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Introduction. Sz.-NAGY and FoIAs introduced, for each fixed $\varrho>0$, the class $C_{\varrho}$ of operators $T$ on a given complex Hilbert space $H$ for which there exist a Hilbert space $K$ containing $H$ as a subspace and a unitary operator $U$ on $K$ satisfying the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{n}=\varrho \cdot P U^{n} \quad(n=1,2, \ldots) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P$ is the orthogonal projection of $K$ on $H$; this unitary operator $U$ is called a unitary $\varrho$-dilation of $T$.

It is well known that $C_{1}=\{T:\|T\| \leqq 1\}$ ([7]) and that $C_{2}=\{T: w(T) \leqq 1\}$ ([1]), where $w(T)$ denotes the numerical radius of $T$ i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(T)=\sup |(T h, h)| \quad \text { for } \quad h \in H,\|h\|=1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sz.-NAGY and FoIAş have characterized $C_{\varrho}$ for general $\varrho>0$. One of their results is:
Theorem A ([8]). An operator $T$ on $H$ belongs to the class $C_{e}(\varrho \geqq 2)$ if and only if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\left\|(\mu I-T)^{-1}\right\| \leqq \frac{1}{|\mu|-1}\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\text { for } & 1<|\mu|<\infty \tag{*}
\end{array} \text { if } \varrho=2, ~ f o r ~ 1<|\mu| \leqq \frac{\varrho-1}{\varrho-2} \quad \text { if } \varrho>2, ~\right.
$$

(* ${ }^{*}$ ) $\quad T$ has its spectrum in the closed unit disc.
In [6] J. A. R. Holbrook introduced the functions $w_{e}(T)$ defined on the space $B(H)$ of all operators on $H$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{w}_{\varrho}(T)=\inf \left\{u: u>0, \frac{1}{u} T \in C_{e}\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in particular, we have $w_{2}(T)=w(T), w_{1}(T)=\|T\|$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{e}=\left\{T: w_{e}(T) \leqq 1\right\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following theorem holds:

Theorem $\mathrm{B}([6]) . w_{e}(T)$ has the following properties:
(i) $w_{e}(T)<\infty$;
(ii) $w_{e}(T)<\infty$, $\quad w_{e}(T)>0$ unless $T=0$, in fact $w_{e}(T) \geqq \frac{1}{\varrho}\|T\|$; $\quad$ (iii) $w^{\prime}(z T)=|z| w_{\varrho}(T)$;
(iii) $w_{e}(z T)=|z| w_{e}(T)$;
(iv) $w_{\varrho}(T)$ is a norm whenever $0<\varrho \leqq 2$;
(v) $w_{\varrho}(T)$ is continuous and non-increasing as a function of $\varrho$; moreover, $r(T) \leqq w_{\varrho}(T)$ for $\varrho>0$ and $\lim _{\varrho \rightarrow \infty} w_{\varrho}(T)=r(T)$, where $r(T)$ is the spectral radius of $T$;
(vi) the "power inequality" holds: $w_{Q}\left(T^{k}\right) \leqq\left(w_{Q}(T)\right)^{k} \quad(k=1,2, \ldots)$.

In [2] and [8] there are given examples of power bounded operators which are not contained in any of the classes $C_{\rho}$.

## 1. The theorems and their corollaries

Theorem 1. If $T^{2}=T$ and $T \in C_{e}$, then $T$ is a projection.
Theorem 2. If $T^{k}=T$ for some positive integer $k \geqq 2$ and $T \in C_{e}$, then $T$ is the direct sum of a zero operator and of a unitary operator, i.e. $T$ is normal and partially isometric.

Corollary 1 ([4]). If $T$ is an idempotent. operator that satisfies any of the following conditions
(i) $T$ is a contraction;
(ii) $T$ is a numerical radius contraction $(w(T) \leqq 1)$,
(iii) $T$ has equal norm and spectral radius (normaloid [5]),
(iv) $T$ has equal numerical and spectral radius (spectraloid [5]), then $T$ is an orthogonal projection.

Corollary 2 ([4]). If $T^{k}=T$ for some positive integer $k \geqq 2$ and satisfies any of the conditions (i)-(iv) in Corollary 1, then $T$ is the direct sum of a zero operator and of a unitary operator, i.e. $T$ is normal and partially isometric.

Corollary 3. If $T^{k}=T$ for some positive integer $k \geqq 2$ and $\|T\|>1$, then $T$ is not contained in any of the classes $C_{e}$.

Corollary 3 gives another simple examples of power bounded operators which are not contained in any of the classes $C_{e}$.

Proof of Theorem 1. By the idempotency of $T, R(T)$ (the range of $T$ ) coincides with null space of $I-T$, so that $R(T)$ is a closed subspace of $H$. Let ${ }^{\prime} P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ denote the orthogonal projections of $H$ onto $R(T)$ and $R(T)^{\perp}$, respectively.

We consider the matrix of $T$ with respect to the decomposition $H=R(T) \oplus R(T)^{\perp}$ i.e.

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
P_{1} T P_{1} & P_{1} T P_{2} \\
P_{2} T P_{1} & P_{2} T P_{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
I & S \\
O & O
\end{array}\right), \quad(\mu I-T)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{\mu-1} I & \frac{1}{\mu(\mu-1)} S \\
O & \frac{1}{\mu} I
\end{array}\right)
$$

We suppose that $T$ is not a projection, that is, $S \neq 0$. Then

$$
\left\|(\mu I-T)^{-1}\right\|=\sqrt{\frac{1}{|\mu-1|^{2}}+\frac{\|S\|^{2}}{|\mu(\mu-1)|^{2}}}>\frac{1}{|\mu-1|}
$$

by taking $\mu$ real with $1<\mu \leqq \frac{\varrho-1}{\varrho-2}$; we obtain

$$
\left\|(\mu I-T)^{-1}\right\|>\frac{1}{|\mu-1|}=\frac{1}{|\mu|-1}
$$

Hence $T$ does not satisfy condition (*) for any $\varrho \geqq 2$. Since $C_{\varrho}$ is a non-decreasing function of $\varrho$, we have $T \notin C_{\varrho}$ for any $\varrho>0$. This contradiction proves Theorem 1.

Theorem 3. If $T^{k}=T$ for some postive integer $k \geqq 2$ and $T \in C_{e}$, then $T^{k-1}$ is a projection.

Proof. We have $T^{2(k-1)}=T^{k-2} T^{k}=T^{k-2} T^{1}=T^{k-1}$, which implies that $T^{k-1}$ is an idempotent operator. Hence by (4) and the power inequality for $w_{\varrho}(T)$ we have $w_{\varrho}\left(T^{k-1}\right) \leqq\left(w_{\varrho}(T)\right)^{k-1} \leqq 1$ so that $T^{k-1} \in C_{\varrho}$; thus $T^{k-1}$ is a projection by Theorem 1 .

Proof of Theorem 2. It is sufficient to consider the case that $T^{k}=T$ and $T \in C_{e}$, where $k \geqq 2$ and $\varrho \geqq 1$. By Theorem $3, P=T^{k-1}$ is a projection. Set $M=R(P)$. The relation $T=T P=P T$ implies that $M$ reduces $T$ and that $T$ is zero on $M^{\perp}$.

On the other hand, $T_{1}=T \mid M$ satisfies $T_{1}^{k-1}=P \mid M=I_{M}$ and $w_{e}\left(T_{1}\right) \leqq 1$. Thus we have $T_{1}^{-1}=T_{1}^{k-2}$. By the power inequality for $w_{\rho}(T)$

$$
w_{e}\left(T_{1}^{-1}\right)=w_{e}\left(T_{1}^{k-2}\right) \leqq\left(w_{e}\left(T_{1}\right)\right)^{k-2} \leqq 1
$$

whence we have $w_{\varrho}\left(T_{1}\right) \leqq 1$ and $w_{e}\left(T_{1}^{-1}\right) \leqq 1$ for $\varrho \geqq 1$, therefore $T_{1}$ is unitary ([9]). Consequently $T$ is the direct sum of zero operator and of a unitary operator, that is to say, $T$ is normal and partially isometric.

Corollaries 1 and 2 follow from Theorems 1 and 2 and from the fact that $w_{e}(T)$ is a continuous and non-increasing function of $\varrho$. Corollary 3 is obvious by Theorem 2 .

If $T^{2}=I$ and $T \in C_{e}$, then $T$ is unitary ([9]). Hence we remark that if $T^{2}=I$ and $\|T\|>1$, then $T \notin C_{\varrho}$ for any $\varrho$, in fact there are given two concrete examples in [2] and [8], which satisfy $T^{2}=I$ and $T \notin C_{\varrho}$ for any $\varrho$.

## 2. " $Q$-oid" operators

Definition 1 ([3]). An operator $T$ will be called " $\varrho$-oid"' if

$$
w_{e}\left(T^{k}\right)=\left(w_{e}(T)\right)^{k} \quad(k=1,2, \ldots) ;
$$

1 -oid and 2 -oid operators are normaloid and spactraloid, respectively ([5]).
Theorem C ([3]), For each $\varrho \geqq 1$,

$$
w_{e}(T)=r(T) \text { if and only if } w_{e}\left(T^{k}\right)=\left(w_{e}(T)\right)^{k} \quad(k=1,2, \ldots)
$$

For each $0<\varrho<1$ there exists no non-zero " $\varrho$-oid" operator which is included in the class of normaloids ([3]).

By the power inequality $w_{\varrho}\left(T^{k}\right) \leqq\left(w_{\varrho}(T)\right)^{k}(k=1,2, \ldots)$, Theorems 1 and 2 , we have the following corollaries.

Corollary 4. If $T$ is " $\varrho$-oid" and $T^{2}=T$, then $T$ is a projection.
Corollary 5. If $T$ is " $\varrho$-oid "and $T^{k}=T$ for some positive integer $k \geqq 2$, then $T$ is the direct sum of zero and a unitary operator, that is to say, $T$ is normal and partially isometric.
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