0}^m \sum_{v=2^l+1}^{\min(2^{l+1}, n)} \frac{(n+1-v)^{2\alpha-2} v^2 a_v^2}{n^{2\alpha+2}} \right)^{\alpha/2} \leq \\
 &\leq O(1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(2^{m(2\gamma-1-2\alpha)} \sum_{n=2^m+1}^{2^{m+1}} \sum_{l=0}^m \sum_{v=2^l+1}^{\min(2^{l+1}, n)} (n+1-v)^{2\alpha-2} v^2 a_v^2 \right)^{\alpha/2} \leq \\
 &\leq O(1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(2^{m(2\gamma-1-2\alpha)} \sum_{l=0}^m \sum_{v=2^l+1}^{2^{l+1}} v^2 a_v^2 \sum_{n=\max(2^m+1, v)}^{2^{m+1}} (n+1-v)^{2\alpha-2} \right)^{\alpha/2} \leq \\
 &\leq O(1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(2^{2m(\gamma-1)} \sum_{l=0}^m \sum_{v=2^l+1}^{2^{l+1}} v^2 a_v^2 \right)^{\alpha/2} \leq \\
 &\leq O(1) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha l} \left(\sum_{v=2^l+1}^{2^{l+1}} a_v^2 \right)^{\alpha/2} \sum_{m=l}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha m(\gamma-1)} = O(1) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{v=2^l+1}^{2^{l+1}} v^{2\gamma} a_v^2 \right)^{\alpha/2}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_2 &\leq O(1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha m(\gamma+\frac{1}{2})} \left(\sum_{n=2^m+1}^{2^{m+1}} \frac{a_n^2}{n^{2\alpha}} \right)^{\alpha/2} + O(1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha m(\gamma+\frac{1}{2}-\alpha)} a_{2^m+1}^2 \leq \\
 &\leq O(1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(2^{2\gamma m} \sum_{n=2^m+1}^{2^{m+1}} a_n^2 \right)^{\alpha/2} \leq O(1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=2^m+1}^{2^{m+1}} n^{2\gamma} a_n^2 \right)^{\alpha/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By the Beppo Levi theorem our proof is complete.

References

- [1] T. M. FLETT, Some more theorems concerning the absolute summability of Fourier series and power series, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, (3) **8** (1958), 357—387.
- [2] L. LEINDLER, Über die absolute Summierbarkeit der Orthogonalreihen, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **22** (1961), 243—268.
- [3] K. TANDORI, Über die orthogonalen Functionen. IX. Absolute Summation, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **21** (1960), 292—299.
- [4] A. Z. ZYGMUND, *Trigonometric series*. I (Cambridge, 1959).

(Received December 17, 1969)