

Note on an embedding theorem

J. NÉMETH

Let $\varphi \equiv \varphi_p$ ($p > 1$) be a nonnegative increasing function on $[0, \infty)$ with the following properties:

$$\frac{\varphi(x)}{x} \uparrow \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\varphi(x)}{x^p} \downarrow \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

The set of measurable functions f on $[0, 1]$ for which $\int_0^1 \varphi(|f(x)|) dx < \infty$ will be denoted by $\varphi(L)$.

If $f \in \varphi(L)$, the “modulus of continuity of f with respect to φ ” will be defined by

$$\omega_\varphi(\delta; f) = \sup_{0 \leq h \leq \delta} \bar{\varphi} \left(\int_0^{1-h} \varphi(|f(x+h) - f(x)|) dx \right) \quad (0 \leq \delta \leq 1),$$

where $\bar{\varphi}(x)$ denotes the inverse function of $\varphi(x)$. Given a function φ and a non-decreasing continuous function ω with $\omega(0) = 0$, $H_\varphi^\omega \equiv H_\varphi^{\omega(\delta)}$ will denote the collection of functions $f(x)$ satisfying the condition

$$\omega_\varphi(\delta, f) = O(\omega(\delta)).$$

LEINDLER [2] gave a sufficient condition for $H_\varphi^{\omega(\delta)} \subset \varphi(L) \Lambda(L)$, where $\Lambda(x)$ is a “slowly increasing” function. Namely he proved the following:

Theorem A. ([2], Theorem 1) *Let $f \in \varphi(L)$ ($\varphi = \varphi_p$, $p \geq 1$) and let $\{\lambda_k\}$ be a nonnegative monotonic sequence of numbers such that*

$$\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_k}{k^{1+\varepsilon}} \leq K(\lambda) \frac{\lambda_m}{m^\varepsilon}, \quad ^1)$$

Received September 5, 1975.

¹⁾ K and K_1 denote either absolute constants or constants depending on certain functions and numbers which are not necessary to specify; $K(\alpha, \beta)$ and $K_1(\alpha, \beta)$ denote positive constants depending only on the indicated parameters. These constants are not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

where $\varepsilon = (4[p + 1] + 2)^{-1}$,²⁾ and let $\Lambda(x) = \sum_{k=1}^x \frac{\lambda_k}{k}$.³⁾ Then

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n} \cdot \varphi \left(\omega_{\varphi} \left(\frac{1}{n}, f \right) \right) < \infty$$

implies $f \in \varphi(L) \Lambda(L)$ and

$$\int_0^1 \varphi(|f(x)|) \Lambda(|f(x)|) dx \cong K(\varphi, \lambda) \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n} \varphi \left(\omega_{\varphi} \left(\frac{1}{n}, f \right) \right) + \int_0^1 \varphi(|f(x)|) dx \right\}.$$

In the present paper we are going to prove that for certain functions $\omega(\delta)$ condition (1) is also a necessary for

$$H_{\varphi}^{\omega(\delta)} \subset \varphi(L) \Lambda(L).$$

More precisely, we prove the following

Theorem. Let $\omega(\delta)$ be a nondecreasing, continuous function with $\omega(0) = 0$, for which the limit

$$(2) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\omega\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^4}{\omega(h)}$$

exists, and let $\{\lambda_k\}$ be a nonnegative monotonic sequence of numbers satisfying $\lambda_{k+1} \leq K\lambda_k$ for any k . Then a necessary and sufficient condition for

$$(3) \quad H_{\varphi}^{\omega(\delta)} \subset \varphi(L) \Lambda(L)$$

is that

$$(4) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n \varphi \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{n} \right) \right)}{n} < \infty,$$

where $\Lambda(x)$ means the same as in Theorem A.

1. We make use of the following:

Lemma ([3], Lemma 13). Let $A(u)$ be a nonnegative nondecreasing function on $[0, \infty)$ such that $A(u^2) \leq KA(u)$ for any $u \in [0, \infty)$ and let $B(u)$ be a nonnegative function on $[0, 1]$. Then

$$\int_0^1 B(u) A(B(u)) du < \infty \quad \text{implies} \quad \int_0^1 B(u) A \left(\frac{1}{u} \right) du < \infty.$$

²⁾ $[y]$ denotes the integral part of y .

³⁾ \sum_a^b , where a and b are not necessarily integers, means a sum over all integers between a and b .

⁴⁾ In the proof we shall use instead of (2) only the condition $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} < \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\omega(h/2)}{\omega(h)}$, where p is from the definition of the function $\varphi = \varphi_p$.

2. Proof of the Theorem

The sufficiency of (4) was proved in LEINDLER [2].

The necessity of (4) will be proved indirectly.

Suppose that

$$(5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n \varphi \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{n} \right) \right)}{n} = \infty.$$

but (3) holds. Then we can construct a function f_0 leading to a contradiction.

The construction of this function is similar to that of LEINDLER [1] made in the case $\varphi(x) = x^p$. We define $f_0(x)$ as follows:

$$f_0(x) = \begin{cases} \varrho_n, & \text{if } x = 3 \cdot 2^{-n-2}, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \quad x \in \left[\frac{1}{3}, 1 \right], \quad x = 2^{-n}, \\ \text{linear on} & [2^{-n-1}, 3 \cdot 2^{-n-2}], \quad [3 \cdot 2^{-n-2}, 2^{-n}], \end{cases}$$

($n=1, 2, \dots$), where $\varrho_n = \bar{\varphi} \left(2^{n+1} \left(\varphi \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \right) \right) - \varphi \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \right) \right) \right) \right)$. First we show that

$f_0(x) \in H_{\varphi}^{\omega(h)}$. Let

$$(6) \quad h \in (2^{-k-3}, 2^{-k-2}], \quad k \geq 2.$$

Then

$$\int_0^{1-h} \varphi(|f_0(t+h) - f_0(t)|) dt = \left(\int_0^{3h} + \int_{3h}^{1-h} \right) \varphi(|f_0(t+h) - f_0(t)|) dt = I_1 + I_2.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq K(\varphi) \int_0^{4h} \varphi(|f_0(x)|) dx \leq K \int_0^{2^{-k}} \varphi(|f_0(x)|) dx \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-n-1}}^{2^{-n}} \varphi(|f_0(x)|) dx \leq K_1 \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \varphi(\varrho_n) 2^{-n-1} = \\ &= K_1 \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \left[\varphi \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \right) \right) - \varphi \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \right) \right) \right] = K_1 \varphi \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{2^k} \right) \right) \leq K_2 \varphi(\omega(h)). \end{aligned}$$

Next we prove that for any k :

$$(7) \quad \sum_{n=0}^k 2^{-n} \varphi \left(\frac{2^n}{2^k} \bar{\varphi} \left(2^n \varphi \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \right) \right) \right) \right) \leq K \varphi \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{2^k} \right) \right).$$

To prove (7) we mention first of all that by (2) and (5)

$$(8) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\omega \left(\frac{h}{2} \right)}{\omega(h)} = 1$$

follows. For, if $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\omega(h/2)}{\omega(h)} < q < 1$, then we have

$$\varphi \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \right) \right) \cong q \varphi \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \right) \right)$$

which by $\lambda_{k^2} \cong K_1 \lambda_k$ implies the contrary of (5).

By (8) we may assume that there exists a positive number α such that $0 < \alpha < 1$ and that for any $n > n_0$

$$(9) \quad \omega \left(\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \right) \cong \sqrt[p]{2} \cdot \alpha \omega \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \right).$$

Hence by $\varphi(kx) \cong k^p \varphi(x)$ ($k > 1$), we have

$$(10) \quad \varphi \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \right) \right) \cong 2\alpha^p \varphi \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \right) \right),$$

or

$$(11) \quad 2^{n-1} \varphi \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \right) \right) \cong \alpha^p 2^n \cdot \varphi \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \right) \right).$$

Since $\bar{\varphi}(kx) \cong \sqrt[p]{k} \bar{\varphi}(x)$ for $k \leq 1$ we have by (11)

$$(12) \quad \bar{\varphi} \left(2^{n-1} \varphi \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \right) \right) \right) \cong \alpha \cdot \bar{\varphi} \left(2^n \varphi \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \right) \right) \right),$$

and consequently

$$(13) \quad \frac{2^{n-1}}{2^k} \bar{\varphi} \left(2^{n-1} \varphi \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \right) \right) \right) \cong \frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{2^n}{2^k} \bar{\varphi} \left(2^n \varphi \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \right) \right) \right).$$

Since $\varphi(kx) \cong k \varphi(x)$ for $k \leq 1$, we obtain by (13),

$$\varphi \left(\frac{2^{n-1}}{2^k} \bar{\varphi} \left(2^{n-1} \varphi \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \right) \right) \right) \right) \cong \frac{\alpha}{2} \varphi \left(\frac{2^n}{2^k} \bar{\varphi} \left(2^n \varphi \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \right) \right) \right) \right).$$

Hence,

$$2^{-n+1} \varphi \left(\frac{2^{n-1}}{2^k} \bar{\varphi} \left(2^{n-1} \varphi \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \right) \right) \right) \right) \cong \alpha \cdot 2^{-n} \varphi \left(\frac{2^n}{2^k} \bar{\varphi} \left(2^n \varphi \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \right) \right) \right) \right),$$

which implies (7), since $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Having (7) we can estimate J_2 . Since

$$|f_0(t+h) - f_0(t)| \cong h \cdot 2^{n+2} (\varrho_n + \varrho_{n-1}) \quad \text{if} \quad 2^{-n-1} \leq t \leq 2^{-n}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq k-1,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\cong \int_{2^{-k}}^{2^{-1}} \varphi(|f_0(t+h) - f_0(t)|) dt = \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \int_{2^{-n-1}}^{2^{-n}} \varphi(|f_0(t+h) - f_0(t)|) dt \cong \\ &\cong K(\varphi) \sum_{n=0}^k 2^{-n} \varphi \left(\frac{2^n}{2^k} \varrho_n \right) \cong K_1(\varphi) \sum_{n=0}^k 2^{-n} \varphi \left(\frac{2^n}{2^k} \bar{\varphi} \left(2^n \varphi \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \right) \right) \right) \right) \cong \\ &\cong K_2(\varphi) \cdot \varphi \left(\omega \left(\frac{1}{2^k} \right) \right) \cong K_3(\varphi) \cdot \varphi(\omega(h)); \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$f_0(x) \in H_\varphi^\omega.$$

Finally we prove that

$$f_0(x) \notin \varphi(L) \Lambda(L).$$

By (5)

$$(14) \quad \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\lambda_n \varphi\left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)}{n} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using (14) and $\lambda_{2n} \cong K_1 \lambda_n$, furthermore that for any N there exists an integer N_1 such that $\varphi\left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{N_1}\right)\right) \cong \frac{1}{4K_1} \varphi\left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right)$, an easy computation gives that

$$(15) \quad \sum_{n=1}^\mu \Lambda(2^n) \varphi(\varrho_n) 2^{-n} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } \mu \rightarrow \infty.$$

Indeed, if $2^\mu > N_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k k^{-1} \varphi\left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)\right) \cong 2 \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k k^{-1} \left[\varphi\left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)\right) - 2K_1 \varphi\left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{N_1}\right)\right) \right] \cong \\ & \cong 2 \sum_{k=1}^{2^\mu} \lambda_k k^{-1} \left[\varphi\left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)\right) - 2K_1 \varphi\left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{2^\mu}\right)\right) \right] \cong \\ & \cong 2 \left[\sum_{n=1}^\mu \sum_{k=2^{n-1}+1}^{2^n} \lambda_k k^{-1} \varphi\left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)\right) - 2K_1 \Lambda(2^\mu) \varphi\left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{2^\mu}\right)\right) \right] + K_2 \cong \\ & \cong 2 \left[\sum_{n=1}^\mu \varphi\left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\right)\right) \sum_{k=2^{n-1}+1}^{2^n} \lambda_k k^{-1} - 2K_1 \Lambda(2^\mu) \varphi\left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{2^\mu}\right)\right) \right] + K_2 \cong \\ & \cong 2 \left[\sum_{n=2}^\mu 2K_1 \varphi\left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\right)\right) \sum_{k=2^{n-2}+1}^{2^{n-1}} \lambda_k k^{-1} - 2K_1 \Lambda(2^\mu) \varphi\left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{2^\mu}\right)\right) \right] + K_3 \cong \\ & \cong K_4 \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\mu-1} \varphi\left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{2^i}\right)\right) \sum_{k=2^{i-1}+1}^{2^i} \lambda_k k^{-1} - \Lambda(2^\mu) \varphi\left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{2^\mu}\right)\right) \right] + K_3 \cong \\ & \cong K_4 \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\mu-1} \varphi\left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{2^i}\right)\right) (\Lambda(2^i) - \Lambda(2^{i-1})) - \Lambda(2^\mu) \varphi\left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{2^\mu}\right)\right) \right] + K_5 \cong \\ & \cong K_4 \sum_{n=1}^{\mu-1} \Lambda(2^n) \left[\varphi\left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right)\right) - \varphi\left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right)\right) \right] + K_5 \cong \\ & \cong K_4 \sum_{n=1}^\mu \Lambda(2^n) \varphi(\varrho_n) \cdot 2^{-n} + K_5, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (15) by (14).

It is clear that for any m

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{1/2^{m+1}}^1 \varphi(|f_0(x)|) \Lambda\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) dx &= \sum_{n=0}^m \int_{2^{-n-1}}^{2^{-n}} \varphi(|f_0(x)|) \Lambda\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) dx \cong \\ &\cong \sum_{n=0}^m \Lambda(2^n) \int_{2^{-n-1}}^{2^{-n}} \varphi(|f_0(x)|) dx \cong K_6 \sum_{n=0}^m \Lambda(2^n) \varphi(\varrho_n) 2^{-n}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus, by (15), we get

$$(16) \quad \int_0^1 \varphi(|f_0(x)|) \Lambda\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) dx = \infty.$$

Since $\lambda_{k^2} \cong K_1 \lambda_k$, we have

$$(17) \quad \Lambda(u^2) \cong K_2 \Lambda(u),$$

thus, by (16) and applying our Lemma, we obtain

$$(18) \quad \int_0^1 \varphi(|f_0(x)|) \Lambda(\varphi(|f_0(x)|)) dx = \infty.$$

Using (17) and the properties of the function φ , we have

$$(19) \quad \Lambda(\varphi(x)) \cong K_3 \Lambda(x),$$

whence by (18) and (19)

$$\int_0^1 \varphi(|f_0(x)|) \Lambda(|f_0(x)|) dx = \infty$$

follows, that is,

$$f_0 \notin \varphi(L) \Lambda(L).$$

The proof of our Theorem is completed.

References

- [1] L. LEINDLER, On embedding of classes H_p^ω , *Acta Sci. Math.*, **31** (1970), 13—31.
- [2] L. LEINDLER, On imbedding theorems, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **34** (1973), 231—244.
- [3] П. Л. УЛЬЯНОВ, Вложение некоторых классов функций H_p^ω , *Изв. АН СССР, сер. матем.*, **32** (1968), 649—686.

BOLYAI INSTITUTE
ARADI VÉRTANÚK TERE 1.
6720 SZEGED, HUNGARY