

Jordan model for some operators

HARI BERCOVICI

The aim of this Note is to find the Jordan model of a C_0 operator whose characteristic function coincides with $e_A(z) = \exp \left(A \frac{z+1}{z-1} \right)$, where A is a bounded positive operator acting on a separable Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} . This problem was proposed by C. Foiaş for $\mathfrak{H} = L^2(0, 1)$ and the operator A defined by $(Af)(x) = xf(x)$, $f \in L^2(0, 1)$.

1. Preliminaries

We will frequently use the following assertion. If T, T' are two quasisimilar completely non-unitary contractions, $m \in H^\infty$, $\mathfrak{R} = (\text{ran } m(T))^\perp$ and $\mathfrak{R}' = (\text{ran } m(T'))^\perp$, then $T|_{\mathfrak{R}}$ and $T'|_{\mathfrak{R}'}$ are also quasisimilar (cf. [2]).

Let us recall that if the operator T is acting on \mathfrak{H} , its multiplicity μ_T is defined as the minimum cardinality of a subset $\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{H}$ such that $\bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n \mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{H}$. If T and T' are quasisimilar, then $\mu_T = \mu_{T'}$ (cf. [3]).

Proposition A. (cf. [4], [5], [1]) *Let T be a C_0 operator acting on a separable Hilbert space. Then there exists a sequence $\{m_j\}_{j=1}^n$ of inner functions such that:*

- (1) m_{j+1} divides m_j for each j ;
- (2) T is quasisimilar to $\bigoplus_{j=1}^n S(m_j)$;
- (3) $m_1 = m_T$;
- (4) $n = \mu_T$ ($\leq \infty$).

The sequence $\{m_j\}_{j=1}^n$ is uniquely determined by conditions (1) and (2).

The operator $\bigoplus_{j=1}^n S(m_j)$ is called the Jordan model of T . An operator of the form

$\bigoplus_{j=1}^n S(m_j)$, for which (1) holds, is called a Jordan operator.

Let us recall that with each inner function $\{\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R}, \Theta(z)\}$ in the unit disc we can associate the operator $S(\Theta)$ acting on the space

$$(1.1) \quad \mathfrak{H}(\Theta) = H^2(\mathfrak{R}) \ominus \Theta H^2(\mathfrak{R}),$$

defined by

$$(1.2) \quad S(\Theta)u = P_{\mathfrak{H}(\Theta)}(zu(z)), \quad u \in \mathfrak{H}(\Theta).$$

If the function $\{\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R}, \Theta(z)\}$ is pure, then it coincides with the characteristic function of the contraction $S(\Theta)$ (cf. [2]).

It is obvious that if I is an at most countable set and for each $i \in I$, $\{\mathfrak{R}_i, \mathfrak{R}_i, \Theta_i(z)\}$ is an inner function in the unit disc, then the function $\{\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R}, \Theta(z)\}$, where $\mathfrak{R} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathfrak{R}_i$ and $\Theta(z) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \Theta_i(z)$, is also inner and we have

$$(1.3) \quad S(\Theta) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} S(\Theta_i).$$

2. The Jordan model of $S(e_A)$

Let A be a positive operator on the separable Hilbert space \mathfrak{R} , with spectral measure E . We can then define an inner function $\{\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R}, e_A(z)\}$ by the formula:

$$(2.1) \quad e_A(z) = \exp \left(A \frac{z+1}{z-1} \right) = \int_0^a e_t(z) dE_t, \quad a = \|A\|,$$

where we use the notation:

$$(2.2) \quad e_t(z) = \exp \left\{ t \frac{z+1}{z-1} \right\}.$$

As $e_A(0) = \exp(-A)$, it is easy to see that the function e_A is pure if and only if $\ker A = \{0\}$.

Lemma 1. *The characteristic function of*

$$S(e_A) \big| (\text{ran } e_t(S(e_A)))^-, \quad t \geq 0,$$

is $\{\mathfrak{R}_t, \mathfrak{R}_t, e_{A_t}(z)\}$, where $\mathfrak{R}_t = E((t, \|A\|])\mathfrak{R}$ and $A_t = (A - tI)|_{\mathfrak{R}_t}$. Thus $S(e_A)$ is a C_0 operator and its minimal function is $e_{\|A\|}$.

Proof. We first show that

$$(2.3) \quad (\text{ran } e_t(S(e_A)))^- = e_{A_t} H^2(\mathfrak{R}) \ominus e_A H^2(\mathfrak{R})$$

where

$$(2.4) \quad A_t' = AE((0, t]) + tE((t, \|A\|]).$$

Indeed we have

$$(2.5) \quad (\text{ran } e_i(S(e_A)))^- = (P_{\mathfrak{H}(e_A)} e_i \mathfrak{H}(e_A))^- = (P_{\mathfrak{H}(e_A)} e_i H^2(\mathfrak{R}))^- = \\ = (e_i H^2(\mathfrak{R}) + e_A H^2(\mathfrak{R}))^- \ominus e_A H^2(\mathfrak{R}).$$

The operator of multiplication by e_i on $H^2(\mathfrak{R})$ may be represented as a product $e_{A_i} e_{A_i'}$, where $A_i' = (I - A)E((0, t])$, thus $e_i H^2(\mathfrak{R}) \subset e_{A_i} H^2(\mathfrak{R})$ and from (2.5) we infer

$$(2.6) \quad (\text{ran } e_i(S(e_A)))^- \subset e_{A_i} H^2(\mathfrak{R}) \ominus e_A H^2(\mathfrak{R}).$$

Now, for $u \in H^2(\mathfrak{R})$ we have

$$e_{A_i} u = e_A E((0, t]) u + e_i E((t, \|A\|]) u,$$

thus $e_{A_i} H^2(\mathfrak{R}) \subset e_A H^2(\mathfrak{R}) + e_i H^2(\mathfrak{R})$ and from (2.5) we infer

$$e_{A_i} H^2(\mathfrak{R}) \ominus e_A H^2(\mathfrak{R}) \subset (\text{ran } e_i(S(e_A)))^-.$$

This inclusion and (2.6) prove the equality (2.3).

Now let us remark that the operator $R: \mathfrak{H}(e_A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}(e_A)$ defined by $Ru = e_i u$ is isometric,

$$R\mathfrak{H}(e_{A_i}) = e_i H^2(\mathfrak{R}_i) \ominus e_A H^2(\mathfrak{R}_i) = e_{A_i} H^2(\mathfrak{R}) \ominus e_A H^2(\mathfrak{R}) = (\text{ran } e_i(S(e_A)))^-$$

and $RS(e_{A_i}) = S(e_A)R$. Thus $S(e_A)|(\text{ran } e_i(S(e_A)))^-$ is unitarily equivalent so $S(e_{A_i})$ and the lemma follows if we remark that $\ker A_i = \{0\}$, that is e_{A_i} is pure.

Lemma 2. We have $\mu_{S(e_A)} = \text{Rank } A$.

Proof. We may suppose without loss of generality that $\ker A = \{0\}$. If $\text{Rank } A = n < \infty$, A is represented, for an adequate choice of the basis in \mathfrak{R} , by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} t_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & t_2 & \dots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & t_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad t_1 \geq t_2 \geq \dots \geq t_n > 0.$$

It follows that $S(e_A)$ is unitarily equivalent to the Jordan operator $\bigoplus_{j=1}^n S(e_{t_j})$; thus $S(e_A)$ is of multiplicity n .

Conversely, let us suppose that $S(e_A)$ is of multiplicity $n < \infty$. We show first that the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ consists of at most n points. If $\sigma(A)$ contains more than n points, we can find $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{n+1} = \|A\|$ such that $E((t_i, t_{i+1}]) \neq 0$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Because $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n A|E((t_i, t_{i+1}])\mathfrak{R} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n A_i$, we have $S(e_A) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n S(e_{A_i})$. From Lemma 1 and Proposition 4 it follows that $S(e_{A_i})$ is quasisimilar to a Jordan operator

$$S(e_{s_i}) \oplus \dots, \quad \text{where } s_i = \|A_i\| \in (t_i, t_{i+1}].$$

Thus $S(e_A)$ is quasisimilar to

$$T = S(e_{s_n}) \oplus S(e_{s_{n-1}}) \oplus \dots \oplus S(e_{s_0}) \oplus \dots,$$

$s_n > s_{n-1} > \dots > s_0 > 0$ (T may not be a Jordan operator). It is clear that $\mu_T \cong n+1$ and this contradicts the equality $\mu_T = \mu_{S(e_A)} = n$. Thus $\sigma(A)$ consists of at most n points, say

$$\sigma(A) = \{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_k\}, \quad \tau_1 > \tau_2 > \dots > \tau_k > 0 \quad (k \leq n).$$

Each τ_i is an eigenvalue of A say of multiplicity $n_i (\leq \infty)$. Because $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k A|E(\{\tau_i\})\mathfrak{R}$, it follows that $S(e_A)$ is unitarily equivalent to

$$(2.7) \quad \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_i} S(e_{\tau_i}) \right).$$

Now, the operator (2.7) is of finite multiplicity if and only if $n_i < \infty$, $i=1, \dots, k$, and then its multiplicity equals $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k = \text{Rank } A$. The lemma follows.

Lemma 3. Let $S = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} S(m_j)$ be a Jordan operator of infinite multiplicity and let T be a C_0 operator acting on a separable Hilbert space with the property that m_T divides m_j for each j . Then the Jordan model of $T \oplus S$ is S .

Proof. Let $S' = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} S(m'_j)$ be the Jordan model of $T \oplus S$. For each j , $(T \oplus S)|(\text{ran } m'_j(T \oplus S))^-$ is quasisimilar to $S'|(\text{ran } m'_j(S'))^-$, thus it has finite multiplicity. It follows that, for sufficiently large i , $m'_i(S(m_i)) = 0$, thus m_i divides m'_i . From the hypothesis it follows that m_T divides m'_j for each j . Now, $(T \oplus S)|(\text{ran } m_T(T \oplus S))^-$ and $S'|(\text{ran } m_T(S'))^-$ are quasisimilar. Because $(T \oplus S)|(\text{ran } m_T(T \oplus S))^-$, $S'|(\text{ran } m_T(S'))^-$ are unitarily equivalent to $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} S(m_j/m_T)$, $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} S(m'_j/m_T)$ respectively, from the uniqueness assertion of Proposition A it follows that $m_j/m_T = m'_j/m_T$, $m_j = m'_j$ for each j .

The lemma is proved.

Let us put

$$(2.8) \quad t_0 = \inf \{t : \dim E((t, \|A\|])\mathfrak{R} < \infty\}.$$

Then $\sigma(A) \cap (t_0, \|A\|]$ contains only eigenvalues of finite multiplicity. Let $\{t_j\}_{j=1}^{n_A}$, $n_A = \dim E((t_0, \|A\|])\mathfrak{R} \leq \infty$, $t_1 \geq t_2 \geq \dots$, be these eigenvalues, each one being counted according its multiplicity. So we are able to state the main result of this paper:

Theorem. The Jordan model of $S(e_A)$ is:

$$(a) \quad \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} S(e_{t_j}) \quad \text{if } n_A = \dim E((t_0, \|A\|])\mathfrak{R} = \infty;$$

$$(b) \quad \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_A} S(e_{t_j}) \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} S(e_{t_0}) \right) \quad \text{if } n_A < \infty.$$

Proof. We have the relation $A = A' \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_A} t_j \right)$ (here t_j is considered as a multiplication operator on a 1-dimensional Hilbert space), thus $S(e_A) = S(e_{A'}) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_A} S(e_{t_j}) \right)$. If $n_A = \infty$, the conditions of Lemma 3 are satisfied for $T = S(e_{A'})$ and $S = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} S(e_{t_j})$, thus (a) follows.

Let us suppose that $n_A < \infty$. Then, if E' denotes the spectral measure of A' , we have $\dim \operatorname{ran} E'((t, t_0]) = \infty$ for each $t < t_0 = \|A'\|$. From Lemmas 1 and 2 it follows that for each $t < t_0 = \|A'\|$ the operator $S(e_{A'})|(\operatorname{ran} e_t(S(e_{A'})))^-$ is of infinite multiplicity. Let $S = S(e_{t_0}) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} S(e_{t_j}) \right)$, $t_0 \cong t^1 \cong t^2 \cong \dots$, be the Jordan model of $S(e_{A'})$. If $t^j = t < t_0$ for some j , it follows that $S|(\operatorname{ran} e_t(S))^-$ is of finite multiplicity, thus $S(e_{A'})|(\operatorname{ran} e_t(S(e_{A'})))^-$ is of finite multiplicity, a contradiction. It follows that $t^j = t_0$ for each j , thus $S(e_A)$ is quasisimilar to

$$\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_A} S(e_{t_j}) \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} S(e_{t_0}) \right).$$

The last operator is a Jordan operator and the theorem follows from the uniqueness assertion of Proposition A.

Remark. If A acts on a finite dimensional Hilbert space we have $n_A = \operatorname{Rank} A$, $t_0 = 0$, and the Jordan model has the form $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_A} S(e_{t_j})$. Thus our theorem is verified in this case also.

Example. Let A be defined by $(Af)(x) = x \cdot f(x)$ on $\mathfrak{R} = L^2(0, 1)$. Then $\|A\| = 1$ and A has no eigenvalues. It follows that the Jordan model of $S(e_A)$ is $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} S(e_1)$.

References

- [1] H. BERCOVICI, C. FOIAȘ, B. SZ.-NAGY, Compléments à l'étude des opérateurs de classe C_0 . III, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **37** (1975), 313—322.
- [2] B. SZ.-NAGY, C. FOIAȘ, *Harmonic Analysis of Operators on Hilbert Space*, North Holland—Akadémiai Kiadó (Amsterdam—Budapest, 1970).
- [3] B. SZ.-NAGY, C. FOIAȘ, Vecteurs cycliques et quasiaffinés, *Studia Math.*, **31** (1968), 35—42.
- [4] B. SZ.-NAGY, C. FOIAȘ, Modèle de Jordan pour une classe d'opérateurs de l'espace de Hilbert, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **31** (1970), 91—115.
- [5] B. SZ.-NAGY, C. FOIAȘ, Compléments à l'étude des opérateurs de classe C_0 , *Acta Sci. Math.*, **31** (1970) 287—296.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY BUCHAREST
STR. ACADEMIEI 14
BUCHAREST, ROMANIA