

## Factorization of operators in $\mathcal{C}_\varrho$ classes

By E. DURSZT in Szeged

1. Let  $T$  be a linear bounded operator on a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$  and  $\varrho$  a positive number. If  $U$  is a unitary operator on a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{K} \supset \mathfrak{H}$  and

$$T^n h = \varrho P U^n h \quad \text{for } h \in \mathfrak{H}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $P$  (as always in the following) is the orthogonal projection onto  $\mathfrak{H}$ , then we say that  $U$  is a unitary  $\varrho$ -dilation of  $T$ .  $\mathcal{C}_\varrho$  denotes the class of those operators which have a unitary  $\varrho$ -dilation.

The study of  $\mathcal{C}_\varrho$  classes and unitary  $\varrho$ -dilations was initiated by B. SZ.-NAGY and C. FOIAȘ [3] and continued by a number of authors. Recently T. ANDO [1] proved that  $T \in \mathcal{C}_2$  if and only if there exists a contraction  $C$  on  $\mathfrak{H}$  such that

$$T = 2(I - C^*C)^{1/2}C.$$

Moreover, using this factorization, he constructed a unitary 2-dilation of  $T$  on  $\bigoplus_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathfrak{H}_n$  ( $\mathfrak{H}_n = \mathfrak{H}$ ) by a matrix of operator entries.

Our purpose is to generalize Ando's results for  $\varrho > 0$ ,  $\varrho \neq 1$ . ( $\mathcal{C}_1$  is the class of contractions, cf. [\*], Ch.I). Although we shall not explicitly construct a matrix representation of the unitary  $\varrho$ -dilation for  $T \in \mathcal{C}_\varrho$ , we do construct in Proposition 2 an operator-matrix representation of a contractive  $\varrho$ -dilation of  $T$ . Since an operator-matrix representation of the unitary 1-dilation of a contraction is well known ([2]; [\*], Ch.I) it is only a matter of computation to combine the two representations to obtain a matrix representation of a unitary  $\varrho$ -dilation of  $T$ .

2. Suppose  $U$  is a unitary  $\varrho$ -dilation of  $T$  on  $\mathfrak{K}$ . Consider the subspaces

$$\mathfrak{Q}_0 = \bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} U^{-n}\mathfrak{H}, \quad \mathfrak{Q}_1 = \mathfrak{Q}_0 \vee U\mathfrak{Q}_0$$

and denote by  $Q$  the orthogonal projection of  $\mathfrak{K}$  onto  $\mathfrak{Q}_0$ .

For  $n=0, 1, \dots$  and  $h, g \in \mathfrak{H}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (QU(QU-T)h, U^{-n}g) &= (UQ(U-T)h, U^{-n}g) = ((U-T)h, U^{-n-1}g) = \\ &= (U^{n+2}h, g) - (U^{n+1}Th, g) = \frac{1}{\varrho} (T^{n+2}h, g) - \frac{1}{\varrho} (T^{n+1}Th, g) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $QU(QU-T)h$  is orthogonal to  $\mathfrak{Q}_0$ ; as on the other hand it is contained in  $\mathfrak{Q}_0$ , we have

(1) 
$$QU(QU-T)h=0 \text{ for } h \in \mathfrak{H}.$$

Also notice that as  $\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathfrak{Q}_0$  we have

(2) 
$$PQUh = PUh = \frac{1}{\varrho} Th \text{ for } h \in \mathfrak{H}.$$

Now we can prove:

Lemma. For an arbitrary  $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ ,

$$\inf_{g \in \mathfrak{H}} \{ \|g - (QU-T)h\|^2 - \|QUg\|^2 \} = 0.$$

Proof. Because  $\mathfrak{Q}_1 = \mathfrak{Q}_0 \vee U\mathfrak{H}$ , we have

(3) 
$$\mathfrak{Q}_1 = \mathfrak{Q}_0 \oplus \overline{(I-Q)U\mathfrak{H}}.$$

For  $h \in \mathfrak{H}$  we have  $U(QU-T)h \in \mathfrak{Q}_1$  and by (1)  $U(QU-T)h \perp \mathfrak{Q}_0$ , consequently

(4) 
$$U(QU-T)h \in \mathfrak{Q}_1 \ominus \mathfrak{Q}_0.$$

So, using (4) and (3), we conclude that there exists a sequence  $g_n \in \mathfrak{H}$  such that

(5) 
$$U(QU-T)h = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (I-Q)Ug_n.$$

Now, again by (4) and by (1), for  $g, h \in \mathfrak{H}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \| (I-Q)Ug - U(QU-T)h \|^2 = \| (I-Q)U(g - (QU-T)h) \|^2 = \\ &= \| U(g - (QU-T)h) \|^2 - \| QU(g - (QU-T)h) \|^2 = \| g - (QU-T)h \|^2 - \| QUg \|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $g_n$  for  $g$ , on account of (5) this proves the assertion of the Lemma.

Now we are going to prove our main assertions.

**3. Proposition 1.** If  $T \in \mathcal{C}_\varrho$  ( $\varrho > 0, \varrho \neq 1$ ) then there exists a contraction  $C$  on  $\mathfrak{H}$  such that

$$T = \varrho(I + \varrho(\varrho - 2)C^*C)^{-1/2}(I - C^*C)^{1/2}C.$$

Proof. Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{C}_\varrho$ . Then using the above notations set

$$Z = (PU^*QU|_{\mathfrak{H}})^{1/2}.$$

Clearly  $Z$  is a non-negative contraction and

(6) 
$$\|Zh\| = \|QUh\| \text{ for } h \in \mathfrak{H}.$$

Lemma and (2) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \inf_{g \in \mathfrak{H}} \{ \|g - (QU - T)h\|^2 - \|QUg\|^2 \} = \\ &= \inf_{g \in \mathfrak{H}} \left\{ \|g\|^2 - 2 \left( \frac{1}{\rho} - 1 \right) \operatorname{Re}(g, Th) + \|QUh\|^2 + \left( 1 - \frac{2}{\rho} \right) \|Th\|^2 - \|QUg\|^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (6) and denoting

$$(7) \quad Y = (I - Z^2)^{1/2}$$

the above equality can be converted to

$$(8) \quad \inf_{g \in \mathfrak{H}} \left\{ \|Yg\|^2 - 2 \left( \frac{1}{\rho} - 1 \right) \operatorname{Re}(g, Th) + \|Zh\|^2 + \left( 1 - \frac{2}{\rho} \right) \|Th\|^2 \right\} = 0$$

for every  $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ .

We are going to prove that

$$(9) \quad \|T^*g\| \leq M \|Yg\|$$

for every  $g \in \mathfrak{H}$  with a suitable positive  $M$  independent of  $g$ . Suppose in the contrary that for every positive  $M$  there exists  $g_M \in \mathfrak{H}$  such that

$$\|T^*g_M\| > M \|Yg_M\|.$$

Now apply (8) with  $T^*g_M \operatorname{sgn} \left( \frac{1}{\rho} - 1 \right)$  in place of  $h$ . Setting  $Mg_M$  for  $g$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq M^2 \|Yg_M\|^2 - 2M \left| \frac{1}{\rho} - 1 \right| \|T^*g_M\|^2 + \|ZT^*g_M\|^2 + \left( 1 - \frac{2}{\rho} \right) \|TT^*g_M\|^2 < \\ &< \left( 1 - 2M \left| \frac{1}{\rho} - 1 \right| + \|Z\|^2 + \left| 1 - \frac{2}{\rho} \right| \|T\|^2 \right) \|T^*g_M\|^2 < 0 \end{aligned}$$

if  $M$  is large enough and this is a contradiction.

(9) guarantees the existence of a bounded linear operator  $X$  defined everywhere on  $\mathfrak{H}$  such that

$$(10) \quad T^*g = XYg \quad \text{if } g \in \mathfrak{H}, \quad Xf = 0 \quad \text{if } f \in \mathfrak{H} \ominus Y\mathfrak{H}.$$

Now (8) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \inf_{g \in \mathfrak{H}} \left\{ \|Yg\|^2 - 2 \left( \frac{1}{\rho} - 1 \right) \operatorname{Re}(XYg, h) + \|Zh\|^2 + \left( 1 - \frac{2}{\rho} \right) \|YX^*h\|^2 \right\} = \\ &= \inf_{g \in \mathfrak{H}} \left\{ \|Yg\|^2 - 2 \left( \frac{1}{\rho} - 1 \right) \operatorname{Re}(Yg, X^*h) + \left( \frac{1}{\rho} - 1 \right)^2 \|X^*h\|^2 + \|Zh\|^2 - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{\rho^2} \|X^*h\|^2 + \left( \frac{2}{\rho} - 1 \right) \|ZX^*h\|^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This means that for every  $h \in \mathfrak{H}$

$$(11) \quad \|Zh\|^2 - \frac{1}{\varrho^2} (\|X^*h\|^2 + \varrho(\varrho-2)\|ZX^*h\|^2) + \inf_{\theta \in \mathfrak{S}} \left\| Yg - \left( \frac{1}{\varrho} - 1 \right) X^*h \right\|^2 = 0.$$

For arbitrary  $f \in \mathfrak{S} \ominus Y\mathfrak{H}$  (10) implies that  $f \perp X^*\mathfrak{H}$ . This shows that  $\mathfrak{S} \ominus Y\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathfrak{S} \ominus X^*\mathfrak{H}$  and consequently  $\overline{X^*\mathfrak{H}} \subset \overline{Y\mathfrak{H}}$ . So we conclude for arbitrary  $h \in \mathfrak{H}$  that

$$\inf_{\theta \in \mathfrak{S}} \left\| Yg - \left( \frac{1}{\varrho} - 1 \right) X^*h \right\|^2 = 0.$$

This fact together with (11) imply that

$$(12) \quad \|Zh\| = \frac{1}{\varrho} (\|X^*h\|^2 + \varrho(\varrho-2)\|ZX^*h\|^2)^{1/2}.$$

Since  $Z$  is a non-negative contraction we have

$$((I + \varrho(\varrho-2)Z^2)h, h) \cong \begin{cases} \|h\|^2 & \text{if } \varrho \cong 2 \\ (\varrho-1)^2 \|h\|^2 & \text{if } 0 < \varrho < 2, \end{cases}$$

consequently for  $\varrho > 0, \varrho \neq 1$  there exists the positive, boundedly invertible operator  $(I + \varrho(\varrho-2)Z^2)^{1/2}$  and, by (12),

$$\|Zh\| = \frac{1}{\varrho} \|(I + \varrho(\varrho-2)Z^2)^{1/2} X^*h\|.$$

So there exists an operator  $W$  on  $\mathfrak{H}$  such that

$$(13) \quad \|WZh\| = \|Zh\| \quad \text{for } h \in \mathfrak{H}, \quad Wf = 0 \quad \text{for } f \in \mathfrak{S} \ominus Z\mathfrak{H},$$

and

$$WZh = \frac{1}{\varrho} (I + \varrho(\varrho-2)Z^2)^{1/2} X^*h.$$

Now (10) and the invertibility of  $(I + \varrho(\varrho-2)Z^2)^{1/2}$  imply that:

$$T = \varrho Y (I + \varrho(\varrho-2)Z^2)^{-1/2} WZ.$$

Let  $C = WZ$ , then by (7) and (13) we can conclude

$$T = \varrho (I + \varrho(\varrho-2)C^*C)^{-1/2} (I - C^*C)^{1/2} C.$$

**Proposition 2.** *Suppose  $C$  is a contraction on the Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$  and  $\varrho > 0, \varrho \neq 1$ . Set*

$$A = (I + \varrho(\varrho-2)C^*C)^{-1/2}, \quad B = (I - C^*C)^{1/2}, \quad B' = (I - CC^*)^{1/2}, \quad T = \varrho ABC,$$

and let  $V$  be the linear operator defined on  $\mathfrak{H} \oplus \mathfrak{H}$  by the matrix of operator entries

$$\begin{bmatrix} ABC & ABB' \\ (\varrho-1)CAC & (\varrho-1)CAB' \end{bmatrix}.$$

- Then: (i)  $V$  is a contraction,  
 (ii)  $V^2h = VTh$  for  $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ ,  
 (iii)  $T^n h = \varrho P V^n h$  for  $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ , and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  
 (iv)  $T \in \mathcal{C}_\varrho$ .

Proof. Observe that  $C^*C, A, B$  commute,  $B'C = CB$ ,  $C^*B' = BC^*$ , and

$$A^2(B^2 + (\varrho - 1)^2 C^*C) = I.$$

Using these facts an easy computation shows that

$$V^*V = \begin{bmatrix} C^*C & C^*B' \\ B'C & B'^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad I - V^*V = \begin{bmatrix} B^2 & -BC^* \\ -CB & CC^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & -C \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & I \\ I & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & -C^* \end{bmatrix} \equiv 0,$$

and consequently,  $\|V\| \leq 1$ .

The following computation proves (ii): For  $h \in \mathfrak{H}$

$$V(V-T) \begin{bmatrix} h \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = V \begin{bmatrix} (1-\varrho)ABCh \\ (\varrho-1)CACH \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From (ii) we deduce

$$(14) \quad V^n h = VT^{n-1}h \quad \text{for } h \in \mathfrak{H}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

For  $n=1$ , (iii) is an immediate consequence of the definition of  $V$  and  $T$ , and the general case then follows using (14).

Now by virtue of (iii), every unitary 1-dilation  $U_1$  of the contraction  $V$  is a  $\varrho$ -dilation of  $T$ . So (iv) is proved.

By virtue of Propositions 1 and 2 we have:

**Theorem.** Suppose  $\varrho > 0$  and  $\varrho \neq 1$ . An operator  $T$  on  $\mathfrak{H}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}_\varrho$  if and only if there exists a contraction  $C$  on  $\mathfrak{H}$  such that

$$T = \varrho(I + \varrho(\varrho - 2)C^*C)^{-1/2}(I - C^*C)^{1/2}C.$$

The author is indebted to Professor T. Ando for his helpful remarks on the first draft of this paper.

### References

- [1] T. ANDO, Structure of operators with numerical radius one, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **34** (1973), 11–15.  
 [2] J. J. SCHÄFFER, On unitary dilations of contractions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **6** (1955), 322.  
 [3] B. SZ.-NAGY—C. FOIAŞ, On certain classes of power-bounded operators in Hilbert space, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **27** (1966), 17–25.  
 [\*] B. SZ.-NAGY—C. FOIAŞ, *Harmonic Analysis of Operators on Hilbert Space* (Budapest—Amsterdam, 1970).

(Received February 1, 1975)