Strongly reductive operators are normal

CONSTANTIN APOSTOL, CIPRIAN FOIAŞ and DAN VOICULESCU

An operator on a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} is called reductive if every subspace \mathfrak{L}^{1} in-

variant for T is also invariant for T^* (i.e. \mathfrak{L} is reducing T). By a theorem of DYER, PEDERSEN and PORCELLI [4] every reductive operator is normal if and only if every operator has a (non-trivial) invariant subspace. Therefore the study of reductive operators might shed some light into the intricate structure of general operators. In particular it looked instructive to study a natural subclass of reductive operators [6], [2]. Let us recall that an operator T on \mathfrak{H} is called *strongly reductive* if

$$\varepsilon_T(\delta) = \sup \{ \| (I-P)T^*P \| \colon \| (I-P)TP \| < \delta \}$$

tends to 0 for $\delta \setminus 0$; *P* runs through the family $\mathscr{P}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ of orthogonal projections in \mathfrak{H} . Concerning this concept, the following was proved by HARRISON [6] (Cor. 2.4. and Thm. 3.8).

Proposition. If T is strongly reductive then its spectrum $\sigma(T)$ neither divides the (complex) plane nor has interior (in the plane). These conditions on $\sigma(T)$ imply, in case T is normal, that T is strongly reductive.

The aim of this short Note is to supplement these results with the following.

Theorem. Every strongly reductive operator is normal.

We will divide the proof of this theorem in several steps:

1. Lemma. Let T be a strongly reductive operator on \mathfrak{H} and let X be an operator on some space \mathfrak{R} such that $||X - U_j T U_j^{-1}|| \to 0$ $(j \to \infty)$ where U_j (j=1, 2, ...) are unitary operators from \mathfrak{H} onto \mathfrak{R} . Then X is also strongly reductive.

Proof. For $\delta > 0$ let $P \in \mathscr{P}_{\mathfrak{R}}$ be such that $||(I-P)XP|| < \delta$. Denote $T_j = U_j T U_j^{-1}$ and take j large enough such that $||X-T_j|| < \delta - ||(I-P)XP||$. Then for $P_j = U_j^{-1}P U_j$

Received February 28, 1976.

¹) All the spaces involved are complex Hilbert spaces; the subspaces will be always considered linear and closed. Also all operators will be linear, continuous, and mapping Hilbert spaces into Hilbert spaces.

we have $P_i \in \mathscr{P}_5$ and $||(I-P_i)TP_i|| < \delta$ so that

$$\|(I-P)X^*P\| \le \|X^* - T_j^*\| + \|(I-P)T_j^*P\| = \\ = \|X - T_j\| + \|(I-P_j)T^*P_j\| \le \|X - T_j\| + \varepsilon_T(\delta),$$

whence (letting $j \to \infty$), $||(I-P)X^*P|| \leq \varepsilon_T(\delta)$.

2. Lemma. Let T be a strongly reductive operator on a separable space \mathfrak{H} . Then $T^*T - TT^*$ is compact.

Proof. Let \mathscr{B} be the C^* -algebra with unity, generated in the Calkin algebra $C(\mathfrak{H})^2$) by the image \tilde{T} of T. Let moreover ϱ be a faithful C^* -representation of \mathscr{B} on a separable Hilbert space \mathfrak{H}_{ϱ} .³) By virtue of [8], Thm. 1.3, we can take the operator X in Lemma 1 of the form $X = T \oplus \varrho(\tilde{T}) \oplus \varrho(\tilde{T})$; therefore this operator is strongly reductive, henceforth reductive. But if P denotes the orthogonal projection of $\mathfrak{H} \oplus \mathfrak{H}_{\varrho}(\tilde{T})h:h\in\mathfrak{H}_{\varrho}$ then (I-P)XP=0, thus also $\|(I-P)X^*P\|=0$. Whence we easily infer that $\varrho(\tilde{T})^*\varrho(\tilde{T})h=\varrho(\tilde{T})\varrho(\tilde{T})^*h$ for all $h\in\mathfrak{H}_{\varrho}$, i.e. $\varrho(\tilde{T}^*\tilde{T}-\tilde{T}\tilde{T}^*)=0$, $\tilde{T}^*T-TT^*=\tilde{T}^*\tilde{T}-\tilde{T}\tilde{T}^*=0$.

3. Lemma. Let T be a strongly reductive operator on \mathfrak{H} . Then, if dim $\mathfrak{H} > 1$, .there exists a (non-trivial) subspace of \mathfrak{H} , invariant for T (thus also reducing T).

Proof. Since, if dim $\mathfrak{H} < \infty$ then T is obviously normal and if dim $\mathfrak{H} > \aleph_0$ then T is obviously reduced by separable subspaces of \mathfrak{H} , it remains to consider only the case dim $\mathfrak{H} = \aleph_0$. In this case, the properties of $\sigma(T)$ (yielded by Harrison's Proposition) together with the spectral characterization of quasitriangular operators [3], Thm. 5.4, imply that T is quasi-triangular. Therefore if $||p(T)|| \neq ||\widetilde{p(T)}||$ for some polynomial $p(\lambda)$, the existence of (non-trivial) subspaces reducing T is already established in [2]. Thus we can assume that

(1)
$$||p(T)|| = ||\widetilde{p(T)}|| = ||p(\widetilde{T})||$$

for all polynomials $p(\lambda)$. But in virtue of Lemma 2, T is normal in $C(\mathfrak{H})$, thus

(2)
$$\|p(T)\| = \|p\|_{\mathcal{C}(\sigma(T))} (:= \max \{|p(\lambda)| : \lambda \in \sigma(\widetilde{T})\}),$$

where $\sigma(\tilde{T})(\subset \sigma(T))$ neither separates the plane nor has interior. By (1), (2) and by virtue of the classical theorem of LAVRENTIEV [5], Ch. II, 8.7, the map $p|_{\sigma(T)} \rightarrow p(T)$ extends to an isometric algebraic map of $C(\sigma(\tilde{T}))$ in $L(\mathfrak{H})$. Consequently, if $\sigma(\tilde{T})$

²) This is the quotient C^* -algebra $C(\mathfrak{H})=L(\mathfrak{H})/K(\mathfrak{H})$, where $L(\mathfrak{H})$ denotes the algebra of all operators on \mathfrak{H} while $K(\mathfrak{H})$ denotes the ideal of all compact operators on \mathfrak{H} . We shall denote the element $X+K(\mathfrak{H})(X\in L(\mathfrak{H}))$ in $C(\mathfrak{H})$ by \mathfrak{X} .

³) The existence of such a representation follows easily from the separability of \mathscr{B} and the classical Gelfand — Naĭmark theorem [7], Ch. V., § 24, Sec. 2.

reduces to a single point λ , then $T=\lambda$, if not then taking two continuous functions f and g on $\sigma(\tilde{T})$ not vanishing identically and such that fg=0 we have $f(T)\neq 0$, $g(T)\neq 0$, f(T)g(T)=0, and T leaves invariant the (non-trivial) null-spaces of f(T) and g(T).

4. We are now in state to achieve the proof of the theorem. As in the proof of Lemma 3 we can assume that \mathfrak{H} is separable. Also we can discard from \mathfrak{H} the largest reducing subspace \mathfrak{L} of \mathfrak{H} on which $T|\mathfrak{L}$ is normal (see [1]). Therefore, in case Tis not normal we can assume that for any subspace $\mathfrak{L} \subset \mathfrak{H}$, reducing T, the operator $T|\mathfrak{L}$ is not normal; it follows that for such subspaces \mathfrak{L} we have dim $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{K}_0$. Using these facts together with Lemma 3 we can prove that for any maximal totally ordered family \mathscr{F} of invariant subspaces \mathfrak{K} for T and for every $\mathfrak{K}_0 \in \mathscr{F}$ the continuity properties

$$\vee \{ \mathfrak{R} : \mathfrak{R} \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_0, \, \mathfrak{R} \in \mathscr{F} \} = \mathfrak{R}_0 = \cap \{ \mathfrak{R} : \mathfrak{R} \supseteq \mathfrak{R}_0, \, \mathfrak{R} \in \mathscr{F} \}$$

hold. Moreover, $\{0\}$ and \mathfrak{H} belong to \mathscr{F} . As T is (strongly) reductive the subspaces \mathfrak{R} reduce T, and therefore, $C = T^*T - TT^*$ too. Since T is not normal, $C \neq 0$. On the other hand, by Lemma 2 the operator C is compact so that it has a finite dimensional non-zero eigen-subspace \mathfrak{L} . Then the corresponding orthogonal projection $P_{\mathfrak{L}}$ is reduced by each $\mathfrak{R} \in \mathscr{F}$. Consequently, $\mathscr{F}' = \{\mathfrak{R} \cap \mathfrak{L} : \mathfrak{R} \in \mathscr{F}\}$ has the same continuity properties as \mathscr{F} . This contradicts the finite dimensionality of \mathfrak{L} .

References

- [1] C. APOSTOL, Sur la partie normale d'un ensemble d'opérateurs de l'espace de Hilbert, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung, 17 (1966), 1-4.
- [2] C. APOSTOL and C. K. FONG, Invariant suspaces for algebras generated by strongly reductive operators, Duke Math. J., 42 (1975), 495-498.
- [3] C. APOSTOL, C. FOIAŞ and D. VOICULESCU, Some results on non-quasitriangular operators, *Revue Roum. Math. Pures et Appl.*, 18 (1973), 487-514.
- [4] J. A. DYER, E. A. PEDERSEN, and P. PORCELLI, An equivalent formulation of the invariant subspace conjecture, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 78 (1972), 1020-1023.
- [5] T. W. GAMELIN, Uniform Algebras, Prentice-Hall (Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1969).
- [6] K. J. HARRISON, Strongly reductive operators, Acta Sci. Math., 37 (1975), 205-212.
- [7] M. A. NAIMARK, Normed Rings, Gosud. Izd. Tehn.-Teor. Lit. (Moscow, 1956). (Russian)
- [8] D. VOICULESCU, A non-commutative Weyl von Neumann theorem, Revue Roum. Math. Pures et Appl., 21 (1976), 97—113.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY BUCHAREST STR. ACADEMIEI 14 BUCHAREST, ROMANIA