## On a certain class of representations of function algebras

By DUMITRU GAŞPAR in Timişoara (S. R. Romania)

**1. Introduction.** In [4] B. Sz.-NAGY and C. FOIAS have introduced the class  $\mathscr{C}_{\varrho}$  of all bounded linear operators T on the Hilbert space H, which admit a representation of the form:

(1) 
$$T^n = \varrho P_H U^n | H$$
  $(n = 1, 2, ...),$ 

where U is a unitary operator on a Hilbert space K, containing H as a subspace and  $P_H$  is the orthogonal projection of K onto H. In [3] they have proved that any T belonging to some class  $\mathscr{C}_{\varrho}$  is similar to a contraction.

The definition of the class  $\mathscr{C}_{a}$  has a natural correspondent for operator valued representations on Hilbert spaces. For this let X be a compact Hausdorff space, C(X) the Banach algebra of all complex-valued continuous functions on X, A a function algebra on X (i. e. a closed subalgebra of X, which contains the constants and separates the points of X), and  $M_A$  the maximal ideal space of A (i.e. the set of all complex homomorphisms of A). For any  $\Phi \in M_A$  there exists a positive measure m on X such that

$$\Phi(f) = \int f \, dm \qquad (f \in A).$$

Such a measure is called a representing measure for  $\Phi$  (see [6]). As usual we write  $A_{\phi}$  for the kernel of  $\Phi$ .

By a representation of A on H we shall mean an algebraic homomorphism.  $f \rightarrow T_f$  of A in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  (the algebra of all bounded linear operators on H) satisfying  $T_1 = I$  (the identical operator on H) and

$$||T_f|| \le k ||f|| \qquad (f \in A).$$

If  $k=1, f \rightarrow T_f$  is called a *contractive representation* of A on H.

Let  $\varrho > 0$ . A (contractive) representation  $\varphi \to U_{\varphi}$  of C(X) on a Hilbert space K, where K contains H as a subspace, will be called a spectral  $\varrho$ -dilation of  $f \to T_f$  with respect to  $\Phi \in M_A$ , if

(2) 
$$T_f = \varrho P_H U_f | H \qquad (f \in A_{\phi}).$$

## D. Gaşpar

We say that a representation of A on H is of class  $\mathscr{C}_{\varrho}(A, H)$  if it has a spectral  $\varrho$ -dilation. If  $\varrho = 1$ , the spectral  $\varrho$ -dilation of  $f \to T_f$  means simply the spectral dilation of  $f \to T_f$  (see [2]). A contractive representation for which there exists a spectral dilation is called a *dilatable representation*.

The purpose of this note is to prove the analog of the result in [3], in the context of representations of function algebras. This is contained in the following

Theorem. Let  $f \to T_f$  be a representation of class  $\mathscr{C}_{\varrho}(A, H)$  with respect to  $\Phi \in M_A$ . Then there exists a Hilbert space H', an affinity X of H' onto H, and a contractive representation  $f \to T'_f$  of A on H' such that

$$T_f X = X T'_f \qquad (f \in A).$$

Moreover,  $f \rightarrow T'_f$  is a dilatable representation, and the spectral  $\varrho$ -dilation of  $f \rightarrow T_f$  is a spectral dilation of  $f \rightarrow T'_f$ .

2. Firstly we get a caracterization of the classes  $\mathscr{C}_{\varrho}(A, H)$  and the monotonity of these classes. For this aim let  $f \to T_f$  be a representation of class  $\mathscr{C}_{\varrho}(A, H)$  and  $\varphi \to U_{\varphi}$  its spectral  $\varrho$ -dilation. If  $f \in A$ , relation (2) implies:

$$\varrho P_H U_f | H = \varrho P_H U_{f-\Phi(f)} | H + \varrho \Phi(f) I = T_f + (\varrho - 1) \Phi(f) I,$$

that is,

(3) 
$$\frac{1}{\varrho}T_f + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\varrho}\right)\Phi(f)I = P_H U_f | H \qquad (f \in A).$$

Now  $\varphi \to S_{\varphi} = P_H U_{\varphi} | H (\varphi \in C(X))$  is a positive map of C(X) into  $\mathscr{B}(H)$  (see [[1]) for which the spectral dilation is exactly  $\varphi \to U_{\varphi}$ . Now  $T_f$  has the form:

$$T_f = \varrho S_f + (1-\varrho) \Phi(f) I = \varrho S_f + (1-\varrho) \left( \int f \, dm \right) I,$$

where m is a fixed representing measure for  $\Phi$ .

If we put

$$\tilde{T}_{\varphi} = \varrho S_{\varphi} + (1 - \varrho) \left( \int \varphi \, dm \right) I \qquad \left( \varphi \in C(X) \right)$$

we obtain a linear map  $\varphi \to \tilde{T}_{\varphi}$  of C(X) into  $\mathscr{B}(H)$ , which extends the given representation and satisfies

$$\frac{1}{\varrho}\,\widehat{T}_{\varphi} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\varrho}\right) \left(\int \varphi \,dm\right) I \ge 0 \qquad (\varphi \ge 0, \ \varphi \in C(X)).$$

The last condition is equivalent to

(4) 
$$(\varrho-1)\left(\int \varphi \, dm\right)I + \tilde{T}_{\varphi} \ge 0 \qquad (\varphi \ge 0, \ \varphi \in C(X)).$$

Conversely if we are given a representation  $f \rightarrow T_f$  of A on H, which admits an extension  $\varphi \rightarrow \tilde{T}_{\varphi}$  to C(X) satisfying (4), then

$$S_{\varphi} = \frac{1}{\varrho} \, \tilde{T}_{\varphi} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\varrho}\right) \left(\int \varphi \, dm\right) I$$

defines a positive map  $\varphi \to S_{\varphi}$  of C(X) into  $\mathscr{B}(H)$ . Let  $\varphi \to U_{\varphi}$  be the spectral dilation of  $\varphi \to S_{\varphi}$  (see [1]). It is immediate that  $\varphi \to U_{\varphi}$  is a spectral  $\varrho$ -dilation of  $f \to T_f$ , and consequently the given representation is of class  $\mathscr{C}_{\varrho}(A, H)$ . In this manner we have proved the following

Proposition. The representation  $f \to T_f$  of A on H is of the class  $\mathscr{C}_{\varrho}(A, H)$ if and only if it admits a linear extension  $\varphi \to \tilde{T}_{\varphi}$  to C(X) satisfying (4).

Corollary. If  $\varrho \leq \varrho'$  then  $\mathscr{C}_{\varrho}(A, H) \subseteq \mathscr{C}_{\varrho'}(A, H)$ .

Proof. Let  $f \to T_f$  be a representation of the class  $\mathscr{C}_{\varrho}(A, H)$ . Then, by the proposition, it has an extension  $\varphi \to \tilde{T}_{\varphi}$  to C(X) which satisfies (4). But if  $\varphi \in C(X)$ ,  $\varphi \ge 0$ , then for  $\varrho' \ge \varrho$  we have  $(\varrho'-1) (\int \varphi \, dm)I + \tilde{T}_{\varphi} \ge (\varrho-1) (\int \varphi \, dm)I + \tilde{T}_{\varphi} \ge 0$ , that is, condition (4) is satisfied, with  $\varrho'$  instead of  $\varrho$ . According to the above proposition,  $f \to T_f$  is of the class  $\mathscr{C}_{\varrho}(A, H)$ , and the corollary is proved.

3. Now we are able to prove the theorem. This proof is modelled on that in [3]. In the sequel m will be a fixed representing measure for  $\Phi$ .

We suppose that  $f \to T_f$  is of class  $\mathscr{C}_r(A, H)$ . Then, by the corollary, it is also of class  $\mathscr{C}_{\varrho}(A, H)$  for  $\varrho \ge r$ . Let  $\varphi \to U_{\varphi}$  be the spectral  $\varrho$ -dilation of  $f \to T_f$ , and  $K_{\varrho}$  the  $\varrho$ -dilation space. We set

(5) 
$$M_{\varrho} = \bigvee_{f \in A_{\varphi}, g \in A} U_g^* (U_f^* - T_f^*) H$$

and  $t_e = ||P_{M_e}|H||$ , where  $P_{M_e}$  is the orthogonal projection of  $K_e$  on  $M_e$ . It is obvious that  $t_e \leq 1$ . Moreover,  $t_e$  is the smallest positive number for which the inequality

(6) 
$$|(h, m_o)| \leq t_o ||h|| ||m_o||$$

holds for any  $h \in H$  and  $m_{\rho} \in M_{\rho}$  of the form:

(7) 
$$m_{\varrho} = \sum_{g,f} U_{g}^{*} (U_{f}^{*} - T_{f}^{*}) h_{g}^{f},$$

where the family  $\{h_{\sigma}^{f}: g \in A, f \in A_{\phi}\}$  has a finite number of elements.

Using (3) we obtain by a simple computation:

$$(h, m_{\varrho}) = \left(h, \sum_{g,f} (\delta - 1) \overline{\Phi(g)} T_f^* h_g^f\right),$$

7 A

where  $\delta = \frac{1}{\rho}$ . Consequently, relation (6) is equivalent to

(8) 
$$(\delta-1)^2 \left\| \sum_{g,f} \overline{\Phi(g)} T_f^* h_g^f \right\|^2 \leq t_\varrho^2 \|m_\varrho\|^2.$$

Now we compute the norm of  $m_{\rho}$ :

$$\begin{split} \|m_{\varrho}\|^{2} &= \sum_{g,g'} \left( U_{g'\bar{g}} \sum_{f} (U_{f}^{*} - T_{f}^{*}) h_{g}^{f}, \sum_{f'} (U_{f'}^{*} - T_{f'}^{*}) h_{g'}^{f'} \right) = \\ &= \sum_{g,g'} \left[ \sum_{f,f'} (U_{f'g'\bar{g}\bar{f}} h_{g}^{f}, h_{g'}^{f'}) - \sum_{f,f'} (T_{f}^{*} h_{g}^{f}, U_{g\bar{g}\bar{f}} f_{g}^{f}, h_{g'}^{f'}) + \sum_{f,f'} (U_{g'\bar{g}} T_{f}^{*} h_{g}^{f}, T_{f'}^{*} h_{g'}^{f'}) \right] = \\ &= \sum_{g,g'} (h_{g}^{f}, h_{g'}^{f'}) \int f'g' \bar{f}\bar{g} \, dm - 2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{g,g'} (T_{f}^{*} h_{g}^{f}, h_{g'}^{f'}) \int f'g' \bar{g} \, dm + \\ &+ \sum_{g,g'} (T_{f}^{*} h_{g}^{f}, T_{f'}^{*} h_{g'}^{f'}) \int g' \bar{g} \, dm + \frac{1}{\varrho} \sum . \end{split}$$
  
In this calculus we have used:

$$(U_{\varphi}h,h') = (h,h')\int\varphi\,dm + \frac{1}{\varrho}\left[(\tilde{T}_{\varphi}h,h') - (h,h')\int\varphi\,dm\right] \qquad (h,h'\in H; \ \varphi\in C(X))$$

and we have denoted by  $\frac{1}{\varrho}\Sigma$  the term which contains  $\frac{1}{\varrho}$  as a factor.

By introducing the scalar products under the integral and interchanging the sum with the integral it follows

$$\|m_{\varrho}\|^{2} = \int \{ \|\sum_{g,f} \bar{f}\bar{g}h_{g}^{f}\|^{2} - 2\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{g,f} \bar{g}T_{f}^{*}h_{g}^{f}, \sum_{g',f'} \bar{g}'\bar{f}'h_{g'}^{f}\right) + \|\sum_{g,f} \bar{g}T_{f}^{*}h_{g}^{f}\|^{2} \} dm + \frac{1}{\varrho} \sum = \int \|\sum_{g,f} \bar{f}\bar{g}h_{g}^{f} - \sum_{g,f} \bar{g}T_{f}^{*}h_{h}^{f}\|^{2} dm + \frac{1}{\varrho} \sum.$$

Now writing  $m_r \in M_r$  as in (7) we obtain

(9) 
$$\varrho \|m_{\varrho}\|^{2} - r \|m_{r}\|^{2} = (\varrho - r) \int \left\|\sum_{g,f} \bar{f}\bar{g}h_{g}^{f} - \sum_{g,f} \bar{g}T_{f}^{*}h_{g}^{f}\right\|^{2} dm.$$

By (9) and by a simple evaluation of the integral of the vector-valued continuous functions we deduce

$$\begin{split} \varrho \|m_{\varrho}\|^{2} &\geq r \|m_{r}\|^{2} + (\varrho - r) \left\| \int \sum_{g,f} (\bar{f}\bar{g}h_{g}^{f} - \bar{g}T_{f}^{*}h_{g}^{f}) dm \right\|^{2} = \\ &= r \|m_{r}\|^{2} + (\varrho - r) \left\| \sum_{g,f} \left( \int \bar{g} dm \right) T_{f}^{*}h_{g}^{f} \right\|^{2}. \end{split}$$

For the last equality we have used

$$\int \bar{f}\bar{g}h_g^f dm = \left(\int \bar{f}\bar{g}\,dm\right)h_g^f = \overline{\Phi(f)}\,\overline{\Phi(g)}h_g^f = 0.$$

Because (8) remains true if  $\rho = r$ , with 1 instead of  $t_r$  we have

$$\begin{split} \varrho \|m_{\varrho}\|^{2} &\geq \left[r\left(\frac{1}{r}-1\right)^{2}+(\varrho-r)\right] \left\|\sum_{g,f} \overline{\Phi(g)} T_{f}^{*} h_{g}^{f}\right\|^{2} = \\ &= \left(\varrho-2+\frac{1}{r}\right) \left\|\sum_{g,f} \overline{\Phi(g)} T_{f}^{*} h_{g}^{f}\right\|^{2}. \end{split}$$

Now by multiplying with  $\left(\frac{1}{\varrho}-1\right)^2$ , a simple computation shows that

$$\left(1-\frac{1}{\varrho}\right)^2 \left\|\sum_{g,f} \overline{\Phi(g)} T_f^* h_g^f\right\|^2 \leq \frac{\varrho-2+\frac{1}{\varrho}}{\varrho-2+\frac{1}{r}} \|m_\varrho\|^2.$$

Comparing this inequality with (8) we conclude that  $t_{\rho} < 1$  for  $\rho > r$ .

The rest of the proof proceeds exactly the same way as in [3], with the only remark that  $k \in N_{\varrho} = K_{\varrho} \ominus M_{\varrho}$  ( $\varrho > r$ ) if and only if

$$T_f P_H U_g k = P_H U_{gf} k \qquad (g \in A, f \in A_{\phi}).$$

The desired space in the theorem is  $H' = P_{N_e} H$ , the affinity is  $X = \overline{P_H} | \overline{H'}$ , and finally  $T'_f = P_{H'} U_f | H' \ (f \in A)$ .

## References

- [1] C. FOIAŞ, Măsuri spectrale și semispectrale, Studii cerc. mat., 18 (1966), 7-56.
- [2] C. FOIAŞ and I. SUCIU, Szegő measures and spectral theory in Hilbert spaces, Rev. Roum. Math. pures et appl., 11 (1966), 147–159.
- [3] B. Sz.-NAGY et C. FOIAŞ, Similitude des opérateurs de classe C<sub>e</sub> à des contractions, C. R. Acàd. Sci. Paris, 264 (1967), 1063—1065.
- [4] B. Sz.-NAGY and C. FOIAŞ, On certain class of power-bounded operators in Hilbert space, Acta Sci. Math., 27 (1966), 17-26.
- [5] B. Sz.-NAGY et C. FOIAS, Analyse harmonique des opérateurs de l'espace de Hilbert (Paris et Budapest, 1967).

[6] I. SUCIU, Algebre de funcții (București, 1969).

(Received November 2, 1970)