

A short proof of the fact that biholomorphic automorphisms of the unit ball in certain L^p spaces are linear

L. L. STACHÓ

1. As a consequence of his investigations on the Carathéodory and Kobayashi distances on domains in locally convex vector spaces, E. VESENTINI [1] proved that biholomorphic automorphisms of the unit ball*) of $L^1(\Omega, \mu)$ are all linear, whenever the underlying measure space (Ω, μ) is not a unique atom. In this paper we shall provide a quite different approach to the problem which applies to $L^p(\Omega, \mu)$ as well, for every $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Theorem. *Let (Ω, μ) be a measure space having two disjoint subsets Ω', Ω'' such that $0 < \mu(\Omega'), \mu(\Omega'') < \infty$. Then for any $p \in [1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$, all biholomorphic automorphisms of the unit ball of $L^p(\Omega, \mu)$ are linear.*

Our method is based on a result of W. KAUP and H. UPMEIER [2] concerning $\text{Aut } B(E)$ for general Banach spaces E . Here we present a direct proof of the theorem, which may have interest because of its extreme brevity. However, we remark that one can also determine the general algebraic form of an element from $\text{Aut } B(L^2(\Omega, \mu))$ in a similar way.

2. First we prove a lemma. To this end, let E denote an arbitrarily fixed Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$, E^* the dual of E endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_*$.

Lemma. *$\text{Aut } B(E)$ contains only linear mappings if and only if the relation*

$$(1) \quad \langle q(x, x), \varphi \rangle = -\overline{\langle c, \varphi \rangle} \quad \text{for all } x \in E, \varphi \in E^* \quad \text{with } \|x\| = \|\varphi\|_* = 1 = \langle x, \varphi \rangle$$

entails } c=0 \text{ whenever } c \in E \text{ and } q \text{ is a bilinear form from } E \times E \text{ into } E.

Received December 20, 1978.

*) In general, if $B(E)$ denotes the open unit ball of a Banach space E then the biholomorphic automorphisms of $B(E)$ are defined as those one-to-one mappings of $B(E)$ onto itself whose Fréchet derivative exists at every point $x \in B(E)$ as an invertible operator. We shall denote the group formed by the biholomorphic automorphisms of $B(E)$ by $\text{Aut } B(E)$.

Proof. According to [2, p. 131], there can be found a subspace V in E and a conjugate-linear mapping $v \rightarrow q_v$ from V into the space of the (continuous) E -bilinear forms such that $\text{Aut}(D)$ is generated by the group G_0 of the surjective linear isometries of E onto itself any by the images under the exponential map of the vector fields $(v + q_v(z, z)) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ ($v \in V$). Thus, for $\text{Aut } B(E) = G_0$ it is necessary and sufficient that there exist a $c \in E \setminus \{0\}$ and a bilinear form $q: E \times E \rightarrow E$ such that the vector field $(c + q(z, z)) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ be tangent to $\partial B(E)$ (the boundary of $B(E)$), i.e.

$$(2) \quad \text{Re} \langle c + q(z, z), \psi \rangle = 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad \|z\| = \|\psi\|_* = 1 = \langle z, \psi \rangle.$$

Suppose now that the vectors $c, x \in E$, $\varphi \in E^*$ and the E -bilinear form q satisfy $\|x\| = \|\varphi\|_* = 1 = \langle x, \varphi \rangle$ and (2). Then for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| = 1$ we have $\|\lambda x\| = \|\bar{\lambda} \varphi\|_* = 1 = \langle \lambda x, \bar{\lambda} \varphi \rangle$ whence $0 = \text{Re} \langle c + q(\lambda x, \lambda x), \bar{\lambda} \varphi \rangle = \text{Re} [\lambda \langle c, \varphi \rangle + \langle q(x, x), \varphi \rangle]$. Therefore $\overline{\langle c, \varphi \rangle} + \langle q(x, x), \varphi \rangle = 0$ which completes the proof of the Lemma.

3. Now we shall proceed to the proof of the Theorem. Henceforth let $p \in [1, \infty)$ be arbitrarily fixed and set $E \equiv L^p(\Omega, \mu)$. As usual we shall identify E^* with $L^{p/(p-1)}(\Omega, \mu)$ and the pairing operation with $\langle x, \varphi \rangle \equiv \int_{\Omega} x(\xi) \cdot \varphi(\xi) d\mu(\xi)$ (for all $x \in E$ and $\varphi \in E^*$), respectively.

For any $x \in E$, let x denote the function $\xi \mapsto x(\xi) \cdot |x(\xi)|^{p-2}$ (with the convention $0 \cdot 0^{p-2} \equiv 0$). Observe that here

$$(3) \quad x^* \in E^*, \quad \|x^*\|_* = \|x\|^{p-1}, \quad \langle x, x^* \rangle = \|x\|^p \quad \text{for all } x \in E.$$

Then assume that the function $x \in E$ and the E -bilinear form q satisfy (1). Applying (3) we see that

$$\langle q(x/\|x\|, x/\|x\|), (x/\|x\|)^* \rangle = -\overline{\langle c, (x/\|x\|)^* \rangle} \quad \text{for all } x \in E \setminus \{0\},$$

that is

$$(1') \quad \langle q(x, x), x^* \rangle = -\|x\|^2 \overline{\langle c, x^* \rangle} \quad \text{for all } x \in E.$$

In particular, if F and G are any two disjoint subsets of Ω such that $0 < \mu(F)$, $\mu(G) < \infty$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} q(1_F + \lambda \cdot 1_G, 1_F + \lambda \cdot 1_G) (1_F + \bar{\lambda} |\lambda|^{p-2} 1_G) d\mu = \\ & = -(\mu(F) + |\lambda|^p \cdot \mu(G))^{2/p} \int_{\Omega} \bar{c} (1_F + \lambda \cdot |\lambda|^{p-2} 1_G) d\mu \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. (For any μ -measurable subset $H \subset \Omega$ of finite μ -measure, 1_H denotes the characteristic function of H , considered as an element in E .)

Thus, by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 &\equiv \int_F q(1_F, 1_F) d\mu, & \alpha_1 &\equiv \int_F [q(1_F, 1_G) + q(1_G, 1_F)] d\mu, & \alpha_2 &\equiv \int_F q(1_G, 1_G) d\mu, \\ \beta_0 &\equiv \int_G q(1_F, 1_F) d\mu, & \beta_1 &\equiv \int_G [q(1_F, 1_G) + q(1_G, 1_F)] d\mu, & \beta_2 &\equiv \int_G q(1_G, 1_G) d\mu, \\ \mu_1 &\equiv \mu(F), & \mu_2 &\equiv \mu(G), & \gamma_1 &\equiv \int_F \bar{c} d\mu, & \gamma_2 &\equiv \int_G \bar{c} d\mu \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^2 \alpha_k \lambda^k + \bar{\lambda} |\lambda|^{p-2} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^2 \beta_k \lambda^k = -(\mu_1 + |\lambda|^p \mu_2)^{2/p} (\gamma_1 + \lambda \cdot |\lambda|^{p-2} \gamma_2)$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore for any $\varrho > 0$ and $\vartheta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\vartheta|=1$;

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta_0 \cdot \varrho^{p-1}) \vartheta^{-1} + (\alpha_0 + \beta_1 \cdot \varrho^p) + (\alpha_1 \cdot \varrho + \beta_2 \cdot \varrho^{p+1}) \vartheta + (\alpha_2 \cdot \varrho^2) \vartheta^2 = \\ = -(\mu_1 + \mu_2 \cdot \varrho^p)^{2/p} [\gamma_1 + (\gamma_2 \cdot \varrho^{p-1}) \vartheta]. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

$$\alpha_0 + \beta_1 \cdot \varrho^p = -(\mu_1 + \mu_2 \cdot \varrho^p)^{2/p} \gamma_1 \quad \text{for all } \varrho > 0.$$

Hence $-\mu_2^{2/p} \cdot \gamma_1 = \lim_{\varrho \uparrow \infty} [-(\mu_1 + \mu_2 \cdot \varrho^p)^{2/p} \varrho^{-2}] = \lim_{\varrho \uparrow \infty} (\alpha_0 + \beta_1 \cdot \varrho^p) \cdot \varrho^{-2}$. This is possible only if $p=2$ or $\gamma_1=0$. Thus if $p \neq 2$ then by definition of γ_1 we have

$$(4) \quad \int_F \bar{c} d\mu = 0 \quad \text{whenever } 0 < \mu(G) < \infty \quad \text{for some } G \subset \Omega \setminus F.$$

But (4) immediately implies $c=0$ because of our assumption on the measure space (Ω, μ) . Thus, by the Lemma, $B(E)$ admits in case $p \neq 2$ only linear biholomorphic automorphisms. Q.E.D.

References

[1] E. VESENTINI, Variations on a theme of Carathéodory, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* (4) **6** (1979), 39—68.
 [2] W. KAUP—H. UPMEIER, Banach spaces with biholomorphically equivalent unit balls are isomorphic, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **58** (1976), 129—133.