

## Upper estimates for the eigenfunctions of higher order of a linear differential operator

V. KOMORNIK

*Dedicated to Professor Béla Szökefalvi-Nagy on the occasion of his 70th birthday*

In several problems of the spectral theory of non-selfadjoint differential operators it occurs the need to estimate the eigenfunctions of higher order of these operators (cf. [3], [4], [5], [7], [8], [11]). These results were proved in general by the application of the mean value formulas of TITCHMARSH [2], MOISEEV [6] and Joó [7]. For the case of the Schrödinger operator, exact estimates were obtained in [7]. However, in case the differential operator is of order  $n \geq 3$ , the mean value formula becomes rather complicated (see [6]), and it seems to be hard to obtain exact estimates by its application. In this paper, we choose another approach: using the method of variation of constants instead of the mean value formula, we trace the difficulties back to the investigation of some concrete determinants. As the result of these considerations, we obtain the formula of Theorem 1. This formula actually equals the mean value formula in case of the Schrödinger operator, but differs from it in general.

Using this formula, we extend the upper estimates of [7] to the case of an arbitrary linear differential operator. We obtain estimates not only for the eigenfunctions, but also for their derivatives. These results are formulated in Theorem 2.

Let  $G \subset \mathbf{R}$  be an arbitrary open interval and consider the formal differential operator

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} Lu &= u^{(n)} + p_1 u^{(n-1)} + \dots + p_n u, \\ p_1, \dots, p_n &\in L^1_{\text{loc}}(G) \text{ are arbitrary complex functions.} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\lambda$  be a complex number. The function  $u_{-1}: G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ ,  $u_{-1} \equiv 0$  is called an eigenfunction of order  $-1$  of the operator  $L$  with the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . As it is usual, a function  $u_i: G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ ,  $u_i \not\equiv 0$  ( $i=0, 1, \dots$ ) is said to be an eigenfunction of order  $i$  of the operator  $L$  with the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  if  $u_i$ , together with its first  $n-1$

derivatives is absolute continuous on every compact subinterval of  $G$  and if for almost all  $x \in G$  the equation

$$(Lu_i)(x) = \lambda u_i(x) + u_{i-1}(x)$$

holds, where  $u_{i-1}$  is an eigenfunction of order  $i-1$  with the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

We shall prove the following result:

**Theorem 1.** *Given any pair of integers  $m \geq 0, n \geq 2$  there exist entire functions  $f, f_{jik}, h_j (j \geq 0, 0 \leq i < n, 1 \leq k \leq N \equiv (m+1)n)$  with  $f(z) \neq 0$  for  $|z| < \pi^n$  such that the following formulas are valid:*

*Given any eigenfunction  $u_m$  of order  $\leq m$  of the operator (1) with the eigenvalue  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , introducing for  $j < m$  the functions*

$$(2) \quad u_j: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad u_j = Lu_{j+1} - \lambda u_{j+1},$$

we have that

$$(3) \quad f(\lambda t^n) t^{j+n+i} u_{m-j}^{(i)}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^N f_{jik}(\lambda t^n) u_m(x+kt) + \\ + \sum_{k=1}^N f_{jik}(\lambda t^n) \sum_{r=0}^m \sum_{s=1}^n \int_x^{x+kt} (x+kt-\tau)^{n(r+1)-1} h_r(\lambda(x+kt-\tau)^n) p_s(\tau) u_{m-r}^{(n-s)}(\tau) d\tau$$

for all  $j \geq 0, 0 \leq i < n$ , and for all  $x \in G$  with  $x+Nt \in G$ .

The functions  $f_{00k}$  are multiples of  $f$  and therefore if  $j=i=0$ , this formula can be simplified by  $f$ .

Consider now the special case

$$(4) \quad Lu = u^{(n)} + p_2 u^{(n-2)} + \dots + p_n u, \quad G \subset \mathbb{R} \text{ is a bounded open interval.}$$

It is well-known that the eigenfunctions of the operator (4) can be extended to absolute continuous functions on  $\bar{G}$  (see [1]). Using Theorem 1 we shall prove the following estimates:

**Theorem 2.** *There exist constants*

$$\mathcal{K}_m = \mathcal{K}_m(n, |G|, \|p_2\|_1, \dots, \|p_n\|_1), \quad m = 0, 1, \dots,$$

( $|G|$  denotes the length of  $G$ ) such that given any eigenfunction  $u_m$  of order  $m$  of the operator (4) with the eigenvalue  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have

$$(5) \quad \|u_{m-j}^{(i)}\|_\infty \leq \mathcal{K}_m (1 + |\sqrt[n]{\lambda}|)^{j+n+i+(1/r)} \|u_m\|_r$$

for all  $0 \leq j \leq m, 0 \leq i < n$  and  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ .

Moreover, if  $p_2, \dots, p_n \in L^p(G)$  for some  $1 < p \leq \infty$ , then there exist constants

$$\mathcal{K}_m^p = \mathcal{K}_m^p(n, |G|, \|p_2\|_p, \dots, \|p_n\|_p), \quad m = 0, 1, \dots,$$

such that, putting  $q=(1-1/p)^{-1}$ ,

$$(6) \quad \|u_{m-j}^{(i)}\|_q \leq \mathcal{K}_m^p (1 + |\sqrt[n]{\lambda}|)^{jn+i} \|u_m\|_q$$

for all  $0 \leq j \leq m$  and  $0 \leq i < n$ .

In the first section of this paper we prove Theorem 1 for the case  $G = \mathbf{R}$ ,  $p_1 = p_2 = \dots = p_n \equiv 0$ . In its full generality Theorem 1 is proved in Section 2. Finally, Theorem 2 will be proved in Section 3.

**1. Some properties of the operator  $L_0 v = v^{(n)}$ ,  $G = \mathbf{R}$ .** In this section  $v_m$  will denote an arbitrarily fixed eigenfunction of order  $\leq m$  of the operator  $L_0$  with the eigenvalue  $\lambda = \rho^n$  and, for  $j < m$ , we introduce the functions  $v_j = v_{j-1}^{(n)} - \lambda v_{j+1}$ . We shall also use the notation

$$\rho_p = \rho e^{p \frac{2\pi i}{n}}, \quad p = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

The following assertion is obvious:

Lemma 1.  $v_m$  has the form

$$(7) \quad v_m(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{r=0}^m \sum_{p=1}^n a_{rp} (\rho_p x)^r e^{\rho_p x} & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0, \\ \sum_{r=0}^m \sum_{p=1}^n a_{rp} x^{r+n+p-1} & \text{if } \lambda = 0, \end{cases}$$

with appropriate constants  $a_{rp} \in \mathbf{C}$ .

For any  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , we define the determinant  $D(\rho t)$  of type  $N \times N$  in the following way: let the  $(rn+p)$ -th entry of the  $k$ -th row ( $1 \leq k \leq N, 1 \leq p \leq n, 0 \leq r \leq m$ ) be

$$(8) \quad \frac{(k \rho_p t)^r}{r!} e^{k \rho_p t}.$$

One can see easily that  $D$  is an entire function with isolated roots. A more thorough investigation shows (cf. [12]) that

$$(9) \quad D(\rho t) \equiv C(\rho t)^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}} \left[ \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} (e^{\rho_p t} - e^{\rho_q t}) \right]^{(m+1)^2}$$

with some constant  $C \neq 0$ . Let us denote the subdeterminant of  $D(\rho t)$ , corresponding to the element (8) by  $D_{kpr}(\rho t)$ , and define formally  $D_{kpr}(\rho t) \equiv 0$  for  $r > m$ .

Lemma 2. There exist numbers  $C_{jis} \in \mathbf{C}$ , independent of the choice of  $v_m$ , such that for all  $j \leq 0, 0 \leq i < n$  and  $x, t \in \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$(10) \quad v_{m-j}^{(i)}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^N \left\{ \sum_{p=1}^{j+n+i} C_{jis} \sum_{p=1}^n \rho_p^{j+n+i} \frac{D_{kps}(\rho t)}{D(\rho t)} \right\} v_m(x+kt),$$

whenever  $D(\rho t) \neq 0$ .

Proof. By (7) and (9), for any  $1 \leq k \leq N$  we have

$$(11) \quad v_m(x+kt) = \sum_{p=1}^n \sum_{s=0}^m \frac{(k\varrho_p t)^s}{s!} e^{k\varrho_p t} w_{ps}(x),$$

where

$$w_{ps}(x) \equiv \sum_{r=s}^m a_{rp} \frac{r!}{(r-s)!} (\varrho_p x)^{r-s} e^{\varrho_p x}.$$

Hence for all  $1 \leq p \leq n$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq m$ ,

$$(12) \quad w_{ps}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{D_{kps}(\varrho t)}{D(\varrho t)} v_m(x+kt).$$

(12) is formally true also for  $s > m$  if we put  $w_{ps} \equiv 0$ . It follows directly from the definition of  $q_{ps}$  that for all  $1 \leq p \leq n$ ,  $s \geq 0$ ,  $i \geq 0$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$(13) \quad w_{ps}^{(i)}(x) = \varrho_p^i \sum_{q=0}^i \binom{i}{q} w_{p,s+q}(x),$$

and hence

$$(14) \quad w_{ps}^{(n)}(x) - \lambda w_{ps}(x) = \lambda \sum_{q=1}^n \binom{n}{q} w_{p,s+q}(x).$$

In the light of (12), our assertion (10) can be written in the form

$$(15) \quad v_{m-j}^{(i)}(x) = \lambda^j \sum_{p=1}^n \varrho_p^i \sum_{s=j}^{j+n+i} C_{jis} w_{ps}(x).$$

First we prove it for  $i=0$ , by induction on  $j$ . For  $j=0$ , (15) follows from (11) with  $C_{000}=1$ . Suppose the formula is true for some  $j \geq 0$ ; then it is true also for  $j+1$ . Indeed, we have by (14) and the inductive hypothesis that

$$\begin{aligned} v_{m-j-1}(x) &= \lambda^j \sum_{p=1}^n \sum_{s=j}^{jn} C_{j0s} [w_{ps}^{(n)}(x) - \lambda w_{ps}(x)] = \lambda^j \sum_{p=1}^n \sum_{s=j}^{jn} C_{j0s} \lambda \sum_{q=1}^n \binom{n}{q} w_{p,s+q}(x) = \\ &= \lambda^{j+1} \sum_{p=1}^n \sum_{r=j+1}^{(j+1)n} \left\{ \sum_{q=\max(1, r-jn)}^{\min(n, r-j)} \binom{n}{q} C_{j0, r-q} \right\} w_{pr}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (15) is true for all  $j \geq 0$ ,  $i=0$ . Hence the general case follows by (13):

$$\begin{aligned} v_{m-j}^{(i)}(x) &= \lambda^j \sum_{p=1}^n \sum_{s=j}^{jn} C_{j0s} w_{ps}^{(i)}(x) = \lambda^j \sum_{p=1}^n \sum_{s=j}^{jn} C_{j0s} \varrho_p^i \sum_{q=0}^i \binom{i}{q} w_{p,s+q}(x) = \\ &= \lambda^j \sum_{p=1}^n \varrho_p^i \sum_{r=j}^{j+n+i} \left\{ \sum_{q=\max(0, r-jn)}^{\min(i, r-j)} \binom{i}{q} C_{j0, r-q} \right\} w_{pr}(x). \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved.

Now we introduce some special eigenfunctions of the operator  $L_0$  which will also be used in Section 2. Define the functions  $K_m: \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  in the following way:

$$K_m(\varrho, x) \equiv 0 \quad \text{if } m < 0;$$

$$K_0(\varrho, x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{p=1}^n \frac{\varrho_p}{n\lambda} e^{e_p x} & \text{if } \varrho \neq 0, \\ \frac{x^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} & \text{if } \varrho = 0; \end{cases} \quad (\lambda \equiv \varrho^n)$$

$$K_m(\varrho, x) \equiv \int_0^x K_0(\varrho, x-t) K_{m-1}(\varrho, t) dt \quad \text{if } m > 0.$$

Lemma 3. For any pair of integers  $m$  and  $0 \leq i < n$ ,

$$(16) \quad D_2^{n+i} K_m(\varrho, x) \equiv \lambda D_2^i K_m(\varrho, x) + D_2^i K_{m-1}(\varrho, x),$$

$$(17) \quad D_2^i K_m(\varrho, 0) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = 0 \text{ and } i = n-1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, there exist entire functions  $h_m^i$  such that  $h_m^i(0) = 1$  and

$$(18) \quad D_2^i K_m(\varrho, 2) \equiv \frac{x^{nm+n-1-i}}{(nm+n-1-i)!} h_m^i(\lambda x^n).$$

Consequently, for any  $m \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq i < n$  and  $\varrho \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $D_2^i K_m(\varrho, \cdot)$  is an eigenfunction of order  $m$  of the operator  $L_0$  with the eigenvalue  $\lambda = \varrho^n$ .

Proof. For  $m = 0$ , (16)–(18) can be shown by easy computation, using the identity

$$\sum_{p=1}^n e_p^i = \begin{cases} n\lambda & \text{if } i = n, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq i < n; \end{cases}$$

for  $m < 0$  they are obvious. Suppose they are true for some  $m \geq 0$ , and we shall conclude from this their validity also for  $m+1$ . It suffices to show (16) and (18) for  $i=0$ . In fact, the cases  $i > 0$  of (16) and (18) hence follow by repeated derivation and (17) is a consequence of (18). Using the definition of  $K_{m+1}$  and the inductive hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} D_2^n K_{m+1}(\varrho, x) &= \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \int_0^x K_0(\varrho, x-t) K_m(\varrho, t) dt = \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} D_2^j K_0(\varrho, 0) D_2^{n-1-j} K_m(\varrho, x) + \int_0^x D_2^n K_0(\varrho, x-t) K_m(\varrho, t) dt = \\ &= K_m(\varrho, x) + \int_0^x \lambda K_0(\varrho, x-t) K_m(\varrho, t) dt = \lambda K_{m+1}(\varrho, x) + K_m(\varrho, x), \end{aligned}$$

and (16) is proved. To show (18), we use the explicit forms

$$(19) \quad h_j^0(z) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k^j z^k, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[k]{|a_k^j|} = 0, \quad a_0^j = 1, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, m.$$

We can write

$$(20) \quad K_{m+1}(\varrho, x) = \int_0^x \frac{(x-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k^0 (\varrho(x-t))^{nk} \frac{t^{nm+n-1}}{(nm+n-1)!} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r^m (\varrho t)^{nr} dt =$$

$$= x^{n(m+1)+n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (\varrho x)^{(k+r)n} a_k^0 a_r^m \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\xi)^{n-1+kn} \xi^{nm+n-1+rn}}{(n-1)! (nm+n-1)!} d\xi =$$

$$= \frac{x^{n(m+1)+n-1}}{(n(m+1)+n-1)!} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} a_s^{m+1} (\varrho x)^{ns},$$

where

$$(21) \quad a_s^{m+1} = (n(m+1)+n-1)! \sum_{k=0}^s a_k^0 a_{s-k}^m \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\xi)^{n-1+nk} \xi^{nm+n-1+(s-k)n}}{(n-1)! (nm+n-1)!} d\xi;$$

hence, in view of (19), we easily obtain

$$(22) \quad a_0^{m+1} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[k]{|a_k^{m+1}|} = 0$$

(to deduce the first equality, we integrate by parts  $n-1$  times). (22) shows the legality of the demonstration of (20). Finally, (20) and (22) yield (18).

**Lemma 4.** *Given any eigenfunction  $v_m$  of order  $\leq m$  with some eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , there exists a sequence  $v_{k,m}$  such that  $v_{k,m}$  is an eigenfunction of order  $\leq m$  with the eigenvalue  $\lambda_k \neq \lambda$ ,  $\lambda_k \rightarrow \lambda$ , and for all  $0 \leq j \leq m$ ,  $0 \leq i < n$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $v_{k,m-j}^{(i)}(x) \rightarrow v_{m-j}^{(i)}(x)$  ( $k \rightarrow \infty$ ).*

**Proof.** For  $\lambda \neq 0$  this is a direct consequence of Lemma 1. For  $\lambda = 0$ , it follows from Lemmas 1 and 3 (see (18)).

Now we prove Theorem 1 for  $L = L_0$ . All the following formulas will be taken for all  $j \leq 0$ ,  $0 \leq i < n$  and  $x, t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Introducing the entire functions  $d_{jik}$  by the formulas

$$(23) \quad d_{jik}(\varrho t) \equiv \sum_{s=j}^{j+n+i} C_{jis} \sum_{p=1}^n (\varrho_p t)^{jn+i} D_{kps}(\delta t), \quad k = 1, \dots, N$$

(see (10)), we have the identities

$$(24) \quad D(\varrho t) t^{jn+i} v_{m-j}^{(i)}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^N d_{jik}(\varrho t) v_m(x + kt)$$

whenever  $D(\varrho t) \neq 0$ . Let  $\mu$  denote the smallest multiplicity of the root 0 in the functions  $d_{jik}$ . We claim that  $\mu$  is greater than or equal to the multiplicity of the

root 0 in  $D$ . Indeed, in the opposite case, dividing both sides of (24) by  $(\varrho t)^\mu$  and putting  $\varrho \rightarrow 0, x=0, t=1$ , we would obtain from Lemma 4 for some  $j, i$  that the identity

$$\sum_{k=0}^N d_{jik}^* v_m(k) = 0$$

holds for all eigenfunctions of order  $\leq m$  with the eigenvalue 0, i.e., for all polynomials of degree  $< N$  with some coefficients  $d_{jik}^*$ , at least one of which differs from zero. But this is impossible because putting  $v_m(x) \equiv x^r, r=0, 1, \dots, N-1$ , the resulting system of linear equations has the only solution  $d_{ji1}^* = d_{ji2}^* = \dots = d_{jiN}^* = 0$ .

Assume  $D(\varrho t) \neq 0$ . Then taking into account also (9), we can divide (24) by

$$C(\varrho t)^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}} \left[ \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} (\varrho_p t - \varrho_q t) \right]^{(m+1)^2},$$

and we obtain the identities

$$(25) \quad f^*(\varrho t) t^{jn+i} v_{m-j}^{(i)}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^N f_{jik}^*(\varrho t) v_m(x+kt)$$

where  $f^*, f_{jik}^*$  are suitable entire functions with the properties

$$(26) \quad f^*(0) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f^*(z) \neq 0 \quad \text{if} \quad |z| < \pi.$$

It follows from the construction of  $f^*$  and  $f_{jik}^*$  that

$$f^*(\varrho t e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}) \equiv f^*(\varrho t) \quad \text{and} \quad f_{jik}^*(\varrho t e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}) \equiv f_{jik}^*(\varrho t);$$

therefore there exist entire functions such that

$$(27) \quad f^*(\varrho t) \equiv f(\lambda t^n) \quad \text{and} \quad f_{jik}^*(\varrho t) \equiv f_{jik}(\lambda t^n).$$

From (25)–(27) the formulas (3) of Theorem 1 follow whenever  $D(\varrho t) \neq 0$ . However, this last condition can be eliminated with the aid of Lemma 4. The first part of Theorem 1 is proved. To prove the second part of the theorem, it suffices to show that

$$d_{00k}(\varrho t) \equiv C_{000} \sum_{p=1}^n D_{kp0}(\varrho t), \quad k = 1, \dots, N$$

is a multiple of

$$(\varrho t)^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}} \left[ \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} (e e_q t - e e_p t) \right]^{(m+1)^2};$$

this can be shown similarly to (9). Theorem 1 for  $L_0$  is proved.

**2. Proof of Theorem 1.** Using the notations of Theorem 1, introduce the functions

$$(28) \quad M(u_{j-r}, t) \equiv (Lu_{j-r})(t) - u_j^{(n)}(t) = \sum_{s=1}^n p_s(t) u_{j-r}^{(n-s)}(t),$$

$$(29) \quad v_j(x) \equiv u_j(x) + \sum_{r=0}^j \int_a^x K_r(\varrho, x-t) M(u_{j-r}, t) dt,$$

for  $0 \leq r, j \leq m$ ,  $t, x \in G$  where  $a$  is an arbitrarily fixed point of  $G$ . First we show that

$$(30) \quad v_j^{(i)}(x) = u_j^{(i)}(x) + \sum_{r=0}^j \int_a^x D_2^i K_r(\varrho, x-t) M(u_{j-r}, t) dt \quad (j \leq m, 0 \leq i < n),$$

$$(31) \quad v_j = v_{j-1}^{(n)} - \lambda v_{j+1} \quad (j < m), \quad v_{-1} \equiv 0.$$

Indeed, using (29) and (17), we get that for any  $j \leq m$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} v_j^{(i)}(x) &= u_j^{(i)}(x) + \sum_{r=0}^j \frac{d^i}{dx^i} \int_a^x K_r(\varrho, x-t) M(u_{j-r}, t) dt = \\ &= u_j^{(i)}(x) + D_2^{i-1} K_0(\varrho, 0) M(u_j, x) + \sum_{r=0}^j \int_a^x D_2^i K_r(\varrho, x-t) M(u_{j-r}, t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

For  $0 \leq i < n$  this implies (30) in view of (17). Now let  $i = n$ . Using also (29), (2), (28) and (16), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} v_j^{(n)}(x) - \lambda v_j(x) &= \\ &= u_j^{(n)}(x) - \lambda u_j(x) + M(u_j, x) + \sum_{r=0}^j \int_a^x [D_2^n K_r(\varrho, x-t) - \lambda K_r(\varrho, x-t)] M(u_{j-r}, t) dt = \\ &= u_{j-1}(x) + \sum_{r=0}^{j-1} \int_a^x K_r(\varrho, x-t) M(u_{j-1-r}, t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

whence the first part of (31) follows.  $v_{-1} \equiv 0$  is obvious by (29).  $v_m$  being the restriction of an eigenfunction of order  $\leq m$  of the operator  $L_0$  with the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  (by (31)); we can apply Theorem 1 for  $v_m$ . Using also (30), we obtain the identities

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda t^n) t^{jn+i} \left( u_{m-j}^{(i)}(x) + \sum_{r=j}^m \int_a^x D_2^i K_{r-j}(\varrho, x-\tau) M(u_{m-r}, \tau) d\tau \right) = \\ = \sum_{k=1}^N f_{j;k}(\lambda t^n) \left( u_m(x+kt) + \sum_{r=0}^m \int_a^{x+kt} K_r(\varrho, x+kt-\tau) M(u_{m-r}, \tau) d\tau \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $j \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq i < n$ ,  $x \in G$  and  $x + Nt \in G$ . By (18) and (28) this identity would coincide with (3) if we could replace the lower bound  $a$  in all the integrals by  $x$ .



But this is allowed by the following remark:  $K_r(\varrho, \cdot)$  is an eigenfunction of order  $r$  of  $L_0$  with the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  (Lemma 3), and therefore we have

$$f(\lambda t^n) t^{jn+i} D_2^i K_{r-j}(\varrho, x-\tau) = \sum_{k=1}^N f_{jik}(\lambda t^n) K_r(\varrho, x-\tau+kt)$$

for any  $j \geq 0, 0 \leq i < n$  and  $x, t, \tau \in \mathbf{R}$ . Furthermore,  $D_2^i K_{r-j} \equiv 0$  for any  $j > r, 0 \leq i < n$ . Thus Theorem 1 is proved.

**3. Proof of Theorem 2.** Using the notations of Theorem 1, let us fix a constant  $C$  such that for all  $0 \leq j \leq m, 0 \leq i < n$  and  $1 \leq k \leq N$ ,

$$(32) \quad |f_{jik}(z)| \leq C|f(z)| \quad \text{if } |z| \leq 1$$

and

$$(33) \quad |h_j(z)| \leq C \quad \text{if } |z| \leq N^N.$$

Assume  $p_2, \dots, p_n \in L^p(G)$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ), and define the numbers  $\varepsilon, R, M_q$  (where  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ ) as follows:

$$(34) \quad \varepsilon \equiv (4N)^{-1}(b-a)^{-1/q} \quad (G \equiv (a, b)),$$

$$(35) \quad R \equiv \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|\sqrt{\lambda}|}, \frac{b-a}{2N}, \min \left\{ \sqrt[s-1]{\frac{\varepsilon}{C^2 N^{N+1} \|p_s\|_p}} : 2 \leq s \leq n \right\} \right\},$$

$$(36) \quad M_q \equiv \max \{R^{jn+i} \|u_{m-j}^{(i)}\|_q : 0 \leq j \leq m, 0 \leq i < n\}.$$

Using (3), (32), (33), (35), and (36), for any  $0 \leq j \leq m, 0 \leq i < n, a \leq x \leq \frac{a+b}{2}$  and  $0 \leq t \leq R$  we can write

$$\begin{aligned} t^{jn+i} |u_{m-j}^{(i)}(x)| &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^N |u_m(x+kt)| + NC^2 \sum_{r=0}^m \sum_{s=2}^n (NR)^{n(r+1)-1} \|p_s\|_p \|u_{m-r}^{(n-s)}\|_q = \\ &= C \sum_{k=1}^N |u_m(x+kt)| + N^N C^2 \sum_{r=0}^m \sum_{s=2}^n (R^{s-1} \|p_s\|_p) (R^{rn+n-s} \|u_{m-r}^{(n-s)}\|_q) \leq \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^N |u_m(x+kt)| + \varepsilon M_q, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$(37) \quad t^{jn+i} |u_{m-j}^{(i)}(x)| \leq C \sum_{k=1}^N |u_m(x+kt)| + \varepsilon M_q.$$

First we prove (5) ( $q \equiv \infty$ ). Applying the operation

$$NR^{-1} \int_0^R \cdot dt$$

to both sides, we obtain

$$R^{jn+i}|u_{m-j}^{(i)}(x)| \leq NCR^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^R |u_m(x+kt)| dt + N\epsilon M_\infty.$$

Using the Hölder inequality, one can easily see that

$$R^{-1} \int_0^R |u_m(x+kt)| dt \leq R^{-1/r} \|u_m\|_r,$$

and therefore

$$R^{jn+i}|u_{m-j}^{(i)}(x)| \leq N^2CR^{-1/r} \|u_m\|_r + N\epsilon M_\infty.$$

This is true for all  $a \leq x \leq \frac{a+b}{2}$ , but one can quite similarly prove it for all  $\frac{a+b}{2} \leq x \leq b$ , too. Hence

$$R^{jn+i} \|u_{m-j}^{(i)}\|_\infty \leq N^2CR^{-1/r} \|u_m\|_r + N\epsilon M_\infty,$$

and in view of (34) and (36),

$$M_\infty \leq N^2CR^{-1/r} \|u_m\|_r + \frac{1}{2} M_\infty,$$

$$M_\infty \leq 2N^2CR^{-1/r} \|u_m\|_r.$$

Hence (5) follows by (34), (35) and (36).

To prove (6), put  $t=R$  in (37) and take the  $L^q\left(a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right)$  norm of both sides:

$$R^{jn+i} \|u_{m-j}^{(i)}\|_{L^q\left(a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right)} \leq NC \|u_m\|_q + (b-a)^{1/q} \epsilon M_q.$$

A similar estimate can be obtained for  $\|u_{m-j}^{(j)}\|_{L^q\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, b\right)}$ , too. Therefore, in view of (36) and (34),

$$M_q \leq 2NC \|u_m\|_q + \frac{1}{2} M_q,$$

$$M_q \leq 4NC \|u_m\|_q.$$

Hence (6) follows by (34), (35) and (36).

Remark. For  $n=2$  the functions  $f_{jlk}, h_j$  in Theorem 1 have some special properties. Using these properties, one can show with the method of the paper [7] the following stronger form of (5):

$$\|u_{m-j}^{(i)}\|_\infty \leq \mathcal{K}_{2,m}(1+|\sqrt{\lambda}|)^{j+i}(1+|\operatorname{Re} \sqrt{\lambda}|)^{j+1/r} \|u_m\|_r.$$

Just this result was proved in [7].

## References

- [1] M. A. NEUMARK, *Lineare Differentialoperatoren*, Akademie-Verlag (Berlin, 1967).
- [2] E. C. TITCHMARSH, *Eigenfunction expansions associated with second-order differential equations*, Clarendon Press (Oxford, 1946).
- [3] В. А. Ильин, И. Йо, Равномерная оценка собственных функций о оценка сверху числа собственных значений оператора Штурма—Лиувилля с потенциалом из класса  $L^p$ , *Дифференциальные уравнения*, **15** (1979), 1164—1174.
- [4] В. А. Ильин, Необходимые и достаточные условия базисности и равносходимости с тригонометрическим рядом спектральных разложений. 1, *Дифференциальные уравнения*, **16**, (1980), 771—794.
- [5] В. А. Ильин, Необходимые и достаточные условия базисности и равносходимости с тригонометрическим рядом спектральных разложений. 2, *Дифференциальные уравнения*, **16** (1980), 980—1009.
- [6] Е. И. Моисеев, Асимптотическая формула среднего значения для регулярного решения дифференциального уравнения, *Дифференциальные уравнения*, **16** (1980), 827—844.
- [7] I. Joó, Upper estimates for the eigenfunctions of the Schrödinger operator, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **44** (1982), 87—93.
- [8] V. KOMORNIK, Lower estimates for the eigenfunctions of the Schrödinger operator, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **44** (1982), 95—98.
- [9] V. KOMORNIK, The asymptotic behavior of the eigenfunctions of higher order of the Schrödinger operator, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, **40** (1982), 287—293.
- [10] V. KOMORNIK, The asymptotic behavior of the eigenfunctions of higher order of a linear differential operator, *Studia Sci. Math.*, to appear.
- [11] V. KOMORNIK, Upper estimates for the eigenfunctions of a linear differential operator, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math.*, to appear.

DEPARTMENT OF MATH. ANALYSIS, II  
 LORÁND EÖTVÖS UNIVERSITY  
 PF. 323  
 1445 BUDAPEST 8, HUNGARY