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1. Let $S$ be a set of elements $\{a, b, c, \ldots\}$. A ternary operation $f$ is a mapping of $S \times S \times S$ to $S$. The operation $f$ is said to be associative, if for every $a, b, c, d, e \in S$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a, b,(c, d, e)_{f}\right]_{f}=\left[a,(b, c, d)_{f}, e\right]_{f}=\left[(a, b, c)_{f}, d, e\right]_{f} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(x, y, z)_{f}$ denotes the image by $f$ of the ordered triplet $(x, y, z)$ in $S$. The equalities in (1.1) are called associativity conditions for the elements $a, b, c, d, e$.

Associativity conditions in a set are said to be independent if any of them is not implied by the rest [1]. Thus, for ternary operations in $S$, the associativity conditions are independent if for every sequence $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ of five elements in $S$ it is possible to define a ternary operation $f$ in $S$ in such a way that the equalities (*) hold for every sequence of elements $\{p, q, r, s, t\}$ of $S$ different from $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ whereas for this latter sequence (*) does not hold.
2. G. SzÁsz [2] has investigated the independence of associativity conditions for binary operations and has established the following theorem:

Theorem. If the number of elements in a set $S$ is greater than three, then the associativity conditions in $S$ are independent.

In what follows we study the same problem for ternary operations and prove:
Theorem. If the number of elements in a set $S$ is greater than five, then the associativity conditions for ternary operations in $S$ are independent.
3. Proof. For simplicity we drop the mapping letter $f$ for the ternary operation.

Let $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ be an arbitrary sequence of five elements of $S$. We shall prove the theorem by defining ternary operations over $S$ such that the associativity conditions hold in all other cases except for this sequence. In what follows we consider separately the various alternatives for the elements of the sequence $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ to prove the theorem.
3. 1. Let $a=b=c=d=e$. Since $S$ contains more than five elements, we can choose three more distinct elements $u, v, w$ different from $a$, and define the following operation in $S$ :

$$
(a, a, a)=u,(a, a, u)=v, \quad \text { and } \quad(x, y, z)=w \text { in all other cases. }
$$

Clearly, the associativity conditions do not hold for the given sequence of elements, as

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[(a, a, a), a, a]=(u, a, a)=w, \quad[a,(a, a, a), a]=(a, u, a)=w,} \\
\text { but } \quad[a, a,(a, a, a)]=(a, a, u)=v .
\end{gathered}
$$

We show that for every sequence $\{p, q, r, s, t\}$ different from $\{a, a, a, a, a\}$, the associativity conditions do hold.

Since $(p, q, r)$ and $(q, r, s)$ are never $a$, we have $[(p, q, r), s, t]=w$ and $[p,(q, r, s), t]=w$.

Now, if $(r, s, t) \neq u$, then as $(r, s, t) \neq a,[p ; q,(r, s, t)]=w$. And if $(r, s, t)=u$ but $p \neq a$, even then $\cdot(p, q, u)=w$. And even if $(r, s, t)=u, p=a$, then $(a, q, u)=w$, except when $q=a$, in which case $\{p, q, r, s, t\}$ is the same as $\{a, a, a, a, a\}$, the given sequence. This proves the contention.
3. 2. Let $a \neq b$ and $b=c=d=e$ (all but one element being the same).

Since $S$ contains more than five elements, we can choose an element $w$ different from $a$ and $b$, and define the following operation in $S$ :

$$
(a, b, b)=a \quad \text { and } \quad(x, y, z)=w \quad \text { in all other cases. }
$$

We have for the given sequence $\{a, b, b, b, b\}$ :
$[a, b,(b, b, b)]=w \quad$ and $\quad[a,(b, b, b), b]=w$, but $\quad[(a, b, b), b, b]=(a, b, b)=a$,
so that the associativity conditions do not hold in this case.
For any sequence $\{p, q, r, s, t\}$ different from $\{a, b, b, b, b\}$ we show that the associativity conditions do hold.

Since $(q, r, s) \neq b$ and $(r, s, t) \neq b$,

$$
[p(q, r, s), t]=w \quad \text { and } \quad[p, q,(r, s, t)]=w .
$$

Now, if $(p, q, r) \neq a$, then $[(p, q, r), s, t]=w$. And if $(p, q, r)=a$, but $s \neq b$, then $[(p, q, r), s, t]=(a, s, t)=w$. And even if $(p, q, r)=a, s=b$, then $[(p, \dot{q}, \dot{r}), s, t]=$ $=(a, b, t)=w$ except when $t=b$, in which case $\{p, q, r, s, t\}$ is the same as $\{a, b, b, b, b\}$, the given sequence.
3.2.1. For another permutation of the same sequence of 3.2 we prove the theorem as follows:

When the sequence is $\{b, a, b, b, b\}$, we can choose three more distinct elements $u, v$ and $w$ different from $a$ and $b$ (as $S$ contains more than five elements) and then define the following operation in $S$ :

$$
(b, b, b)=u,(b, a, u)=v, . \text { and } \quad(x, y, z)=w \text { in all other cases. }
$$

As $\quad[(b, a, b), b, b]=w, \quad[b,(a, b, b), b]=w, \quad$ and $\quad[b, a,(b, b, b)]=(b, a, u)=v$, we see that the associativity conditions do not hold for the given sequence $\{b$, $a, b, b, b\}$.

For any sequence $\{p, q, r, s, t\}$ different from $\{b, a, b, b, b\}$ we show that the conditions do hold.

Since $(p, q, r)$ and $(q, r, s)$ can never be equal to $a$ or $b$,

$$
[(p, q, r), s, t]=w \quad \text { and } \quad[p,(q, r, s), t]=w .
$$

Now, if $(r, s, t) \neq u$, then $[p, q,(r, s, t)]=w$, as $(r, s, t) \neq b$. And, if $(r, s, t)=u$, but $p \neq b$, then $[p, q,(r, s, t)]=w$. And even if $(r, s, t)=u, p=b, \quad[p, q,(r, s, t)]=$ $=(b, q, u)=w$, except when $q=a$, in which case $\{p, q, r, s, t\}$ is the same as $\{b, a, b, b, b\}$, the given sequence.

By defining a similar operation in $S$, we can demonstrate the truth of the theorem in the same way for every other sequence of five elements in which four elements are equal but the fifth is different*).
3. 3. Let $a=b, c=d=e$ and $a \neq c$.

Since $S$ contains more than five elements we can choose three more distinct elements $u, v$, and $w$ different from $a$ and $c$ and define the operation as follows:

$$
(c, c, c)=u,(a, a, u)=v, \quad \text { and } \quad(x, y, z)=w \quad \text { in all other cases. }
$$

We have for the given sequence

$$
[(a, a, c), c, c]=w . \quad \text { and } \quad[a,(a, c, c), c]=w, \quad \text { but } \quad[a, a,(c, c, c)]=(a, a, u)=v
$$

so that the associativity conditions do not hold, whereas we show that for any sequence $\{p, q, r, s, t\}$ different from $\{a, a, c, c, c\}$ the conditions do hold.

Since $(p, q, r)$ and $(q, r, s)$ can never be equal to $a$ or $c$, we get

$$
[(p, q, r), s, t]=w \quad \text { and } \quad[p,(q, r, s), t]=w .
$$

Now if $(r, s, t) \neq u$, then $[p, q,(r, s, t)]=w$ as $(r, s, t) \neq c$. Also, if $(r, s, t)=u$, but $\dot{p} \neq a,[p, q,(r, s, t)]=(p, q, u)=w$, and even if $(r, s, t)=u$ and $p=a,[p, q,(r, s, t)]=$

[^0]$=(a, q, u)=w$ except when $q=a$, in which case $\{p, q, r, s, t\}$ is the same as the given sequence $\{a, a, c, c, c\}$.

We can similarly prove the statement of the theorem for other arrangements of the elements in the sequence $\{a, a, c, c, c\}$ such as $\{a, c, a, c, c\},\{a, c, c, a, c\}$ etc. by defining similar operations.

## 3. 4. Let $a=b, c=d$ and let $e$ be different from $a$ and $c$.

Since, under the hypothesis, $S$ has more than five elements, we can choose three more distinct elements $u, v$, and $w$ different from $a, c$, and $e$ and define the following operation:

$$
(c, c, e)=u, \quad(a, a, u)=v, \quad \text { and } \quad(x, y, z)=w \quad \text { in all other cases. }
$$

We have for the given sequence

$$
[(a, a, c), c, e]=w, \quad[a,(a, c, c,), e]=w, \quad \text { but } \quad[a, a,(c, c, e)]=(a, a, u)=v,
$$

so that the associativity conditions do not hold, whereas we show that for any sequence $\{p, q, r, s, t\}$ different from $\{\dot{a}, a, c, c, e\}$ the conditions do hold.

Since $(p, q, r)$ and $(q, r, s)$ can never be equal to $a$ or $c$, we have $[(p, q, r), s, t]=w$ and $[p,(q, r, s), t]=w$. Now if $(r, s, t) \neq u$, then $[p, q,(r, s, t)]=w$ as $(r, s, t) \neq e$. Also, if $(r, s, t)=u$, but $p \neq a$, then $[p, q,(r, s, t)]=w$. And even if $(r, s, t)=u, p=a$, then $[p, q,(r, s, t)]=w$ except when $q=a$, in which case $\{p, q, r, s, t\}$ is the same as the given sequence $\{a, a, c, c, e\}$.

We can have a similar proof for .other arrangements of the elements in the sequence $\{a, a, c, c, e\}$.
3. 5: Let $a=b=c, d \neq e$, and let $d, e$ be different from $a$.

Since $S$ contains more than five elements, we can choose three distinct elements $u, v, w$ different from $a, d, e$ and then define the following operation:

$$
(a, d, e)=u,(a, a, u)=v, \quad \text { and } \quad(x, y, \dot{z})=w \text { in all other cases. }
$$

Here we see that the associativity conditions do not hold for the given sequence. For,

$$
[(a, a, a), d, e]=w,[a,(a, a, d), e]=w, \quad \text { but } \quad[a, a,(a, d, e)]=(a, a, u)=v .
$$

For any sequence $\{p, q, r, s, t\}$ different from $\{a, a, a, d, e\}$, we show that the conditions do hold.

Since ( $p, q, r$ ) can never be equal to $a$ and $(q, r, s)$ can never be equal to $a$ or $d$, we have

$$
[(p, q, r) s, t]=w \quad \text { and } \quad[p,(q, r, s), t]=w .
$$

Now if $(r, s, t) \neq u$, then $[p, q,(r, s, t)]=w$ as $(r, s, t) \neq e$. Also if $(r, s, t)=u$, but
$p \neq a$, then $[p, q,(r, s, t)]=w$. And even if $(r, s, t)=u, p=a$, then $[p, q,(r, s, t)]=$ $=(a, q, u)=w$, except when $q=a$, in which case $\{p, q, r, s, t\}$ is the same as the given sequence $\{a, a, a, d, e\}$.

We can have a similar proof for other arrangements of the elements in the sequence $\{a, a, a, d, e\}$.
3. 6. Let $a=c$, and let $b, d, e$ be all distinct and different from $a$.

Since $S$ contains more than five elements we can choose two distinct elements $u$ and $w$ in $S$, different from $a, b, d$, and $e$, and define the operation as follows:

$$
(a, b, a)=a, \quad(b, a, b)=b, \quad(a, d, e)=u
$$

and

$$
(x, y, z)=w \text { in all other cases. }
$$

We see that the associativity conditions do not hold for the given sequence, for

$$
[a, b,(a, d, e)]=(a, b, u)=w, \quad[a,(b, a, d), e]=(a, w, e)=w,
$$

but

$$
[(a, b, a), d, e]=(a, d ; e)=u
$$

For any sequence $\{p, q, r, s, t\}$ different from $\{a, b, a, d, e\}$ and containing an element different from $a, b, d, e$, the conditions do hold, as in all such cases

$$
[(p, q, r), s, t]=w, \quad[p,(q, r, s), t]=w, \quad[p, q,(r, s, t)]=w .
$$

Hence taking $p, q, r, s, t$ all from $a, b, d, e$ only, we show that the associativity conditions do hold in each case. We distinguish five cases, denoted by the letters (A)-(E).
(A) When $p \neq a$, we have $[(p, q, r), s, t]=(x, s, t)$, say, where $x=b$ or $x=w$.
(i) Let $x=b$ i.e. $(p, q, r)=(b, a, b)$. Then $[(b, a, b), s, t]=(b, s, t)=b$ when $s=a, t=b$ in which case

$$
[b,(a, b, a), b]=(b, a, b)=b \quad \text { and } \quad[b, a,(b, a, b)]=(b, a, b)=b
$$

And when $s \neq a$ or $t \neq b$,

$$
[(b, a, b) s, t]=[b,(a, b, s), t]=[b ; a,(b, s, t)]=w .
$$

(ii) Let $x=w$. Then

$$
[(p, q, r), s, t]=(w, s, t)=w
$$

and

$$
[p,(q, r, s), t]=(p, a, t) \quad \text { or } \quad(p, b, t), \quad \text { or } \quad(p, u, t), \quad \text { or }(p, w, t)
$$

If $(q, r, s)=a$ i.e. $(q, r, s)=(a, b, a), p$ cannot be equal to $b$, for otherwise $(p, q, r)=b$. Then

$$
(p, a, t)=(p, b, t)=(p, u, t)=(p, w, t)=w
$$

and $[p, q,(r, s, t)]=(p, q, a)$ or $(p, q, b)$ or $(p, q, u)$ or $(p, q, w)$.
Now, if $(r, s, t)=b, p$ and $q$ can never have values $b$ and $a$, respectively, for $(p, q, r)=$ $=w$. Therefore

$$
(p, q, a)=(p, q, b)=(p, q, u)=(p, q, w)=w,
$$

Hence

$$
[(p, q, r), s, t]=[p,(q, r, s), t]=[p, q,(r, s, t)]=w .
$$

(B) When $p=a$ but $q^{\prime} \neq b$, we have

$$
[(a, q, r), s, t]=[a,(q, r, s), t]=[a, q,(r, s, t)]=w,
$$

for $(a, q, r) \neq a$ or $b,(q, r, s) \neq b$ or $d,(r, s, t) \neq e$.
(C) When $p=a, q=b$ but $r \neq a$, we have

$$
[(a, b, r), s, t]=[a,(b, r, s), t]=[a, b,(r, s, t)]=w .
$$

(D) When $p=a, q=b, r=a$ but $s \neq d$, we have

$$
[(p, q, r), s, t]=[(a, b, a), s, t]=(a, s, t)=a \quad \text { (when } s=b, t=a)
$$

in which case

$$
[a,(b, a, b), a]=(a, b, a)=a \text { and }[a, b,(a, b, a)]=(a, b, a)=a \text {, and (when } s \neq b)
$$

in all other cases

$$
[(a, b, a), s, t]=[a,(b, a, s), t]=[a, b,(a, s, t)]=w .
$$

(E) When $p=a, q=b, r=a, s=d$ but $t \neq e$, we have

$$
[(a, b, a), d, t]=[a,(b, a, d), t]=[a, b,(a, d, t])=w
$$

This proves the contention.
3. 7. Let $a, b, c, d, e$ be all different from one another.

As $S$ contains more than five elements, we can choose an element $w$ different from the given five elements and then define the operation in $S$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (c, d, c)=c, \quad(a, b, e)=a, \quad(d, e, b)=d \\
& (d, c, d)=d, \quad(b, e, b)=b, \quad(e, b, c)=c \\
& (c, d, e)=e, \quad(e, b, e)=e, \quad(b, c, d)=b, \quad \text { and } \\
& (x, y, z)=w \quad \text { in all other cases }
\end{aligned}
$$

For the given sequence

$$
[a, b,(c, d, e)]=(a, b, e)=a, \quad[a,(b, c, d), e]=(a, b, e)=a
$$

but

$$
[(a, b, c), d, e]=(w, d, e)=w
$$

so that the associativity conditions do not hold. For any sequence $\{p, q, r, s, t\}$ different from $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ and containing an element different from $a, b, c, d$ and $e$, we have

$$
[(p, q, r), s, t]=[p,(q, r, s), t]=[p, q,(r, s, t)]=w .
$$

Hence taking $p, q, r, s, t$ all from $a, b, c, d, e$ only, we demonstrate that the associativity conditions do hold in each case as follows:
(A) When $p \neq a,[(p, q, r), s, t]=(x, s, t)$, say, then $x \neq a$.
(i) Let $x=b$ i.e. $(p, q, r)=(b, e, b)$ or $(p, q, r)=(b, c, d)$. Then in the first: case

$$
[(p, q, r), s, t]=[(b, e, b), s, t]=(b, s, t)=b(\text { when } s=e, t=b \text { or } s=c, t=d)
$$ and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[b,(e, b, e), b]=(b, e, b)=b \quad \text { and } \quad[b, e,(b, e, b)]=(b, e, b)=b,} \\
& {[b,(e, b, c), d]=(b, c, d)=b \quad \text { and } \quad[b, e,(b, c, d)]=(b, e, b)=b,}
\end{aligned}
$$

while in the second case

$$
[(p, q, r), s, t]=[(b, c, d), s, t]=(b, s, t)=b(\text { when } s=e, t=b, \text { or } s=c, t=d)
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[b,(c, d, e), b]=(b, e, b)=b \quad \text { and } \quad[b, c,(d, e, b)]=(b, c, d)=b,} \\
& {[b,(c, d, c), d]=(b, c, d)=b \quad \text { and } \quad[b, c,(d, c, d)]=(b, c, d)=b,}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\quad[(p, q, r), s, t]=[p,(q, r, s), t]=[p, q,(r, s, t)]=w$ in other cases.
(ii) Let $x=c$, i.e. $(p, q, r)=(c, d, c)$ or $\left(p, q^{\prime}, r\right)=(e, b, c)$.

Then

$$
[(p, q, r), s, t]=[(c, d, c), s, t]=(c, s, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
c, & \text { if } & s=d ; & t=c \\
e, & \text { if } & s=d, \quad t=e
\end{array}\right.
$$

in these cases

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[c,(d, c, d), c]=(c, d, c)=c \quad \text { and } \quad[c, d,(c, d, c)]=(c, d, c)=c, \quad \text { and }} \\
& {[c,(d, c, d), e]=(c, d, e)=e \quad \text { and } \quad[c, d,(c, d, e)]=(c, d, e)=e,}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { and } \quad[(p, q, r), s, t]=[(e, b, c), s, t]=(c, s, t)=c \quad(\text { when } s=d \text { and } t=c)
$$

or $=e$ (when $s=d$ and $t=e$ ), in which case
and $\quad[(p, q, r), s, t]=[p,(q, r, s), t]=[p, q,(r, s, t)]=w$ in other cases.
(iii) Let $x=d$, i.e. $(p, q, r)=(d, c, d)$ or $(p, q, r)=(d, e, b)$. Then $[(p, q, r), s, t]=$ $=(d, s, t)=d$ (when $s=c, t=d$, or, when $s=e, t=b$ ),
in which case

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[d,(c, d, c), d]=(d, c, d)=d \quad \text { and } \quad[d, c,(d, c, d)]=(d, c, d)=d,} \\
& {[d,(c, d, e), b]=(d, e, b)=d \quad \text { and } \quad[d, c,(d, e, b)]=(d, c, d)=d,} \\
& {[d,(e, b, c), d]=(d, c, d)=d \quad \text { and } \quad[d, e,(b, c, d)]=(d, e, b)=d,} \\
& {[d,(e, b, e), b]=(d, e, b)=d \quad \text { and } \quad[d, e,(b, e, b)]=(d, e, b)=d,}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\quad[(p, q, r), s, t]=[p,(q, r, s), t]=[p, q,(r, s, t)]=w$ in all the other cases.
(iv) Let $x=e$, i.e. $(p, q, r)=(c, d, e)$ or $(p, q, r)=(e, b, e)$.

Then

$$
[(p, q, r), s, t]=(e, s, t)= \begin{cases}c, & \text { if } s=b, t=c \\ e, & \text { if } s=b, t=e\end{cases}
$$

.and in each case
and $\quad[(p, q, r) s, t]=,[p,(q, r, s), t]=[p, q,(r, s, t)]=w$ in all other cases.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[c,(d, e, b), c]=(c, d, c)=c \quad \text { and } \quad[c, d,(e, b, c)]=(c, d, c)=c,} \\
& {[c,(d, e, b), e]=(c, d, e)=e \quad \text { and } \quad[c, d,(e, b, e)]=(c, d, e)=e,} \\
& {[e,(b, e, b), c]=(e, b, c)=c \quad \text { and } \quad[e, b,(e, b, c)]=(e, b, c)=c,} \\
& {[e,(b, e, b), e]=(e, b, e)=e \quad \text { and } \quad[e, b,(e, b, e)]=(e, b, e)=e,}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[e,(b, c, d), c]=(e, b, c)=c \quad \text { and } \quad[e, b,(c, d, c)]=(e, b, c)=c,} \\
& {[e,(b, c, d), e]=(e, b, e)=e \quad \text { and } \quad[e, b,(c, d, e)]=(e, b, e)=e,}
\end{aligned}
$$

(v) Let $x=w$, i.e. $(p, q, r)=w$. Then $[(p, q, r), s, t]=(w, s, t)=w$. Furthermore, $[p,(q, r, s), t]=w$, for

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (p, a, t)=w \text { for all values of } p \text { and } t \\
& (p, b, t)=w \text { as } t \neq a \text { and } p \neq e \\
& (p, c, t)=w \text { as } p \neq b \text { and } p \neq d \\
& (p, d, t)=w \text { as } p \neq c \\
& (p, e, t)=w \text { as } p \neq b \text { and } p \neq d, \\
& (p, w, t)=w \text { for all values of } p \text { and } t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, in each case $[p, q,(r, s, t)]=w$, because

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (p, q, a)=w \text { for all values of } p \text { and } q, \\
& (p, q, b)=w \text { as }(p \neq b, q \neq e) \text { and }(p \neq d, q \neq e) \\
& (p, q, c)=w \text { as }(p \neq c, q \neq d) \text { and }(p \neq e, q \neq b), \\
& (p, q, w)=w \text { for all values of } p \text { and } q, \\
& (p, q, d)=w \text { as }(p \neq d, q \neq c) \text { and }(p \neq b, q \neq c), \\
& (p, q, e)=w \text { as }(p \neq e, q \neq b) \text { and }(p \neq a, q \neq b),(p \neq c, q \neq d)
\end{aligned}
$$

(B) When $p=a$, but $q \neq b$, then

$$
[(a, q, r), s, t]=(w, s, t)=w \quad \text { and } \quad[a,(q, r, s), t]=w,[a, q,(r, s, t)]=w
$$

(C) When $p=a, q=b$, but $r \neq c$, then

$$
[(p, q, r), s, t]=[(a, b, r), s, t]=(a, s, t)=a \quad \text { if } \quad r=e, s=b, t=e
$$

in which case

$$
[a,(b, e, b), e]=(a, b, e)=a \quad \text { and } \quad[a, b,(e, b, e)]=(a, b, e)=a,
$$

and $\quad[(a, b, r), s, t]=[a,(b, r, s), t]=[a, b,(r, s, t)]=w \quad$ in all other cases.
(D) When $p=a, q=b, r=c$, but $s \neq d$, then

$$
[(a, b, c), s, t]=[a,(b, c, s), t]=[a, b,(c, s, t)]=w .
$$

(E) When $p=a, q=b, r=c, s=d$, but $t \neq e$, then

$$
[(a, b, c), d, t]=[a,(b, c, d), t]=[a, b,(c, d, t)]=w .
$$

Combining 3.1 and 3.7 together completes the proof of the theorem.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{*}$ ) The authors have investigated each of these permutations also, but for brevity all these have not been incorporated here.

