

Weighted translation semi-groups with operator weights

MARY EMBRY-WARDROP*

1. Introduction. If φ is a continuous nonzero complex-valued function on \mathcal{R}^+ and $(S_t f)(x) = [\varphi(x)/\varphi(x-t)]f(x-t)$ for $x \geq t$ and 0 otherwise, then S is a semi-group of linear transformations on $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{C})$. S is a strongly continuous semi-group of bounded operators if φ satisfies certain boundedness conditions. These semi-groups, called *weighted translation semi-groups (w.t.s.) with symbol φ* , were introduced in [4] and the subnormal w.t.s. characterized in [5].

In [4] it was shown that S is quasinormal if and only if $\varphi(x) = Ma^x$ for some constants M and a . In this case $S_t = a^t L_t$, where L is the forward translation semi-group on $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{C})$. In [6] we proved that any strongly continuous quasinormal semi-group S on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is unitarily equivalent to the direct sum of a normal semigroup and a pure quasinormal semi-group Q on $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{H})$ for some Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Furthermore, $Q_t = \overline{h(t)}L_t$, where L is the forward translation semi-group on $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{H})$, h is a strongly continuous self-adjoint semi-group on \mathcal{H} , and $(\overline{h(t)}f)(x) = h(t)f(x)$ a.e. for each f in $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{H})$. Thus, the pure quasinormal semi-groups behave like quasinormal w.t.s.

In this paper, we shall introduce w.t.s. on $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{H})$ for which the symbol φ is \mathcal{H} -operator-valued and study a few of their properties.

In Section 2, we specify which operator-valued functions φ will be allowed. This class of semi-groups gives a rich supply of easily constructed examples. In particular, every pure quasinormal semi-group is (unitarily equivalent to) a weighted translation semi-group. Section 3 is devoted to characterizing subnormal w.t.s. on $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{H})$. In Theorem 3 we show that S with symbol φ is subnormal if and only if φ^2 is the compression of a strongly continuous self-adjoint semi-group; equivalently, there exists an operator measure on an interval $[0, a]$ such that $\varphi(x)^2 =$

Received January 8, 1982.

* This research was supported in part by a Research Professorship at Central Michigan University.

$= \int_0^a r^x d\varrho(r)$. This last condition is precisely the characterization of subnormal w.t.s. in [5] in the numerical case $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{C}$.

Throughout the paper, we shall assume all Hilbert spaces to be separable. $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{K})$ is the Hilbert space of (equivalence classes of) square integrable weakly measurable functions from the nonnegative reals \mathcal{R}^+ to the separable Hilbert space \mathcal{K} . $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ or $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{K}))$ stands for the Banach algebra of continuous linear operators on \mathcal{K} or $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{K})$, respectively. A function $S: \mathcal{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ is a *semi-group* if $S_0 = I$, the identity operator, and $S_t S_r = S_{t+r}$ for all r and t in \mathcal{R}^+ . A function $\varphi: \mathcal{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ is *strongly continuous* if $\lim_{t \rightarrow r} \|\varphi(t)f - \varphi(r)f\| = 0$ for each f in \mathcal{K} and r in \mathcal{R}^+ . In this case, we write $s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow r} \varphi(t) = \varphi(r)$. The *forward translation semi-group* $L((L_t f)(x) = f(x-t)$ if $x \geq t$ and 0 otherwise) on $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{K})$ plays a special role in ideas developed in this paper.

A semi-group S of operators is *normal* if $S_t^* S_t = S_t S_t^*$ for all t , *quasinormal* if $S_t(S_t^* S_t) = (S_t^* S_t)S_t$ for all t and *subnormal* if S is the restriction of a normal semi-group to an invariant subspace. An *operator measure* ϱ on $[a, b]$ is a function defined on the Borel sets of $[a, b]$ with values in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ such that $\varrho(\emptyset) = 0$, $\varrho(E)$ is a positive Hermitian operator for each Borel set E , $\varrho(E) \ll \varrho(F)$ whenever $E \subseteq F$ and $\varrho(E) = s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \varrho(E_i)$ whenever E is the union of a collection of pairwise disjoint sets E_i . If the values of ϱ are projections and $\varrho[a, b] = I$, then ϱ is a *spectral measure* on $[a, b]$. Two integral representations which reoccur frequently in this paper are as follows:

1) [8, Theorem 22.3.1, p. 588]. If H is a strongly continuous self-adjoint semi-group of operators, there exists a spectral measure ϱ on an interval $[0, a]$ such that

$$H_t = \int_0^a r^t d\varrho(r),$$

2) [5, Theorem 2.1]. S is a strongly continuous subnormal semi-group if and only if there exists an operator measure ϱ on an interval $[0, a]$ such that $\varrho([0, a]) = I$ and

$$S_t^* S_t = \int_0^a r^t d\varrho(r).$$

We shall also say that a semi-group S on \mathcal{H} is the *compression* of a semi-group T on \mathcal{K} if $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ and $S_t = P T_t P$ for each t where P is the orthogonal projection of \mathcal{K} onto \mathcal{H} .

2. Weighted translation semi-groups. Let $\varphi: \mathcal{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ have properties:

- i) for each x in \mathcal{R}^+ , $\varphi(x)$ is a one-to-one positive Hermitian operator,
- ii) $\{\varphi(x): x \in \mathcal{R}^+\}$ is abelian,

- iii) φ is strongly continuous,
- iv) there exist numbers M and a such that for all x and t in \mathcal{R}^+ ,

$$\varphi(x+t)^2 \ll M^2 a^{2t} \varphi(x)^2.$$

Such a φ will be called a *symbol*. We are requiring $\varphi(x)$ to be positive Hermitian for simplicity. We use the other requirements to prove that the mapping $t \rightarrow \varphi(x-t)^{-1} \varphi(x)(L_t f)(x)$ defines a semi-group which is strongly continuous.

Conditions i) and iv) imply that if $t \leq x$, there exists a unique element C of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ such that $\varphi(x) = \varphi(t)C$. In this case, we write $C = \varphi(t)^{-1} \varphi(x)$. Even if $\varphi(x)$ is not one-to-one, this factorization of $\varphi(x)$ can be obtained [2]; however, $\varphi(x)^{-1} \varphi(x)$ would be the projection onto the closure of the range of $\varphi(x)$ and the semi-group that we are interested in constructing would not have $S_0 = I$.

Lemma 1. *Let φ be a symbol on \mathcal{X} . Then*

- i) $\varphi(x)^{-1} \varphi(x) = I$ for all x ,
- ii) $\varphi(r)$ commutes with $\varphi(t)^{-1} \varphi(x)$ for all r whenever $t \leq x$,
- iii) $\varphi(t)^{-1} \varphi(x)$ commutes with $\varphi(a)^{-1} \varphi(b)$ whenever $t \leq x$ and $a \leq b$,
- iv) $[\varphi(r)^{-1} \varphi(t)][\varphi(t)^{-1} \varphi(s)] = \varphi(r)^{-1} \varphi(s)$ whenever $r \leq t \leq s$,
- v) $\varphi(t)^{-1} \varphi(x)$ is one-to-one and positive Hermitian whenever $t \leq x$ and satisfies $\|\varphi(t)^{-1} \varphi(x)\| \leq M a^{x-t}$,
- vi) $s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi(x)^{-1} \varphi(x+t) = I$,
- vii) $[\varphi(x)^{-1} \varphi(x+t)]^2 = (\varphi(x)^2)^{-1} \varphi(x+t)^2$ for all x and t .

Proof. i) follows immediately from definition of $\varphi(x)^{-1} \varphi(x)$. ii) by definition $\varphi(x) = \varphi(t)[\varphi(t)^{-1} \varphi(x)]$. Since $\{\varphi(s)\}$ is abelian and $\varphi(t)$ is one-to-one, $\varphi(r)$ commutes with $\varphi(t)^{-1} \varphi(x)$. Therefore, $\varphi(x) = \varphi(t)^{1/2} [\varphi(t)^{-1} \varphi(x)] \varphi(t)^{1/2}$ and v) now follows from the fact that $\varphi(x)$ and $\varphi(t)^{1/2}$ are one-to-one positive Hermitian operators. The inequality in v) follows from condition iv) of the definition of φ . iii) follows from ii) and the facts that each $\varphi(x)$ is one-to-one and $\{\varphi(x)\}$ is abelian. iv) $\varphi(r)^{-1} \varphi(s)$ is the unique operator satisfying $\varphi(s) = \varphi(r)[\varphi(r)^{-1} \varphi(s)]$. But $[\varphi(r)^{-1} \varphi(t)][\varphi(t)^{-1} \varphi(s)]$ also satisfies this equation. vi) Note that for each k in \mathcal{X} ,

$$\|[\varphi(x)^{-1} \varphi(x+t) - I] \varphi(x)k\| = \|\varphi(x+t)k - \varphi(x)k\|.$$

Since φ is strongly continuous, then $s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi(x)^{-1} \varphi(x+t) = I$ on the range of $\varphi(x)$ which is dense in \mathcal{X} . Since $\|\varphi(x)^{-1} \varphi(x+t) - I\| \leq M a^t + 1 \leq M_0$ for t in $[0, 1]$, we see that $s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi(x)^{-1} \varphi(x+t) = I$ on all of \mathcal{X} . vii) Since $\varphi(x+t)^2 \ll \ll M^2 a^{2t} \varphi(x)^2$ and $\{\varphi(x)\}$ is abelian, then $\varphi(x+t)^4 \ll M^4 a^{4t} \varphi(x)^4$ and $(\varphi(x)^2)^{-1} \varphi(x+t)^2$ can be defined in a fashion similar to $\varphi(x)^{-1} \varphi(x+t)$: that is, $(\varphi(x)^2)^{-1} \varphi(x+t)^2$ is the unique operator C satisfying $\varphi(x+t)^2 = \varphi(x)^2 C$. Since $[\varphi(x)^{-1} \varphi(x+t)]^2$ also satisfies this equation (using the definition of $\varphi(x)^{-1} \varphi(x+t)$ and the fact that it commutes with $\varphi(x+t)$), the proof of vii) is complete.

Now let φ be a symbol on \mathcal{K} . For each t in \mathcal{R}^+ define the operator S_t on $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{K})$ by

$$(1) \quad (S_t f)(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi(x-t)^{-1} \varphi(x) f(x-t) & \text{if } x \geq t \\ 0 & \text{if } x < t. \end{cases}$$

An argument directly paralleling one in [7, p. 211] can be given to show that

$$(2) \quad \|S_t\| = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathcal{R}^+} \|\varphi(x)^{-1} \varphi(x+t)\|.$$

Theorem 2. *If φ is a symbol on \mathcal{K} , then S is a strongly continuous semi-group of operators on $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{K})$.*

Proof. Note that $(S_0 f)(x) = \varphi(x)^{-1} \varphi(x) f(x) = f(x)$ by Lemma 1 i) so that $S_0 = I$ on $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{K})$. A straightforward computation, making use of Lemma 1 iii) and iv), shows that $S_{t+r} = S_t S_r$ for all $t, r \geq 0$. It remains to be shown that S is strongly continuous. By equation (2) and Lemma 1 v) we have

$$(3) \quad \|S_t\| \leq Ma^t.$$

We argue as in [4, p. 211]. Assume first that $a=1$. Let f be a continuous function of compact support in $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{K})$. Then

$$\|S_t f - f\|^2 = \int \|\varphi(x)^{-1} \varphi(x+t) f(x) - f(x+t)\|^2 dx.$$

Let $b = \operatorname{ess\,sup} |f|$, $\operatorname{supp} f \subseteq [0, k]$ and $g(x) = b$ if $x \in [0, k+1]$ and $g(x) = 0$ otherwise. Then $g \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{K})$ and for $t \leq 1$,

$$\|\varphi(x)^{-1} \varphi(x+t) f(x) - f(x+t)\| \leq (M+1)g(x).$$

By Lemma 1 vi) and the continuity of f ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|\varphi(x)^{-1} \varphi(x+t) f(x) - f(x+t)\| = 0.$$

Thus, by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|S_t f - f\|^2 = 0$. S is strongly continuous on a dense subset of $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{K})$ and consequently on all of $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{K})$ since S is uniformly bounded by M .

Now assume that a is arbitrary in (3) and let $T_t = a^{-t} S_t$ and $\varrho(t) = a^{-t} \varphi(t)$. Then ϱ is a symbol on \mathcal{K} and defines T by (1). Hence, the preceding result implies, that T is strongly continuous on $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{K})$; the same must be true for S .

Hereafter, if φ is a symbol on \mathcal{K} and S is the semi-group defined by (1) we shall say that (S, φ) is a *weighted translation semi-group (w.t.s.)* on $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{K})$. Note that $(S_t^* f)(x) = \varphi(x)^{-1} \varphi(x+t) f(x+t)$ and, consequently, by Lemma 1 for f in $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{K})$,

$$(4) \quad (S_t^* S_t f)(x) = \varphi(x)^{-2} \varphi(x+t)^2 f(x) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Thus, if P_t is the positive square root of $S_t^*S_t$, then $(P_t f)(x) = \varphi(x)^{-1} \varphi(x+t) f(x)$ by Lemma 1 vii) and v). A straightforward argument shows that $S_t = L_t P_t$ and $\ker L_t = \ker S_t$ where L is the forward translation semi-group on $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{K})$. That is, P_t is the positive factor and L_t the isometric factor in the polar decomposition of S_t .

The following examples give two ways in which to construct symbols and the associated w.t.s.

Example 1. Let $\varphi: \mathcal{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ and assume that φ is one-to-one positive Hermitian-valued, nonincreasing and strongly continuous. If $\{\varphi(x)\}$ is abelian, then it follows that φ satisfies the properties of a symbol. Consequently, (S, φ) is a strongly continuous semi-group.

Example 2. Let φ be a strongly continuous self-adjoint semi-group of operators on \mathcal{K} . It follows easily that φ satisfies properties i)–iii) of a symbol. Moreover, there exists a spectral measure ϱ such that $\varphi(x) = \int_0^a r^x d\varrho(r)$ [8, p. 588]. The inequality $\varphi(x+t)^2 \ll a^{2t} \varphi(x)^2$ readily follows. In this case, (S, φ) has a simpler form than the general w.t.s.:

$$(S_t f)(x) = \varphi(x-t)^{-1} \varphi(x) f(x-t) = \varphi(t) f(x-t) \quad \text{if } x \geq t.$$

We shall see in the following section that these are the only quasinormal w.t.s. Indeed, every pure quasinormal semi-group is unitarily equivalent to (S, φ) where φ is a strongly continuous self-adjoint semi-group (Corollary 6).

In the next section, it will be convenient to consider symbols φ for which $\varphi(0) = I$. There is no loss of generality in making this assumption for if φ is a symbol, define $\varphi_1(x) = \varphi(0)^{-1} \varphi(x)$. Then $\varphi_1(0) = I$ by Lemma 5. Furthermore, by Lemma 5 $\varphi_1(x)$ is a one-to-one positive Hermitian operator, $\{\varphi_1(x)\}$ is abelian and φ_1 is strongly continuous. To see that $\varphi_1(x+t)^2 \ll M^2 a^{2t} \varphi_1(x)^2$ we argue as follows. By definition of φ , $\|\varphi(x+t)k\| \leq M a^t \|\varphi(x)k\|$ for all k in \mathcal{K} . Therefore, $\|[\varphi(0)^{-1} \varphi(x+t)] \varphi(0)k\| \leq M a^t \|[\varphi(0)^{-1} \varphi(x)] \varphi(0)k\|$. Consequently, $\|\varphi_1(x)k\| \leq M a^t \|\varphi_1(x)k\|$ for all k in the range of $\varphi(0)$, a dense subset of \mathcal{K} . Thus, the inequality holds for all k so that φ_1 satisfies condition iv) of the definition of a symbol.

3. Subnormal weighted translation semi-groups. Throughout this section, we assume $\varphi(0) = I$ when φ is a symbol.

Example 3. Let ϱ be an abelian operator measure on $[0, a]$ with $\varrho[0, a] = I$. Define $\varphi(x)^2 = \int_0^a r^x d\varrho(r)$ where $\varphi(x) \gg 0$ for each x . It will follow from Lemma 4

that φ is a symbol. Indeed, we see in the following theorem that these are exactly the symbols which define the subnormal w.t.s.

Theorem 3. *Let (S, φ) be a w.s.t. on $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{H})$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- i) (S, φ) is subnormal,
- ii) φ^2 is the compression of a strongly continuous self-adjoint semi-group,
- iii) there exists an operator measure ϱ on $[0, a]$ with $\varrho[0, a]=I$ such that

$$\varphi(x)^2 = \int_0^a r^x d\varrho(r).$$

Before proving Theorem 3, we shall prove a lemma which includes the equivalence of ii) and iii).

Lemma 4. *Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and $h: \mathcal{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. The following are equivalent:*

- 1. h is a compression of a strongly continuous self-adjoint semi-group,
- 2. there exists an operator measure on a finite interval $[0, a]$ such that $\varrho[0, a]=I$ and

$$h(x) = \int_0^a r^x d\varrho(r),$$

- 3. h satisfies the following four conditions:

- i) $h(0)=I$,
- ii) h is strongly continuous,
- iii) there exists a number a such that $h(x+t) \ll a^t h(x)$ for all x and t in \mathcal{R}^+ ,
- iv) $\sum_{i,j=0}^n \langle h(x_i+x_j)k_i, k_j \rangle \geq 0$ for all finite collections $\{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ in \mathcal{R}^+ and $\{k_0, \dots, k_n\}$ in \mathcal{H} .

Proof. We shall show that $1 \Rightarrow 2 \Rightarrow 3 \Rightarrow 1$.

$1 \Rightarrow 2$. Assume that $h(t) = PH(t)P$ where P is the projection of a larger Hilbert space onto \mathcal{H} and H is a strongly continuous self-adjoint semi-group on the larger space. There exists a spectral measure [8, p. 588] on an interval $[0, a]$ such that $H(t) = \int_0^a r^t d\mu(r)$. Consequently, $h(t) = \int_0^a r^t dP\mu(r)P$ and $P\mu P$ is an operator measure on \mathcal{H} with $(P\mu P)[0, a]=I$ on \mathcal{H} .

$2 \Rightarrow 3$. Assume 2 holds. 3 i) and iii) are immediate. 3 ii) follows from an application of the monotone convergence theorem. To see that 3 iv) holds, observe that if E is any measurable subset of $[0, a]$, then

$$\sum_{i,j=0}^n r^{x_i+x_j} \langle \varrho(E)k_i, k_j \rangle = \left\langle \varrho(E) \sum_{i=0}^n r^{x_i} k_i, \sum_{j=0}^n r^{x_j} k_j \right\rangle \geq 0$$

and consequently,

$$\sum_{i,j=0}^n \int_0^a r^{x_i+x_j} \langle d\varrho(r)k_i, k_j \rangle \cong 0.$$

3⇒1. The techniques used in this part of the proof are standard and will only be outlined. They are patterned after proofs in [1] and [3]. Assume that h satisfies the properties given in 3. Let M be the set of all functions $f: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ such that $f(x)=0$ except possibly for a finite number of real x . If f and g are in M , define

$$(f, g) = \sum_{a,b} \langle h(a+b)f(a), g(b) \rangle.$$

(See [3, p. 1254] for details.) Since $(f, f) \cong 0$ by hypothesis, it is easily checked that $(,)$ is a semi-inner product on M . Let $M_0 = \{f: (f, f) = 0\}$ and $H_0 = M/M_0$. Let $(,)$ also be the inner product on H_0 induced by $(,)$ on M and let \mathcal{H} be the completion of H_0 .

For each t in \mathcal{R}^+ define $H(t): M \rightarrow M$ by $(H(t)f)(x) = f(x-t)$. Then H is a semi-group and for f and g in M

$$\begin{aligned} (H(t)f, g) &= \sum_{a,b} \langle h(a+b)f(a-t), g(b) \rangle = \\ &= \sum_{a,b} \langle h(a+b+t)f(a), g(b) \rangle = (f, H(t)g). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the Cauchy—Schwarz inequality that M_0 is invariant under $H(t)$; consequently $H(t)$ induces a self-adjoint semi-group of linear transformations on H_0 . If we can show that $H(t)$ is a bounded transformation, then $H(t)$ can be extended continuously to \mathcal{H} .

To prove that $H(t)$ is bounded, we need to show that there exists K such that $(H(t)f, H(t)f) \leq K(f, f)$ for all f in M . Equivalently,

$$\sum_{a,b} \langle h(a+b+2t)f(a), f(b) \rangle \leq K \sum_{a,b} \langle h(a+b)f(a), f(b) \rangle.$$

The argument given by BRAM [1, p. 76] can be duplicated in this situation to show that this inequality holds with $K = a^{2t}$ (we use condition iii) here).

Thus, H is a semi-group of self-adjoint operators on \mathcal{H} . We next show that H is strongly continuous. Let $f \in M$ and compute

$$(H(t)f - f, H(t)f - f) = \text{Re} \sum_{a,b} \langle [h(a+b+2t) - 2h(a+b+t) + h(a+b)]f(a), f(b) \rangle.$$

Since h is strongly continuous on \mathcal{K} , the right-hand side converges to 0 as $t \rightarrow 0$. We conclude that H is strongly continuous on \mathcal{H} .

We complete the proof by identifying \mathcal{K} with a subspace of \mathcal{H} and h with the compression of H to that subspace. For each k in \mathcal{K} define $(Uk)(x) = k$ if $x=0$ and $(Uk)(x) = 0$ otherwise. Then $Uk \in M$, U is linear, and $(Uk, Uk) =$

$=\langle h(0)k, k \rangle = \|k\|^2$ by condition i). Therefore, we may consider Uk to be an element of M/M_0 and consequently of \mathcal{H} . $\|Uk\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|k\|_{\mathcal{X}}$ so that U is an isometry from \mathcal{X} onto a subspace of \mathcal{H} . UU^* is the projection P of \mathcal{H} onto that subspace. We complete the proof by showing that $U^*PH(t)PU = h(t)$, so that h is unitarily equivalent to this compression of the strongly continuous selfadjoint semi-group H . For k and j in \mathcal{X} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U^*PH(t)PUk, j \rangle &= \langle U^*H(t)Uk, j \rangle = \langle H(t)Uk, Uj \rangle = \\ &= \sum_{a,b} \langle h(a+b)(Uk)(a-t), (Uj)(b) \rangle = \langle h(t)k, j \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and $h(t) = U^*PH(t)PU$, as desired.

Remark. If h satisfies Lemma 4.2, then $h(x) = PH(x)P$ where P is a projection and H a self-adjoint semi-group. Therefore, if $h(x)k = 0$, then $H(x/2)Pk = 0$ and $h(x/2)k = 0$. Consequently, we can construct a sequence $x_n \rightarrow 0$ for which $h(x_n)k = 0$. Since h is strongly continuous and $h(0) = I$, then $k = 0$ and we see that $h(x)$ is one-to-one. Indeed, we see that h satisfies all of the properties of a symbol except possibly $\{h(x)\}$ being abelian.

Proof of Theorem 3. ii) \Leftrightarrow iii) by Lemma 4.

Assume that i) holds and (S, φ) is subnormal. By [5, Theorem 2.1] there exists an operator measure ϱ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{X}))$ such that $\varrho[0, a] = I$ and

$$S_t^* S_t = \int_0^a r^t d\varrho(r).$$

By equation (4) then for each f in $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{X})$,

$$\varphi(x)^{-2} \varphi(x+t)^2 f(x) = \int_0^a r^t (d\varrho(r)f)(x)$$

except on a set of measure zero. We conclude then that for a given finite collection f_0, \dots, f_n of elements of $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{R}^+, \mathcal{X})$ and all positive rational numbers t this equation holds except on a set E of measure zero. In particular, if k_0, \dots, k_n are elements of \mathcal{X} and for $i = 0, \dots, n, f_i(x) = k_i$ for x in $[0, 1]$ and zero otherwise, then

$$\varphi(x)^{-2} \varphi(x+t)^2 k_i = \int_0^a r^t (d\varrho(r)f_i)(x)$$

for t rational and x in $[0, 1] \cap E$. Consequently, if t_0, \dots, t_n are rational and $x \in [0, 1] \cap E$, then

$$\sum_{i,j=0}^n \langle \varphi(x)^{-2} \varphi(x+t_i+t_j)^2 k_i, k_j \rangle = \sum_{i,j=0}^n \int_0^a r^{t_i+t_j} \langle d(\varrho(r)f_i)(x), f_j(x) \rangle.$$

We argue as in the proof of Lemma 4 to see that the right-hand side of the last equation is nonnegative. Therefore,

$$\sum_{i,j=0}^n \langle \varphi(x)^{-2} \varphi(x+t_i+t_j)^2 k_i, k_j \rangle \cong 0$$

for all x in E . Using arguments similar to those in Lemma 1, we can show that $s\text{-}\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \varphi(x)^{-2} \varphi(x+t)^2 = \varphi(t)^2$ for all real t . Consequently,

$$\sum_{i,j=0}^n \langle \varphi(t_i+t_j)^2 k_i, k_j \rangle \cong 0$$

for all finite collections k_0, \dots, k_n in \mathcal{K} and t_0, \dots, t_n in the rationals (and hence, in the reals since φ^2 is strongly continuous). We now apply Lemma 4 to φ^2 and see that iii) holds.

Conversely, assume that iii) holds: ϱ_0 is an operator measure on $[0, a]$ such that $\varrho_0[0, a] = I$ and

$$(5) \quad \varphi^2(x) = \int_0^a r^x d\varrho_0(r).$$

Note that for each Borel set E , $\left(\int_E r^x d\varrho_0(r)\right)^2 \ll \varphi(x)^4$, so by the factorization theorem [2] $\varphi(x)^{-2} \int_E r^x d\varrho_0(r)$ is the unique operator C on \mathcal{K} satisfying $\varphi(x)^2 C = \int_E r^x d\varrho_0(r)$, $\ker C = \ker \int_E r^x d\varrho_0(r)$, $C(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \varphi(x)^2(\mathcal{K})^-$ and $\|C\| \leq 1$. Thus, we can define

$$(6) \quad \varrho(E, x) = \varphi(x)^{-2} \int_E r^x d\varrho_0(r)$$

for all x in \mathcal{R}^+ . Since $\{\varphi(x)\}$ is abelian, so is ϱ_0 and it follows that $\varrho(E, x)$ is positive Hermitian and further, that if $F \subseteq E$,

$$\varphi(x)[\varrho(E, x) - \varrho(F, x)]\varphi(x) = \int_{E-F} r^x d\varrho_0(r).$$

Since $\varphi(x)$ is one-to-one, $\varrho(E, x)$ is a monotone $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ -valued function on the Borel sets of $[0, a]$. Further, if

$$E = \bigcup_n E_n, \quad E_j \cap E_i = \emptyset \quad \text{for } (i \neq j), \text{ then}$$

$$\varphi(x)^2 \varrho(E, x) = \int_E r^x d\varrho_0(r) = s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{E_i} r^x d\varrho_0(r) = s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(x)^2 \varrho(E_i, x).$$

Thus, $\sum_{i=1}^n \varrho(E_i, x)$ converges strongly to $\varrho(E, x)$ on the dense set $\varphi(x)^2$ and consequently, on \mathcal{K} since $\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varrho(E_i, x) \right\| \leq 1$. Finally, observe that $\varrho(\emptyset, x) = 0$ and $\varrho([0, a], x) = I$ for all x .

Define the set function ϱ with values in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{B}^+, \mathcal{H}))$ by $(\varrho(E)f)(x) = \varrho(E, x)f(x)$. From our previous remarks concerning $\varrho(E, x)$, it follows that ϱ is monotone, $\varrho(\emptyset) = 0$ and $\varrho([0, a]) = I$. Using an argument similar to that used in Theorem 2 $\varrho(E) = s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \varrho(E_i)$ when $E = \cup_n E_n, E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$ (for $i \neq j$). Therefore, ϱ defines an operator measure. Finally, from (6) and (5), we have

$$\varphi(x)^2 \int_0^a s^t d\varrho(s, x) = \int_0^a s^{x+t} d\varrho_0(s) = \varphi(x+t)^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\varphi(x)^{-2} \varphi(x+t)^2 = \int_0^a s^t d\varrho(s, x).$$

We combine the last equation with equation (4) to conclude that

$$S_t^* S_t = \int_0^a s^t d\varrho(s).$$

Once again, we invoke [5, Theorem 2.1] and conclude that S is subnormal.

In [8, Theorem 22.3.1], it is shown that if T is a strongly continuous semi-group of self-adjoint operators, then T has a holomorphic extension whose maximal domain of analyticity is either the whole plane or the right half-plane. It follows immediately from Theorem 3 that the symbol φ of a subnormal w.t.s. has a holomorphic extension. Therefore, if two such symbols φ_1 and φ_2 agree on an infinite set with cluster point in their common domain of analyticity, they must agree everywhere.

Prior to characterizing quasinormal w.t.s., we restate a general characterization of quasinormal semi-groups [6, Theorem 6] in the w.t.s. terminology.

Theorem 5. *Let Q be a strongly continuous semi-group on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Q is quasinormal if and only if Q is unitarily equivalent to the direct sum of a strongly continuous normal semi-group N and a w.t.s. (S, φ) where φ is a strongly continuous self-adjoint semi-group.*

A quasinormal semi-group is *pure* if there exists no nontrivial invariant subspace on which it is normal.

Corollary 6. *Every strongly continuous pure quasinormal semi-group is unitarily equivalent to a w.t.s. (S, φ) where φ is a strongly continuous self-adjoint semi-group.*

Corollary 7. *Let (S, φ) be a w.t.s. The following are equivalent:*

- i) (S, φ) is quasinormal,
- ii) φ is a strongly continuous self-adjoint semi-group,

iii) there exists a spectral measure ϱ on $[0, a]$ such that

$$\varphi(x) = \int_0^a r^x d\varrho(r).$$

Proof. Observe first that if (S, φ) is any w.t.s., then (S, φ) has no normal part. If $S_t^* S_t f = S_t S_t^* f$ for all t , then $\varphi(x)^{-2} \varphi(x+t)^2 f(x) = 0$ for $0 \leq x \leq t$ and for all t . But $\varphi(x)^{-2} \varphi(x+t)^2$ is one-to-one so that $f = 0$. The equivalence of i) and ii) now follows immediately from Theorem 5. The equivalence of ii) and iii) can either be derived from Theorem 5 or from [8, p. 588].

Example 4. Let s be a strongly continuous subnormal semi-group on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let $\varphi(x) = (s_x^* s_x)^{1/2}$. By [5, Theorem 2.1], there exists an operator measure ϱ on $[0, a]$ with $\varrho[0, a] = I$ and $\varphi(x)^2 = \int_0^a r^x d\varrho(r)$. We noted in the remark after Lemma 4 that φ satisfies all properties of a symbol except $\{\varphi(x)\}$ being abelian. If we assume $\{\varphi(x)\}$ abelian, then φ is a symbol and it follows from Theorem 3 that (S, φ) is a subnormal w.t.s.

During the development of the material in this paper, several questions arose which remain unanswered.

1. If φ is the symbol of a subnormal w.t.s. (S, φ) , does there exist a strongly continuous semi-group s such that $\varphi(x) = (s_x^* s_x)^{1/2}$? In the last example, we saw that if φ is of this type, it does generate a subnormal w.t.s. However, if we start with a subnormal (S, φ) , then by Theorem 3 $\varphi(x)^2 = \int_0^a r^x d\varrho(r)$. Thus, by [5, Theorem 2.1] φ acts like the positive part of some subnormal semi-group. The trick is to construct a function $u: \mathcal{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that each $u(x)$ is an isometry and $u\varphi$ is a strongly continuous semi-group.

2. More generally, we can ask whether each of the functions $h(x) = \int_0^a r^x d\varrho(r)$ in Lemma 4 is the square of the positive part of some strongly continuous subnormal semi-group. (Here, we do not require $\{h(x)\}$ to be abelian as we do for symbols.)

3. When are two w.t.s. (S, φ) and (T, ψ) unitarily equivalent or similar? In [5] it was shown in the numerical case, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{C}$, that similarity occurs if and only if there exist constants m and M such that $0 < m \leq |\varphi(x)/\psi(x)| \leq M < \infty$ for all x in \mathcal{R}^+ and in [4], it was shown that (T, ψ) is unitarily equivalent to (S, φ) if and only if $|\varphi(x)/\psi(x)|$ is constant on \mathcal{R}^+ . Other questions were answered in [4] and [5] for the numerical case which may have interesting analogues in the operator case.

References

- [1] J. BRAM, Subnormal Operators, *Duke Math. J.*, **22** (1955), 75—94.
- [2] R. G. DOUGLAS, On majorization, factorization, and range inclusion of operators on Hilbert space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **17** (1966), 413—415.
- [3] N. DUNFORD and J. T. SCHWARTZ, *Linear Operators, Part II*, Interscience (New York, N. Y., 1963).
- [4] M. R. EMBRY and A. LAMBERT, Weighted translation semigroups, *Rocky Mountain J. of Math.*, **7** (1977), 333—344.
- [5] M. R. EMBRY and A. LAMBERT, Subnormal weighted translation semi-groups, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **24** (1977), 268—275.
- [6] M. EMBRY-WARDROP, Semi-groups of quasinormal operators, *Pacific J. Math.*, **101** (1982), 103—113.
- [7] P. R. HALMOS, *A Hilbert Space Problem Book*, Van Nostrand (Princeton, N. J., 1967).
- [8] E. HILLE and S. PHILLIPS, *Functional Analysis and Semi-Groups*, Amer. Math. Soc. (Providence, R. I., 1957).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
CENTRAL MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY
MT. PLEASANT, MICHIGAN 48859, U.S.A.