

## An integrability theorem for power series

L. LEINDLER and J. NÉMETH

1. One of the first results concerning integrability theorems for power series is due P. HEYWOOD [6] who proved that

$$\int_0^1 (1-x)^{-\gamma} f(x) dx < \infty \quad \text{for } f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k, \quad a_k \geq 0, \quad \gamma < 1$$

if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\gamma-2} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k < \infty.$$

A theorem, which states only an implication, was proved earlier by HARDY and LITTLEWOOD [5], as follows:

If  $a_k \geq 0$ ,  $r \geq p > 1$ ,  $q > 0$  and

$$A(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k,$$

then

$$\int_0^1 (1-x)^{\frac{r}{q}-1} A^r(x) dx \leq K \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-\frac{p+q-pq}{q}} a_k^p \right)^{r/p},$$

where  $K=K(p, q, r)$  depends on  $p, q$  and  $r$  only.

Henceforth — to our knowledge — P. B. KENNEDY [9], R. P. BOAS and J. M. GONZÁLEZ-FERNÁNDEZ [3], P. HEYWOOD [7], Y. M. CHEN [4], R. ASKEY [1], R. S. KHAN [10], L. LEINDLER [11], R. ASKEY and S. KARLIN [2] and P. JAIN [8] have proved similar theorems.

Very recently one of the authors ([12]) generalized most of the results known up to that time as follows:

**Theorem A.** Let  $\lambda(t) > 0$  be a nonincreasing function on the interval  $0 < t \leq 1$  such that

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) n^{-2} \leq M \lambda\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) k^{-1},$$

and let

$$F(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n; \quad 0 \leq x < 1.$$

Suppose there is a positive monotonic sequence  $\{\varrho_n\}$  with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n\varrho_n} < \infty$  such that

$$c_n > \frac{-K}{\left(\varrho_n \lambda\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)^{1/p} n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} \quad (0 < p < \infty, K > 0)$$

for all sufficiently large values of  $n$ . Then  $\lambda(1-x)(|F(x)|)^p \in L(0, 1)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) n^{-2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n |c_k|\right)^p < \infty.$$

In the particular case  $\lambda(t) = t^{-\gamma}$  ( $\gamma < 1$ ) and  $\varrho_n = n^\varepsilon$  Theorem A reduces to a theorem of JAIN [8], which, for  $p=1$ , was previously proved by HEYWOOD [7].

In the present paper we give a generalization of Theorem A.

2. We use the following notations:

$\Phi = \Phi(p)$  ( $p \geq 1$ ) denotes the set of all nonnegative functions  $\varphi(u)$  having the properties:  $\varphi(u)/u$  is nondecreasing and  $\varphi(u)/u^p$  is nonincreasing on  $(0, \infty)$ .

$\Psi = \Psi(p)$  denotes the set of all functions  $\psi(u)$  whose inverse functions belong to  $\Phi$ .

$P = P(R)$  denotes the set of all nonnegative nondecreasing functions  $\varrho(u)$  with  $\varrho(u^2) \leq R \cdot \varrho(u)$  ( $u \in (0, \infty)$ ).

We use the notation  $\bar{f}(x)$  to denote the inverse of  $f(x)$ .

3. We prove the following

**Theorem.** Let  $\lambda(t)$  be a positive nonincreasing function on the interval  $0 < t \leq 1$  such that

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) n^{-2} \leq M \lambda\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) k^{-1}$$

and let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  be a positive increasing sequence with

$$(2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \cdot \alpha_n} < \infty.$$

Suppose that  $\varrho(u) \in P$ , that  $\eta(u)$  denotes either a function of  $\Phi$  or a function of  $\Psi$ , and that

$$(3) \quad F(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n, \quad 0 \leq x < 1.$$

Then, under the condition

$$(4) \quad c_n > -Kn^{-1} \cdot \bar{\eta} \left( \frac{n}{\alpha_n \lambda(1/n) \varrho_n} \right), \quad (K > 0),$$

$\lambda(1-x)\eta(|F(x)|)\varrho(|F(x)|) \in L(0, 1)$  if and only if

$$(5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) n^{-2} \varrho(n) \eta \left( \sum_{k=0}^n |c_k| \right) < \infty.$$

It is clear that this theorem includes Theorem A, namely, if  $\alpha_n = \varrho_n$ ,  $\varrho(x) \equiv 1$  and  $\eta(x) = x^p$  or  $\eta(x) = x^{1/p}$  ( $p \geq 1$ ) then it reduces to Theorem A.

4. We require the following

Lemma. Let  $\lambda(t)$ ,  $\varrho(u)$  and  $\eta(u)$  be defined as in our Theorem, and be

$$(6) \quad f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k \quad \text{with} \quad a_k \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq x < 1.$$

Then

$$(7) \quad \lambda(1-x)\eta(f(x))\varrho(f(x)) \in L(0, 1)$$

if and only if

$$(8) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) n^{-2} \eta(A_n) \varrho(n) < \infty;$$

or equivalently

$$(9) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) n^{-2} \eta(A_n) \varrho(A_n) < \infty$$

where

$$A_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k.$$

Proof. First of all we show that (8) and (9) are equivalent.

It is easy to verify that (8) implies (9). Namely, (8) implies the existence of a natural number  $k$  such that for all  $n (\geq 2)$

$$A_n \leq n^k$$

so the implication (8)  $\Rightarrow$  (9) is obvious. In order to show that (9) also implies (8) we use the following property of the function  $\varrho(u)$  for any integer  $r$  there exists a

constant  $C_r$  such that for any numbers  $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$

$$(10) \quad \alpha \cdot \varrho(\beta) \cong C_r \alpha \cdot \varrho(\alpha) + \sqrt[r]{\beta} \varrho(\beta)$$

(this property may be proved as the statement (2.38) of Lemma 13 in [15]). Using (10) and considering that  $\eta(u)/u^p \downarrow$  and  $\varrho(u) \in P$  we obtain for any integer  $r$  that

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) n^{-2} \eta(A_n) \varrho(n) &\cong C_r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) n^{-2} \eta(A_n) \varrho(\eta(A_n)) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) n^{-2} n^{1/r} \varrho(n) \cong \\ &\cong K(p, r, R) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) n^{-2} \eta(A_n) \varrho(A_n) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) n^{-2} n^{1/r} \varrho(n). \end{aligned}$$

An easy computation gives by (1) and  $\varrho(u) \in P$  that

$$(12) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) n^{-2} n^{1/r} \varrho(n) < \infty$$

for all sufficiently large values of  $r$ . So, by (9), (11) and (12), we have (8). Now we prove the equivalence of (7) and (9). Set  $y = 1 - x$ . Since  $\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^n$  is an increasing sequence, we have for  $\frac{1}{n+1} \cong y \cong \frac{1}{n}$  ( $n \cong 2$ ):

$$f(1-y) \cong \sum_{k=0}^n a_k (1-y)^k \cong \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^k \cong \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^n \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \cong \frac{1}{4} A_n.$$

Using this we obtain for  $m \cong 2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^m \lambda \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) n^{-2} \eta(A_n) \varrho(A_n) &\cong 2 \sum_{n=1}^m \int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{1/n} \lambda(y) dy \eta(A_n) \varrho(A_n) \cong \\ &\cong 2 \int_{1/2}^1 \lambda(y) dy \eta(A_1) \varrho(A_1) + \sum_{n=2}^m \int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{1/n} \lambda(y) dy \eta(A_n) \varrho(A_n) \cong \\ &\cong O(1) + K \sum_{n=2}^m \int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{1/n} \lambda(y) \eta(f(1-y)) \varrho(f(1-y)) dy \cong \\ &\cong O(1) + K \int_0^1 \lambda(1-x) \eta(f(x)) \varrho(f(x)) dx. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that (9) follows from (7). To prove the inverse statement of the equivalence we consider the following estimations for  $m \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^{1-\frac{1}{m+1}} \lambda(1-x)\eta(f(x))\varrho(f(x))dx &= \sum_{n=1}^m \int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{1/n} \lambda(y)\eta(f(1-y))\varrho(f(1-y))dy = \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^m \int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{1/n} \lambda(y)\eta\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(1-y)^k\right)\varrho\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(1-y)^k\right)dy \leq \\
 (13) \quad &\leq \sum_{n=1}^m \int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{1/n} \lambda(y)\eta\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k\left(1-\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^k\right)\varrho\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k\left(1-\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^k\right)dy \leq \\
 &\leq O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m \lambda\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)n^{-2}\eta\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k\left(1-\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^k\right)\varrho\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k\left(1-\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^k\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\frac{1}{2} \geq \left(1-\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^n \geq \left(1-\frac{1}{n+2}\right)^{n+1}$  for  $n=1, 2, \dots$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k\left(1-\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^k &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=n_j}^{n(j+1)} a_k\left(1-\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^k \leq \\
 (14) \quad &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(1-\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^{nj} \sum_{k=n_j}^{n(j+1)} a_k \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} A_{ni}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Henceforth we split the proof into two parts. If  $\eta(u)=\varphi(u)$  then we use the inequality

$$(15) \quad \varphi\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i b_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i}\right)\varrho\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i b_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i}\right) \leq K \cdot \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \varphi(b_i)\varrho(b_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i}.$$

This property of the function  $\varphi(u)\varrho(u)$  immediately follows from results of H. P. MULHOLLAND [13] (see Theorem 1 and Remark (2.34)) and from the properties of the functions  $\varphi(u)$  and  $\varrho(u)$ . By (15) we get:

$$(16) \quad \varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} A_{ni}\right)\varrho\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} A_{ni}\right) \leq K \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \varphi(A_{ni})\varrho(A_{ni}).$$

Hence and from (13), (14) and (16) we deduce, for  $m \geq 1$ , that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{1-\frac{1}{m+1}} \lambda(1-x)\varphi(f(x))\varrho(f(x))dx &\leq O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m \lambda\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) n^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \varphi(A_{ni})\varrho(A_{ni}) \leq \\ &\leq O(1) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \sum_{n=1}^m \lambda\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) n^{-2} \varphi(A_{ni})\varrho(A_{ni}) \leq \\ &\leq O(1) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} i^2 \sum_{n=1}^m \lambda\left(\frac{1}{ni}\right) (ni)^{-2} \varphi(A_{ni})\varrho(A_{ni}) \leq O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) n^{-2} \varphi(A_n)\varrho(A_n). \end{aligned}$$

If  $\eta(u)=\psi(u)$  the proof runs similarly but we use the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} (17) \quad \psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} A_{ni}\right) \varrho\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} A_{ni}\right) &\leq O(1) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\frac{i}{p}} \psi(A_{ni}) \varrho\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(ni)^t}{2^i}\right) \leq \\ &\leq O(1) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\frac{i}{p}} \psi(A_{ni}) \varrho(n) \end{aligned}$$

instead of (16). Inequality (17) is just an easy consequence of the following elementary facts:

$$\psi(a+b) \leq \psi(a)+\psi(b), \quad \psi(kx) \leq k^{1/p}\psi(x) \quad \text{for } k < 1,$$

and that, by (8), there exists an integer  $t$  such that  $A_n \leq n^t$  for any  $n (\geq 2)$ . Thus the proof of Lemma is completed.

**5. Proof of the theorem.**

Let  $A(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$  for  $0 \leq x < 1$  with  $a_0 = 0$  and

$$a_k = K \cdot k^{-1} \cdot \bar{\eta} \left( \frac{k}{\alpha_k \lambda(1/k) \varrho(k)} \right).$$

First we consider the case  $\eta(u)=\varphi(u)$ .

We show that these coefficients  $a_k$  satisfy condition (8). Using the inequality

$$(18) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \varphi(A_n) \leq K_1 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \varphi\left(\frac{a_n}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_k\right)$$

which holds for any  $\lambda_n > 0$  and  $a_n \geq 0$  (see the inequality (8) of [14]) with  $\lambda_n = \lambda(1/n)n^{-2}\varrho(n)$ , and the following consequence of (1)

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) n^{-2} \varrho(n) \leq M \lambda\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) k^{-1} \varrho(k)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) n^{-2} \varphi(A_n) \varrho(n) &\leq O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) n^{-2} \varrho(n) \varphi(n \cdot a_n) \leq \\ &\leq O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) n^{-2} \varrho(n) n \frac{1}{\alpha_n \lambda(1/n) \varrho(n)} \leq O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \cdot \alpha_n} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hereby we proved that the coefficients of the function  $A(x)$  satisfy condition (8), so by Lemma

$$(19) \quad \lambda(1-x) \varphi(A(x)) \varrho(A(x)) \in L(0, 1).$$

By (4) the coefficients  $a_n + c_n$  are positive for all sufficiently large values of  $n$ , thus the functions

$$A(x) + F(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n + c_n) x^n$$

has the property

$$(20) \quad \lambda(1-x) \varphi(A(x) + F(x)) \varrho(A(x) + F(x)) \in L(0, 1)$$

if and only if

$$(21) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) n^{-2} \varphi \left( \sum_{k=0}^n (a_k + c_k) \right) \varrho(n) < \infty.$$

If  $\lambda(1-x) \varphi(|F(x)|) \varrho(|F(x)|) \in L(0, 1)$ , then (19) implies (20) which implies (21). But by (4) we have

$$|c_n| \leq 2a_n + c_n,$$

whence, by (8) and (21), (5) follows.

If (5) holds, then this implies (21) because from (15) immediately follows that

$$(22) \quad \varphi(a+b) \varrho(a+b) \leq K(\varphi(a) \varrho(a) + \varphi(b) \varrho(b)), \quad a > 0, b > 0.$$

But from (21) follows (20). By (19) and (20)

$$\lambda(1-x) \varphi(|F(x)|) \varrho(|F(x)|) \in L(0, 1)$$

follows obviously.

Thus the theorem is proved for  $\eta(u) = \varphi(u)$ . The proof for  $\eta(u) = \psi(u)$  runs similarly. To prove (8) we use the inequality

$$\psi(a+b) \leq \psi(a) + \psi(b) \quad \text{for all } a > 0, b > 0;$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) n^{-2} \varrho(n) \psi \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right) &\cong \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} \lambda \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) n^{-2} \varrho(n) \psi \left( \sum_{k=1}^{2^{m+1}} a_k \right) \cong \\ &\cong O(1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \lambda \left( \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} \right) 2^{-m} \varrho(2^{m+1}) \psi \left( \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} \bar{\psi} \left( \frac{2^k}{\lambda(1/2^k) \alpha_{2^k} \varrho(2^k)} \right) \right) \cong \\ &\cong O(1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^k}{\lambda(1/2^k) \alpha_{2^k} \varrho(2^k)} \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} \lambda \left( \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} \right) 2^{-m} \varrho(2^m) \cong O(1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_{2^k}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

From this point the proof runs on the same line as before. The proof is thus completed.

### References

- [1] R. ASKEY,  $L^p$  behavior of power series with positive coefficients, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **19** (1968), 303—305.
- [2] R. ASKEY and S. KARLIN, Some elementary integrability theorems for special transforms, *J. Analyse Math.*, **23** (1970), 27—38.
- [3] R. P. BOAS, JR. and J. M. GONZÁLEZ-FERNÁNDEZ, Integrability theorems for Laplace—Stieltjes transforms, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **32** (1957), 48—53.
- [4] Y. M. CHEN, Integrability of Power Series, *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, **10** (1959), 288—291.
- [5] G. H. HARDY and J. E. LITTLEWOOD, Elementary theorems concerning power series with positive coefficients and moment constants of positive functions, *J. reine angew. Math.*, **157** (1927), 141—158.
- [6] P. HEYWOOD, Integrability theorems for power series and Laplace transforms. I, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **30** (1955), 302—310.
- [7] P. HEYWOOD, Integrability theorems for power series and Laplace transforms, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **32** (1957), 22—27.
- [8] P. JAIN, An integrability theorem for power series, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **20** (1973), 129—131.
- [9] P. B. KENNEDY, General integrability theorems for power series, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **32** (1957), 58—62.
- [10] R. S. KHAN, On power series with positive coefficients, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **30** (1969), 255—257.
- [11] L. LEINDLER, Note on power series with positive coefficients, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **30** (1969), 259—261.
- [12] L. LEINDLER, An integrability theorem for power series, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **38**, (1976), 103—105.
- [13] H. P. MULHOLLAND, The generalization of certain inequality theorems involving powers, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **33** (1932), 481—516.
- [14] J. NÉMETH, Generalizations of the Hardy—Littlewood inequality. II, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **35** (1973), 127—134.
- [15] П. Л. УЛЬЯНОВ, Вложение некоторых классов функций  $H_p^\alpha$ , *Изв. Акад. Наук СССР, сер. матем.*, **32** (1968), 649—686.