

## A note on small categories with zero

By ŠTEFAN SCHWARZ in Bratislava (ČSSR)

Let  $S$  be a semigroup with zero  $0$ . We say that an idempotent  $e$  is a categorical left unit if  $ex$  is either  $x$  or  $0$  for any  $x \in S$ . An idempotent  $e$  is a categorical right unit if  $xe$  is either  $x$  or  $0$  for any  $x \in S$ .

A semigroup with zero is called *categorical at zero* if  $abc=0$  implies either  $ab=0$  or  $bc=0$ .

In accordance with [1] we define:

**Definition.** A semigroup with  $0$  is called a *small category with zero* if it satisfies the following two conditions:

$C_1$ . To any non-zero element  $a \in S$  there is a categorical left unit  $e_l(a)$  and a categorical right unit  $e_r(a)$  such that  $e_l(a) \cdot a = a \cdot e_r(a) = a$ .

$C_2$ .  $S$  is categorical at zero.

For brevity we shall denote in the following a small category with zero as a  $C$ -semigroup.

The connection between category theory and  $C$ -semigroups is well known.  $C$ -semigroups have been studied by several authors, in particular by HOEHNKE (see e.g. [2]).

The purpose of this note is to prove a theorem concerning the relation between  $C$ -semigroups and a class of semigroups called dual semigroups introduced by the author ([3], [4]).

### 1

The following is partly presented in [1] in form of Exercises:

**Lemma 1.** *In a semigroup satisfying Condition  $C_1$  we have:*

- a)  $e_r(a)$ ,  $e_l(a)$  are uniquely determined.
- b)  $a \in Sa$ ,  $a \in aS$ , in particular  $S^2 = S$ .
- c) Any categorical left unit of  $S$  is a categorical right unit of  $S$ .

With respect to c) we may speak (in a semigroup satisfying  $C_1$ ) about the set of all non-zero categorical idempotents  $\in S$ . This set will be denoted by  $E$ .  $E$  is a

subset of the set of all non-zero idempotents  $E_0$  and simple examples show that  $E$  can be a proper subset of  $E_0$ .

Note that if  $\text{card } E=1$ , i.e.  $E=\{e\}$ , then  $e$  is the two-sided unit element of  $S$ .

Let  $e_1, e_2 \in E$  and  $e_1 \neq e_2$ . Then  $Se_1 \cap Se_2 = 0$ . For if there were  $0 \neq a \in Se_1 \cap Se_2$  we would have  $a=be_1=ce_2$  ( $b, c \in S$ ), hence  $a=ae_1=ae_2$ , a contradiction to a). Since any  $a \in S$  can be written in the form  $a=ae$ ,  $e \in E$ , we have

Lemma 2. Any semigroup satisfying Condition  $C_1$  can be written in the form

$$S = \bigcup_{e_\alpha \in E} Se_\alpha = \bigcup_{e_\alpha \in E} e_\alpha S,$$

where  $Se_\alpha \cap Se_\beta = e_\alpha S \cap e_\beta S = 0$  for  $e_\alpha \neq e_\beta$ .

Lemma 3. If  $e_1, e_2 \in E$  and  $e_1 \neq e_2$ , then  $e_1 e_2 = 0$ .

Proof. Suppose that  $e_1 e_2 \neq 0$ . Then since both  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are categorical units we have  $e_1 e_2 = e_1$  and  $e_1 e_2 = e_2$ , hence  $e_1 = e_2$ , contrary to the assumption.

We now use Condition  $C_2$ .

Lemma 4. If  $S$  is a  $C$ -semigroup,  $e \in E$  and  $0 \neq a \in Se$ ,  $0 \neq b \in eS$ , then  $ab \neq 0$ .

Proof.  $ab = aeb = 0$  implies either  $ae = 0$  or  $eb = 0$ , i.e. either  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ .

Remark. There are large classes of semigroups satisfying Condition  $C_2$ . E.g., a 0-simple semigroup containing a 0-minimal left ideal (in particular a completely 0-simple semigroup) is categorical at zero. (For a proof see [1], Lemma 8, 23, p. 86.)

## 2

In [3] (see also [1], pp. 29—30) we have introduced the notion of a dual semigroup. If  $S$  is a semigroup with zero and  $A$  a subset of  $S$ , the left and right annihilators  $r(A)$  and  $l(A)$  are defined by  $r(A) = \{x \in S \mid Ax = 0\}$ ,  $l(A) = \{x \in S \mid xA = 0\}$ .

A semigroup with zero is called dual if for any left ideal  $L$  of  $S$  we have  $l[r(L)] = L$  and for any right ideal  $R$  of  $S$  we have  $r[l(R)] = R$ .

Clearly  $l(A)$  and  $r(A)$  are left and right ideals, respectively.

It has been proved in [4] that in a dual semigroup  $S$  any left ideal of  $S$  contains a 0-minimal left ideal of  $S$ . If  $L_0$  is a 0-minimal left ideal of  $S$ , then  $r(L_0)$  is a maximal right ideal of  $S$ . Analogously for right ideals. Also if for two left ideals we have  $L_1 \not\subseteq L_2$ , then  $r(L_1) \not\supseteq r(L_2)$ .

Recall for further purposes: A semigroup  $S$  is called a 0-direct union of its two-sided ideals  $M_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , if

$$S = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} M_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad M_\alpha M_\beta = M_\alpha \cap M_\beta = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha \neq \beta.$$

We now prove the following

**Theorem.** *A small category with zero is a dual semigroup if and only if it is a 0-direct union of completely 0-simple dual semigroups.*

**Proof.** 1. Let  $S$  be a  $C$ -semigroup. Consider the left ideal  $Se$ ,  $e \in E$ . Since  $Se \cdot fS = 0$  for every  $f \neq e$  ( $e, f \in E$ ), we have  $r(Se) \supset \bigcup_f fS | f \in E, f \neq e$ . By Lemma 4 for any  $a \in eS$  we have  $Se \cdot a \neq 0$ . Hence  $r(Se) = \bigcup_f fS | f \in E, f \neq e$ .

We next prove: If  $S$  is moreover dual, then  $Se$  is a 0-minimal left ideal of  $S$ . Let  $L \neq 0$  be a left ideal of  $S$ ,  $L \not\subseteq Se$ . By duality we have  $r(Se) \not\subseteq r(L)$ . Hence  $r(L) \not\subseteq \bigcup_f fS | f \in E, f \neq e$  and  $r(L) \cap eS \neq 0$ . There exists therefore an element  $a \in eS$  such that  $La = 0$ . This constitutes a contradiction since for any  $b \in L$ ,  $b \neq 0$  and  $a \in eS$ ,  $a \neq 0$  we have (by Lemma 4)  $ba \neq 0$ . Hence  $Se$  is a 0-minimal left ideal of  $S$ .

Call two ideals  $A_1 \neq A_2$  of  $S$  *quasidisjoint* if  $A_1 \cap A_2 = 0$ .

Lemma 2 implies that  $S$  is a union of pairwise quasidisjoint 0-minimal left ideals of  $S$ . Analogously,  $S$  is a union of pairwise quasidisjoint 0-minimal right ideals of  $S$ . It is known (see e.g. [1], Theorem 6, 39) that such a semigroup is a 0-direct union of completely 0-simple semigroups. Hence we may write

$$S = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} M_\alpha, \quad M_\alpha M_\beta = M_\alpha \cap M_\beta = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha \neq \beta,$$

where  $M_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in A$ ) runs through all 0-minimal two-sided ideals of  $S$ .

Now it is easy to see that a semigroup which is a 0-direct union of its ideals is dual iff each of the ideal components is dual. (For an explicit proof see [4].) Hence each  $M_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in A$ ) is dual.

2. Suppose conversely that  $S$  is a 0-direct union of completely 0-simple dual semigroups:  $S = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} M_\alpha$ ,  $M_\alpha M_\beta = M_\alpha \cap M_\beta = 0$  for  $\alpha \neq \beta$ .

Recall (see [4]) that a completely 0-simple dual semigroup  $M_\alpha$  can be written in the form  $M_\alpha = \bigcup_{e=e^2 \in M_\alpha} M_\alpha e = \bigcup_{e=e^2 \in M_\alpha} e M_\alpha$  with  $M_\alpha e_1 \cap M_\alpha e_2 = 0$  and  $e_1 M_\alpha \cap e_2 M_\alpha = 0$  for  $e_1 \neq e_2$ , and any non-zero idempotent  $e \in M_\alpha$  is a categorical unit of  $M_\alpha$ . This immediately implies that the 0-direct union  $S = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} M_\alpha$  satisfies Condition  $C_1$ . Since (by the Remark after Lemma 4 above) each  $M_\alpha$  is categorical at zero,  $S$  is clearly also categorical at zero. Hence  $S$  satisfies Condition  $C_2$ . This proves our Theorem.

**Remark 1.** In [4] we have proved that any dual semigroup satisfies Condition  $C_1$  and, moreover, in any dual semigroup every non-zero idempotent is a categorical unit. This implies:

**Corollary 1.** *A dual semigroup which is not a 0-direct union of completely 0-simple dual semigroups is not categorical at zero.*

Remark 2. Recall the following notions. An element  $a \neq 0$  of a  $C$ -semigroup  $S$  is called *invertible*, if there is an element  $a' \in S$  such that  $aa' = e_1(a)$ ,  $a'a = e_r(a)$ . Further, a *regular semigroup* is said to be *primitive* if each of its non-zero idempotents is primitive. Using the known results mentioned in [1] (p. 79) and the fact proved in [5] (see also [4]) that any 0-simple dual semigroup is completely 0-simple, we have the following

Corollary 2. *For a semigroup with zero the following statements are equivalent:*

1.  $S$  is a small category with zero which is a dual semigroup.
2.  $S$  is a 0-direct union of 0-simple dual semigroups.
3.  $S$  is a small category with zero every non-zero element of which is invertible.
4.  $S$  is a primitive inverse semigroup.

### References

- [1] A. H. CLIFFORD and G. B. PRESTON, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, Mathematical Surveys No 7, Vol. 2, (Providence, R. I., 1967).
- [2] H. J. HOEHNKE, Zur Theorie der Gruppoide I, *Math. Nachr.*, **24** (1962), 137—168; IV, *Monatsber. Deutsch. Akad. Wiss. Berlin*, **4** (1962), 337—342; V, *ibidem* **4** (1962), 539—544.
- [3] Š. SCHWARZ, On dual semigroups, *Czech. Math. J.*, **10** (1960), 201—230.
- [4] Š. SCHWARZ, On the structure of dual semigroups, *Czech. Math. J.*, **21** (1971), 461—483.
- [5] Š. SCHWARZ, Any 0-simple dual semigroup is completely 0-simple, *Semigroup Forum*, **2** (1971), 90—92.

(Received August 31, 1972)