Best approximation in Banach spaces with unconditional Schauder decompositions

P. K. JAIN and KHALIL AHMAD*

1. Introduction. The problem of the best approximation in Banach spaces with bases was initiated by NIKOLSKII [4]. SINGER [7, 8] carried out analogous study for the spaces with unconditional bases which has been further continued by RETHERFORD [5] and RETHERFORD and JAMES [6]. It has been pointed out that the results in these two settings are oftenly different. Motivated by this work and keeping in mind that a Banach space does not necessarily possess a basis as encountered by ENFLO [1], we consider Banach spaces with unconditional Schauder decomposition. In section 2, we give the notations and terminology. In section 3, the notions of NT-, NK- and NTK-norms have been defined in terms of the best approximation and a characterisation of each of these norms has been obtained. Also, it has been shown that every NT-norm is an NK-norm whereas the converse is not true is ascertained by giving a counterexample. Finally, it has been shown in section 4, that it is always possible to introduce an equivalent NTK-norm on a Banach space having an unconditional Schauder decomposition.

2. Notations and terminology. Let E be a Banach space, Z a linear subspace of E and x an element of E. An element $z_0 \in Z$ is a best approximation of x from Z provided

$$\|x - z_0\| = \inf \{ \|x - z\| \colon z \in Z \}.$$

Thus, to every linear subspace Z of E and an element $x \in E$, there corresponds a bounded, closed and convex (possibly empty) set $B_Z(x) = \{z_0 \in Z : z_0 \text{ is a best} x_0 \in Z\}$ approximation of x}. We denote by π_Z the mapping of E into Z given by $\pi_Z(x) = z_0$ provided $B_Z(x) = \{z_0\}$.

A sequence (M_i) of nontrivial subspaces of E, is called a decomposition of E provided for each $x \in E$ there exists a unique sequence (x_i) such that $x_i \in M_i$

* The research work of this author is partially supported by the University Grants Commission (India).

Received May 16, 1979.

and $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$, the convergence being in the norm topology of *E*. It is possible to define for each *i* a projection $P_i: E \to M_i$ as $P_i(x) = x_i$. If each P_i is continuous, then (M_i) is called a Schauder decomposition, and we write (M_i, P_i) . A decomposition (M_i, P_i) is said to be unconditional Schauder if it is Schauder with the property that $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{p(i)}$, for each permutation *p* of ω (the positive integers). Let Σ denote the formily of all finite subsets of ω . For $\pi \in \Sigma$, let

Let Σ denote the family of all finite subsets of ω . For $\sigma \in \Sigma$, let

$$L_{\sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \bigcup_{i \in \sigma} M_i \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $L^{\sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \bigcup_{i \in \omega \setminus \sigma} M_i \end{bmatrix}$

where the bracketed expressions denote the closed linear spans of the indicated sets. Also, we put

$$S_{\sigma}(x) = \sum_{i \in \sigma} P_i(x)$$
 and $S^{\sigma}(x) = x - S_{\sigma}(x)$.

3. NT- and NK-norms. Definition. Let (M_i, P_i) be an unconditional Schauder decomposition of E. Then the norm || || on E is called an NT-norm with respect to (M_i, P_i) if for every $x \in E$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma$, there exists a unique $y_0 = \pi_{L_{\sigma}}(x) \in L_{\sigma}$, best approximation of x from L_{σ} , such that $\pi_{L_{\sigma}}(x) = S_{\sigma}(x)$; NK-norm with respect to (M_i, P_i) if for every $x \in E$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma$, there exists a unique $y_0 = \pi_{L_{\sigma}}(x) \in L_{\sigma}$, best approximation of x from L^{σ} , such that $\pi_{L_{\sigma}}(x) = S^{\sigma}(x)$; NK-norm with respect to (M_i, P_i) if for every $x \in E$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma$, there exists a unique $y_0 = \pi_{L_{\sigma}}(x) \in L^{\sigma}$, best approximation of x from L^{σ} , such that $\pi_{L_{\sigma}}(x) = S^{\sigma}(x)$; and NTK-norm with respect to (M_i, P_i) if it is simultaneously an NT-norm and NK-norm with respect to this decomposition.

Now we characterise these norms as follows:

Theorem 1. Let E be a Banach space with an unconditional Schauder decomposition (M_i, P_i) . Then the norm on E is an

(a) NT-norm if and only if

(3.1)
$$\|\sum_{i\in\omega\searrow\beta}x_i\| < \|\sum_{i\in\omega\searrow\alpha}x_i\|,$$

for every pair $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma$ with $\alpha \subset \beta$ and every sequence $(x_i)_{i \in \omega \setminus \alpha}$ with $x_i \in M_i$ and $\sum_{i \in \beta \setminus \alpha} x_i \neq 0$, for which the series in (3.1) are convergent;

(b) NK-norm if and only if

$$(3.2) \qquad \qquad \left\|\sum_{i\in a} x_i\right\| < \left\|\sum_{i\in \beta} x_i\right\|,$$

for every pair $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma$ with $\alpha \subset \beta$ and every finite sequence $(x_i)_{i \in \beta}$ with $x_i \in M$ and $\sum_{i \in \beta \setminus \alpha} x_i \neq 0$.

Proof. (a) Assume that the norm on E is an NT-norm. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma$ with $\alpha \subset \beta$ be arbitrary such that $\sum_{i \in \infty \setminus \alpha} x_i$ is convergent. Then

$$\pi_{L_{\beta}}\left(\sum_{i\in\omega\setminus\alpha}x_{i}\right)=S_{\beta}\left(\sum_{i\in\omega\setminus\alpha}x_{i}\right)=\sum_{i\in\beta\setminus\alpha}x_{i},$$

and so

$$\left\|\sum_{i\in\omega\searrow\beta}x_i\right\| = \left\|\sum_{i\in\omega\searrow\alpha}x_i - \pi_{L_{\beta}}\left(\sum_{i\in\omega\searrow\alpha}x_i\right)\right\| < \left\|\sum_{i\in\omega\searrow\alpha}x_i\right\|,$$

which verifies the necessary part.

Conversely, for every $x = \sum_{i \in \omega} x_i \in E$, $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $y = \sum_{i \in \sigma} y_i \in L_{\sigma}$ with $y \neq S_{\sigma}(x)$, we have (by (3.1) with $\beta = \sigma, \alpha = \emptyset$)

$$\|x-S_{\sigma}(x)\| = \left\|\sum_{i \in \omega \setminus \sigma} x_i\right\| < \left\|\sum_{i \in \omega \setminus \sigma} x_i - \sum_{i \in \sigma} (y_i - x_i)\right\| = \|x-y\|,$$

and thus the norm on E is an NT-norm.

(b) Assume that the norm on E is an NK-norm. Let $(x_i)_{i \in \beta}$ be a finite sequence and let $\alpha \subset \beta$ be such that $\sum_{i \in \beta \setminus \alpha} x_i \neq 0$. Then

$$\pi_{L^{\alpha}}\left(\sum_{i\in\beta}x_{i}\right)=\sum_{i\in\beta}x_{i}-S_{\alpha}\left(\sum_{i\in\beta}x_{i}\right)=\sum_{i\in\beta\setminus\alpha}x_{i}.$$

Hence

$$\left\|\sum_{i\in\alpha} x_i\right\| = \left\|\sum_{i\in\beta} x_i - \pi_{L^{\alpha}}\left(\sum_{i\in\beta} x_i\right)\right\| < \left\|\sum_{i\in\beta} x_i\right\|.$$

In order to establish the converse part, let $x = \sum_{i \in \omega} x_i$, $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $y = \sum_{i \in \omega \setminus \sigma} y_i \in L^{\sigma}$ with $y \neq S^{\sigma}(x)$ be arbitrary. Then there exists in $\omega \setminus \sigma$ a smallest index i_0 , such that $y_{i_0} \neq x_{i_0}$. Hence, applying (3.2) successively, we obtain

$$\|x - S^{\sigma}(x)\| = \|\sum_{i \in \sigma} x_i\| = \|\sum_{i \in \sigma} x_i - \sum_{\substack{i \in \omega \setminus \sigma \\ i < i_0}} (y_i - x_i)\| < \|\sum_{i \in \sigma} x_i - \sum_{\substack{i \in \omega \setminus \sigma \\ i \le i_0}} (y_i - x_i)\| \le \dots$$
$$\dots \le \|\sum_{i \in \omega} x_i - \sum_{\substack{i \in \omega \setminus \sigma \\ i \le \omega \setminus \sigma}} (y_i - x_i)\| = \|x - y\|,$$

and thus the norm on E is an NK-norm. This completes the proof of the theorem. Further, we give the relation between NT- and NK-norms.

Theorem 2. Let E be a Banach space with an unconditional Schauder decomposition (M_i, P_i) . Then every NT-norm with respect to (M_i) is an NK-norm (whence also an NTK-norm) with respect to (M_i) .

Proof. It follows by using (3.1) and (3.2).

The converse of Theorem 2 is not necessarily true. Consider for instance, the Banach space

$$c_0(\chi) = \{ \overline{x} = (x_i) \colon x_i \in \chi, \lim_{i \to \infty} x_i = 0 \text{ in the norm of } \chi \},$$

the norm on $c_0(\chi)$ being given by $|(x_i)| = \sup_i ||x_i||$, where $(\chi, || ||)$ is any Banach space. On $c_0(\chi)$, define another norm $|| ||^*$ as:

$$\|(x_i)\|^* = \sup_{2 \le n < \infty} \sup_{p \in \pi_{1,n}} \left(2^{-n} \|x_1\|/n + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \|x_{p(i)}\| \right),$$

where $\pi_{1,n}$ denote the collection of all permutations of the set $\{2, 3, ..., n-1, n+1, n+2, ...\}$ and p(n) = n for every $p \in \pi_{1,n}$. The norms || || and $|| ||^*$ are equivalent since $\frac{1}{8} ||x|| \le ||x||^* \le \frac{5}{8} ||x||$. We observe that the sequence (N_i) with $N_i = \{\delta_i^{x_i}: x_i \in X\}$, where $\delta_i^{x_i}$ we mean the sequence $(0, 0, ..., x_i, 0, ...)$, i.e. the *i*th entry in $\delta_i^{x_i}$ is x_i and all others are zero, forms an unconditional Schauder decomposition of $c_0(\chi)$ (see [2], p. 291 and [3], p. 95). Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma, \alpha \subset \beta$ and $(\delta_i^{x_i})_{i \in \beta}$ with $\sum_{i \in \beta \setminus \alpha} \delta_i^{x_i} \neq 0$ be a finite sequence. Then

$$\left\|\sum_{i\in\alpha}\delta_i^{x_i}\right\|^* < \left\|\sum_{i\in\beta}\delta_i^{x_i}\right\|^*,$$

hence the norm $|| ||^*$ on $c_0(\chi)$ is an NK-norm. To show that $|| ||^*$ is not an NT-norm, it is enough to establish that

(3.3)
$$\left\|\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\delta_m^{x_m}\right\|^* = \left\|\sum_{m=2}^{\infty}\delta_m^{x_m}\right\|^*,$$

with $x_m = \frac{1}{m}x$ for any $0 \neq x \in \chi$.

Obviously

(3.4)
$$\left\|\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \delta_m^x\right\|^* \ge \left\|\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \delta_m^x\right\|^*.$$

Furthermore, let $n \ge 2$ be fixed. If, for a pair $i, i+j \in \{2, 3, ..., n-1, n+1, ...\}$ and a $p \in \pi_{1,n}$, we have $\frac{1}{p(i)} < \frac{1}{p(i+j)}$, then for the permutation $p' \in \pi_{1,n}$ defined by

 $p'(i) = p(i+j), p'(i+j) = p(i), p'(k) = p(k) \quad (k \neq i, i+j)$

$$\sum_{\substack{m=2\\m\neq n}}^{\infty} \frac{\|x\|}{p'(m)2^m} > \sum_{\substack{m=2\\m\neq n}}^{\infty} \frac{\|x\|}{p(m)2^m},$$

since

we have

.

$$\frac{a}{2^{i}} + \frac{b}{2^{i+j}} > \frac{b}{2^{i}} + \frac{a}{2^{i+j}}, \text{ for } a > b \ge 0.$$

Consequently, for every $n \ge 2$ and $p \in \pi_{1,n}$, we have

$$\frac{\|x\|}{n2^n} + \sum_{\substack{m=2\\m\neq n}}^{\infty} \frac{\|x\|}{p(m)2^m} \le \frac{\|x\|}{n2^n} + \sum_{\substack{m=2\\m\neq n}}^{\infty} \frac{\|x\|}{m2^m} = \sum_{\substack{m=2\\m\neq n}}^{\infty} \frac{\|x\|}{m2^m} = \sup_{\substack{2\le n<\infty\\m\neq n}} \sum_{\substack{m=2\\m\neq n}}^{\infty} \frac{\|x\|}{m2^m} \le \sup_{\substack{2\le n<\infty\\m\neq n}} \sum_{\substack{n=2\\m\neq n}}^{\infty} \frac{\|x\|}{\tau(m)2^m} = \left\|\sum_{\substack{m=2\\m=2}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \delta_m^x\right\|^*,$$

which together with (3.4) implies (3.3).

4. An NTK-norm. If E is a Banach space with an unconditional Schauder decomposition (M_i, P_i) then it is always possible to introduce on E an NTK-norm equivalent to the original norm on E. Consider for instance

$$\|x\|_{\mathrm{NTK}} = \sum_{i \in \omega} \|P_i(x)\| 2^{-i} + \sup_{\sigma \in \omega} \left\| \sum_{i \in \sigma} P_i(x) \right\|.$$

This clearly defines a norm on E, and is equivalent to the original norm on E which follows from

$$\|x\| \leq \|x\|_{\operatorname{NTK}} \leq \max_{1 \leq i < \infty} \|P_i(x)\| + \sup_{\sigma \in \omega} \left\|\sum_{i \in \sigma} P_i(x)\right\| \leq 3K \|x\|.$$

Finally, let $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma$ with $\alpha \subset \beta$ and $(x_i)_{i \in \omega \setminus \beta}$ with $\sum_{i \in \beta \setminus \alpha} x_i \neq 0, x_i \in M_i, i \in \omega$, be such that $\sum_{i \in \omega \setminus \beta} x_i$ converges. Then, we have $\omega \setminus \alpha = (\omega \setminus \beta) \cup (\beta \setminus \alpha)$, hence

$$\begin{split} & \left\|\sum_{i\in\omega\searrow\beta} x_i\right\|_{\mathrm{NTK}} = \sum_{i\in\omega\searrow\beta} \|x_i\| 2^{-i} + \sup_{\sigma\in\Sigma} \left\|\sum_{i\in\sigma\cap(\omega\searrow\beta)} x_i\right\| < \\ & < \sum_{i\in\omega\searrow\alpha} \|x_i\| 2^{-i} + \sup_{\sigma\in\Sigma} \left\|\sum_{i\in\sigma\cap(\omega\searrow\alpha)} x_i\right\| = \left\|\sum_{i\in\omega\searrow\alpha} x_i\right\|_{\mathrm{NTK}}. \end{split}$$

Thus by Theorem 1, $\|\|_{NTK}$ is an NT-norm and hence an NTK-norm by Theorem 2.

References

- P. ENFLO, A counter example to the approximation problem in Banach spaces, Acta Math., 130 (1973), 309–317.
- [2] M. GUPTA, P. K. KAMTHAN and K. L. N. RAO, Generalised Köthe sequence spaces and decompositions, Annali di Matematica pura ed applicata, 113 (1977), 287-301.
- [3] J. T. MARTI, Introduction to the theory of bases, Springer Tracts in Natural Philosophy, 18 (1969).
- [4] V. N. NIKOL'SKII, The best approximation and a basis in a Fréchet space, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.), 59 (1948), 639-642.
- [5] J. R. RETHERFORD, On Čebyšev subspaces and unconditional bases in Banach spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 73 (1967), 238-241.
- [6] J. R. RETHERFORD and R. C. JAMES, Unconditional bases and best approximation in Banach spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 75 (1969), 108-112.
- [7] I. SINGER, Bases in a space of Banach. III, Stud. Cerc. Mat., 15 (1964), 675-725.
- [8] I. SINGER, Bases in Banach Spaces. I, Springer-Verlag (Berlin, Heidelberg, New York 1970).

(P. K. J.) FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DELHI, DELHI 110007, INDIA (K. A.) Department of mathematics, Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi, India