

The functional model of a contraction and the space L^1

CIPRIAN FOIAȘ, CARL PEARCY and BÉLA SZ.-NAGY

The present Note is a straightforward continuation of the recent paper [I]. Indeed, we have noticed subsequently that, under slightly changed assumptions, the results of that paper can be extended from the factor space L^1/H_0^1 to the space L^1 itself, and “localized” on parts of the unit circle C .

The ingredients of these extensions are mostly taken over, with some changes, from the paper [I], and so are the notations and the terminology. When referring to a specified lemma or formula of [I] we indicate it by the subscript I. Applications to the invariant subspace problem are to be given later.

1. Let us begin with some lemmas requiring little changes with respect to [I].

Lemma 1. *If $\{a_n\}$ converges weakly to 0 in \mathfrak{E}_* then for any $\varphi \in H^2$ and $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ we have*

$$\|(\varphi \circ a_n) \cdot h^*\|_{L^1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|h \cdot (\varphi \circ a_n)^*\|_{L^1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

(This is a strengthening of Lemma 3_I, where only convergence in the factor space L^1/H_0^1 was established.)

Proof. For any $h, k \in \mathfrak{H}$ the function $k \cdot h^*$ is the complex conjugate of $h \cdot k^*$ so they have the same norm in L^1 . Therefore it suffices to prove the first convergence. Now, by (4.3)_I and (4.7)_I we have

$$\|(\varphi \circ a_n) \cdot h^*\|_{L^1} \leq \|\varphi(a_n, h)_{\mathfrak{E}_*}\|_{L^1} + \|([\Theta^* \varphi a_n]_+, h_2)_{\mathfrak{E}}\|_{L^1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Lemma 2. *For any $\varphi, \psi \in H^2$ and $a \in \mathfrak{E}_*$ we have*

$$\|(\psi \circ a) \cdot (\varphi \circ a)^* - \psi \bar{\varphi} \|a\|_{\mathfrak{E}_*}^2\|_{L^1} \leq \|\psi a\|_{H^2(\mathfrak{E}_*)} \|[\Theta^* \varphi a]_+\|_{H^2(\mathfrak{E})} + \|[\Theta^* \psi a]_+\|_{H^2(\mathfrak{E})} \|\varphi a\|_{H^2(\mathfrak{E}_*)}.$$

(This takes over the role of Lemma 4_I, with the unpleasant difference that here we have to increase the right hand side of the inequality by a second term.)

Proof. It readily follows from (4.2)_I and (4.3)_I that

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi \circ a) \cdot (\varphi \circ a)^* &= \psi \bar{\varphi} \|a\|_{\mathfrak{E}_*}^2 - (\psi a, \Theta[\Theta^* \varphi a]_+)_{\mathfrak{E}_*} - (\Theta[\Theta^* \psi a]_+, \varphi a)_{\mathfrak{E}_*} + \\ &+ ([\Theta^* \psi a]_+, [\Theta^* \varphi a]_+)_{\mathfrak{E}} = \psi \bar{\varphi} \|a\|_{\mathfrak{E}_*}^2 - ([\Theta^* \psi a]_-, [\Theta^* \varphi a]_+)_{\mathfrak{E}} - ([\Theta^* \psi a]_+, \Theta^* \varphi a)_{\mathfrak{E}}. \end{aligned}$$

where $[\cdot]_- = [\cdot] - [\cdot]_+$; hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\psi \circ a) \cdot (\varphi \circ a)^* - \psi \bar{\varphi} \|a\|_{\mathfrak{E}_*}^2\|_{L^1} &\leq \\ &\leq \|[\Theta^* \psi a]_- \|_{L^2(\mathfrak{E})} \|[\Theta^* \varphi a]_+ \|_{L^2(\mathfrak{E})} + \|[\Theta^* \psi a]_+ \|_{L^2(\mathfrak{E})} \| \Theta^* \varphi a \|_{L^2(\mathfrak{E})}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|[\cdot]_- \|_{L^2(\mathfrak{E})} \leq \|[\cdot] \|_{L^2(\mathfrak{E})}$ and since Θ^* is also contractive, the proof is done.

Lemma 3. Suppose \mathfrak{E}_* is (countably) infinite dimensional, and let $h, k \in \mathfrak{H}$; $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_r, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_r \in H^2$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exist $h', k' \in \mathfrak{H}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (h+h') \cdot (k+k')^* - h \cdot k^* - \sum_1^r \psi_j \bar{\varphi}_j \right\|_{L^1} &\leq \sum_1^r \|\psi_j\|_{H^2} \|\varphi_j\|_{H^2} (\eta_\theta(\psi_j) + \eta_\theta(\varphi_j)) + \varepsilon, \\ \|h'\|^2 &\leq \sum_1^r \|\psi_j\|_{H^2}^2, \quad \|k'\|^2 \leq \sum_1^r \|\varphi_j\|_{H^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Remark. One can choose h', k' even to run over sequences $h^{(n)}, k^{(n)}$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$) such that, for every $l \in \mathfrak{H}$, $h^{(n)} \cdot l^*$ and $k^{(n)} \cdot l^*$ tend to 0 in L^1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proofs. Almost identical with those of Lemma 5₁ and Remark₁, by using Lemmas 1 and 2 in place of Lemmas 3₁ and 4₁, and applying inequality (5.3)₁ both to φ_j and ψ_j .

2. More essential change is needed with Lemma 2₁. Its role will be taken by

Lemma 4. Given a subset S of the open unit disc $D = \{\lambda: |\lambda| < 1\}$ let s be the set of non-tangential limit points of S on the unit circle C .¹⁾ Then for any $f \in L^1(s)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in S$ and $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(1) \quad \left\| f - \sum_1^n c_j P_{\mu_j} \right\|_{L^1(s)} < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_1^n |c_j| \leq \|f\|_{L^1(s)},$$

where P_μ is the Poisson kernel function on C corresponding to the point $\mu \in D$, i.e.

$$(2) \quad P_\mu(e^{it}) = \frac{1 - |\mu|^2}{|1 - \bar{\mu}e^{it}|^2}.$$

Proof. Suppose there exist $f_0 \in L^1(s)$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ for which the assertion does not hold, i.e. such that the open ball G in $L^1(s)$ with centre f_0 and radius ε_0 is disjoint from the set X of all finite linear combinations $\sum c_j P_{\mu_j}$ with $\mu_j \in S, c_j \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\sum |c_j| \leq \|f_0\|_{L^1(s)}$. Since both G and X are convex, and G is open, there exist, by the Hahn—Banach separation theorem, a function $g_0 \in L^\infty(s)$ (the Banach dual of $L^1(s)$) and a real number α such that

$$(3) \quad \operatorname{Re} \int_s h g_0 dm \leq \alpha < \operatorname{Re} \int_s f g_0 dm$$

¹⁾ For any $S \subset D$, the corresponding set $s \subset C$ is a Borel set, indeed an $F_{\sigma\delta\sigma}$.

for all $h \in X$ and $f \in G$ (in particular for $f=f_0$); m denotes normalized Lebesgue measure on C .

Thus if we set

$$\tilde{g}_0(\mu) = \int_s g_0(e^{it}) P_\mu(e^{it}) dm \quad (\mu \in D)$$

and observe that

$$\|P_\mu\|_{L^1(s)} \equiv \|P_\mu\|_{L^1} = 1, \quad \text{and hence,} \quad \|f_0\|_{L^1(s)} P_\mu \in X,$$

the first inequality in (3) shows that

$$(4) \quad \|f_0\|_{L^1(s)} |\tilde{g}_0(\mu)| \leq \alpha \quad \text{for all } \mu \in S.$$

Since \tilde{g}_0 is a bounded harmonic function on D , by the Fatou theorem we infer from (4) that

$$\|f_0\|_{L^1(s)} |g_0(e^{it})| \leq \alpha \quad \text{almost everywhere on } s,$$

so that

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_s f_0 g_0 dm \leq \|f_0\|_{L^1(s)} \|g_0\|_{L^\infty(s)} \leq \alpha.$$

This contradicts the second inequality (3). The proof of Lemma 4 is complete.

3. In the sequel the functional $\eta_\theta(\varphi)$ defined in [I] will again play a basic part. Let us recall, in particular, that for $\varphi = p_\mu$, where

$$p_\mu(e^{it}) = (1 - \bar{\mu}e^{it})^{-1} \quad (\mu \in D),$$

we have

$$\eta_\theta(p_\mu) = \inf_{\mathfrak{A}} \|\Theta(\mu)^* | \mathfrak{A}\|,$$

where \mathfrak{A} runs through the family of subspaces of \mathfrak{E}_* of finite codimension; cf. (2.6)₁.

For any number ϑ , $0 \leq \vartheta < 1$, consider the subset

$$(5) \quad S_\vartheta = \{\mu \in D: \eta_\theta(p_\mu) \leq \vartheta\}$$

of D , and the corresponding set s_ϑ of non-tangential limit points of S_ϑ on C .

We are going to prove the following substitute for Lemma 5₁:

Lemma 5. Suppose \mathfrak{E}_* is (countably) infinite dimensional and suppose $f \in L^1(s_\vartheta)$ and $h, k \in \mathfrak{H}$, and also $\varepsilon > 0$ are given. Then there exist $h', k' \in \mathfrak{H}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(h+h') \cdot (k+k')^* - h \cdot k^* - f\|_{L^1(s_\vartheta)} &\leq 2\vartheta \|f\|_{L^1(s_\vartheta)} + 2\varepsilon, \\ \|h'\|, \|k'\| &\leq \|f\|_{L^1(s_\vartheta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 4 there exist $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in S$ and $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying (1) (with $s=s_\vartheta$). One can obviously assume that $c_j \neq 0$ for all j , so we can set

$$\varphi_j = |c_j|^{1/2} (1 - |\mu_j|^2)^{1/2} p_{\mu_j}, \quad \psi_j = (\operatorname{sgn} c_j) \cdot \varphi_j$$

($j=1, 2, \dots, n$). Then we have

$$\psi_j \bar{\varphi}_j = c_j p_{\mu_j}, \quad \|\psi_j\|_{H^2}^2 = \|\varphi_j\|_{H^2}^2 = |c_j|$$

so that by Lemma 3 we obtain $h', k' \in \mathfrak{H}$ such that

$$\left\| (h+h') \cdot (k+k')^* - h \cdot k^* - \sum_1^n c_j P_{\mu_j} \right\|_{L^1(s_\vartheta)} \leq 2\vartheta \sum_1^m |c_j| + \varepsilon$$

and

$$\|h'\|^2, \|k'\|^2 \leq \sum_1^n |c_j|.$$

Taking also account of (1) we conclude the proof.

4. Now we are ready to state the following:

Theorem. *Suppose $\{\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{E}_*, \Theta(\lambda)\}$ is a contractive analytic function, with separable $\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{E}_*$ and with $\dim \mathfrak{E}_* = \infty$. Suppose that for some $\vartheta, 0 \leq \vartheta < \frac{1}{2}$, the set s_ϑ of non-tangential limit points of the set S_ϑ (defined by (5)) on C has positive Lebesgue measure. Then for every $f \in L^1(s_\vartheta)$ there exist $h, k \in \mathfrak{H}$ such that*

$$(6) \quad f = h \cdot k^* \text{ almost everywhere on } s_\vartheta.$$

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem₁ we choose a number ω such that $2\vartheta < \omega < 1$ and consider an $f \in L^1(s_\vartheta)$ with $\|f\|_{L^1(s_\vartheta)} \leq 1$. Setting $h_{-1} = h_0 = k_{-1} = k_0 = 0$ (in \mathfrak{H}) we show by induction that there exist $h_n, k_n \in \mathfrak{H}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) such that

$$(7) \quad \|f - h_n \cdot k_n^*\|_{L^1(s_\vartheta)} \leq \omega^n \quad \text{and} \quad \|h_n - h_{n-1}\|^2, \|k_n - k_{n-1}\|^2 \leq \omega^{n-1} \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots).$$

This being obvious for $n=0$ we assume h_n, k_n to be already found for $n=0, \dots, q$. Setting $f_q = f - h_q \cdot k_q^*$ and $\varepsilon_q = (\omega - 2\vartheta)\omega^q/2$, by Lemma 5 we infer that there exist $h_{q+1}, k_{q+1} \in \mathfrak{H}$ such that

$$\|h_{q+1} \cdot k_{q+1}^* - h_q \cdot k_q^* - f_q\|_{L^1(s_\vartheta)} \leq 2\vartheta \cdot \|f_q\|_{L^1(s_\vartheta)} + 2\varepsilon_q$$

and

$$\|h_{q+1} - h_q\|^2, \|k_{q+1} - k_q\|^2 \leq \|f_q\|_{L^1(s_\vartheta)} \leq \omega^q.$$

Then we have

$$\|f - h_{q+1} \cdot k_{q+1}^*\|_{L^1(s_\vartheta)} = \|(f_q + h_q \cdot k_q^*) - h_{q+1} \cdot k_{q+1}^*\|_{L^1(s_\vartheta)} \leq 2\vartheta \cdot \omega^q + (\omega - 2\vartheta)\omega^q = \omega^{q+1},$$

and the proof of (7) by induction is done.

By account of (7), the sequences $\{h_n\}, \{k_n\}$ are strongly convergent (in \mathfrak{H}) and their respective limits h, k satisfy (6). Theorem is proved.

References

[1] B. SZ.-NAGY—C. FOIAŞ, The functional model of a contraction and the space L^1/H_1^0 , *Acta Sci. Math.*, **41** (1979), 403—410.