

A combinatorial proof of a theorem of P. Lévy on the local time

E. CSÁKI and P. RÉVÉSZ

Dedicated to Professor B. Szökefalvi-Nagy on the occasion of his 70th birthday

1. Introduction

Let $\{W(t); t \geq 0\}$ be a Wiener process and introduce the notations

$$M(t) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} W(s), \quad Y(t) = M(t) - W(t)$$

and for any Borel set A let

$$H(A, t) = \lambda \{s: s \leq t, W(s) \in A\}$$

be the occupation time of W where λ is the Lebesgue measure. It is well-known that $H(A, t)$ (for any fixed t) is a random measure absolutely continuous with respect to λ with probability 1. The Radon—Nikodym derivative of H is called the local time of W and it will be denoted by η i.e. $\eta(x, t)$ is defined by

$$H(A, t) = \int_A \eta(x, t) dx.$$

Finally let $\eta(0, t) = \eta(t)$.

A celebrated result of P. Lévy reads as follows (see for example KNIGHT [7], Theorem 5.3.7).

Theorem A. *We have*

$$\{Y(t), M(t); t \geq 0\} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \{|W(t)|, \eta(t); t \geq 0\}$$

i.e. the finite dimensional distributions of the vector valued process $\{(Y(t), M(t)); t \geq 0\}$ are equal to the corresponding distributions of $\{(|W(t)|, \eta(t)); t \geq 0\}$.

A natural question arises: what is the analogue of Theorem A in the case of random walk. In order to formulate our problem precisely introduce the following notations.

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of i.i.d.r.v.'s with $\mathbf{P}(X_1=1)=\mathbf{P}(X_1=-1)=1/2$ and let

$$\begin{aligned} S(0) &= 0, \quad S(n) = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots); \\ m(n) &= \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} S(k), \quad y(n) = m(n) - S(n), \\ \xi(0, n) &= \xi(n) = \mathcal{N}\{k: k \leq n, S(k) = 0\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{N}\{\cdot\}$ is the cardinality of the set in brackets. Now our question is: does Theorem A remain true if we replace $W(t), Y(t), M(t), \eta(t)$ by $S(n); y(n), m(n), \xi(n)$ respectively (and n runs over the integers). The answer of this question is negative. This fact can be seen from the following well-known

Theorem B.

$$\mathbf{P}\{\xi(2n) = k\} = \frac{1}{2^{2n-k}} \binom{2n-k}{n} \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

and

$$\mathbf{P}\{m(n) = k\} = \frac{1}{2^n} \left[\binom{n}{n-k} \right] \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

In spite of this disappointing fact we prove that Theorem A is "nearly true" for random walks. In fact we have

Theorem 1. *Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of i.i.d.r.v.'s with $\mathbf{P}(X_1=1)=\mathbf{P}(X_1=-1)=1/2$ defined on a probability space $\{\Omega, \mathcal{S}, \mathbf{P}\}$. Then one can define a sequence Z_1, Z_2, \dots of i.i.d.r.v.'s on the same probability space $\{\Omega, \mathcal{S}, \mathbf{P}\}$ such that $\mathbf{P}(Z_1=1)=\mathbf{P}(Z_1=-1)=1/2$ and*

$$n^{-1/4-\varepsilon} d((\hat{y}(n), \hat{m}(n)), (|S(n)|, \xi(n))) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ where

$$\begin{aligned} S(0) &= T(0) = 0, \quad S(n) = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n, \quad T(n) = Z_1 + Z_2 + \dots + Z_n \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots), \\ \xi(n) &= \mathcal{N}\{k: k \leq n, S(k) = 0\}, \quad \hat{m}(n) = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} T(k), \quad \hat{y}(n) = \hat{m}(n) - T(n) \end{aligned}$$

and d is the Euclidean distance of the vectors i.e.

$$d((a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2)) = ((b_2 - a_2)^2 + (b_1 - a_1)^2)^{1/2}.$$

The proof of this Theorem is very elementary and will be presented in Section 2. In Section 3 we show that Theorem A can be obtained as a simple consequence of Theorem 1.

In Section 4 we show that replacing the number of roots of the random walk $S(n)$ of Theorem 1 by the number of crossing points of that walk we can obtain a much better rate than that of Theorem 1. In Section 5 as an application of Theorem A (or that of Theorem 1) we prove a Strassen-type law of iterated logarithm for local time.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

Using the notations of Theorem 1 we also introduce the following notations:

$$\varrho_1 = \min \{i: i > 0, S_i = 0\},$$

$$\varrho_2 = \min \{i: i > \varrho_1, S_i = 0\},$$

⋮

$$\varrho_{l+1} = \min \{i: i > \varrho_l, S_i = 0\}, \dots,$$

$$Z_j = \begin{cases} -X_1 X_{j+1} & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq \varrho_1 - 1, \\ -X_{\varrho_1+1} X_{j+2} & \text{if } \varrho_1 \leq j \leq \varrho_2 - 2, \\ \vdots & \\ -X_{\varrho_{l+1}+1} X_{j+l+1} & \text{if } \varrho_l - (l-1) \leq j \leq \varrho_{l+1} - (l+1), \\ \vdots & \end{cases}$$

The following lemma is immediately clear by the above definitions.

Lemma 1.

1°. Z_1, Z_2, \dots is a sequence of i.i.d.r.v.'s with $\mathbf{P}(Z_1 = +1) = \mathbf{P}(Z_1 = -1) = 1/2$.

2°.
$$T(k) - T(\varrho_l - l) = \sum_{j=\varrho_l - (l-1)}^k Z_j =$$

$$= -X_{\varrho_{l+1}} \sum_{j=\varrho_l - (l-1)}^k X_{j+l+1} \begin{cases} \leq 0 & \text{if } \varrho_l - (l-1) \leq k \leq \varrho_{l+1} - l - 3, \\ = 0 & \text{if } k = \varrho_{l+1} - l - 2, \\ = 1 & \text{if } k = \varrho_{l+1} - (l+1). \end{cases}$$

3°.
$$\xi(\varrho_{l+1}) = l+1 = T(\varrho_{l+1} - (l+1)) = \hat{m}(\varrho_{l+1} - (l+1)) = \hat{m}(\varrho_{l+1} - \xi(\varrho_{l+1}))$$

 $(l = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$

4°. For any $\varrho_{l+1} \leq n < \varrho_{l+2}$ we have

$$\varrho_{l+1} - \xi(\varrho_{l+1}) \leq n - \xi(n) < \varrho_{l+2} - \xi(\varrho_{l+1}) = \varrho_{l+2} - \xi(\varrho_{l+2}) + 1,$$

hence

$$\xi(n) = \xi(\varrho_{l+1}) = \hat{m}(\varrho_{l+1} - \xi(\varrho_{l+1})) \leq \hat{m}(n - \xi(n))$$

and

$$\xi(n) = \xi(\varrho_{l+2}) - 1 = \hat{m}(\varrho_{l+2} - \xi(\varrho_{l+2})) - 1 \leq \hat{m}(n - \xi(n)) - 1$$

i.e.

$$|\xi(n) - \hat{m}(n - \xi(n))| \leq 1 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

5°.
$$\hat{y}(k) = \begin{cases} |S(k+1)| - 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq \varrho_1 - 2, \\ 0 = |S(k)| - 1 & \text{if } k = \varrho_1 - 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\hat{y}(k) = \begin{cases} |S(k+l)| - 1 & \text{if } \varrho_{l-1} - (l-1) \leq k \leq \varrho_l - (l+1), \\ 0 = |S(k+l-1)| - 1 & \text{if } k = \varrho_l - l. \end{cases}$$

The following strong laws are known:

Lemma 2.

$$(2.1) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\xi(n)}{(2n \log \log n)^{1/2}} = 1 \quad a.s.$$

(cf. KESTEN [6]).

$$(2.2) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\hat{m}(n) - \hat{m}(n - a_n)}{\left(a_n \log \frac{n}{a_n}\right)^{1/2}} \cong 2^{1/2} \quad a.s.$$

(cf. CSÖRGŐ—RÉVÉSZ [4], Theorem 3.1.1) where $a_n = ((2 + \varepsilon)n \log \log n)^{1/2}$, $\varepsilon \cong 0$.

$$(2.3) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{0 \leq l \leq b_n} \frac{|S(n+l)| - |S(n)|}{\left(2b_n \log \frac{n}{b_n}\right)^{1/2}} = 1 \quad a.s.$$

(cf. CSÖRGŐ—RÉVÉSZ [4], Theorem 3.1.1 and Remark 3.1.1) where $b_n = n^{1/2} \log \log n$.
Consequently

$$(2.4) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\hat{m}(n) - \hat{m}(n - \xi(n))}{(2n \log \log n)^{1/4} (\log n)^{1/2}} \cong 2^{1/2} \quad a.s.$$

Further we have

$$(2.5) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4(n^{-2} \log \log n) \varrho_n = 1 \quad a.s.$$

(cf. MÜNHEER [9], p. 53 and RÉNYI [10] p. 236).

Remark. Theorem 3.1.1 of Csörgő—Révész [4] states that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq a_n} \frac{T(n+s) - T(n)}{\left(a_n \log \frac{n}{a_n}\right)^{1/2}} = 2^{1/2} \quad a.s.$$

what easily implies (2.2). Applying Theorem A, Csáki—Csörgő—Földes—Révész [1] also proved that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\hat{m}(n) - \hat{m}(n - a_n)}{\left(a_n \log \frac{n}{a_n}\right)^{1/2}} = 1 \quad a.s.$$

(2.4) of Lemma 2 and 4° of Lemma 1 together imply

$$\text{Lemma 3.} \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\xi(n) - \hat{m}(n)|}{(2n \log \log n)^{1/4} (\log n)^{1/2}} \cong \sqrt{2} \quad a.s.$$

For any positive integer k let $l=l(k)$ be defined by $q_{l-1}-(l-1) \leq k < q_l - l$. Then by (2.5) of Lemma 2 we have

$$\text{Lemma 4. } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{l(n)}{n^{1/2} \log \log n} = 0 \quad a.s.$$

5° of Lemma 1, (2.3) of Lemma 2 and Lemma 4 together imply

$$\text{Lemma 5. } \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\hat{y}(n) - |S(n)||}{n^{1/4} ((\log n)(\log \log n))^{1/2}} \leq \sqrt{2} \quad a.s.$$

Lemmas 3 and 5 together prove Theorem 1.

3. Proof of Theorem A

The proof of Theorem A is based on Theorem 1 and the following invariance principle

Theorem C (RÉVÉSZ [11]). *Let $\{W(t); t \geq 0\}$ be a Wiener process defined on a probability space $\{\Omega, S, \mathbf{P}\}$. Then on the same probability space Ω one can define a sequence X_1, X_2, \dots of i.i.d.r.v.'s with $\mathbf{P}(X_1 = +1) = \mathbf{P}(X_1 = -1) = 1/2$ such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1/4-\varepsilon} |\zeta(n) - \eta(n)| = 0 \quad a.s.$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1/4-\varepsilon} |S(n) - W(n)| = 0 \quad a.s.$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

In order to prove Theorem A it is enough to prove

Lemma 6.

$$A = \{Y(t_1), Y(t_2), \dots, Y(t_n), M(t_1), M(t_2), \dots, M(t_n)\} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \\ \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \{|W(t_1)|, |W(t_2)|, \dots, |W(t_n)|, \eta(t_1), \eta(t_2), \dots, \eta(t_n)\} = B$$

provided that $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n \leq 1$.

Applying the well-known formula $\left\{ \frac{W(ct)}{\sqrt{c}}; t \geq 0 \right\} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \{W(t); t \geq 0\}$ (for any $c > 0$) one gets

Lemma 7. *For any $T > 0$ we have*

$$A \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \left\{ \frac{Y(t_1 T)}{T^{1/2}}, \frac{Y(t_2 T)}{T^{1/2}}, \dots, \frac{Y(t_n T)}{T^{1/2}}, \frac{M(t_1 T)}{T^{1/2}}, \frac{M(t_2 T)}{T^{1/2}}, \dots, \frac{M(t_n T)}{T^{1/2}} \right\}$$

and

$$B \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \left\{ \frac{|W(t_1 T)|}{\sqrt{T}}, \frac{|W(t_2 T)|}{\sqrt{T}}, \dots, \frac{|W(t_n T)|}{\sqrt{T}}, \frac{\eta(t_1 T)}{\sqrt{T}}, \frac{\eta(t_2 T)}{\sqrt{T}}, \dots, \frac{\eta(t_n T)}{\sqrt{T}} \right\}.$$

By Theorem C we have

Lemma 8. *One can define a random walk $S(1), S(2), \dots$ on the probability space of W such that*

$$\frac{|W(t_i T)|}{\sqrt{T}} = \frac{|S(t_i T)|}{\sqrt{T}} + o(T^{-1/4+\varepsilon}) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

and

$$\frac{\eta(t_i T)}{\sqrt{T}} = \frac{\xi(t_i T)}{\sqrt{T}} + o(T^{-1/4+\varepsilon}) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Applying Theorem 1 we have

Lemma 9. *Given the random walk of Lemma 8 one can define another random walk $T(1), T(2), \dots$ such that*

$$\frac{|S(t_i T)|}{\sqrt{T}} = \frac{\hat{y}(t_i T)}{\sqrt{T}} + o(T^{-1/4+\varepsilon}) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

and

$$\frac{\xi(t_i T)}{\sqrt{T}} = \frac{\hat{m}(t_i T)}{\sqrt{T}} + o(T^{-1/4+\varepsilon}) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Applying again Theorem C we get

Lemma 10. *Given the random walk $T(1), T(2), \dots$ of Lemma 9 one can define a Wiener process $\{\bar{W}(t); t > 0\}$ such that*

$$\frac{\hat{y}(t_i T)}{\sqrt{T}} = \frac{\bar{Y}(t_i T)}{\sqrt{T}} + o(T^{-1/4+\varepsilon}) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

and

$$\frac{\hat{m}(t_i T)}{\sqrt{T}} = \frac{\bar{M}(t_i T)}{\sqrt{T}} + o(T^{-1/4+\varepsilon}) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

where

$$\bar{M}(t) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \bar{W}(s) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{Y}(t) = \bar{M}(t) - \bar{W}(t).$$

Lemmas 8 and 10 together imply

Lemma 11.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{|S(t_1 T)|}{\sqrt{T}}, \frac{|S(t_2 T)|}{\sqrt{T}}, \dots, \frac{|S(t_n T)|}{\sqrt{T}}, \frac{\xi(t_1 T)}{\sqrt{T}}, \frac{\xi(t_2 T)}{\sqrt{T}}, \dots, \frac{\xi(t_n T)}{\sqrt{T}} \right) = \\ & = b(T) \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{\Rightarrow} B \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\hat{y}(t_1 T)}{\sqrt{T}}, \frac{\hat{y}(t_2 T)}{\sqrt{T}}, \dots, \frac{\hat{y}(t_n T)}{\sqrt{T}}, \frac{\hat{m}(t_1 T)}{\sqrt{T}}, \frac{\hat{m}(t_2 T)}{\sqrt{T}}, \dots, \frac{\hat{m}(t_n T)}{\sqrt{T}} \right) = \\ = a(T) \xrightarrow{d} A \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty.$$

By Lemma 9 the limit distributions of $a(T)$ and $b(T)$ cannot be different. Hence we have Lemma 6, and hence Theorem A.

4. Roots and crossings

Theorem 1 says that the vector $(|S(n)|, \xi(n))$ can be approximated by the vector $(\hat{y}(n), \hat{m}(n))$ in order $n^{1/4+\varepsilon}$ while Theorem C says that the vector $(\eta(n), W(n))$ can be approximated by the vector $(\xi(n), S(n))$ in the same order $n^{1/4+\varepsilon}$. It is natural to ask whether this order is the best possible or not. Unfortunately we do not know the answer of this question. However we can show that considering the number of crossings $\theta(n)$ instead of the number of roots $\xi(n)$ better rates can be achieved in Theorems 1 and C.

Let

$$(4.1) \quad \theta(n) = \mathcal{N}\{k: k \leq n, S(k-1)S(k+1) < 0\}$$

be the number of crossings. Then we have

Theorem 2. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of i.i.d.r.v.'s with $\mathbf{P}(X_1=1) = \mathbf{P}(X_1=-1) = 1/2$ defined on a probability space $\{\Omega, \mathcal{S}, \mathbf{P}\}$. Then one can define a sequence Z_1, Z_2, \dots of i.i.d.r.v.'s on the same probability space $\{\Omega, \mathcal{S}, \mathbf{P}\}$ such that $\mathbf{P}(Z_1=1) = \mathbf{P}(Z_1=-1) = 1/2$ and

$$(4.2) \quad |\hat{m}(n) - 2\theta(n)| \leq 1,$$

$$(4.3) \quad |\hat{y}(n) - |S(n)|| \leq 2$$

for $n=1, 2, \dots$, where

$$S(0) = T(0) = 0, \quad S(n) = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n, \quad T(n) = Z_1 + Z_2 + \dots + Z_n \\ (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

$$\hat{m}(n) = \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} T(k), \quad \hat{y}(n) = \hat{m}(n) - T(n).$$

Proof. Let

$$\tau_1 = \min \{i: i > 0, S(i-1)S(i+1) < 0\},$$

$$\tau_2 = \min \{i: i > \tau_1, S(i-1)S(i+1) < 0\},$$

\vdots

$$\tau_{l+1} = \min \{i: i > \tau_l, S(i-1)S(i+1) < 0\}, \dots$$

and

$$Z_j = \begin{cases} -X_1 X_{j+1} & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq \tau_1, \\ X_1 X_{j+1} & \text{if } \tau_1 + 1 \leq j \leq \tau_2, \\ \vdots & \\ (-1)^{l+1} X_1 X_{j+1} & \text{if } \tau_l + 1 \leq j \leq \tau_{l+1} \\ \vdots & \end{cases}$$

This transformation was given in Csáki and Vincze [3]. The following lemma is clearly true.

Lemma 12.

1°. Z_1, Z_2, \dots is a sequence of i.i.d.r.v.'s with $\mathbf{P}(Z_1 = +1) = \mathbf{P}(Z_1 = -1) = 1/2$.

$$2^\circ \quad T(k) - T(\tau_l) = \sum_{j=\tau_l+1}^k Z_j = (-1)^{l+1} X_1 \sum_{j=\tau_l+1}^k X_{j+1} =$$

$$= (-1)^{l+1} X_1 (S(k+1) - S(\tau_l+1)) \begin{cases} \leq 1 & \text{if } \tau_l + 1 \leq k \leq \tau_{l+1} - 2, \\ = 1 & \text{if } k = \tau_{l+1} - 1, \\ = 2 & \text{if } k = \tau_{l+1}. \end{cases}$$

3°. $2\theta(\tau_l) = 2l = T(\tau_l) = \hat{m}(\tau_l)$, $l = 1, 2, \dots$

4°. For any $\tau_l \leq n < \tau_{l+1}$ we have $\theta(n) = l$, $2l \leq \hat{m}(n) \leq 2l + 1$, consequently $0 \leq \hat{m}(n) - 2\theta(n) \leq 1$.

$$5^\circ. \quad T(k) = \begin{cases} 2l + 1 - |S(k+1)| & \text{if } \tau_l + 1 \leq k \leq \tau_{l+1} - 1, \\ 2l + 2 - |S(k)| & \text{if } k = \tau_{l+1}, \end{cases}$$

therefore

$$\hat{y}(k) = \hat{m}(k) - T(k) \leq |S(k+1)| \leq |S(k)| + 1$$

and

$$\hat{y}(k) = \hat{m}(k) - T(k) \geq |S(k+1)| - 1 \geq |S(k)| - 2.$$

This proves Theorem 2.

Corollary. On a rich enough probability space $\{\Omega, S, \mathbf{P}\}$ one can define a Wiener process $\{W(t); t \geq 0\}$ and a sequence X_1, X_2, \dots of i.i.d.r.v.'s with $\mathbf{P}(X_1 = +1) = \mathbf{P}(X_1 = -1) = 1/2$ such that

$$(4.4) \quad \left| |S(n)| - |W(n)| \right| = O(\log n) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad |2\theta(n) - \eta(n)| = O(\log n) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

where $S(n) = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$, $\theta(n)$ is defined by (4.1) and $\eta(\cdot)$ is the local time at zero of $W(\cdot)$.

Proof. Let us start with the random walk X_1, X_2, \dots . Then construct a random walk Z_1, Z_2, \dots according to Theorem 2. Then by the theorem of KOMLÓS, MAJOR and TUSNÁDY [8] one can construct a Wiener process $W_1(t)$ such that

$$(4.6) \quad \sup_{k \leq n} |T(k) - W_1(k)| = O(\log n) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

where $T(k) = Z_1 + \dots + Z_k$. Put $M_1(t) = \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} W_1(s)$. Then according to Lévy's theorem (Theorem A), $|W(t)| = M_1(t) - W_1(t)$ is the absolute value of a Wiener process whose local time $\eta(t) = M_1(t)$. Now

$$|\eta(n) - 2\theta(n)| \leq |M_1(n) - \hat{m}(n)| + |\hat{m}(n) - 2\theta(n)|,$$

where $\hat{m}(n) = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} T(k)$. (4.5) follows from (4.2) and (4.6). Furthermore

$$||S(n)| - |W(n)|| \leq ||S(n)| - \hat{y}(n)| + |\hat{m}(n) - M_1(n)| + |T(n) - W_1(n)|,$$

where $\hat{y}(n) = \hat{m}(n) - T(n)$. (4.4) follows from (4.3) and (4.6).

5. A Strassen-type law of iterated logarithm

Let $\{W(t); t \geq 0\}$ be a Wiener process and let

$$w_T(x) = w(x) = b_T^{-1} W(xT) \quad (0 \leq x \leq 1)$$

where

$$b_T = (2T \log \log T)^{1/2} \quad (T > e).$$

Further let $\mathcal{S} \subset C(0, 1)$ be the set of absolutely continuous functions (with respect to the Lebesgue measure) for which

$$f(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^1 (f'(x))^2 dx \leq 1.$$

The celebrated Strassen's (functional) law of iterated logarithm says:

Theorem D. [13] *The net $\{W_T(x); 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$ is relatively compact in $C(0, 1)$ with probability 1 and the set of its limit points is \mathcal{S} .*

It is an interesting question to characterize the limit points of $\eta(x, T)$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. DONSKER and VARADHAN [5] solved this problem. Here we intend to present a result characterizing the limit points of the net

$$y_T(x) = y(x) = b_T^{-1} \eta(0, xT) \quad (0 \leq x \leq 1).$$

Since $y_T(x)$ ($0 \leq x \leq 1$) for any fixed T is a non-decreasing function, its limit points must be also non-decreasing. Introduce the following

Definition. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{S}$ be the set of non-decreasing elements of \mathcal{S} .

Then we formulate our

Theorem 3. *The net $\{y_T(x); 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$ is relatively compact in $C(0, 1)$ with probability 1 and the set of its limit points is \mathcal{M} .*

Proof. This result is a trivial consequence of Theorems A and D.

It looks more interesting to characterize jointly the limit points of the vectors $\{w_T(x), y_T(x); 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$ ($T \rightarrow \infty$). Intuitively it is clear enough that $y_T(x)$ must be constant in an interval where $w_T(x) \neq 0$. Hence in order to characterize the set of possible limit points it is natural to introduce the following

Definition. Let \mathcal{N} be the set of those two-dimensional vector valued functions $h(x) = (f(x), g(x))$ ($0 \leq x \leq 1$) for which

- (i) f and g are absolutely continuous in $(0, 1)$ with respect to the Lebesgue-measure,
- (ii) $f(0) = g(0) = 0$,
- (iii) g is non-decreasing,
- (iv) $f(x)g'(x) \equiv 0$ ($0 < x < 1$),
- (v) $\int_0^1 (f'(x) + g'(x))^2 dx \leq 1$.

Now we have

Theorem 4. *The net $\{w_T(x), y_T(x); 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$ ($T \rightarrow \infty$) is relatively compact in $C(0, 1) \times C(0, 1)$ with probability 1 and the set of its limit points is \mathcal{N} .*

This Theorem is again a simple consequence of Theorems A and D. Theorem 4 clearly implies the following interesting

Consequence. *The net $\{w_T(1), y_T(1)\} = \{b_T^{-1}W(T), b_T^{-1}\eta(0, T)\}$ is relatively compact in the plane R^2 with probability 1 and the set of its limit points is the triangle*

$$T = \{(x, y): -1 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq y \leq |x - 1|\}$$

which, in turn, also implies

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} b_T^{-1}(\eta(0, T) + |W(T)|) = 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Remark. Theorem 1 shows that our Theorems 3 and 4 as well as the above Consequence remain true if we investigate the properties of the random walk $S(1), S(2), \dots$ of the introduction instead of a Wiener process. The invariance principles of Csáki—Révész [2] and Révész [12] shows that these results can be extended for more general random walks.

References

- [1] E. CSÁKI, M. CSÖRGŐ, A. FÖLDES, and P. RÉVÉSZ, How big are the increments of the local time of a Wiener process?, *Ann. Probability*, **11** (1983), to appear.
- [2] E. CSÁKI and P. RÉVÉSZ, Strong invariance for local times, *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete*, **62** (1983), 263—278.
- [3] E. CSÁKI and I. VINCZE, On some problems connected with the Galton test, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hung. Acad. Sci.*, **6** (1961), 97—109.
- [4] M. CSÖRGŐ and P. RÉVÉSZ, *Strong Approximations in Probability and Statistics*, Academic Press (New York, 1981), and Akadémiai Kiadó (Budapest, 1981).
- [5] M. D. DONSKER and S. O. VARADHAN, On laws of the iterated logarithms for local times, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **30** (1977), 707—754.
- [6] H. KESTEN, An iterated logarithm law for local time, *Duke Math. J.*, **32** (1965), 447—456.
- [7] F. KNIGHT, *Essentials of Brownian Motion and Diffusion*, Math. Survey No. 18, Amer. Math. Soc. (Providence, Rhode Island, 1981).
- [8] J. KOMLÓS, P. MAJOR, and G. TUSNÁDY, An approximation of partial sums of independent R. V.'s and the sample DF. I, *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete*, **32** (1975), 111—131.
- [9] J. L. MIJNHEER, *Sample path properties of stable processes*, Mathematisch Centrum (Amsterdam, 1975).
- [10] A. RÉNYI, *Foundations of probability*, Holden-Day, Inc. (San Francisco, 1970).
- [11] P. RÉVÉSZ, Local time and invariance, in: *Analytical Methods in Probability Theory* (Proceedings, Oberwolfach, Germany, 1980), Lecture Notes in Math. 861, Springer-Verlag (Berlin—Heidelberg—New York, 1981).
- [12] P. RÉVÉSZ, A strong invariance principle of the local time of r. v.'s with continuous distribution, *Studia Sci. Math. Hung.*, **16** (1981), to appear.
- [13] V. STRASSEN, An invariance principle for the law of the iterated logarithm, *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete*, **3** (1964), 211—226.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE
HUNGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
REÁLTANODA U. 13—15
1053 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY