

On the polar decomposition of an operator

TAKAYUKI FURUTA

1. Introduction

An operator means a bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space. An operator T can be decomposed into $T=UP$ where U is a partial isometry and $P=|T|=(T^*T)^{1/2}$ with $N(U)=N(P)$, where $N(X)$ denotes the kernel of an operator X . The kernel condition $N(U)=N(P)$ uniquely determines U and P of this polar decomposition $T=UP$ [2]. In this paper, $T=UP$ denotes the right-handed polar decomposition which satisfies the kernel condition $N(U)=N(P)$. In order to prove our results, this kernel condition $N(U)=N(P)$ is essential. When $T=UP$ where U is partial isometry and $P=|T|$, but the kernel condition $N(U)=N(P)$ is not necessarily satisfied, we say that $T=UP$ is merely "a decomposition" (not the polar decomposition) of T . When T commutes with S and S^* , we say that T doubly commutes with S .

Our two main results are as follows. When $T_1=U_1P_1$ and $T_2=U_2P_2$ are polar decompositions of T_1 and T_2 with $N(U_1)=N(P_1)$ and $N(U_2)=N(P_2)$, respectively, then T_1 doubly commutes with T_2 if and only if U_1^* , U_1 and P_1 commute with U_2^* , U_2 and P_2 . As an application of this result we show that for every normal operator T , there exists a unitary U such that $T=UP=PU$ and U and P commute with V^* , V and $|A|$ of the polar decomposition $A=V|A|$ of any operator A which commutes with T and T^* . This second result yields a familiar and well-known result, see RIESZ and SZ.-NAGY [4].

An operator T is called quasinormal if T commutes with T^*T and hyponormal if $T^*T \geq TT^*$. The inclusion relation of these classes of nonnormal operators is as follows:

$$\text{Normal} \subset \text{Quasinormal} \subset \text{Hyponormal}$$

and the inclusions above are all proper [2].

2. A necessary and sufficient condition for $T_1T_2=T_2T_1$ and $T_1T_2^*=T_2^*T_1$

Theorem 1. *If $T=UP$ is the polar decomposition of an operator T , then U and P commute with A and A^* , where A denotes any operator which commutes with T and T^* .*

Proof. Let A be an operator such that $AT=TA$ and $AT^*=T^*A$. Then $(T^*T)A=A(T^*T)$, that is, $P^2A=AP^2$ where $P=|T|$, whence $PA=AP$, or equivalently $PA^*=A^*P$. The conditions $AT-TA=0$ and $PA=AP$ yield $AUP-UAP=(AU-UA)P=0$, so that $AU-UA$ annihilates $\overline{R(P)}$. If $x \in N(P)=N(U)$, then $Px=0$ and $Ux=0$, so that $P Ax=APx=0$, that is, $Ax \in N(P)=N(U)$, hence $UAx=0$, therefore $AU-UA$ annihilates $N(P)$ too, and it follows that $AU-UA=0$ on $H=\overline{R(P)} \oplus N(P)$. Similarly, the conditions $AT^*-T^*A=0$ and $PA=AP$ imply $APU^*-PU^*A=P(AU^*-U^*A)=0$. By taking adjoint of this equation, $(UA^*-A^*U)P=0$, so that UA^*-A^*U annihilates $\overline{R(P)}$. If $x \in N(P)=N(U)$, then $Px=0$ and $Ux=0$, so that $PA^*x=A^*Px=0$ (since $PA^*=A^*P$ holds), therefore $A^*x \in N(P)=N(U)$, $UA^*x=0$, whence UA^*-A^*U annihilates $N(P)$, too, and it follows that $UA^*-A^*U=0$ and so the proof is complete.

Our main result is the following extension of Theorem 1 which gives a necessary and sufficient condition under which an operator doubly commutes with another.

Theorem 2. *Let $T_1=U_1P_1$ and $T_2=U_2P_2$ be the polar decompositions of T_1 and T_2 , respectively. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (A) T_1 doubly commutes with T_2 .
- (B) U_1^*, U_1 and P_1 commute with U_2^*, U_2 and P_2 .
- (C) *The following five equations are satisfied: (1) $P_1P_2=P_2P_1$, (2) $U_1P_2=P_2U_1$, (3) $P_1U_2=U_2P_1$, (4) $U_1U_2=U_2U_1$ and (5) $U_1^*U_2=U_2^*U_1^*$.*

Proof. (B) \leftrightarrow (C). (B) \rightarrow (C) is trivial and (B) follows from (C) by taking adjoints of (2), (3), (4) and (5).

(A) \rightarrow (C). Assume (A), then by Theorem 1, we have

$$(*) \quad T_1P_2=P_2T_1 \quad \text{and} \quad T_1^*P_2=P_2T_1^*,$$

$$(**) \quad T_1U_2=U_2T_1 \quad \text{and} \quad T_1^*U_2=U_2T_1^*.$$

By (*) and by Theorem 1, we have (1), (2), and also by (**) and by Theorem 1, we have (3), (4), and $U_1U_2^*=U_2^*U_1$, or equivalently (5).

(B) \rightarrow (A). (A) easily follows from (B). Hence the proof is complete.

Theorem 2 yields the following well-known fact. In Theorem 2, $U_1^*U_1$ and $U_1U_1^*$ commute with U_2, P_2 and T_2 , that is, both the initial space and the final

space of U_1 reduce U_2, P_2 and T_2 . Similarly, both the initial space and the final space of U_2 reduce U_1, P_1 and T_1 . In Section 4, Theorem 2 will be extended to Theorem 5 in the intertwining case.

Corollary 1. *Let $T_1 = U_1 P_1$ and $T_2 = U_2 P_2$ be the polar decompositions of T_1 and T_2 , respectively. If T_1 doubly commutes with T_2 , then $T_1 T_2 = (U_1 U_2)(P_1 P_2)$ is the polar decomposition of $T_1 T_2$.*

Proof. By (4) and (5) in (C) of Theorem 2, we have

$$U_1 U_2 (U_1 U_2)^* U_1 U_2 = U_1 U_2 U_2^* U_1^* U_1 U_2 = U_1 U_1^* U_1 U_2 U_2^* U_2 = U_1 U_2$$

since U_1 and U_2 are both partial isometries, whence $U_1 U_2$ is a partial isometry. By (1) in (C) of Theorem 2, we have

$$|T_1 T_2|^2 = (T_1 T_2)^* (T_1 T_2) = (T_1^* T_1)(T_2^* T_2) = P_1^2 P_2^2 = (P_1 P_2)^2.$$

$N(U_2 U_1) = N(U_1 U_2) = N(P_1 P_2)$ is obtained by (2) and (4) in (C) of Theorem 2 as follows: $x \in N(U_2 U_1) \leftrightarrow U_2 U_1 x = 0 \leftrightarrow U_1 x \in N(U_2) = N(P_2) \leftrightarrow P_2 U_1 x = 0 \leftrightarrow U_1 P_2 x = 0 \leftrightarrow P_2 x \in N(U_1) = N(P_1) \leftrightarrow P_1 P_2 x = 0 \leftrightarrow x \in N(P_1 P_2)$, so the proof is complete.

Theorem 2 easily implies the following result which is a more precise statement than Theorem 1 on the polar decomposition.

Corollary 2 (The polar decomposition). *Every operator T can be expressed in the form $U|T|$ where U is a partial isometry with $N(U) = N(|T|)$. This kernel condition uniquely determines U ; U and $|T|$ commute with V^*, V and $|A|$ of the polar decomposition $A = V|A|$ of any operator A commuting with T and T^* .*

Proof. The first half of the result follows by [2] and the second follows by Theorem 2 since we put $T = T_2$ and $A = T_1$ in Theorem 2.

Theorem 2 also yields the following result which is a characterization of normal operators.

Corollary 3. *Let $T = UP$ be the polar decomposition of an operator T . Then T is normal if and only if U commutes with P and U is unitary on $N(T)^\perp$.*

Proof. Put $T = T_1 = T_2$ in Theorem 2, then the condition (A) in Theorem 2 is equivalent to the normality of T and the condition (C) is equivalent to that U commutes with P and $U^*U = UU^*$. So U is unitary on the initial space of U which equals $N(T)^\perp$.

Theorem 3. *Let T be normal. Then there exists a unitary operator U such that $T = UP = PU$ and both U and P commute with V^*, V and $|A|$ of the polar decomposition $A = V|A|$ of any operator commuting with T and T^* .*

Proof. Let $T = U_1 P = P U_1$ be the polar decomposition of a normal operator T and let $A = V|A|$ be the polar decomposition of A . By Corollary 3, $U_1^* U_1 = U_1 U_1^*$, that is, the initial space M of U_1 coincides with the final space N , so that M reduces U_1 ; consequently $U_1 P_M = P_M U_1$ where $P_M = U_1^* U_1$ denotes the projection of H onto M . Put $U = U_1 P_M + 1 - P_M$ by the standard technique [4], then $U_1^* U_1 = U_1 U_1^*$ and $U_1 P_M = P_M U_1$ yield the following:

$$U^* U = (P_M U_1^* + 1 - P_M)(U_1 P_M + 1 - P_M) = 1,$$

$$U U^* = (U_1 P_M + 1 - P_M)(P_M U_1^* + 1 - P_M) = 1.$$

Hence U is unitary and we show that U is the desired unitary as follows. As $P_M P = P$, that is, $PP_M = P$, so we have

$$UP = (U_1 P_M + 1 - P_M)P = U_1 P_M P + P - P_M P = U_1 P = T$$

and similarly we have $T = PU = PU_1$, therefore $T = UP = PU$. By Corollary 2' U_1 and P commute with V^* , V and $|A|$, so $P_M = U_1^* U_1$ commutes with V^* , V and $|A|$, that is, $P_M |A| = |A| P_M$, $P_M V = V P_M$ and $P_M V^* = V^* P_M$. By Corollary 2, P commutes with V^* , V and $|A|$. Hence we have only to show that U commutes with V^* , V and $|A|$.

Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} VU &= V(U_1 P_M + 1 - P_M) = VU_1 P_M + V(1 - P_M) = \\ &= U_1 V P_M + V(1 - P_M) = (U_1 P_M + 1 - P_M)V = UV. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have $V^* U = UV^*$ and $|A|U = U|A|$, so the proof is complete.

We remark that U and P commute with $A = V|A|$ in Theorem 3, so that Theorem 3 yields the following well-known result.

Theorem A. [4] *Every normal operator T can be written in the form UP where P is positive and U may be taken to be unitary and such that U and P commute with each other and with all operators commuting with T and T^* .*

Corollary 4. *Let $T_1 = U_1 P_1$ be the polar decomposition of an operator T_1 , and let $T_2 = U_2 P_2$ be the decomposition described in Theorem 3 of a normal operator T_2 . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (A) T_1 commutes with T_2 .
- (B) U_1^* , U_1 and P_1 commute with U_2^* , U_2 and P_2 .
- (C) U_1 and P_1 commute with U_2 and P_2 .

Proof. As T_2 is normal, (A) implies $T_1 T_2^* = T_2^* T_1$ by the Fuglede—Putnam Theorem [2], so by Theorem 3, U_2 and P_2 commute with U_1^* , U_1 and P_1 , whence (B) is shown. (C) trivially follows from (B) and also (A) easily follows from (C), so the proof is complete.

3. Nonnormal operators

Theorem 4. *Suppose that $N(T) \subset N(T^*)$ and let $T = UP$ be the polar decomposition of T . Then there exists an isometry U_1 such that $T = U_1P$ and both U_1 and P commute with V^* , V and $|A|$ of the polar decomposition $A = V|A|$ of any operator A commuting with T and T^* . In case $N(T) = N(T^*)$, U_1 can be chosen to be unitary.*

Proof. The condition $N(T) \subset N(T^*)$ implies $N(T)^\perp \supset N(T^*)^\perp = \overline{R(T)}$, so that U is a partial isometry from the initial space $M = N(T)^\perp$ into M , whence M reduces U ; consequently $UP_M = P_MU$ where P_M denotes the projection of H onto M and $P_M = U^*U$. Put $U_1 = UP_M + 1 - P_M$. In the same way as in the proof of Theorem 3, $U_1^*U_1 = 1$, $U_1P = UP = T$, and the commutativity stated in Theorem 4 can be shown. If $N(T) = N(T^*)$, then U is unitary on M , so that U_1 defined above turns out to be unitary since $U_1U_1^* = 1$ can also be shown.

Remark 1. If T is invertible or hyponormal, then $N(T) \subset N(T^*)$ holds, so that Theorem 4 holds for these operators.

Corollary 5. *Let T be quasinormal. Then there exists an isometry U such that $T = UP = PU$ and U and P commute with V^* , V and $|A|$ of the polar decomposition $A = V|A|$ of any operator A commuting with T and T^* .*

Proof. If T is quasinormal, then T is hyponormal, so that T satisfies $N(T) \subset N(T^*)$. T commutes with T^*T by the quasinormality of T , so that $P = (T^*T)^{1/2}$ commutes with T and T^* . Put $A = P$ in Theorem 4, so the isometry U chosen in Theorem 4 commutes with P and the rest follows from Theorem 4.

We remark that Theorem 3 can be alternatively derived from Theorem 4 and Corollary 5.

4. Intertwining case

Theorem 2 yields the following result which is closely related to the Fuglede—Putnam theorem.

Theorem 5. *Let $T_k = U_kP_k$ be the polar decompositions of T_k for $k = 1, 2$ and 3. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

$$(A) \quad T_1T_2 = T_2T_3 \quad \text{and} \quad T_1^*T_2 = T_2^*T_3^*.$$

$$(B) \quad (1) P_3P_2 = P_2P_3, \quad (2) P_1U_2 = U_2P_3, \quad (3) U_3P_2 = P_2U_3, \quad (4) U_1U_2 = U_2U_3 \quad \text{and} \quad (5) U_1^*U_2 = U_2^*U_3^*.$$

Proof. We put \hat{A} and \hat{T} on $H \oplus H$ as follows:

$$\hat{A} = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\hat{A} = \hat{U}_1 \hat{P}_1$ and $\hat{T} = \hat{U}_2 \hat{P}_2$ be the polar decompositions of \hat{A} and \hat{T} , respectively, where $\hat{U}_1, \hat{P}_1, \hat{U}_2$ and \hat{P}_2 are as follows on $H \oplus H$:

$$\hat{U}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & 0 \\ 0 & U_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{P}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} P_1 & 0 \\ 0 & P_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{U}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & U_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{P}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The condition (A) assures that $\hat{A}\hat{T} = \hat{T}\hat{A}$ and $\hat{A}^*\hat{T} = \hat{T}\hat{A}^*$, so by Theorem 2, these relations are equivalent to that \hat{U}_1^*, \hat{U}_1 and \hat{P}_1 commute with \hat{U}_2 and \hat{P}_2 . Then, by simple calculations, $\hat{P}_1\hat{P}_2 = \hat{P}_2\hat{P}_1 \leftrightarrow (1)$, $\hat{U}_2\hat{P}_1 = \hat{P}_1\hat{U}_2 \leftrightarrow (2)$, $\hat{U}_1\hat{P}_2 = \hat{P}_2\hat{U}_1 \leftrightarrow (3)$, $\hat{U}_1\hat{U}_2 = \hat{U}_2\hat{U}_1 \leftrightarrow (4)$, and $\hat{U}_1^*\hat{U}_2 = \hat{U}_2\hat{U}_1^* \leftrightarrow (5)$, whence the proof is complete.

Combining the techniques in Corollary 4 and Theorem 5, we have

Corollary 6. Let $T_1 = U_1 P_1$, $T_3 = U_3 P_3$ be the decompositions described in Theorem 3 of some normal operators T_1, T_3 , and let $T_2 = U_2 P_2$ be the polar decomposition of an operator T_2 . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (A) $T_1 T_2 = T_2 T_3$.
- (B) (1), (2), (3), (4), and (5) in Theorem 5 hold.
- (C) (1), (2), (3), and (4) in Theorem 5 hold.

Let $\{p_\alpha\}$ be a family of polynomials of T and T^* . A property Σ of T is said to be algebraic definite (resp. semidefinite) with $\{p_\alpha\}$ provided that T has Σ if and only if $p_\alpha(T, T^*) = 0$ (resp. $p_\alpha(T, T^*) \geq 0$) for all α [1].

Next we show an application of Theorem 5.

Corollary 7. Let $T_k = U_k P_k$ be the polar decompositions of T_k for $k=1, 2$ and 3 and let $T_1 T_2 = T_2 T_3$ and $T_1^* T_2 = T_2^* T_3^*$. Then

- (1) $\overline{R(T_2)}$ reduces U_1, P_1 and T_1 ; $N(T_2)$ reduces U_3, P_3 and T_3 .
- (2) $U_1 | \overline{R(T_2)}$ (resp. $P_1 | \overline{R(T_2)}$, $T_1 | \overline{R(T_2)}$) is unitary equivalent to $U_3 | N(T_2)^\perp$ (resp. $P_3 | N(T_2)^\perp$, $T_3 | N(T_2)^\perp$).
- (3) When T_2 has dense range, then if U_3 (resp. P_3 and T_3) has an algebraic definite property Σ with polynomials $\{p_\alpha\}$, then so has U_1 (resp. P_1 and T_1).
- (4) When T_2 is injective, then if U_1 (resp. P_1 and T_1) has an algebraic definite property Σ with polynomials $\{p_\alpha\}$, then so has U_3 (resp. P_3 and T_3).

Proof. (1) By (5), (4) and (2) in Theorem 5

$$(U_2 U_2^*) U_1 = U_2 U_3 U_2^* = U_1 (U_2 U_2^*), \quad (U_2 U_2^*) P_1 = U_2 P_3 U_2^* = P_1 (U_2 U_2^*),$$

whence $\overline{R(T_2)}$ reduces U_1, P_1 and also T_1 . By (4), (5) and (2) in Theorem 5,

$$(U_2^* U_2) U_3 = U_2^* U_1 U_2 = U_3 (U_2^* U_2), \quad (U_2^* U_2) P_3 = U_2^* P_1 U_2 = P_3 (U_2^* U_2),$$

whence $N(T_2)$ reduces U_3, P_3 and also T_3 .

(2) By (2) and (1) in Theorem 5,

$$(i) \quad P_1 U_2 P_2 x = U_2 P_3 P_2 x = U_2 P_2 P_3 x \quad \text{for all } x.$$

Let $P'_1 = P_1 | \overline{R(T_2)}$ and $P'_3 = P_3 | N(T_2)^\perp$. Let V be defined by $Vy = U_2 y$ for all $y \in N(T_2)^\perp$. This V maps from $N(T_2)^\perp = N(P_2)^\perp = \overline{R(P_2)}$ onto $\overline{R(T_2)}$, so V is a surjective isometry, i.e., V is unitary. As $P_2 x$ and $P_2 P_3 x$ belong to $N(T_2)^\perp$ and $U_2 P_2 x$ belongs to $\overline{R(T_2)}$, (i) implies $P'_1 V y = V P'_3 y$ for all $y \in N(T_2)^\perp$, so P'_1 is unitary equivalent to P'_3 . Similarly (4) and (3) in Theorem 5 yield

$$(ii) \quad U_1 U_2 P_2 x = U_2 U_3 P_2 x = U_2 P_2 U_3 x \quad \text{for all } x.$$

Let $U'_1 = U_1 | \overline{R(T_2)}$ and $U'_3 = U_3 | N(T_2)^\perp$. As $P_2 x$ and $P_2 U_3 x$ belong to $N(T_2)^\perp$ and $U_2 P_2 x$ belongs to $\overline{R(T_2)}$, (ii) implies $U'_1 V y = V U'_3 y$ for all $y \in N(T_2)^\perp$. The third unitary equivalence relation follows by the first and second relations obtained above.

(3) When T_2 has dense range, then by (2), $U_1 | \overline{R(T_2)} = U_1$ is unitary equivalent to $U'_3 = U_3 | N(T_2)^\perp$. If U_3 has an algebraic definite property, then U'_3 also has it, and consequently so has U_1 . The rest can be shown similarly.

(4) When T_2 is injective, then by (2), $U_3 | N(T_2)^\perp = U_3$ is unitary equivalent to $U'_1 = U_1 | \overline{R(T_2)}$ and the proof goes in a similar way as above.

We remark that the algebraic definite property can be replaced by semidefinite property in (3) and (4) of Corollary 7. Also we remark that in [3] only the equivalence relation between $T_1 | \overline{R(T_2)}$ and $T_3 | N(T_2)^\perp$ is shown, and in [1] the algebraic definite property relation between T_1 and T_3 is shown when T_2 has dense range, and in [5] also when T_2 has dense range and injective.

Added in proof. Theorem 2 is also found in M. Takesaki, Theory of operator algebras I, Springer, 1979, however, the proof we gave here is more elementary in that it merely uses kernel conditions and avoids operator algebraic considerations. We would express our thanks to Professor J. Tomiyama for his valuable comments after reading our preprint.

References

- [1] M. FUJII and R. NAKAMOTO, Intertwining algebraically definite operators, *Math. Japon.*, **25** (1980), 239—240.
- [2] P. R. HALMOS, *A Hilbert space problem book*, Van Nostrand (Princeton, N. J., 1967).
- [3] R. L. MOORE, D. D. ROGERS and T. T. TRENT, A note on intertwining M -hyponormal operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **83** (1981), 514—516.
- [4] F. RIESZ and B. SZ.-NAGY, *Leçons d'analyse fonctionnelle*, Akadémiai Kiadó (Budapest, 1952).
- [5] K. TAKAHASHI, On the converse of the Fuglede—Putnam theorem, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **43** (1981), 123—125.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE
HIROSAKI UNIVERSITY
BUNKYO-CHO 3 HIROSAKI, AOMORI-KEN JAPAN