

## On the tensor product of weights on $W^*$ -algebras

ȘERBAN STRĂTILĂ

1. Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be normal semifinite weights on the  $W^*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$ , respectively. Using the Tomita—Takesaki theory ([13]) and the Pedersen—Takesaki theorem on the equality of weights ([10]), CONNES ([3], 1.1.3) (see also [9]) proved that there exists a unique normal semifinite weight  $\varphi \bar{\otimes} \psi$  on  $\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$  such that

$$(1) \quad a \in \mathfrak{M}_\varphi^+, b \in \mathfrak{M}_\psi^+ \Rightarrow a \bar{\otimes} b \in \mathfrak{M}_{\varphi \bar{\otimes} \psi}^+ \quad \text{and} \quad (\varphi \bar{\otimes} \psi)(a \bar{\otimes} b) = \varphi(a)\psi(b),$$

$$(2) \quad s(\varphi \bar{\otimes} \psi) = s(\varphi) \bar{\otimes} s(\psi),$$

$$(3) \quad \sigma_t^{\varphi \bar{\otimes} \psi}(x \bar{\otimes} y) = \sigma_t^\varphi(x) \bar{\otimes} \sigma_t^\psi(y) \quad \text{for} \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in s(\varphi)\mathcal{M}s(\varphi), y \in s(\psi)\mathcal{N}s(\psi).$$

Here and in the sequel we use the standard notations in the Tomita—Takesaki theory ([12], [13]). In particular,  $s(\varphi)$  is the support projection of  $\varphi$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_\varphi^+ = \{x \in \mathcal{M}^+; \varphi(x) < +\infty\}$ . If  $\varphi$  is not faithful, then  $\{\sigma_t^\varphi\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  means, of course, the modular automorphism group associated with the restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $s(\varphi)\mathcal{M}s(\varphi)$ .

If  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are normal positive functionals, then condition (1) alone is sufficient to insure the uniqueness in the definition of  $\varphi \bar{\otimes} \psi$ . However, in the general case it is often difficult to check condition (3) above for some candidates for  $\varphi \bar{\otimes} \psi$ .

The aim of this Note is to offer alternative equivalent definitions for  $\varphi \bar{\otimes} \psi$  and to prove some very natural properties of the tensor product of weights.

2. From the works of COMBES ([1]), HAAGERUP ([7]) and PEDERSEN and TAKESAKI ([10]) (see also [6]) we know that for every normal weight  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  there exists a family  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  of normal positive functionals on  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\varphi = \sum_{i \in I} \varphi_i$ , i.e.;

$$(4) \quad \varphi(x) = \sum_{i \in I} \varphi_i(x) \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in \mathcal{M}^+.$$

In particular, there is an increasing net  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  of normal positive functionals on  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\varphi_i \uparrow \varphi$ , i.e.:

$$(5) \quad \varphi(x) = \sup_i \varphi_i(x) = \lim_i \varphi_i(x) \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in \mathcal{M}^+.$$

On the other hand, and this is the main technical tool we shall use, from the recent work of CONNES ([4]) it follows that

- (6) if  $\varphi$  is a normal semifinite weight on  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  is an increasing net of normal weights on  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\varphi_i \uparrow \varphi$ , then

$$\sigma_i^{\varphi_i}(x) \xrightarrow{s} \sigma_i^{\varphi}(x) \quad (i \in \mathbb{R})$$

for every  $x \in \bigcup_{i \in I} s(\varphi_i) \mathcal{M} s(\varphi_i)$ .

Here  $\xrightarrow{s}$  means convergence in the ultra-strong topology on  $\mathcal{M}$  of some section  $\{i \in I: i \geq i_0\}$  of the net involved.

Finally, from the proof of ([10], Lemma 5.2) it is easy to infer the following improvement of ([10], Lemma 5.2):

- (7) if  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  are normal semifinite weights on  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $s(\varphi_1) \leq s(\varphi_2)$  and there exists an  $s$ -dense  $\sigma^{\varphi_2}$ -invariant  $*$ -subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\varphi_2}$  such that

$$\varphi_1(a^*a) \leq \varphi_2(a^*a) \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{A},$$

then  $\varphi_1 \leq \varphi_2$ , i.e.  $\varphi_1(x) \leq \varphi_2(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{M}^+$ .

In all this paper  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  will denote two  $W^*$ -algebras.

**3. Lemma.** Let  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  be normal semifinite weights on  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\psi$  a normal semifinite weight on  $\mathcal{N}$ . If  $\varphi_1 \leq \varphi_2$ , then  $\varphi_1 \bar{\otimes} \psi \leq \varphi_2 \bar{\otimes} \psi$ .

**Proof.** If  $\varphi_1 \leq \varphi_2$ , then  $s(\varphi_1) \leq s(\varphi_2)$ , whence, by (2),  $s(\varphi_1 \bar{\otimes} \psi) = s(\varphi_1) \bar{\otimes} s(\psi) \leq s(\varphi_2) \bar{\otimes} s(\psi) = s(\varphi_2 \bar{\otimes} \psi)$ . Moreover, by (1) and (3), the algebraic tensor product  $\mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{M}_{\varphi_2} \otimes \mathfrak{M}_{\psi}$  is an  $s$ -dense  $\sigma^{\varphi_2 \bar{\otimes} \psi}$ -invariant  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\varphi_2 \bar{\otimes} \psi}$ . Since  $\varphi_1 \leq \varphi_2$  are positive linear functionals on the  $*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{M}_{\varphi_2}$  and  $\psi \geq 0$  on the  $*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{M}_{\psi}$ , it follows that  $\varphi_1 \otimes \psi \leq \varphi_2 \otimes \psi$  on the  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Thus  $\varphi_1 \bar{\otimes} \psi \leq \varphi_2 \bar{\otimes} \psi$ , by (7).

**4. Theorem.** Let  $\varphi, \psi$  be normal semifinite weights and  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}, \{\psi_j\}_{j \in J}$  be increasing nets of normal weights on  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ , respectively. If  $\varphi_i \uparrow \varphi$  and  $\psi_j \uparrow \psi$ , then  $\varphi_i \bar{\otimes} \psi_j \uparrow \varphi \bar{\otimes} \psi$ .

**Proof.** By Lemma 3,  $\{\varphi_i \bar{\otimes} \psi_j\}_{i \in I, j \in J}$  is an increasing net of normal weights on  $\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$  and  $\varphi_i \bar{\otimes} \psi_j \leq \varphi \bar{\otimes} \psi$  for all  $i \in I, j \in J$ . Consequently, the formula

$$\omega(z) = \sup_{ij} (\varphi_i \bar{\otimes} \psi_j)(z) = \lim_{ij} (\varphi_i \bar{\otimes} \psi_j)(z), \quad (z \in (\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{N})^+)$$

defines a normal semifinite weight  $\omega$  on  $\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$ . For  $a \in \mathfrak{M}_{\varphi}^+, b \in \mathfrak{M}_{\psi}^+$ , we have

$$\omega(a \bar{\otimes} b) = \sup_{ij} \varphi_i(a) \psi_j(b) = \sup_i \varphi_i(a) \sup_j \psi_j(b) = \varphi(a) \psi(b).$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that  $s(\varphi_i)\uparrow s(\varphi)$ ,  $s(\psi_j)\uparrow s(\psi)$  and  $s(\varphi_i\bar{\otimes}\psi_j)\uparrow s(\omega)$ , hence

$$s(\omega) = s(\varphi) \bar{\otimes} s(\psi).$$

Finally, by the result (6), for  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $x \in \bigcup_{i \in I} s(\varphi_i) \mathcal{M} s(\varphi_i)$ ,  $y \in \bigcup_{j \in J} s(\psi_j) \mathcal{N} s(\psi_j)$ , we have

$$\sigma_t^{\varphi_i}(x) \xrightarrow{s} \sigma_t^\varphi(x), \quad \sigma_t^{\psi_j}(y) \xrightarrow{s} \sigma_t^\psi(y)$$

and

$$\sigma_t^{\varphi_i \bar{\otimes} \psi_j}(x \bar{\otimes} y) \xrightarrow{s} \sigma_t^\omega(x \bar{\otimes} y).$$

Hence,

$$\sigma_t^\omega(x \bar{\otimes} y) = \sigma_t^\varphi(x) \bar{\otimes} \sigma_t^\psi(y).$$

Since  $s(\varphi_i)\uparrow s(\varphi)$ ,  $s(\psi_j)\uparrow s(\psi)$ , the above equality still holds for  $x \in s(\varphi) \mathcal{M} s(\varphi)$ ,  $y \in s(\psi) \mathcal{N} s(\psi)$ .

Thus,  $\omega$  satisfies all conditions (1), (2), (3) which define  $\varphi \bar{\otimes} \psi$ . Consequently  $\omega = \varphi \bar{\otimes} \psi$ , i.e.  $\varphi_i \bar{\otimes} \psi_j \uparrow \varphi \bar{\otimes} \psi$ .

5. In particular if the  $\varphi_i$ 's and the  $\psi_j$ 's are normal positive functionals such that  $\varphi_i \uparrow \varphi$ ,  $\psi_j \uparrow \psi$ , then

$$(8) \quad (\varphi \bar{\otimes} \psi)(z) = \sup_{ij} (\varphi_i \bar{\otimes} \psi_j)(z) \quad (z \in (\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{N})^+)$$

is an alternative equivalent definition of the weight  $\varphi \bar{\otimes} \psi$ , independent of the choice of the families  $\{\varphi_i\}$ ,  $\{\psi_j\}$ , whose existence is guaranteed by (5).

6. As a first application we obtain the distributivity of the tensor product with respect to addition:

**Corollary.** *Let  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  be normal semifinite weights on  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\varphi_1 + \varphi_2$  is semifinite and  $\psi$  is a normal semifinite weight on  $\mathcal{N}$ . Then*

$$(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) \bar{\otimes} \psi = \varphi_1 \bar{\otimes} \psi + \varphi_2 \bar{\otimes} \psi.$$

**Proof.** Let  $\{\psi_j\}$  be an increasing net of normal positive functionals on  $\mathcal{N}$  such that  $\psi_j \uparrow \psi$ .

Assume that  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  are normal positive functionals. Since the distributivity property is obvious for normal positive functionals, by Theorem 4 we obtain

$$(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) \bar{\otimes} \psi = \sup_j (\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) \bar{\otimes} \psi_j = \sup_j \varphi_1 \bar{\otimes} \psi_j + \sup_j \varphi_2 \bar{\otimes} \psi_j = \varphi_1 \bar{\otimes} \psi + \varphi_2 \bar{\otimes} \psi.$$

Now, in the general case, let  $\{\varphi_{1i}\}$ ,  $\{\varphi_{2k}\}$  be increasing nets of normal positive functionals on  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\varphi_{1i} \uparrow \varphi_1$ ,  $\varphi_{2k} \uparrow \varphi_2$ . It is then obvious that  $\varphi_{1i} + \varphi_{2k} \uparrow \varphi_1 + \varphi_2$ . Using Theorem 4 and the first part of the proof, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) \bar{\otimes} \psi &= \sup_{ik} (\varphi_{1i} + \varphi_{2k}) \bar{\otimes} \psi = \sup_{ik} (\varphi_{1i} \bar{\otimes} \psi + \varphi_{2k} \bar{\otimes} \psi) = \\ &= \sup_i \varphi_{1i} \bar{\otimes} \psi + \sup_k \varphi_{2k} \bar{\otimes} \psi = \varphi_1 \bar{\otimes} \psi + \varphi_2 \bar{\otimes} \psi. \end{aligned}$$

7. If  $\varphi = \sum_i \varphi_i$  and  $\psi = \sum_j \psi_j$ , then from Corollary 6 and Theorem 4 it follows that

$$(9) \quad (\varphi \bar{\otimes} \psi)(z) = \sum_{ij} (\varphi_i \bar{\otimes} \psi_j)(z) \quad (z \in (\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{N})^+).$$

In particular if the  $\varphi_i$ 's and the  $\psi_j$ 's are normal positive functionals, then the above relation gives another alternative equivalent definition of  $\varphi \bar{\otimes} \psi$ , independent of the choice of the families  $\{\varphi_i\}$  and  $\{\psi_j\}$ , whose existence is guaranteed by (4).

The weight  $\varphi$  is called strictly semifinite ([2]) if there exists a family  $\{\varphi_i\}$  of normal positive functionals with mutually orthogonal supports such that  $\varphi = \sum_i \varphi_i$ .

If both  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are strictly semifinite, then, by (9),  $\varphi \bar{\otimes} \psi$  is again strictly semifinite. This result is originally due to COMBES ([2]).

Other particular cases of (8) and (9) are mentioned in ([11], 0.1.2).

8. Another application concerns the relation between the tensor product and the balanced weight. Let us recall ([3], 1.2.2) that if  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  are normal semifinite weights on  $\mathcal{M}$ , then the balanced weight  $\theta(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  on the  $W^*$ -algebra  $\text{Mat}_2(\mathcal{M}) \cong \mathcal{M} \otimes \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{C})$  of 2 by 2 matrices over  $\mathcal{M}$  is defined by

$$\theta(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \varphi_1(x_{11}) + \varphi_2(x_{22}).$$

Now let  $\psi$  be a normal semifinite weight on  $\mathcal{N}$ . Then  $\theta(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \bar{\otimes} \psi$  and  $\theta(\varphi_1 \bar{\otimes} \psi, \varphi_2 \bar{\otimes} \psi)$  are both normal semifinite weights on the  $W^*$ -algebra

$$\text{Mat}_2(\mathcal{M}) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{N} \cong \mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{N} \otimes \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{C}) \cong \text{Mat}_2(\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{N})$$

and we have the following

$$\text{Corollary. } \theta(\varphi_1 \bar{\otimes} \psi, \varphi_2 \bar{\otimes} \psi) = \theta(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \bar{\otimes} \psi.$$

Proof. It is obvious that if  $\varphi_{1i} \uparrow \varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_{2k} \uparrow \varphi_2$ , then  $\theta(\varphi_{1i}, \varphi_{2k}) \uparrow \theta(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ . Also, the stated equality is obvious for normal positive functionals. Thus the corollary follows using (5) and Theorem 4.

9. Consider again the balanced weight  $\theta(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  and assume that  $s(\varphi_2) \leq s(\varphi_1)$ . Then the Connes cocycle ([3], 1.2.2)  $u_t = [D\varphi_2 : D\varphi_1]_t$ , ( $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ), is defined by the equality

$$\sigma_t^{\theta(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ s(\varphi_2) & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ u_t & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Thus, using Corollary 8, for  $v_t = [D(\varphi_2 \bar{\otimes} \psi) : D(\varphi_1 \bar{\otimes} \psi)]_t$  we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ v_t & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma_t^{\theta(\varphi_1 \bar{\otimes} \psi, \varphi_2 \bar{\otimes} \psi)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ s(\varphi_2 \bar{\otimes} \psi) & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma_t^{\theta(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \bar{\otimes} \psi} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ s(\varphi_2) \bar{\otimes} s(\psi) & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ u_t \bar{\otimes} s(\psi) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consequently,

$$[D(\varphi_2 \bar{\otimes} \psi) : D(\varphi_1 \bar{\otimes} \psi)]_t = [D\varphi_2 : D\varphi_1]_t \bar{\otimes} s(\psi).$$

Using this equality and the chain rule for the Connes cocycle ([3], 1.2.3), we obtain the following

**Corollary.** *Let  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  be normal semifinite weights on  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $s(\varphi_2) \leq s(\varphi_1)$  and let  $\psi_1, \psi_2$  be normal semifinite weights on  $\mathcal{N}$  with  $s(\psi_2) \leq s(\psi_1)$ . Then*

$$[D(\varphi_2 \bar{\otimes} \psi_2) : D(\varphi_1 \bar{\otimes} \psi_1)]_t = [D\varphi_2 : D\varphi_1]_t \bar{\otimes} [D\psi_2 : D\psi_1]_t \quad (t \in \mathbf{R}).$$

This result is stated by DIGERNES ([5], 2.4), where the proposed proof consists of checking the KMS conditions insuring the uniqueness of the Connes' cocycle ([5], 2.2), but only for decomposable elements

$$z_1 \in (\mathfrak{N}_{\varphi_2}^* \cap \mathfrak{N}_{\varphi_1}) \otimes (\mathfrak{N}_{\psi_2}^* \cap \mathfrak{N}_{\psi_1}), \quad z_2 \in (\mathfrak{N}_{\varphi_1}^* \cap \mathfrak{N}_{\varphi_2}) \otimes (\mathfrak{N}_{\psi_1}^* \cap \mathfrak{N}_{\psi_2}).$$

However, it is not obvious *a priori* that this entails the KMS condition for all

$$z_1 \in \mathfrak{N}_{\varphi_2 \bar{\otimes} \psi_2}^* \cap \mathfrak{N}_{\varphi_1 \bar{\otimes} \psi_1}, \quad z_2 \in \mathfrak{N}_{\varphi_1 \bar{\otimes} \psi_1}^* \cap \mathfrak{N}_{\varphi_2 \bar{\otimes} \psi_2},$$

which is the real requirement for the uniqueness.

On the other hand, if  $\psi_1 = \psi_2 = \psi$ , then using Corollary 8 it is easy to show that for the  $S$ -operators ([5], (2.6)) we have

$$S_{\varphi_2 \bar{\otimes} \psi, \varphi_1 \bar{\otimes} \psi} = S_{\varphi_2, \varphi_1} \bar{\otimes} S_{\psi}.$$

Once this equality is obtained, the proof in ([5], 2.4) holds indeed.

**10.** For every normal semifinite weight  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  and every positive self-adjoint operator  $A$  affiliated with the centralizer  $\mathcal{M}_{\varphi}$  of  $\varphi$  there exists a unique normal semifinite weight  $\varphi_A$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $[D\varphi_A : D\varphi]_t = A^t$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , ([10]). From Corollary 9 we infer the following result, originally obtained by KATAYAMA ([9]):

**Corollary.** *Let  $\varphi, \psi$  be normal semifinite weights on  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ , respectively, and let  $A, B$  be positive self-adjoint operators affiliated to  $\mathcal{M}_{\varphi}, \mathcal{N}_{\psi}$ , respectively. Then  $A \bar{\otimes} B$  is a positive self-adjoint operator affiliated to  $(\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{N})_{\varphi \bar{\otimes} \psi}$  and*

$$(\varphi \bar{\otimes} \psi)_{A \bar{\otimes} B} = \varphi_A \bar{\otimes} \psi_B.$$

**11.** Arguing as in the proof of Corollaries 6 and 8, with the help of (5) and Theorem 4 we obtain:

**Corollary.** *Let  $\varphi, \psi$  be normal semifinite weights on  $M, N$ , respectively, and let  $\pi: \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\varrho: \mathcal{N}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  be normal completely positive linear maps. If the weights  $\varphi \circ \pi, \psi \circ \varrho$  are semifinite, then*

$$(\varphi \bar{\otimes} \psi) \circ (\pi \bar{\otimes} \varrho) = (\varphi \circ \pi) \bar{\otimes} (\psi \circ \varrho).$$

**12.** A final application concerns some operator valued weights ([8]) called Fubini mappings ([14]). For every normal semifinite weight  $\psi$  on  $\mathcal{N}$  there is a unique normal semifinite operator valued weight  $E_{\mathcal{M}}^{\psi}$  defined on  $(\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{N})^+$  with values in

the extended positive part ([8])  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}^+$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ , such that

$$(10) \quad \varphi(E_{\mathcal{M}}^{\psi}(z)) = (\varphi \bar{\otimes} \psi)(z) \quad (z \in (\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{N})^+)$$

for every normal positive functional  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  (cf. also [11], 0.1.6). From Theorem 4 it follows that:

**Corollary.** *If  $\psi, \psi_j$  are normal semifinite weights on  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\psi_j \upharpoonright \psi$ , then*

$$E_{\mathcal{M}}^{\psi}(z) = \sup_j E_{\mathcal{M}}^{\psi_j}(z) \quad (z \in (\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{N})^+).$$

Also, the equality (10) extends to any normal semifinite weight  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Actually, the operator valued weight  $E_{\mathcal{M}}^{\psi}$  is nothing but the tensor product operator valued weight  $\iota_{\mathcal{M}} \bar{\otimes} \psi$  ([8]), where  $\iota_{\mathcal{M}}$  stands for the identity mapping on  $\mathcal{M}$ . We remark that Corollary 12 can be extended to an arbitrary normal semifinite operator valued weight instead of  $\iota_{\mathcal{M}}$ . Moreover, Theorem 4 can be extended to operator valued weights.

### References

- [1] F. COMBES, Poids sur une  $C^*$ -algèbre, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **47** (1968), 57—100.
- [2] F. COMBES, Poids et espérances conditionnelles dans les algèbres de von Neumann, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **99** (1971), 73—112.
- [3] A. CONNES, Une classification des facteurs de type III, *Ann. Éc. Norm. Sup.*, **6** (1973), 133—252.
- [4] A. CONNES, On a spatial theory of von Neumann algebras, *Preprint IHES Paris*, 1977.
- [5] T. DIGERNES, Duality for weights on covariant systems and its applications, *Dissertation UCLA*, 1975.
- [6] G. A. ELLIOTT, On the Radon-Nikodym derivative with a chain rule in von Neumann algebras, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **18** (1975), 661—669.
- [7] U. HAAGERUP, Normal weights on  $W^*$ -algebras, *J. Func. Anal.*, **19** (1975), 302—318.
- [8] U. HAAGERUP, Operator valued weights in von Neumann algebras, *Preprint, Odense Univ.*, No. 12/1975.
- [9] Y. KATAYAMA, The tensor product of weights, *Proc. Jap. Acad.*, **50** (1974), 430—432.
- [10] G. K. PEDERSEN—M. TAKESAKI, The Radon-Nikodym theorem for von Neumann algebras, *Acta Math.*, **130** (1973), 53—88.
- [11] Ş. STRĂTILĂ—D. VOICULESCU—L. ZSIDÓ, On crossed products, I, *Revue Roum. Math. Pures Appl.*, **21** (1976), 1411—1449.
- [12] Ş. STRĂTILĂ—L. ZSIDÓ, *Lectures on von Neumann algebras*, Editura Academiei & Abacus Press, 1979.
- [13] M. TAKESAKI, *Tomita's theory of modular Hilbert algebras and its applications*, Lecture Notes in Math., No. 128, Springer Verlag (1970).
- [14] J. TOMIYAMA, *Applications of Fubini mappings to tensor products of Banach algebras*, Lecture Notes, Copenhagen Univ., 1971.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
INCREST  
BD. PĂCI 220  
77 538 BUCHAREST 16  
R. S. ROMANIA