

## On $\Sigma$ -ordered inverse semigroups

By S. SRIBALA in Madras (India)

In this paper we define  $\Sigma$ -ordered inverse semigroups (Definition 1) which are partially ordered inverse semigroups whose partial orders are completely determined by families of normal sub-semigroups (Theorem 2). The set of normal sub-semigroups determining the partial order is analogous to the positive cone in a partially ordered group ([2]). We next consider the set  $\mathfrak{D}(X)$  of all partial  $o$ -isomorphism between  $o$ -subsets (Definition 6) of a partially ordered set  $(X, \cong)$ . This set is an inverse sub-semigroup of the symmetric inverse semigroup  $\mathfrak{I}(X)$  on  $X$ . A partial order is defined on  $\mathfrak{D}(X)$  in a natural way which makes  $\mathfrak{D}(X)$  a  $\Sigma$ -ordered inverse semigroup (Theorem 11). We call  $\mathfrak{D}(X)$  the symmetric  $\Sigma$ -ordered inverse semigroup on  $(X, \cong)$ . Finally, we prove the Preston—Vagner theorem for  $\Sigma$ -ordered inverse semigroups claiming that any  $\Sigma$ -ordered inverse semigroup can be embedded  $o$ -isomorphically into a symmetric  $\Sigma$ -ordered inverse semigroup of partial  $o$ -isomorphisms (Theorem 12). Questions of order theoretic interest will be studied separately and are not dealt with in this paper.

For terminology and information on semigroups we refer to [1].

Let  $S$  be an inverse semigroup and  $E$  the set of idempotents of  $S$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be the lattice of all idempotent separating congruence on  $S$  with greatest element  $\mu$ . If  $M_e$  ( $e \in E$ ) is the  $\mu$ -class containing  $e$ ; it is known that  $M_e$  is a normal subgroup of  $H_e$ .

**Definition 1.** A partially ordered inverse semigroup  $(S, \cong)$  is called a  $\Sigma$ -ordered inverse semigroup (and  $\cong$  a  $\Sigma$ -order on  $S$ ) if the following conditions hold in  $S$ :

(1)  $a \cong b \Rightarrow a\mu b$ .

(2) If  $Q_e = \{x \in S \mid e \cong x\}$ , then  $a^{-1}Q_e a \subseteq Q_{a^{-1}ea}$  for all  $e \in E$  and  $a \in S$ .

Note that in a  $\Sigma$ -ordered semigroup no two idempotents are comparable.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $S$  be an inverse semigroup and  $\{Q_e : e \in E\}$  a collection of subsets which satisfies the following conditions:

(i)  $Q_e$  is a sub-semigroup of  $M_e$  containing  $e$  and  $Q_e \cdot Q_f \subseteq Q_{ef}$ .

(ii)  $a^{-1}Q_e a \subseteq Q_{a^{-1}ea}$  for all  $e \in E$  and  $a \in S$ .

(iii)  $Q_e \cap Q_e^{-1} = \{e\}$ , where  $Q_e^{-1}$  is the set of inverses of elements of  $Q_e$  in  $H_e$ .

Then there exists a partial order  $\cong$  on  $S$  such that  $Q_e = \{x \in S \mid e \cong x\}$  and  $(S, \cong)$  is a  $\Sigma$ -ordered inverse semigroup.

Proof. If a partial order  $\cong$  exists on  $S$  such that  $(S, \cong)$  is a partially ordered inverse semigroup, then it is clear that  $(S, \cong)$  is a  $\Sigma$ -ordered inverse semigroup. Hence it is enough to establish the existence of such a partial order on  $S$ . Let  $a, b \in S$ . Say  $a \cong b \Leftrightarrow a\mu b$ ,  $ba^{-1} \in Q_{aa^{-1}}$ ,  $a^{-1}b \in Q_{a^{-1}a}$ . Clearly  $a \cong a$ . Let  $a, b \in S$ ,  $a \cong b$  and  $b \cong a$ . Then  $a \cong b \Rightarrow a\mu b$ ,  $ba^{-1} \in Q_{aa^{-1}}$ ,  $a^{-1}b \in Q_{a^{-1}a}$ ;  $b \cong a \Rightarrow b\mu a$ ,  $ab^{-1} \in Q_{bb^{-1}}$ ,  $b^{-1}a \in Q_{b^{-1}b}$ . Now,  $a\mu b \Rightarrow aa^{-1} = bb^{-1} = e$  and  $a^{-1}a = b^{-1}b = f$ .  $ba^{-1}$  and  $ab^{-1}$  are inverses of each other in  $Q_e$  and  $a^{-1}b$  and  $b^{-1}a$  are inverses of each other in  $Q_f$  and so  $a = b$ . Thus  $\cong$  is asymmetric. To show transitivity, let  $a \cong b$ ,  $b \cong c$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} a \cong b &\Rightarrow a\mu b, & ba^{-1} &\in Q_{aa^{-1}}, & a^{-1}b &\in Q_{a^{-1}a}. \\ b \cong c &\Rightarrow b\mu c, & cb^{-1} &\in Q_{bb^{-1}}, & b^{-1}c &\in Q_{b^{-1}b} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $a\mu b\mu c$  and  $aa^{-1} = bb^{-1} = cc^{-1} = e$  and  $a^{-1}a = b^{-1}b = c^{-1}c = f$  so,  $ca^{-1} = cb^{-1}ba^{-1} \in Q_e \cdot Q_e \subseteq Q_e$  and  $a^{-1}c = a^{-1}bb^{-1}c \in Q_f \cdot Q_f \subseteq Q_f$  and so  $a \cong c$ . Thus  $\cong$  is a partial order on  $S$ .

If  $x \in S$  and  $e \cong x$ , then  $x\mu e$  and  $x = xe = ex \in Q_e$  and so  $\{x \in S | e \cong x\} \subseteq Q_e$ . On the otherhand if  $x \in Q_e$ , then  $x\mu e$  and  $xe = ex = x \in Q_e$  and so  $e \cong x$  and  $Q_e \subseteq \{x \in S | e \cong x\}$ . Thus we have  $Q_e = \{x \in S | e \cong x\}$ .

Let  $a \cong b$ ,  $c \in S$ . Then  $a \cong b \Rightarrow a\mu b$ ,  $ba^{-1} \in Q_{aa^{-1}}$ ,  $a^{-1}b \in Q_{a^{-1}a}$ ; also  $a\mu b \Rightarrow ac\mu bc$ :  $(bc)(ac)^{-1} = bcc^{-1}a^{-1} = ba^{-1}acc^{-1}a^{-1} \in Q_{aa^{-1}} \cdot Q_{(ac)(ac)^{-1}} \subseteq Q_{(ac)(ac)^{-1}}$ ;  $(ac)^{-1}(bc) = c^{-1}a^{-1}bc \in c^{-1}Q_{a^{-1}a} \cdot c \subseteq Q_{(ac)^{-1}(ac)}$ . Thus  $ac \cong bc$ . Similarly we can show that  $ca \cong cb$ . Hence  $(S, \cong)$  is a partially ordered inverse semigroup. It now follows that  $(S, \cong)$  is a  $\Sigma$ -ordered inverse semigroup.

Let  $(S, \cong)$  be a  $\Sigma$ -ordered inverse semigroup. Then,

Lemma 3.  $a \cong b \Rightarrow b^{-1} \cong a^{-1}$ .

Proof.  $a \cong b \Rightarrow a\mu b \Rightarrow a^{-1}\mu|b^{-1}|$ . Hence  $b^{-1} = b^{-1}aa^{-1} \cong b^{-1}ba^{-1} = a^{-1}$ .

Proposition 4. If  $T$  is an inverse sub-semigroup of  $(S, \cong)$ , then  $(T, \cong)$  is a  $\Sigma$ -ordered inverse semigroup.

Proof. Let  $\mu_0$  be the maximum idempotent separating congruence on  $T$  and  $\mu_T$  the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $T$ . Then  $\mu_T \subseteq \mu_0$ . Let  $Q_e^T = Q_e \cap T$ . Now  $Q_e^T \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow e \in T$ . The nonempty sets  $Q_e^T$  ( $e \in E \cap T$ ) satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2 and so there exists a partial order  $\cong'$  on  $T$  such that  $(T, \cong')$  is a  $\Sigma$ -ordered inverse semigroup. We now show that  $\cong$  coincides with  $\cong'$  on  $T$ . It is immediate that  $a \cong b$  ( $a, b \in T$ )  $\Rightarrow a \cong' b$ . Conversely if  $a, b \in T$  then

$$\begin{aligned} a \cong' b &\Rightarrow \{a\mu_0 b, ba^{-1} \in Q_{aa^{-1}}^T, a^{-1}b \in Q_{a^{-1}a}^T\} \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow \{aa^{-1} = bb^{-1} = e, a^{-1}a = b^{-1}b = f, ba^{-1} \in Q_e \subset M_e, a^{-1}b \in Q_f \subseteq M_f\} \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow \{a\mu b, a^{-1}b \in Q_f, ba^{-1} \in Q_e\} \Rightarrow a \cong b. \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma follows immediately.

Lemma 5.  $(H_e, \cong)$ , where  $H_e$  is the maximal subgroup containing  $e$  in  $(S, \cong)$ , is a partially ordered group. Further, if  $e \notin \mathcal{D}f$ , then  $H_e$  and  $H_f$  are  $o$ -isomorphic.

We shall now describe the symmetric  $\Sigma$ -ordered inverse semigroup on a partially ordered set. Let  $(X, \cong)$  be a partially ordered set. Let  $\mathfrak{I}(X)$  denote the symmetric inverse semigroup of all partial (1-1) transformations on  $X$ . If  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{I}(X)$  denote by  $\Delta(\alpha)$  and  $\nabla(\alpha)$  the domain and the range of  $\alpha$ , respectively.

**Definition 6.** A subset  $A \subseteq (X, \cong)$  is called an *o-subset* of  $X$  if  $a \in A, a \cong b$  (or  $b \cong a$ ) implies  $b \in A$ .

It is clear that the set of all *o-subsets* of  $(X, \cong)$  is closed for the operations of set-intersection and set union and contains the null set  $\emptyset$  and  $X$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{D}(X)$  denote the subset of  $\mathfrak{I}(X)$  consisting of all *o-isomorphisms* between *o-subsets* of  $X$ .  $\mathfrak{D}(X)$  contains the map 0 and the identity map of  $X$ .

**Proposition 7.**  $\mathfrak{D}(X)$  is an inverse sub-semigroup of  $\mathfrak{I}(X)$ .

**Proof.** If  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{D}(X)$ , then  $\alpha^{-1}$  is also an *o-isomorphism* between *o-subsets* and so belongs to  $\mathfrak{D}(X)$ . Thus it is enough to show that  $\mathfrak{D}(X)$  is a subsemigroup of  $\mathfrak{I}(X)$ . Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{D}(X)$  and  $A = \nabla(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\beta)$ . If  $A = \emptyset$ , then  $\alpha\beta = 0 \in \mathfrak{D}(X)$ . If  $A \neq \emptyset$ , let  $A_1 = A\alpha^{-1}, A_2 = A\beta$ .  $A$  is an *o-subset* of  $X$ .  $A_1$ , and  $A_2$  are also *o-subsets*. For, let  $x \in A_1, y \cong x$ . Since  $A_1 \subset \Delta(\alpha), y \in \Delta(\alpha)$  and so  $y\alpha \cong x\alpha \in A$ . Since  $A$  is an *o-subset*,  $y\alpha \in A$  and so  $y \in A\alpha^{-1} = A_1$ . Now  $A_1 = \Delta(\alpha\beta), A_2 = \nabla(\alpha\beta)$  and  $\alpha\beta: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$  is clearly an *o-isomorphism* with  $\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}$  as its inverse. Thus  $\mathfrak{D}(X)$  is an inverse sub-semigroup of  $\mathfrak{I}(X)$ .

**Definition 8.** For  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{D}(X)$  put  $\alpha \cong \beta \Leftrightarrow \alpha \mathcal{H} \beta, x\alpha \cong x\beta$  for all  $x \in \Delta(\alpha)$  and  $y\beta^{-1} \cong y\alpha^{-1}$  for all  $y \in \nabla(\alpha)$ .

Note that  $\alpha \mathcal{H} \beta \Leftrightarrow \Delta(\alpha) = \Delta(\beta)$  and  $\nabla(\alpha) = \nabla(\beta)$ .

**Proposition 9.**  $\alpha \cong \beta \Rightarrow \alpha\mu\beta$ , where  $\mu$  is the maximum idempotent separating congruence on  $\mathfrak{D}(X)$ .

**Proof.** We will show that  $\alpha \cong \beta$  implies  $\alpha^{-1}\varepsilon\alpha = \beta^{-1}\varepsilon\beta$  for all idempotents  $\varepsilon$  in  $\mathfrak{D}(X)$  which proves that  $\alpha\mu\beta$  ([1] Lemma 7. 57).  $\alpha \cong \beta \Rightarrow \alpha \mathcal{H} \beta, x\alpha \cong x\beta$  for all  $x \in \Delta(\alpha)$  and  $y\beta^{-1} \cong y\alpha^{-1}$  for all  $y \in \nabla(\alpha)$ . Let  $A = \Delta(\varepsilon) \cap \Delta(\alpha) = \Delta(\varepsilon) \cap \Delta(\beta)$  and  $B = A\varepsilon \cap \Delta(\alpha) = A \cap \Delta(\alpha)$ , since  $\varepsilon$  is identity on  $A$ . Then  $\varepsilon$  is also identity on  $B$  and so  $\alpha^{-1}\varepsilon\alpha$  is the identity map of  $B\alpha$  and  $\beta^{-1}\varepsilon\beta$  is the identity map of  $B\beta$ . Since  $\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon$  are *o-isomorphism* and  $\alpha \cong \beta$  we have  $A$  and  $B$  are *o-subsets* and so  $B\alpha = B\beta$ . Hence  $\alpha^{-1}\varepsilon\alpha = \beta^{-1}\varepsilon\beta$ .

**Proposition 10.** Let  $Q_\varepsilon = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{D}(X) | \varepsilon \cong \alpha\}$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is an idempotent of  $\mathfrak{D}(X)$ . Then  $Q_\varepsilon \cdot Q_{\varepsilon_1} \subseteq Q_{\varepsilon\varepsilon_1}, v^{-1}Q_\varepsilon v \subseteq Q_{v^{-1}\varepsilon v}$  for all elements  $v$  and idempotents  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1 \in \mathfrak{D}(X)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha \in Q_\varepsilon, \beta \in Q_{\varepsilon_1}$ . Then  $\varepsilon\mu\alpha$  and  $\varepsilon_1\mu\beta$  and so  $\varepsilon\varepsilon_1\mu\alpha\beta$ . Now  $\Delta(\varepsilon) \cap \Delta(\varepsilon_1) = \Delta(\varepsilon\varepsilon_1) = \Delta(\alpha\beta) = \nabla(\alpha\beta)$ .  $x \in \Delta(\varepsilon\varepsilon_1) \Rightarrow x \cong x\alpha$  and  $x \cong x\beta \Rightarrow x \cong x\beta \cong x\alpha\beta$ .  $y \in \Delta(\varepsilon\varepsilon_1) \Rightarrow y\alpha^{-1} \cong y$  and  $y\beta^{-1} \cong y \Rightarrow y\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1} \cong y$ . Thus  $\varepsilon\varepsilon_1\mu\alpha\beta, x\varepsilon\varepsilon_1 \cong x\alpha\beta$  and  $x\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1} \cong x\varepsilon\varepsilon_1$  for all  $x \in \Delta(\varepsilon\varepsilon_1)$  and hence  $\varepsilon\varepsilon_1 \cong \alpha\beta$ .

Let  $\alpha \in Q_\varepsilon$  and  $v \in \mathfrak{D}(X)$ . Then  $v^{-1}\varepsilon v \mu v^{-1}\alpha v$ . So,  $\Delta(v^{-1}\varepsilon v) = \Delta(v^{-1}\alpha v) = \nabla(v^{-1}\alpha v)$ .  $x \in \Delta(v^{-1}\varepsilon v)$  and  $\varepsilon \cong \alpha \Rightarrow xv^{-1} \in \Delta(\varepsilon) \cap \Delta(v) = \Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(v) \Rightarrow xv^{-1}\varepsilon \cong xv^{-1}\alpha$  in  $\Delta(v) \Rightarrow xv^{-1}\varepsilon v \cong xv^{-1}\alpha v$ .  $y \in \Delta(v^{-1}\varepsilon v) \Rightarrow yv^{-1}\alpha^{-1} \cong yv^{-1}\varepsilon \Rightarrow yv^{-1}\alpha^{-1}v \cong yv^{-1}\varepsilon v$ . Thus  $v^{-1}\varepsilon v \cong v^{-1}\alpha v$ .

**Theorem 11.**  $(\mathfrak{D}(X), \cong)$  is a  $\Sigma$ -ordered inverse semigroup. It is called the symmetric  $\Sigma$ -ordered inverse semigroup on  $(X, \cong)$ .

**Proof.** From propositions 9 and 10 it follows that the sets  $Q_\varepsilon = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{D}(X) | \varepsilon \cong \alpha\}$  satisfy the conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2. To show condition (iii) consider  $\alpha, \alpha^{-1} \in Q_\varepsilon$ .  $x \in \Delta(\varepsilon)$ ,  $\varepsilon \cong \alpha \Rightarrow x = x\varepsilon \cong x\alpha \Rightarrow x\varepsilon\alpha^{-1} \cong x\alpha\alpha^{-1} = x\varepsilon$ ; and  $\varepsilon \cong \alpha^{-1} \Rightarrow x = x\varepsilon \cong x\alpha^{-1} \Rightarrow x\varepsilon\alpha \cong x\alpha^{-1}\alpha = x\varepsilon$ . Thus we have  $x\varepsilon \cong x\alpha \cong x\varepsilon$  and  $x\varepsilon \cong x\alpha^{-1} \cong x\varepsilon$  for all  $x \in \Delta(\varepsilon)$  and so  $\alpha = \varepsilon = \alpha^{-1}$ . It then follows that  $\cong$  is a  $\Sigma$ -order on  $\mathfrak{D}(X)$  defined by the sets  $\{Q_\varepsilon\}$ . Hence  $(\mathfrak{D}(X), \cong)$  is a  $\Sigma$ -ordered inverse semigroup.

We now consider representation of  $\Sigma$ -ordered inverse semigroups by partial transformation.

**Proposition 12.** If  $(S, \cong)$  is a  $\Sigma$ -ordered inverse semigroup, then the mapping  $\varrho_a: x \rightarrow xa$  of  $Sa^{-1}$  onto  $Sa$ , belongs to  $\mathfrak{D}(S)$  for every  $a \in S$ .

**Proof.** The sets  $Sa$  ( $a \in S$ ) are  $\sigma$ -subsets of  $(S, \cong)$ . For,  $x \in Sa$ ,  $y \cong x$  (or  $x \cong y$ )  $\Rightarrow x\mu y \Rightarrow Sy = Sx \subseteq Sa \Rightarrow y \in Sa$ . Further  $\varrho_a$  and  $\varrho_{a^{-1}}$  are order preserving maps which are inverse of each other. Hence  $\varrho_a \in \mathfrak{D}(S)$ :

**Theorem 12.** A  $\Sigma$ -ordered inverse semigroup  $(S, \cong)$  is  $\sigma$ -isomorphic to a  $\Sigma$ -ordered inverse sub-semigroup of the symmetric  $\Sigma$ -ordered inverse semigroup  $(\mathfrak{D}(S), \cong)$ .

**Proof.** The mapping  $\varrho: a \rightarrow \varrho_a$  of  $S$  into  $\mathfrak{D}(S)$  is clearly an isomorphism of the inverse semigroups. We now show that for  $a, b \in S$ ,  $a \cong b \Leftrightarrow \varrho_a \cong \varrho_b \cdot a \cong b \Rightarrow a\mu b \Rightarrow Sa = Sb$ ,  $Sa^{-1} = Sb^{-1} \Rightarrow \varrho_a \mathcal{H} \varrho_b$ . Further, if  $x \in Sa^{-1}$ , then  $x\varrho_a = xa \cong xb = x\varrho_b$ , and if  $y \in Sa$ ,  $y\varrho_b^{-1} = y\varrho_{b^{-1}} = yb^{-1} \cong ya^{-1} \Rightarrow y\varrho_{a^{-1}} = y\varrho_a^{-1}$ . Hence  $\varrho_a \cong \varrho_b$ . Next, if  $\varrho_a \cong \varrho_b$ , then  $Sa^{-1} = \Delta(\varrho_a) = \Delta(\varrho_b) = Sb^{-1}$  and  $Sa = \nabla(\varrho_a) = \nabla(\varrho_b) = Sb$ , and for all  $x \in Sa$ ,  $xa \cong xb$ . Hence  $aa^{-1} = bb^{-1} = e$  and  $a^{-1}a = b^{-1}b = f$  and so  $ea \cong eb \cdot i \cdot ea \cong eb$ . Hence  $\varrho$  is an  $\sigma$ -isomorphism of  $(S, \cong)$  into  $(\mathfrak{D}(S), \cong)$ .

## References

- [1] A. H. CLIFFORD-G. B. PRESTON, *Algebraic Theory of Semigroups*, Vol. I. & II, Amer. Math. Soc. Survey 7 (1961, 1967).
- [2] L. FUCHS, *Partially ordered algebraic systems*, Pergamon Press (1963).

THE RAMANUJAN INSTITUTE FOR  
UNIVERSITY OF MADRAS  
MADRAS-5, INDIA

(Received March 30, 1971)