Counting additive spaces of sets

KI HANG KIM and FRED W. ROUSH

1. Introduction. In this paper we consider an asymptotic counting problem which occurs in a number of forms.

Definition 1. A family Ω of subsets of $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ is an *additive space* if $\emptyset \in \Omega$ and $AB \in \Omega$ whenever $A, B \in \Omega$. Two such families are isomorphic iff they are isomorphic as semigroups under union.

Definition 2. Let V_n be the set of all *n*-tuples from the two-element Boolean algebra $\{0, 1\}$. A subset U of V_k is called a *Boolean subspace* iff the vector (0, 0, ..., 0) belongs to the subspace, and whenever $u, v \in U$, the vector $u+v = = (\sup \{u_1, v_1\}, ..., \sup \{u_n, v_n\})$ also belongs to U. Two subspaces are isomorphic iff they are isomorphic as semigroups under +.

Definition 3. A lattice is of type-(n, m) iff it has exactly m nonzero join irreducible elements and exactly n meet irreducible elements other than its highest element.

Remark. Every Boolean subspace of V_n has a partial order given by $v \le w$ iff v+w=w. This makes the subspace into a lattice, with the join operation being Boolean sum, and the meet operation on v, w being the sum of all Boolean vectors less than or equal to both v, w.

Definition 4. By a Boolean matrix of order n is meant an $n \times n$ matrix over the two-element Boolean algebra $\{0, 1\}$. Let B_n denote the set of all such matrices. We consider the sum and product of members of B_n to be the sum and product over the two-element Boolean algebra $\{0, 1\}$. Then B_n is a monoid under multiplication.

Definition 5. Two Boolean matrices A, B are \mathcal{R} -equivalent iff there exist Boolean matrices X, Y such that AX=B, BY=A. They are \mathcal{L} -equivalent iff there

Received January 31, 1977.

6

exist matrices U, V such that UA=B, VB=A. They are \mathcal{D} -equivalent iff there exists a matrix C such that $A\mathcal{R}C$ and $C\mathcal{L}B$. They are \mathcal{H} -equivalent iff they are both \mathcal{R} -equivalent and \mathcal{L} -equivalent.

Remark. $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H}$ are equivalence relations, by a quick computation. As a relation, \mathcal{D} is the composition $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L}$. It can be shown that $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}$, and this implies \mathcal{D} is also an equivalence relation.

Definition 6. An *ideal* of B_n is a subset I of B_n such that for all $x \in I$, $a, b \in B_n$, the element *axb* belongs to I. Principal ideals, principal left and right ideals are defined in a similar way.

Questions.

1. What is the asymptotic number of isomorphism classes of additive spaces of subsets of $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ which have *m* generators other than the empty set?

2. What is the asymptotic number of isomorphism classes of Boolean subspaces of V_n with m generators other than (0, 0, ..., 0)?

3. What is the asymptotic number of isomorphism classes of lattices of type-(n, m)?

4. What is the asymptotic number of \mathcal{D} -classes of $n \times m$ Boolean matrices? 5. What is the asymptotic number of principal ideals in B_n ?

The answers to 1-4 coincide, and for m=n the fifth also has the same answer.

We prove that if $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ in such a way that $\frac{n}{m}$ approaches a nonzero constant,

the answer to 1-4 is $\frac{2^{nm}}{n!m!}$.

We also obtain information about related questions: the number of subspaces of V_n with *m* generators (not just isomorphism classes), the number of $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H}$ classes. Also on the number of matrices X such that for some non-identity permutation matrices P, Q, PXQ=X (for instance if X were a *projective plane*, such P, Q would give a collineation, the existence of P, Q is an unsolved problem [2], [5]).

2. Facts about Boolean matrices; lemmas. Equivalence of questions 1 and 2 is by an isomorphism of semigroups. Equivalence to question 3 follows by results about lattices involving duality, regarding lattices as idempotent abelian semigroups [1].

The row space of an $m \times n$ Boolean matrix is the subspace of V_n generated by its rows, with (0, 0, ..., 0). Likewise there is a column space. It is known that the row space (as a subset of V_n) determines the \mathscr{L} -class of a matrix and the column space determines the \mathscr{R} -class [3]. Every subspace of V_n has a unique smallest generating set excluding (0, 0, ..., 0). Such a set is called a *basis*. A basis for the row space of a matrix is called a *row basis*, and a basis for the column space of a matrix is called a *column basis*. It is known [3] that the isomorphism class of the row space determines the \mathscr{L} -class showing that questions 2, 4 have the same answer. It follows by semigroup theory [4] that for n=m questions 4, 5 have the same answer.

We will begin to answer question 4. The row rank of a Boolean matrix is the number of elements in a row basis; likewise for the column rank. For any two Boolean matrices A, B we say $A \leq B$ if $a_{ij} = 1$ implies $b_{ij} = 1$ for all i, j.

Lemma 1. Let n, m tend to infinity in such a way that

$$\frac{\log n}{m} \to 0, \quad \frac{\log m}{n} \to 0.$$

Then the proportion of $m \times n$ Boolean matrices which have both row rank m and column rank n tends to 1.

Proof. For a Boolean matrix A, let A_{i^*} be its i^{th} row, and A_{*j} be its j^{th} column. Let N_{ij} denote the number of $m \times n$ Boolean matrices with $A_{i^*} \ge A_{j^*}$ and M_{ij} the number with $A_{*i} \ge A_{*j}$. Let N denote 2^{mn} , the number of all $m \times n$ Boolean matrices. Then for fixed $i \neq j$ we have

$$\frac{N_{ij}}{N} = \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n$$
 and $\frac{M_{ij}}{N} = \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^m$.

Thus the number of matrices having no row greater than or equal to any other, and no column greater than or equal to any other is at least

$$\left(1-(n^2-n)\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^m-(m^2-m)\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n\right)2^{mn}.$$

All these matrices have row rank m and column rank n. Under the given hypotheses this number divided by 2^{mn} will tend to 1. The proof of Lemma 1 is completed.

If two matrices of row rank *m* are \mathscr{L} -equivalent their rows must be permutations of each other by the uniqueness of a row basis. So A = PB. Likewise for \mathscr{R} -equivalence if the column rank is *n*. So for *X* of the type of this lemma, the only matrices \mathscr{D} -equivalent to it will be of the form *PXQ*. Thus such \mathscr{D} -classes have at most n!m! members, and asymptotically the number of \mathscr{D} -classes is at least $\frac{2^{nm}}{n!m!}$. The proof of the reverse inequality will be based on a study of the equation PXQ = X.

Lemma 2. If P or Q have no more than k cycles the number of solutions X of PXQ=X is no more than 2^{kn} or 2^{km} , respectively.

Proof. Let P have no more than k cycles. Choose one row from each cycle, and specify it. This can be done in 2^{kn} ways, and these rows determine the rest. Similarly for Q.

K. H. Kim and F. W. Roush

Lemma 3. If a permutation P has at least k cycles, it will fix at least m-2(m-k) numbers from $\{1, 2, ..., m\}$.

Proof. Immediate.

Lemma 4. Let a permutation group G act on a set T of letters. If for any element g of G, g fixes at least |T|-a letters with a>0, then there is a set of |T|-2a+1 letters fixed by every element of G.

Proof. The action of G on T gives a linear representation R of G by permutation matrices. Let $o_1, \ldots, o_f, o_{f+1}, \ldots, o_{f+t}$ be the G-orbits contained in T, where o_1, \ldots, o_f contain only one element each, and the rest contain more than one element. Corresponding to this orbit decomposition we have a direct sum decomposition $R = R_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus R_f \oplus R_{f+1} \oplus \ldots \oplus R_{f+t}$. A theorem in group representation theory (see [6], p. 280) states that

$$\sum_{g \in G} Tr(g) = (f+t)|G|.$$

But $Tr(g) \ge |T| - a$ for any $g \in G$, and assuming a > 0, Tr(I) > |T| - a. Therefore |T| - a < f + t. Yet $|T| \ge f + 2t$. Therefore

$$|T| - a < f + \frac{|T| - f}{2}$$

which yields the desired inequality on f.

3. Main results

Theorem 5. Let n, m tend to infinity such that $\frac{n}{m}$ tends to a nonzero constant. Then the number of \mathcal{D} -classes of $m \times n$ matrices is asymptotically equal to $\frac{2^{mn}}{m!n!}$.

Proof. By Lemma 1 and the considerations after its proof we need only prove this formula gives an asymptotic upper bound. Let $k = \sup \left\{ \lim \frac{n}{m}, \lim \frac{m}{n} \right\}$.

Case 1. D-classes containing some X such that PXQ=X for some P, Q such that P has no more than $m-(4k+1)\log m$ cycles. (All logarithms are base 2.) For fixed P, Q with P satisfying the hypothesis of this case, there are at most

$$\gamma(m-(4k+1)\log m)n$$

.....

matrices X such that PXQ=X, by Lemma 2. The number of possibilities for P, Q cannot exceed n!m!. Thus the number of possibilities for X in the present case is at most

$$2^{(m-(4k+1)\log m)n}n!m!$$

Therefore also the number of \mathcal{D} -classes containing at least one such X is at most

$$2^{(m-(4k+1)\log m)n}n!m!.$$

The ratio of this number to $\frac{2^{nm}}{n! m!}$ will approach zero.

Case 2. \mathcal{D} -classes containing some matrix X such that PXQ=X for some P, Q such that Q has no more than $n-(4k+1)\log n$ cycles. This case is treated like Case 1.

Case 3. \mathscr{D} -classes containing a matrix X such that PXQ=X for some P, Q not both the identity, but such that PXQ=X does not hold for any P, Q with P having no more than $m-(4k+1)\log m$ cycles or Q having no more than $n-(4k+1)\log n$ cycles. For such an X, choose a pair P, Q satisfying PXQ=Xsuch that $\sup\{m-\text{number of cycles in } P, n-\text{number of cycles in } Q\}$ is a maximum. Let s denote this maximum. We have $0 < s < (4k+1) \sup\{\log m, \log n\}$. For a given X the set $\{P: PXQ=X \text{ for some } Q\}$ forms a group [2]. Each element of this group will fix at least m-2s letters by Lemma 3. Therefore by Lemma 1 the whole group will fix at least m-4s letters. There is a similar group of Q's which fixes at least n-4s letters.

Fix s. We first choose a set of 4s letters which is to contain the set of all nonfixed letters under $\{P: PXQ = X \text{ for some } Q\}$. There are $\binom{m}{4s}$ such choices. There are $\binom{n}{4s}$ choices for a similar set for $\{Q: PXQ = X \text{ for some } P\}$. Provided these sets are chosen, we can choose P in (4s)! ways to act on its set and Q in (4s)! ways to act on its set. Once P, Q are chosen we can choose X in at most

$2^{nm-s\min\{n,m\}}$

ways by Lemma 2. Thus for a given s, there are at most

$$\binom{m}{4s}\binom{m}{4s}(4s)!(4s)!2^{nm-s\min\{n,m\}}$$

choices of X having the required value of s. However these X's do not all lie in different \mathcal{D} -classes. For any permutation matrices R, S, RXS will lie in the same \mathcal{D} -class and have the same value of s.

How many different matrices RXS are there for a given X? We have a group action of the product of two symmetric groups on such matrices, sending Y to RYS^{-1} . The isotropy group of X has order at most $((4s)!)^2$ by the remarks above about choosing P, Q such that PXQ=X. Thus a \mathcal{D} -class containing one X also contains at least

 $\frac{n!\,m!}{(4s)!(4s)!}$

other matrices with the same s. Therefore the number of \mathcal{D} -classes containing matrices of this type for a given s is at most

$$\frac{m^{4s}n^{4s}2^{nm-s\min\{n,m\}}((4s)!)^2}{n!m!}.$$

Allowing any value of s we have at most

$$\max_{1 \le s \le (4k+1)n_1} \frac{m^{4s} n^{4s} 2^{nm-sn_2} ((4s)!)^2 (4k+1) \log n_1}{n! m!}$$

where $n_1 = \max\{n, m\}$ and $n_2 = \min\{n, m\}$. The ratio of this quantity to $\frac{2^{nm}}{n!m!}$ tends to zero.

Case 4. All PXQ are distinct so the \mathscr{D} -classes have at least n!m! elements. There are at most $\frac{2^{nm}}{n!m!}$ \mathscr{D} -classes of this type. This proves the theorem.

Corollary 6. Let N be the number of matrices X such that PXQ=X for some P, Q not both the identity. Then if $n, m \to \infty$ in such a way that $\frac{n}{m}$ approaches a nonzero constant, $\frac{N}{2^{nm}}$ approaches 0.

Theorem 7. Under the hypotheses of Lemma 1, the number of \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{L} -classes of $m \times n$ matrices are asymptotically equal to $\frac{2^{nm}}{n!}, \frac{2^{nm}}{m!}$ respectively. The number of \mathcal{H} -classes is asymptotically equal to 2^{nm} .

Proof. For an upper bound, for instance for \mathcal{R} -classes, we have

$$\binom{2^m}{n} + \binom{2^m}{n-1} + \dots + \binom{2^m}{1}$$

by, for column rank k, choosing a set of k column vectors to be a column basis. This is less than or equal to

$$\binom{2^m}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{n}{2^m-n}\right)^i$$

which gives the theorem. Similar methods apply in the other cases.

The authors would like to thank András Ádám for a very constructive criticism of the original draft of this paper.

References

- [1] G. BIRKHOFF, Lattice theory, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Pub. Vol. 25 (Providence, R. I., 1967).
- [2] KI HANG KIM, Subgroups of binary relations, Proc. Second International Conference on Theory Groups, Canberra, Australia, August 1973, 188–196. MR 51 # 774.
- [3] KI HANG KIM, Combinatoral properties of binary semigroups, Periodica Mathematica Hungarica, 5 (1974), 3-46.
- [4] A. H. CLIFFORD and G. B. PRESTON, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, Vol. 1, Math. Surveys No. 7, Amer. Math. Soc. (Providence, R. I., 1961).
- [5] H. J. RYSER, Combinatorial mathematics, Carus Math. Monographs, Math. Assoc. of Amer., Wiley (New York, 1963).

[6] M. HALL, The theory of groups, Macmillan (New York, 1959).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS ALABAMA STATE UNIVERSITY MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA 36 101, USA