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The aim of this paper is to investigate the behaviour of locally regular Rees matrix semigroups over a semigroup with zero and identity with respect to certain properties. This class of semigroups was defined by Steinfeld [3] in the following way.

Let $H$ be a semigroup with zero 0 and identity $e$. Let $M^{\circ}=M^{\circ}(H ; I, A ; P)$ denote the Rees matrix semigroup over $H$ with sandwich matrix $P=\left(p_{\lambda i}\right)(\lambda \in \Lambda$; $\left.i \in I ; p_{\lambda i} \in H\right)$. Denote the elements of $M^{\circ}$ by $(a)_{i \lambda}$ with $a$ in $H, i$ in $I$, and $\lambda$ in $\Lambda$. The product of the matrices $(a)_{i \lambda},(b)_{j \mu}$ is defined by

$$
(a)_{i \lambda} \circ(b)_{j \mu}=\left(a p_{\lambda, j} b\right)_{i \mu} \quad(a, b \in H ; i, j \in I ; \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda) .
$$

We say that $M^{\circ}(H ; I, \Lambda ; P)$ is locally regular if $P=\left(p_{\lambda i}\right)$ has the following properties:

1) in every row $\lambda$ of $P$ there exists an element $p_{\lambda j(\lambda)}(j(\lambda) \in I)$ which has a right inverse $p_{\lambda j(\lambda)}^{\prime}$ in $H$, that is,

$$
p_{\lambda j(\lambda)} p_{\lambda j(\lambda)}^{\prime}=e
$$

2) in every column $i$ of $P$ there exists an element $p_{\mu(i) i}(\mu(i) \in \Lambda)$ which has a eft inverse $p_{\mu(i) t}^{\prime \prime}$ in $H$, that is,

$$
p_{\mu(i) i}^{\prime \prime} p_{\mu(i) i}=e
$$

3) there exists at least one element $p_{2 i}$ in $P$ which has a right and left inverse in $H$.

One can see immediately that a Rees matrix semigroup over a group with zero is locally regular if and only if it is regular, hence, by the Rees representation theorem, if and only if it is completely 0 -simple, which means that an abstract characterization of the class of locally regular Rees matrix semigroups yields a generalization of the Rees theorem. This characterization was given by Steinfeld [3] by means of the notion of similarity of one-sided ideals of a semigroup, introduced in the same paper of his.

The left ideals $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ of a semigroup $S$ are said to be left similar if there exists a one-to-one mapping $\varphi$ of $L_{1}$ onto $L_{2}$ such that $(s x) \varphi=s(x \varphi)$ for all $s \in S$ and $x \in L_{1}$. If, in addition, we have $x \varphi \in x S$ and $y \rho^{-1} \in y S$ for all $x \in L_{1}$ and $y \in L_{2}$,
then we say that $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are strongly left similar (this notion proved to be useful in [1], where it was also shown that left similarity and strong left similarity of the left ideals $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are equivalent in the case when $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ both can be generated by regular elements). Dually one defines right similarity and strong right similarity of right ideals of a semigroup $S$. Let $S$ be a semigroup with 0 such that

$$
S=\bigcup_{\lambda \in A} S e_{\lambda}=\bigcup_{I \in I} e_{I} S \quad\left(e_{\lambda}^{2}=e_{\lambda} ; e_{i}^{2}=e_{i} ; I \cap \Lambda \neq \varnothing\right)
$$

where $S e_{\lambda}(\lambda \in \Lambda)\left[e_{i} S(i \in I)\right]$ are (strongly) left [right] similar left [right] ideals of $S$ with $S e_{\mu} \cap S e_{v}=0(\mu, \nu \in \Lambda ; \mu \neq v)$ and $e_{j} S \cap e_{k} S=0(j, k \in I ; j \neq k)$. We call a semigroup with these propcrties similarly decomposable.

Now Theorem 4.1 of STEINFELD [3] asserts that a semigroup issimilarly decomposable if and only if it is isomorphic to a locally regular Rees matrix semigroup over a semigroup with zero and identity.

As far as we know, regularity and simplicity properties of similarly decomposable semigroups have not been studied yet. We are going to show that a similarly decomposable semigroup can have, but need not have such properties. To be more precise, we shall see that several properties (regularity, 0 -simplicity, 0-bisimplicity, complete 0 -simplicity) of a locally regular Rees matrix semigroup $M^{\circ}=$ $=M^{\circ}(H ; I, \Lambda ; P)$ depend solely upon the underlying semigroup $H$, while other properties (like inversity, semi-simplicity, left- or right- or intraregularity) depend also upon the sandwich matrix $P$ of $M^{\circ}$. However, of the latter properties we shall investigate inversity only, for the other ones we but mentioned that the fact that $M^{\circ}$ has any of them depends on $H$ and $P$ (and $I$ and $A$ ) either.

In the sequel, $H$ will always denote a semigroup with zero 0 and identity $e$ and $M^{\mathrm{o}}=M^{\mathrm{o}}(H ; I, \Lambda ; P)$ a locally regular Rees matrix semigroup over $H$.

From the multiplication law of of $M^{\circ}$ it follows immediately that for any $i \in I$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the set $\left\{(a)_{i \lambda} \mid a \in H\right\}$ endowed with the multiplication oforms a subsemigroup $M_{i \lambda}^{\circ}$ of $M^{\circ}$. It is well-known from the theory of completely 0 -simple semigroups, that if $H$ is a group with zero, then each $M_{i \lambda}^{\circ}$ is either a zero-semigroup or is isomorphic to $H$. The following two lemmas (the first of which includes the above mentioned result) will show that this is far from being true in general.

Lemma 1. $M_{i \lambda}^{o}$ is isomorphic to $H$ if and only if the entry $p_{\lambda i}$ of the sandwich matrix $P$ has a (two-sided) inverse (in $H$ ).

Proof. Suppose that $\varphi: H \rightarrow M_{i \lambda}^{\circ}: a \rightarrow\left(\varphi^{\prime}(a)\right)_{i \lambda}$ is an isomorphism, then we have $\varphi(a)=\varphi(e a)=\varphi(e) \circ \varphi(a)$; putting $a=\varphi^{-1}\left((e)_{i \lambda}\right)$, herefrom we obtain that $\varphi^{\prime}(e) p_{\lambda i}=e$. Similarly, $\varphi(a)=\varphi(a) \circ \varphi(e)$ implies $p_{\lambda i} \varphi^{\prime}(e)=e$, thus $\varphi^{\prime}(e)$ is an inverse of $p_{\lambda i}$.

Suppose now that $p_{\lambda i}$ has an inverse $p_{\lambda i}^{\prime}$. We shall show that

$$
\varphi: H \rightarrow M_{i \lambda}^{\circ}: a \rightarrow\left(a p_{\lambda i}^{\prime}\right)_{i \lambda}
$$

is an isomorphism.

In fact,

1) $\varphi$ is a homomorphism since for any $a, b \in H$ we have

$$
\varphi(a b)=\left(a b p_{\lambda i}^{\prime}\right)_{i \lambda}=\left(a p_{\lambda i}^{\prime} p_{\lambda i} b p_{\lambda i}^{\prime}\right)_{i \lambda}=\left(a p_{\lambda i}^{\prime}\right)_{i \lambda} \circ\left(b p_{\lambda i}^{\prime}\right)_{i \lambda}=\varphi(a) \circ \varphi(b)
$$

2) $\varphi$ is one-to-one as $a \rho_{\lambda i}^{\prime}=b p_{\lambda i}^{\prime}$ implies $a=a p_{\lambda i}^{\prime} p_{\lambda i}=b p_{\lambda i}^{\prime} p_{\lambda i}=b$,
3) $\varphi$ is onto since for any $a \in H$ we have $(a)_{i \lambda}=\left(a p_{\lambda i} p_{\lambda i}^{\prime}\right)_{i \lambda}=\varphi\left(a \rho_{\lambda i}\right)$, q.e.d.

Corollary. If the entry $p_{\lambda i}$ of the sandwich matrix $P$ has a one-sided inverse which is not a two-sided inverse then $M_{1 \lambda}^{\circ}$ is neither isomorphic to $H$ nor is it a zero semigroup.

Note that for 1) and 2) we used only that $p_{\lambda i}^{\prime}$ is a left inverse of $p_{\lambda i}$, thus $\varphi$ is a monomorphism in this case already. Similarly, if $p_{\lambda i}^{\prime}$ is a right inverse of $p_{\lambda i}$, then

$$
\tilde{\varphi}: a \rightarrow\left(p_{\lambda i}^{\prime} a\right)_{i \lambda}
$$

is a monomorphism of $H$ into $M_{i \lambda}^{0}$. This remark constitutes our
Lemma 2. If $p_{\lambda i}$ has a one-sided inverse, $M_{i \lambda}^{\circ}$ contains a subsemigroup which is isomorphic to $H$.

Theorem 1. $M^{\circ}=M^{\circ}(H ; I, A ; P)$ is 0 -simple if and only if $H$ is 0-simple.
Proof. Suppose that $M^{\circ}$ is 0 -simple and let $a$ and $b$ be arbitrary non-zero elements of $H$, we have to show the existence of elements $x, y \in H$ with $x a y=b$. Now choose arbitrarily $i, j \in I$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$, then, by the 0 -simplicity of $M^{\circ}$, there exist indices $k \in I, v \in \Lambda$ and elements $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \in H$ such that

$$
\left(x^{\prime}\right)_{j v} \circ(a)_{i \lambda} \circ\left(y^{\prime}\right)_{k \mu}=(b)_{j \mu}
$$

that is,

$$
x^{\prime} p_{v i} a p_{\lambda t} y^{\prime}=b
$$

Thus we have $x a y=b$ with $x=x^{\prime} p_{y /}$ and $y=p_{\lambda k} y^{\prime}$.
Suppose now that $H$ is 0 -simple and let $(a)_{i \lambda}$ and $(b)_{j_{\mu}}$ be arbitrary non-zero elements of $M^{\circ}$. Since $H$ is 0 -simple, there exist elements $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \in H$ with $x^{\prime} a y^{\prime}=b$. Now let $v(i) \in \Lambda$ and $k(\lambda) \in I$ be indices for which $p_{v(i) i}$ has a left inverse $p_{v(i) t}^{\prime \prime}$ and $p_{\lambda k(\lambda)}$ has a right inverse $p_{\lambda k(\lambda)}^{\prime}$, and put $x=x^{\prime} p_{v(i) i}^{\prime \prime}, y=p_{\lambda k(\lambda)}^{\prime} y^{\prime}$. Then we have

$$
(x)_{j v(i)} \circ(a)_{i \lambda} \circ(y)_{k(\lambda) \mu}=\left(x p_{v(i) i} a p_{\lambda k(\lambda)} y\right)_{\mu \mu}=\left(x^{\prime} a y^{\prime}\right)_{j \mu}=(b)_{j \mu}
$$

q.e.d.

Theorem 2. $M^{\circ}=M^{\circ}(H ; I, \Lambda ; P)$ is completely 0 -simple if and only if $H$ is completely 0 -simple.

Proof. If $H$ is completely 0 -simple, it is a group with zero (since by Rees [2], a completely 0 -simple semigroup with identity is a group with zero). Then local
regularity of $M^{\circ}$ is equivalent to regularity by one of our first remarks, thus $M^{\circ}$ is completely 0 -simple by the Rees representation theorem (s. [2]).

Suppose now that $M^{\circ}$ is completely 0 -simple, then $H$ is 0 -simple by Theorem 1 . On the other hand, by the Corollary of Lemma $1, H$ is isomorphic to a subsemigroup of $M^{\circ}$, thus all idempotents of $H$ are primitive. Hence $H$ is completely 0 -simple.

Theorem 3. $M^{\circ}=M^{\circ}(I I ; I, \Lambda ; P)$ is 0 -bisimple if and only if $H$ is 0 -bisimple.
Proof. We shall see that the $\mathscr{R}$-classes of $M^{\circ}$ are of the form

$$
\left\{(a)_{i \lambda} \mid a \in R, \lambda \in A\right\}
$$

where $i$ is an element of $I$ and $R$ is an $\mathscr{R}$-class of $H$. Since the same proof gives a similar form for the $\mathscr{L}$-classes of $M^{\circ}$, this implies that the $\mathscr{D}$-classes of $M^{\circ}$ are exactly the sets of the form

$$
\left\{(a)_{i \lambda} \mid a \in D, i \in I, \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}
$$

where $D$ is a $\mathscr{D}$-class of $H$, which proves our assertion.
Let $a, b \in H$ with $a \mathscr{R} b, i \in I$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$, we are going to show that $(a)_{i \lambda} \mathscr{R}(b)_{i \mu}$ in $M^{\circ}$. From $\boldsymbol{a} \mathscr{R} b$ it follows that there exist elements $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \in H$ with $a=b x^{\prime}$ and $b=a y^{\prime}$. Now let $j(\lambda) \in I$ and $k(\mu) \in I$ be indices for which $p_{\lambda j(\lambda)}$ and $p_{\lambda h(\mu)}$ have right inverses $p_{\lambda j(\lambda)}^{\prime}$ and $p_{\mu k(\mu)}^{\prime}$, respectively, and put $x=p_{\mu k(\mu)}^{\prime} x^{\prime}, y=p_{\lambda j(\lambda)}^{\prime} y^{\prime}$, then we have

$$
(b)_{i \mu} \circ(x)_{k(\mu) \lambda}=\left(b p_{\mu k(\mu)} x\right)_{i \lambda}=\left(b x^{\prime}\right)_{i \lambda}=(a)_{i \lambda}
$$

and similarly $(a)_{i \lambda} \circ(y)_{j(\lambda) \mu}=(b)_{i \mu}$. Thus $(a)_{i \lambda} \mathscr{R}(b)_{i \mu}$.
We still have to show that $(a)_{i \lambda} \mathscr{R}(b)_{j \mu}$ in $M^{\circ}$ implies $i=j$ and $a \mathscr{R} b$ in $H$. In fact, if $(a)_{i \lambda} \mathscr{R}(b)_{j \mu}$, then there exist elements $(x)_{k v}$ and $(y)_{l \pi}$ with

$$
(a)_{i \lambda} \circ(x)_{k v}=(b)_{j \mu} \quad \text { and } \quad(b)_{j \mu} \circ(y)_{l \pi}=(a)_{i \lambda}
$$

that is,

$$
\left(a p_{\lambda k} x\right)_{i v}=(b)_{j \mu} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(b p_{\mu l} y\right)_{j \pi}=(a)_{i \lambda}
$$

which imply, among others, $i=j, a\left(p_{\lambda k} x\right)=b$ and $b\left(p_{\mu l} y\right)=a$. The last two equations give $a \mathscr{R} b$ in $H$, which completes the proof of our theorem.

Theorem 4. $M^{\circ}=M^{\circ}(H ; I, \Lambda ; P)$ is regular if and only if $H$ is regular.
Proof. Let $H$ be regular, $(a)_{i \lambda}$ be an arbitrary element of $M^{\circ}$ and $j(\lambda) \in I$, $\mu(i) \in \Lambda$ be indices for which $p_{\lambda_{j}(\lambda)}$ has a right inverse $p_{\lambda_{j(\lambda)}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ and $p_{\mu(i) i}$ has a left inverse $p_{\mu(i) i}^{\prime \prime}$. By the regularity of $H$ we have $a=a y a$ with some $y \in H$, thus we also have

$$
(a)_{i \lambda}=\left(a p_{\lambda j(\lambda)} p_{\lambda j(\lambda)}^{\prime} y p_{\mu(i) i}^{\prime \prime} p_{\mu(i) i} a\right)_{i \lambda}=(a)_{i \lambda} \circ\left(p_{\lambda j(\lambda)}^{\prime} y p_{\mu(i) i}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)_{j(\lambda) \mu(i)} \circ(a)_{i \lambda}
$$

which proves the regularity of $M^{\circ}$.

On the other hand, suppose that $M^{\circ}$ is regular, let $a$ be an arbitrary element of $H$ and choose any indices $i \in I$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Then we have

$$
(a)_{i \lambda} \circ(x)_{j \mu} \circ(a)_{i \lambda}=(a)_{i \lambda}
$$

for some $x \in H, j \in I$ and $\mu \in \Lambda$, hence

$$
a=a\left(p_{\lambda j} x p_{\mu i}\right) a
$$

Thus $H$ is also regular.
Remark. Combining the first part of this proof with the fact that if $a=a \times a$ then $x a x$ is a generalized inverse of $a$, we obtain that each element of $M_{i 2}^{\circ}$ in a regular $M^{\circ}$ has a generalized inverse in $M_{j(\lambda) \mu(t)}^{\circ}$.

The only if parts of Theorems 1 and 4, in the proofs of which not even local regularity of $M^{\circ}$ was made use of, were already given in the most general, case by Venkatesan [4]. Corollary 1 to Proposition 1 and Corollary 1 to Theorem 1 of Venkatesan [4], together with our Theorem 4, give the following result for locally regular Rees matrix semigroups:

Theorem 5. $M^{\circ}=M^{\circ}(H ; I, \Lambda ; P)$ is a union of its completely 0 -simple ideals if and only if the same is true for $H$.

In connection with the Corollary to Lemma 2, we should like to mention that the behaviour of the subsemigroups $M_{i \lambda}^{\circ}$ of $M^{\circ}$ is, in general, far from being so nice as that of $H$ with respect to the above treated properties. As an illustration, let us see the following example:

Let $H$ be the bicyclic semigroup $\mathscr{C}(q, r)$ with zero adjoined, $I=\Lambda=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$ and the sandwich matrix $P$ be

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \underbrace{1} & 2 & \underbrace{3} & \ldots & m & \cdots \\
e & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \ldots \\
0 & r & q & r^{3} & \ldots & r^{m} & \ldots \\
0 & q^{2} & r^{2} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \ldots \\
0 & q^{3} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \ldots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & & \\
0 & q^{n} & 0 & 0 & & 0 & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & &
\end{array}\right),
$$

and consider the Rees matrix semigroup $M^{\circ}(H ; I, A ; P)$. Since for all $n \geqq 0, r^{n}$ has a left inverse and $q^{n}$ has a right inverse, $M^{\circ}$ is a locally regular Rees matrix semigroup. It is well-known that this $H$ is 0 -bisimple, thus the same is true for $M^{\circ}$. If $n \geqq 3$, by Lemma 2, $M_{n 1}^{\circ}$ contains a subsemigroup which is isomorphic to $H$, however, $M_{u 1}^{\circ}$ is not even regular. In fact, let $k<n$ and consider the element $\left(r^{k} q^{l}\right)_{n 1}$ with some $l \geqq 0$, then for any element $\left(r^{s} q^{t}\right)_{n 1}$ of $M_{n \dot{1}}^{\circ}$ we have

$$
\left(r^{k} q^{l}\right)_{n 1} \circ\left(r^{s} q^{t}\right)_{n 1} \circ\left(r^{k} q^{l}\right)_{n 1}=\left(r^{k} q^{l} q^{n} r^{s} q^{t} q^{n} r^{k} q^{l}\right)_{n 1}=\left(r^{k} q^{l+n} r^{s} q^{t n-k+l}\right)_{n 1} \neq\left(r^{k} q^{l}\right)_{n 1}
$$

since multiplication by $r^{k} q^{l \mid n} r^{s}$ from the left cannot reduce the exponent $t+n--$ $-k+l>l$ of $q$.

At last we give a necessary and sufficient condition that $M^{\circ}(I I ; I, A ; P)$ be an inverse semigroup.

Theorem 6. The locally regular Rees matrix semigroup $M^{\circ}=M^{\circ}(H ; I, A ; P)$ is an inverse semigroup if and only' if the following conditionss are satisfied: II is an inverse semigroup, in each row and each column of the sandwich matrix $P$ there exists exactly one element which has a two-sided inverse (clearly, this intplies $|I|=|\Lambda|$ ), ant all the other entries of $P$ are zero.

Proof. Suppose first that $M^{\circ}(H ; I, \Lambda ; P)$ satisfies all these conditions, and, for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $i \in I$, let $j(\lambda) \in I$ and $\mu(i) \in \Lambda$ denote the indices for which $p_{\lambda j(\lambda)}$ and $p_{\mu(i) i}$ have two-sided inverses.

Let $(a)_{i \lambda}$ and $(b)_{j \mu}$ be generalized inverses of each other, $a \neq 0$, then we have

$$
a=a p_{\lambda j} b p_{\mu i} a \neq 0
$$

whence $j=j(\lambda)$ and $\mu=\mu(i)$. Suppose further that $(c)_{j(\lambda) \mu(i)}$ is also a generalized inverse of $(a)_{i 2}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{array}{rc}
(*) & a=a p_{\lambda j(\lambda)} b p_{\mu(i) i} a=a p_{\lambda j(\lambda)} c p_{\mu(i)} a  \tag{*}\\
(* *) & b=b p_{\mu(i) i} a p_{\lambda j(\lambda)} b, \quad c=c p_{\mu(i) i} a p_{\lambda_{j}(\lambda)} c .
\end{array}
$$

Multiplying the equations ( $*$ ) and ( $* *$ ) from the right by $p_{\lambda j(\lambda)}$ and $p_{\mu(i) i}$, respectively, we obtain that $b p_{\mu(i) i}$ and $c p_{\mu(i) i}$ are both generalized inverses of $a p_{\lambda j(\lambda)}$ in $H$. In view of the inversity of $H$, this implies

$$
b p_{\mu(i) i}=c p_{\mu(t) i}
$$

and multiplication from the right by the inverse of $p_{\mu(i) i}$ gives now $b=c$. Hence each element of $M^{\circ}$ may have at most onc generalized inverse, but it does have one, since by Theorem 4 the regularity of $H$ implies that $M^{\circ}$ is also a regular semigroup. Thus $M^{\circ}$ is an inverse semigroup.

Conversely, suppose that $M^{\circ}$ is an inverse semigroup. By Theorem 4, $H$ is regular, and as $H$ is isomorphic to a subsemigroup of $M^{\circ}$ by the Corollary of Lemma 1, no element of $H$ can have more than one generalized inverse element. Thus $H$ is an inverse semigroup.

Let $(a)_{i \lambda}$ be an arbitrary non-zero element of $M^{\circ}$, and suppose that $(b)_{j \mu}$ is the generalized inverse of $(a)_{i \lambda}$.

We have seen in the Remark after Theorem 4 that each $(a)_{i \lambda}$ has a generalized inverse in $M_{j(\lambda) \mu(i)}^{\circ}$, hence we must have, by the unicity of the generalized inverse element, $j=j(\lambda)$ and $\mu=\mu(i)$. On the other hand, $(b)_{j \mu}=(b)_{j(\lambda) \mu(i)}$ has $(a)_{i \mu}$ as its generalized inverse, but it also has a generalized inverse in $M_{j(\mu(i)) \mu(j(\lambda))}^{\circ}$, whence
$i j=(\mu(i))$ and $\lambda=\mu(j(\lambda))$. Herefrom we can conclude that the elements $p_{\lambda j(\lambda)}$ and $p_{i \mu(i)}$ have (two-sided) inverses in $H$. Suppose now that the element $p_{\lambda / 1 \prime}$ has a right inverse $p_{\lambda m}^{\prime}$ in $H$ for some $m \in I$. Then we have

$$
(a)_{i \lambda}=(a)_{i \lambda} \circ(b)_{j \mu} \circ(a)_{i \lambda}=\left(u p_{\lambda m} p_{\lambda m}^{\prime} p_{\lambda j} b p_{\mu i} a\right)_{i \lambda}=(a)_{i \lambda} \circ\left(p_{\lambda m}^{\prime} p_{\lambda j} b\right)_{m \mu} \circ(a)_{i \lambda}
$$

and

$$
b=b p_{\mu i} a p_{\lambda j} b=b p_{\mu l} a p_{\lambda_{m}} p_{\lambda_{m}}^{\prime} p_{\lambda j} b,
$$

multiplying here by $p_{\lambda / n}^{\prime} p_{\lambda j}$ from the left we obtain that

$$
\left(p_{\lambda m}^{\prime} p_{\lambda j} b\right)_{m \mu}=\left(p_{\lambda m}^{\prime} p_{\lambda j} b\right)_{m \mu} \circ(a)_{i \lambda} \circ\left(p_{\lambda m}^{\prime} p_{\lambda j} b\right)_{m \mu}
$$

that is, $\left(p_{\lambda_{m}}^{\prime} p_{\lambda j} b\right)_{m \mu}$ is also a generalized inverse of $(a)_{i \lambda}$. Since $(a)_{t \lambda}$ may have but one generalized inverse, this implies $m=j=j(\lambda)$. In other words, in each row of $P$ there exists exactly one element which has a right inverse in $H$, and we have seen that this element must have a two-sided inverse. Dually we obtain the analogous result for columns.

We still have to show that all the other entries of $P$ are zero. Suppose that, on the contrary, there exists an entry $p_{\lambda_{i}} \neq 0$ in $P$, which does not have an inverse of either sides in II. As $H$ is an inverse semigroup, $p_{\lambda i}$ has a generalized inverse $a$ in $H$ :

$$
a p_{\lambda i} a=a \quad \text { and } \quad p_{\lambda i} a p_{\lambda i}=p_{\lambda i} .
$$

Then we also have $\quad a=a p_{\lambda i} a=a p_{\lambda i} a p_{\lambda l} a$
and $\quad(a)_{i \lambda}=\left(a p_{\lambda i} a p_{\lambda i} a\right)_{i \lambda}=(a)_{i \lambda} \circ(a)_{i \lambda} \circ(a)_{i \lambda}$,
thus $(a)_{i \lambda}$ is a generalized inverse of itself, which contradicts the fact that $M^{\circ}$ is an inverse semigroup, since we have seen that in such a semigroup each element $(a)_{i \lambda}$ has its generalized inverse in $M_{i(\lambda) \mu(i)}^{\circ}$, and now $i \neq j(\lambda)$ for $p_{\lambda i}$ does not have a right inverse. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.

Remark. For the notions occurring in the following Corollary we refer to [3]. As it is easy to show that a 0 -cancellative regular semigroup with identity is a group with zero adjoined, our Theorem 6 and Theorem 5.1 in [3] imply the following result:

Corollary. For a special similarly decomposable semigroup $S$ the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $S$ is regular,
(ii) $S$ is an inverse semigroup,
(iii) $S$ is completely 0 -simple,
(iv) $S$ is a Brandt semigroup.

Thanks are due to Prof. O. Steinfeld for his attention during the preparation of the present work.
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