

On sets which contain sum sets

ROBERT E. DRESSLER

Introduction. Let \mathbf{Z} be the set of integers and let $E \subset \mathbf{Z}$. For $A \subset \mathbf{Z}$ denote by $|A|$ the cardinal number of A . For $n \geq 1$, define $\varrho_n(E) = \sup \{ \min(|A_1|, |A_2|, \dots, |A_n|) : A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_n \subset E, A_i \subset \mathbf{Z} \}$. For $n \geq 2$, E is called a ϱ_n set if $\varrho_n(E) < \infty$ and $\varrho_{n-1}(E) = \infty$.

Many authors have studied ϱ_n sets in various connections; see, for example, [1] and [2]. A set D of integers is called *dissociate* if every integer has at most one representation of the form $\varepsilon_1 d_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_m d_m$ with $\varepsilon_j = \pm 1$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$) and $d_1 < d_2 < \dots < d_m$ are in D . For $n \geq 1$, suppose $D_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are such that $D_k \cap D_l = \emptyset$ if $k \neq l$, $|D_i| = \infty$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $\bigcup_{i=1}^n D_i$ is a dissociate set. It then follows from [3] that $D_1 + D_2 + \dots + D_n$ is a ϱ_{n+1} set which is not a ϱ_n set. The proof of this fact uses the techniques of harmonic analysis. Our purpose here is to indicate, for any $n \geq 2$, a very simple construction (which uses only the definition of a ϱ_n set) to obtain a new class of sets which are ϱ_{n+1} sets but not ϱ_n sets. We will actually construct a set, \mathcal{S} , of positive integers which is the sum of two infinite sets of positive integers such that \mathcal{S} is a ϱ_3 set. It is clear from the construction we give how to construct, for any $n \geq 2$, a class of sets, \mathcal{S}_n , such that \mathcal{S}_n is the sum of n infinite sets of positive integers and \mathcal{S}_n is a ϱ_{n+1} set. Furthermore, it is not hard to see that in our construction \mathbf{Z} may be replaced, with appropriate modifications, by any infinite abelian group; cf. [3].

Moreover, although the sets we construct are not necessarily of the form $D_1 + D_2 + \dots + D_n$, where $\bigcup_{i=1}^n D_i$ is dissociate, our proof can be easily modified to prove the result for any such sets.

The Construction. Before we begin our construction of the set \mathcal{S} , we observe that, since \mathcal{S} will be a set of positive integers, and we will be concerned with showing that $\sup \{ \min(|X|, |Y|, |W|) : X + Y + W \subset \mathcal{S}; X, Y, W \subset \mathbf{Z} \}$ is finite, it suffices throughout to consider only sets X, Y , and W of positive integers.

First take two singletons of positive integers $A_0 = \{a_0\}$ and $B_0 = \{b_0\}$. Next choose $a_1 > 3(a_0 + b_0)$ and $b_1 > \frac{4}{3}a_1$. Write $A_1 = A_0 \cup \{a_1\}$ and $B_1 = B_0 \cup \{b_1\}$. In general, if A_n and B_n have already been constructed then take $a_{n+1} > 3 \max(A_n + B_n)$ and $b_{n+1} \geq \frac{4}{3}a_{n+1}$ and write $A_{n+1} = A_n \cup \{a_{n+1}\}$ and $B_{n+1} = B_n \cup \{b_{n+1}\}$. We define $\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (A_n + B_n)$.

Observe first, that for any n , $A_{n+1} + B_{n+1} = (A_n \cup \{a_{n+1}\}) + (B_n \cup \{b_{n+1}\}) = (A_n + B_n) \cup (B_n + \{a_{n+1}\}) \cup (A_n + \{b_{n+1}\}) \cup \{a_{n+1} + b_{n+1}\}$. Notice that $b_{n+1} > \frac{4}{3}a_{n+1} > a_{n+1} + \max(A_n + B_n) > \max(a_{n+1} + B_n)$ so that each element of $A_n + \{b_{n+1}\}$ is greater than each element of $B_n + \{a_{n+1}\}$. Also, neither A_n , B_n , $B_n + \{a_{n+1}\}$, nor $A_n + \{b_{n+1}\}$ contains a sum of two doubletons or a translate of a sum of two doubletons. For example, if $x' + (\{y_1, y_2\} + \{w_1, w_2\}) \subset a_{n+1} + B_n$ with $y_1 < y_2$ and $w_1 < w_2$ then for some $b' < b'' < b''' < b''''$ in B_n we have

$$x' + y_1 + w_1 = a_{n+1} + b', \quad x' + y_1 + w_2 = a_{n+1} + b'', \quad x' + y_2 + w_1 = a_{n+1} + b''',$$

$$x' + y_2 + w_2 = a_{n+1} + b''',$$

or

$$x' + y_1 + w_1 = a_{n+1} + b', \quad x' + y_2 + w_1 = a_{n+1} + b'', \quad x' + y_1 + w_2 = a_{n+1} + b''',$$

$$x' + y_2 + w_2 = a_{n+1} + b''''.$$

In either case, we obtain $b'''' - b''' = b'' - b'$ which is impossible since each member of B_n is more than twice its predecessor.

Now suppose that, for some n , if X, Y , and W are sets of positive integers such that if $X + Y + W \subset A_n + B_n$, then $\min(|X|, |Y|, |W|) < 4$. Suppose also that X', Y', W' are sets of positive integers with $|X'|, |Y'|, |W'|$ each at least 4 and $D = X' + Y' + W' \subset A_{n+1} + B_{n+1}$. By the induction hypothesis, $D \not\subset A_n + B_n$ and so $D \cap (B_n + \{a_{n+1}\}) \neq \emptyset$ or $D \cap (A_n + \{b_{n+1}\}) \neq \emptyset$ or $D \cap \{a_{n+1} + b_{n+1}\} \neq \emptyset$. Thus, some element of X' or Y' or W' must be greater than $\max(A_n + B_n)$ because $3 \max(A_n + B_n) < a_{n+1}$. Without loss of generality, call this element $x' \in X'$.

Now, if $|Y'| \geq 4$ and $|W'| \geq 4$, then we can see that either $B_n + \{a_{n+1}\}$ or $A_n + \{b_{n+1}\}$ must contain a translate of a sum of two doubletons as follows:

Say $y_1 < y_2 < y_3 < y_4$ are the four smallest elements of Y' and $w_1 < w_2 < w_3 < w_4$ are the four smallest elements of W' . Look at $u_1 = x' + y_1 + w_1$, $u_2 = x' + y_1 + w_2$, $u_3 = x' + y_2 + w_1$, and $u_4 = x' + y_2 + w_2$. If $u_1 \in A_n + \{b_{n+1}\}$, then clearly $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \in A_n + \{b_{n+1}\}$. If $u_1 \in B_n + \{a_{n+1}\}$, then we're done unless $u_4 \in A_n + \{b_{n+1}\}$. But then $x' + (\{y_2, y_3\} + \{w_2, w_3\}) \subset A_n + \{b_{n+1}\}$ and we are done. We now have a contradiction and so it follows that $\min(|X'|, |Y'|, |W'|) < 4$.

Thus, by induction, for any n , if X , Y , and W are three sets of positive integers with $X+Y+W \subset A_n+B_n$, then $\min(|X|, |Y|, |W|) < 4$.

Clearly $\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (A_n+B_n)$ is not \mathcal{Q}_2 . However, if X , Y , and W are three finite sets of positive integers with $X+Y+W \subset \mathcal{S}$, then $X+Y+W \subset A_n+B_n$ for some n and so $\min(|X|, |Y|, |W|) < 4$. Thus, \mathcal{S} is a \mathcal{Q}_3 set. Finally, $\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (A_n+B_n) = \left(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \right) + \left(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \right)$ because $A_n \subset A_{n+1}$ and $B_n \subset B_{n+1}$ for all n and so \mathcal{S} is a sum of two infinite sets.

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to thank Louis Pigno for his generous help in the preparation of this paper.

References

- [1] C. C. GRAHAM, Non Sidon sets in the support of a Fourier—Stieltjes transform, *Colloq. Math.*, **36** (1976), 269—273.
 - [2] KEIJI IZUCHI, Cluster points of the characters in the maximal ideal space of the measure algebra, *Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku, Sect. A*, 1977, 1—3.
 - [3] G. W. JOHNSON and G. S. WOODWARD, On p -Sidon sets, *Indiana J. Math.*, **24** (1974), 161—168.
- Received October 30, 1979.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY
MANHATTAN, KANSAS 66506