

The infimum of two projections

By W. N. ANDERSON, Jr. and M. SCHREIBER in New York (N.Y., U.S.A.)

1. The purpose of this note is to give a closed formula for the infimum of two projections in Hilbert space. Heretofore, this infimum has been expressed by an iterative scheme as follows:¹⁾ If P and Q are projections on closed subspaces M and N , then the projection $P \wedge Q$ on $M \cap N$ is given by

$$(1) \quad P \wedge Q = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(QP)^n.$$

We shall show that

$$(2) \quad P \wedge Q = 2P(P+Q)^+ Q$$

if $M+N$ is closed, where $(P+Q)^+$ denotes the inverse of $P+Q$ restricted to its range. This formula is exactly that given by ANDERSON and DUFFIN [2] for matrices, and the result of this note is that it generalizes to infinite dimensions as stated. We also give an apparently heretofore unstated necessary and sufficient condition for $M+N$ to be closed (namely, that M and N make a positive angle modulo $M \cap N$).

The combination $A(A+B)^+ B$, introduced in [2], is called the parallel sum, so named because of its origin in and application to electrical network theory ($((r_1^{-1} + r_2^{-1})^{-1} = r_1(r_1 + r_2)^{-1} r_2$ is the resistance arising from resistors r_1 and r_2 in parallel). A second formulation of the parallel sum in finite dimensions is given by ANDERSON [1].

We shall use the following notations: P_M for the projection on M , $R(A)$ and $N(A)$ for range and nullity, M^- and M^\perp for closure and orthocomplement, $A|M$ for restriction.

2. We begin by noting some facts about non-negative bounded operators.

For hermitian operators generally one knows that $R(A) = \overline{AR(A)}$, that A is one-one on $R(A)$, and A is invertible on $R(A)$ if and only if $R(A) = \overline{R(A)}$ (closed graph theorem).

¹⁾ See [4] for background.

Hence for non-negative operators we have

(i) $R(A)$ closed if and only if A is bounded away from 0 on $R(A)$.

It follows that $R(A)$ is closed if and only if $R(A^\dagger)$ is closed. Since $N(A) = N(A^\dagger)$ (because by positivity $(Ax, x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$), and therefore $\overline{R(A)} = \overline{R(A^\dagger)}$, we have

(ii) $R(A)$ closed implies $R(A) = R(A^\dagger)$.

T. CRIMMINS has shown that $R(S) + R(T) = (SS^* + TT^*)^\dagger$ for any bounded operators S and T .²⁾ From this we get

(iii) If $R(A)$, $R(B)$, and $R(A + B)$ are closed, then

$$(3) \quad R(A) + R(B) = R(A + B),$$

for $R(A) + R(B) = R(A^\dagger) + R(B^\dagger) = R((A + B)^\dagger) = R(A + B)$.

As it follows from the preceding computation that $R(A) + R(B)$ is closed if and only if $R((A + B)^\dagger)$ is closed, we have

(iv) If $R(A)$ and $R(B)$ are closed, a necessary and sufficient condition that $R(A + B)$ be closed is that $R(A) + R(B)$ be closed.

Next, we introduce a generalized inverse (see [3] for background) and the parallel sum. If A has closed range, define A^+ by

$$(4) \quad A^+ | R = (A | R)^{-1}, \quad A^+ | N = 0.$$

If $A + B$ has closed range, define the parallel sum $A : B$ by

$$(5) \quad A : B = A(A + B)^+ B.$$

This operation satisfies

$$(6) \quad A : B = B : A = (A : B)^*, \quad R(A : B) = R(A) \cap R(B)$$

if $R(A)$ and $R(B)$ are closed, as we shall presently show. The equations $R(A + B) = R(A) + R(B)$ and $R(A : B) = R(A) \cap R(B)$, valid when $R(A) + R(B)$ is closed, show that the set of $A \geq 0$ with closed range is almost a lattice, in the sense that the sup and inf, inherited from the lattice of subspaces, exist whenever the sum of the ranges is closed. If we now think of projections P and Q in this context and ask what significance attaches to their sup and inf, we are led to the following theorem:

²⁾ The result is unpublished and was told to us by J. P. WILLIAMS. Proof: Write $A = \begin{pmatrix} S & -T \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ on $H \oplus H$. Then $\{R(S) + R(T)\} \oplus \{0\} = R(A) = R((AA^*)^\dagger) = R((SS^* + TT^*)^\dagger) \oplus \{0\}$.

Theorem. If P and Q are projections such that $R(P)+R(Q)$ is closed, then $2P:Q = P \wedge Q$.

Note that by (iv) the hypothesis is equivalent to assuming $R(P+Q)$ closed.

To prove the theorem, we first prove the identities (6) (following [2]). By (iii) we have $R(A), R(B) \subset R(A+B)$. Hence $B(A+B)^+(A+B) = B, (A+B)^+(A+B)A = A$ (because $(A+B)^+(A+B)$ is the projection on $R(A+B)$). Then $A:B = A(A+B)^+B = (A+B-B)(A+B)^+(A+B-A)$ easily reduces to $B(A+B)^+A = B:A$. Since $(A:B)^* = B:A$, we have $(A:B)^* = A:B$. By the commutativity again we have $R(A:B) \subset R(A) \cap R(B)$. If $x \in R(A) \cap R(B)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (A:B)(A^++B^+)x &= A(A+B)^+BB^+x + B(A+B)^+AA^+x = \\ &= (A(A+B)^+ + B(A+B)^+)x = P_{R(A+B)}x = x. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $R(A:B) = R(A) \cap R(B)$. This last computation simplifies, if A and B are projections P and Q , to $2P(P+Q)^+Qx = 2(P:Q)x = x$ for $x \in R(P) \cap R(Q)$. Thus $2(P:Q)$ is a hermitian idempotent with range $R(P) \cap R(Q)$, and this proves the theorem.

3. We conclude by showing that $R(P)+R(Q)$ is closed if and only if $R(P)$ and $R(Q)$ make a positive angle modulo $R(P) \cap R(Q)$. To establish this, we first consider the case when the two subspaces, which we denote by M and N , are disjoint. Then the assertion is that $M+N$ is closed if and only if

$$(7) \quad |(x, y)| \leq \|x\| \|y\| (1 - \delta) \quad (\delta > 0)$$

for all $x \in M, y \in N$.

Suppose (7) holds, and consider a Cauchy sequence $u_n = x_n + y_n$ in $M+N$. Write $u_{nm} \equiv u_n - u_m$, etc. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{nm}\|^2 &= \|x_{nm}\|^2 + \|y_{nm}\|^2 + 2\text{Re}(x_{nm}, y_{nm}) \leq \|x_{nm}\|^2 + \|y_{nm}\|^2 - \\ &\quad - 2(1 - \delta)\|x_{nm}\| \|y_{nm}\| = \{\|x_{nm}\| - \|y_{nm}\|\}^2 + 2\delta \|x_{nm}\| \|y_{nm}\|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $u_{nm} \rightarrow 0$ implies $x_{nm} \rightarrow 0, y_{nm} \rightarrow 0$, and therefore that u_n converges in $M+N$.³⁾

Conversely, suppose $M+N$ is closed. It then follows that the "coordinate" map $T: M+N \rightarrow M$ given by $T(x+y) = x$ is continuous. The proof consists in verifying that T has closed graph and then applying the closed graph theorem. This argument is due to KOBER [5], and as the verification is simple we omit it. Since T is bounded, we have

$$\|x\| \leq A \|x \pm y\|$$

³⁾ This formulation of the argument is due to B. GLICKFELD.

for all $x \in M$, $y \in N$. Taking $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ and squaring, we get

$$1 \cong A^2(2 \pm 2 \operatorname{Re}(x, y))$$

whence $|\operatorname{Re}(x, y)| \cong 1 - \frac{1}{2A^2}$, and (7) follows from this immediately.

If $M \cap N = I \neq \{0\}$, we pass to the quotient space H/I . If $M+N$ is closed, so is $(M+N)/I = M/I + N/I$ and so M and N make a positive angle modulo I . Conversely, if M/I and N/I make a positive angle H/I , then $M/I + N/I$ is closed, whence so is $M+N$.

References

- [1] W. N. ANDERSON, Jr., Shorted Operators, to appear in *SIAM J. Applied Math.*
- [2] W. N. ANDERSON, Jr. and R. J. DUFFIN, Series and Parallel Addition of Matrices, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **26** (1969), 576—594.
- [3] A. BEN ISREAL and A. CHARNES, Contributions to the Theory of Generalized Inverses, *J. Indust. Appl. Math.*, **11** (1963), 667—699.
- [4] P. R. HALMOS, *A Hilbert Space Problem Book*, Van Nostrand (Princeton, 1967).
- [5] H. KOBER, A Theorem on Banach Space, *Comp. Math.*, **7** (1939), 135—140.

ROCKEFELLER UNIVERSITY
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10021

(Received January 15, 1971)