

On approximation by unitary operators

JOSÉ BARRÍA and RAMÓN BRUZUAL

Introduction. Let \mathfrak{H} be a separable infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space. Let $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathfrak{H} . In [3] D. D. ROGERS has determined the distance from an arbitrary operator in $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$ to the set of unitary operators in $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$. He also has given sufficient conditions for the existence of unitary approximants.

In this paper we prove the density of the operators with unitary approximants; the existence of unitary approximants for n -normal operators is established. The proofs of these results rely on the paper [3]. For easy reference we state below the results that are needed. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$. Write $|T| = (T^*T)^{1/2}$, and let $\sigma_e(T)$ be the essential spectrum of T . Let $m_e(T)$ denote the infimum of the set $\sigma_e(|T|)$. The index of T is defined by $\text{ind } T = \dim \ker T - \dim \ker T^*$ with the convention that $\text{ind } T = 0$ if $\ker T$ and $\ker T^*$ have infinite dimension. A unitary approximant of T is a unitary operator U_0 such that $\|T - U_0\| = \inf \{\|T - U\| : U \text{ unitary in } \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})\}$.

Theorem A [3]. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$.

- (i) If $T = U|T|$ with U unitary, then U is a unitary approximant of T .
- (ii) If $\text{ind } T < 0$ and $m_e(T)$ is an eigenvalue of $|T|$ of infinite multiplicity, then T has a unitary approximant.

1. Density. In [3] it is proved that an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$ has no unitary approximant if T has negative index and its distance to the set of unitary operators is equal to one. However, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *The set of operators in $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$ with unitary approximants is dense in $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be the set of operators with unitary approximants. Let $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ be the closure of \mathcal{A} in the norm topology. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$. Clearly $T \in \mathcal{A}$ if and only if $T^* \in \mathcal{A}$. If $\text{ind } T = 0$, then Theorem A(i) implies that $T \in \mathcal{A}$. Therefore,

Received July 20, 1980. The second author was partially supported by F. G. M. de Ayacucho.

it is enough to show that $T \in \mathcal{A}$ whenever T has negative index. If $\text{ind } T < 0$, then $T = S|T|$ where S is an isometry. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\lambda = m_e(|T|)$. Since $\lambda \in \sigma_e(|T|)$, from [1, Theorem 2.18] there exists a closed infinite dimensional subspace \mathfrak{H}_0 such that $|T|$ has the representation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda + K_1 & X \\ & K_2 & Y \end{bmatrix}$$

with respect to the decomposition $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{H}_0^\perp$, where K_i is a compact operator and $\|K_i\| \leq \varepsilon$, for $i = 1, 2$. Since $|T|$ is a positive operator we have $X = K_2^*$ and $Y \geq 0$. Hence $|T| = P + K$ where $P = \lambda \oplus Y \geq 0$, K is a compact operator and $\|K\| \leq 2\varepsilon$.

Let $T_0 = SP$. We now show that T_0 has a unitary approximant. Since $S(\ker T_0) \subseteq \ker T_0^*$, then $\text{ind } T_0 \geq 0$. If $\text{ind } T_0 = 0$, then $T_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ from Theorem A(i). Now we assume that $\text{ind } T_0 < 0$. Since $T - T_0 = SK$ is compact, it follows that $m_e(T) = m_e(T_0) = \lambda$. Furthermore, $|T_0| = P$ implies that $m_e(T_0)$ is an eigenvalue of $|T_0|$ of infinite multiplicity. Then from Theorem A(ii) we have $T_0 \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $\|T - T_0\| = \|K\| \leq 2\varepsilon$, the proof that $T \in \mathcal{A}$ is complete. \square

2. n -normal operators. An $n \times n$ operator matrix $T = (T_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ acting on the direct sum of n copies of \mathfrak{H} is n -normal if the set $\{T_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ consists of mutually commuting normal operators on \mathfrak{H} . It is well known (see [2]) that an n -normal operator matrix is unitarily equivalent to an n -normal operator matrix in upper triangular form.

Lemma 1. *Let $T = (T_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ be an n -normal operator matrix in upper triangular form (i.e. $T_{ij} = 0$ for $i > j$). If $\ker T_{11} = \{0\}$, then*

$$\dim \ker T = \dim \ker T_0, \quad \text{and} \quad \dim \ker T^* = \dim \ker T^*$$

where T_0 is the $(n-1)$ -normal operator matrix obtained from T by deleting the first row and the first column.

Proof. Let $S = (-T_{11}) \oplus I \oplus \dots \oplus I$ acting on the direct sum of n copies of \mathfrak{H} . Let $\mathfrak{M} = \{ \langle T_{12}x_2 + \dots + T_{1n}x_n, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle : \langle x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle \in \ker T_0 \}$. Clearly \mathfrak{M} is a closed subspace and $\dim \mathfrak{M} = \dim \ker T_0$. Now we show that $S(\ker T)^\perp = \mathfrak{M}$. From this equality and $\ker S = \{0\}$ the first assertion of the lemma follows.

Let $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \in \ker T$. Then $T_{12}x_2 + \dots + T_{1n}x_n = -T_{11}x_1$ and $\langle x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle \in \ker T_0$. Therefore, $S \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle = \langle -T_{11}x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$ is an element of \mathfrak{M} , and $S(\ker T) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$.

Let E be the spectral measure of T_{11} . For $k \geq 1$ we define $P_k = E \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \geq \frac{1}{k} \right\}$.

For x in \mathfrak{H} we have $\|x - P_k x\|^2 = \left\| E \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < \frac{1}{k} \right\} x \right\|^2 \rightarrow \|E(\{0\})x\|^2$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$). Since $E(\{0\}) = \ker T_{11} = \{0\}$, then $\|x - P_k x\| \rightarrow 0$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$).

Now we are ready to prove that $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq S(\ker T)^-$. Let $\langle y, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle \in \mathfrak{M}$. Then $y = T_{12}x_2 + \dots + T_{1n}x_n$ and $\langle x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle \in \ker T_0$. Let $y^{(k)} = P_k y$ and $x_i^{(k)} = P_k x_i$ ($i=2, \dots, n$). Since P_k and T_{ij} commute, then $y^{(k)} = T_{12}x_2^{(k)} + \dots + T_{1n}x_n^{(k)}$ and $\langle x_2^{(k)}, \dots, x_n^{(k)} \rangle \in \ker T_0$. Therefore $\langle y^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)}, \dots, x_n^{(k)} \rangle \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $\langle y^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)}, \dots, x_n^{(k)} \rangle \rightarrow \langle y, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$). If $\mathfrak{H}_k = P_k \mathfrak{H}$, then \mathfrak{H}_k reduces T_{11} and T_{11} is bounded below on \mathfrak{H}_k ($\|T_{11}x\| \geq \frac{1}{k} \|x\|$ for x in \mathfrak{H}_k); therefore the restriction of T_{11} to \mathfrak{H}_k is an invertible operator. Since $y^{(k)} \in \mathfrak{H}_k$, there exists $x_1^{(k)} \in \mathfrak{H}_k$, such that $T_{11}x_1^{(k)} = -y^{(k)}$. Therefore $T_{11}x_1^{(k)} + \dots + T_{1n}x_n^{(k)} = 0$ and $\langle x_1^{(k)}, \dots, x_n^{(k)} \rangle \in \ker T$. Furthermore, $S\langle x_1^{(k)}, \dots, x_n^{(k)} \rangle = \langle y^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)}, \dots, x_n^{(k)} \rangle \rightarrow \langle y, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$). Hence $\langle y, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle \in S(\ker T)^-$ and $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq S(\ker T)^-$. This completes the proof that $\mathfrak{M} = S(\ker T)^-$.

The second assertion of the lemma follows from the fact that $\text{Ker } T^* = \{ \langle 0, x_2, x_n \rangle : \langle x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle \in \ker T_0^* \}$. \square

Theorem 2. Let $T = (T_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ be an n -normal operator matrix. Then

$$\dim \ker T = \dim \ker T^*.$$

Proof. We need to consider only the case when T is in upper triangular form. Then we assume that $T_{ij} = 0$ for $i > j$. Now the proof will proceed by induction on n . If $n=1$, then T is a normal operator and $\ker T = \ker T^*$. Next we assume that the theorem holds for all $(n-1)$ -normal upper triangular operator matrices. Let $\mathfrak{H}_1 = \ker T_{11} \cap \ker T_{nn}$, $\mathfrak{H}_2 = (\ker T_{nn} \ominus \mathfrak{H}_1) \oplus (\mathfrak{H} \ominus \ker T_{11} T_{nn})$ and $\mathfrak{H}_3 = \ker T_{11} T_{nn} \ominus \ker T_{nn}$. Then the subspaces $\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2, \mathfrak{H}_3$ are pairwise orthogonal and $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{H}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{H}_3$. Since $\ker T_{11}, \ker T_{nn}$ and $\ker T_{11} T_{nn}$ reduce T_{ij} , then \mathfrak{H}_k ($k=1, 2, 3$) reduces T_{ij} for all i and j . Furthermore, if $T_{ij}^{(k)} = T_{ij}|_{\mathfrak{H}_k}$ and $T_k = (T_{ij}^{(k)})_{i,j=1}^n$ ($k=1, 2, 3$), then T_k is an n -normal operator matrix in upper triangular form and $T = T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus T_3$ with respect to the decomposition $\bigoplus_{k=1}^3 (\mathfrak{H}_k \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{H}_k)$ (n copies) of $\mathfrak{H} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{H}$ (n copies). The next and last step in the proof is to show that $\dim \ker T_k = \dim \ker T_k^*$ for $k=1, 2, 3$.

We consider first the operator T_1 . If the dimension of \mathfrak{H}_1 is finite, then T_1 is acting in a finite dimensional space and the assertion is true. Assume that \mathfrak{H}_1 has infinite dimension. From the definition of \mathfrak{H}_1 we have $T_{11}^{(1)} = T_{nn}^{(1)} = 0$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{H}_1 \oplus \{0\} \oplus \dots \oplus \{0\} \subseteq \ker T_1$ and $\{0\} \oplus \dots \oplus \{0\} \oplus \mathfrak{H}_1 \subseteq \ker T_1^*$. Therefore $\dim \ker T_1 = \dim \ker T_1^* = \dim \mathfrak{H}_1$.

Now we consider the operator T_2 . From the definition of \mathfrak{H}_2 it is clear that $\ker T_{11}^{(2)} = \{0\}$. From Lemma 2.1 we have $\dim \ker T_2 = \dim \ker T_{2,0}$ and $\dim \ker T_2^* = \dim \ker T_{2,0}^*$, where $T_{2,0}$ is the $(n-1)$ -normal operator matrix obtained from T_2 by deleting the first row and the first column. Now from induction $\dim \ker T_{2,0} = \dim \ker T_{2,0}^*$. Therefore, $\dim \ker T_2 = \dim \ker T_2^*$.

Finally, we consider the operator T_3 . From the definition of \mathfrak{S}_3 it is clear that $\ker T_{nn}^{(3)} = \{0\}$. It is easy to see that T_3^* is unitarily equivalent to the n -normal, upper triangular operator matrix $(T'_{ij})_{i,j=0}^{n-1}$ with entries $T'_{ij} = T_{n-j, n-i}^{(3)*}$ (for $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1$) and $= 0$ for $i < j$. Since the $(0, 0)$ -entry of this operator is one-to-one, the argument given for the operator T_2 applies in this case. Therefore, $\dim \ker T_2^* = \dim \ker T_2$. \square

Corollary 1. *Let $T = (T_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ be an n -normal operator matrix. Then T has a unitary approximant.*

Proof. The result is immediate from Theorem 2 and Theorem A(i). \square

References

- [1] C. M. PEARCY, *Some recent developments in Operator Theory*, NSF—CBMS Lecture Notes, No 36, Amer. Math. Soc. (Providence, R. I., 1978).
- [2] H. RADJAVI, P. ROSENTHAL, *Invariant Subspaces*, Springer-Verlag (New York, 1973).
- [3] D. D. ROGERS, Approximation by unitary and essentially unitary operators, *Acta Sci. Math.*, 39 (1977), 141—151.

INSTITUTO VENEZOLANO DE INVESTIGACIONES CIENTIFICAS
 DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS
 APARTADO 1827
 CARACAS 1010-A, VENEZUELA