

Embedding theorems and strong approximation

L. LEINDLER* and A. MEIR

1. Let $f(x)$ be a continuous and 2π -periodic function and let

$$(1) \quad f(x) \sim \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx)$$

be its Fourier series. We denote by $s_n = s_n(x) = s_n(f; x)$ the n -th partial sum of (1), the usual supremum norm by $\|\cdot\|$ and by $E_n = E_n(f)$ the best approximation of f by trigonometric polynomials of order at most n . Let $\omega(\delta)$ be a nondecreasing continuous function on the interval $[0, 2\pi]$ having the properties: $\omega(0) = 0$, $\omega(\delta_1 + \delta_2) \leq \omega(\delta_1) + \omega(\delta_2)$ for any $0 \leq \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \leq \delta_1 + \delta_2 \leq 2\pi$. Such a function is called a modulus of continuity.

In order to quote the result of [3], which has initiated our present investigation, we define two classes of functions:

$$H^\omega := \{f: \omega(f; \delta) = O(\omega(\delta))\}$$

and

$$S_p(\lambda) := \{f: \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n |s_n - f|^p \right\| < \infty\},$$

where $\lambda = \{\lambda_n\}$ is a monotonic sequence of positive numbers and $0 < p < \infty$. V. G. KROTOV and L. LEINDLER [3] proved the following result.

Theorem A. *If $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a monotonic sequence, ω is a modulus of continuity and $0 < p < \infty$, then*

$$(2) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n (k\lambda_k)^{-1/p} = O\left(n\omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)$$

Received March 31, 1983.

*) The research of the first author was supported by an NSERC of Canada grant while visiting the University of Alberta, Edmonton.

implies

$$(3) \quad S_p(\lambda) \subset H^\omega.$$

Conversely, if there exists a number Q such that $0 \leq Q < 1$ and

$$(4) \quad n^Q \lambda_n \uparrow,$$

then (3) implies (2).

It is well known that the classical de la Vallée Poussin means

$$\tau_n = \tau_n(f; x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} s_k(x), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

usually approximate the function f , in the sup norm, better than the partial sums do. Hence, if in analogy to $S_p(\lambda)$ we consider the class of functions

$$V_p(\lambda) := \left\{ f: \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n |\tau_n - f|^p \right\| < \infty \right\},$$

we may expect that under reasonable conditions the following embedding relations will hold

$$(5) \quad S_p(\lambda) \subset V_p(\lambda) \subset H^\omega.$$

In the present paper we establish that condition (2) does imply the inclusion $V_p(\lambda) \subset H^\omega$ for all positive p . We further show that the embedding relation $S_p(\lambda) \subset V_p(\lambda)$ also holds if $p \geq 1$ and the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ satisfies the mild restriction

$$(6) \quad \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{2n}} \leq K, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

with a fixed positive K (K, K_1, K_2, \dots will denote positive constants, not necessarily the same at each occurrence).

We were unable to decide whether $S_p(\lambda) \subset V_p(\lambda)$ holds when $0 < p < 1$; it is left as an open problem.

2. We shall establish the following results.

Theorem 1. *If $p \geq 1$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a monotonic (nondecreasing or nonincreasing) sequence of positive numbers satisfying (6), then*

$$(7) \quad S_p(\lambda) \subset V_p(\lambda)$$

holds.

Theorem 2. *Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a monotonic sequence of positive numbers, furthermore let ω be a modulus of continuity and $0 < p < \infty$. Then condition (2) implies*

$$(8) \quad V_p(\lambda) \subset H^\omega.$$

If $p \geq 1$ and there exists a number Q such that $0 \leq Q < 1$ and (4) holds, then, conversely, (8) implies (2).

3. To prove our theorems we require the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 ([1, p. 534]). For any continuous function f we have the following inequality

$$(9) \quad \omega\left(f; \frac{1}{n}\right) \leq Kn^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n E_k(f).$$

Lemma 2. Let $a = \{a_n\}_0^\infty$ be a nonincreasing sequence of positive numbers, $q > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$. Then there exists a positive constant $C = C(a, \gamma, q)$ such that for every m

$$(10) \quad \sum_{n=0}^m q^n a_n \leq C \cdot \sum_{n=0}^m q^n a_n \left(\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}\right)^\gamma.$$

Proof. We let $\beta = \min(a_1/a_0, 1/2q)$. We define the (possibly finite) sequence of integers $N_0 < N_1 < \dots$ as follows. Let $N_0 = 0$. For $i \geq 1$ let N_i be the smallest integer such that $N_i > N_{i-1}$ and $a_{N_i+1} \geq \beta a_{N_i}$; if no such integer exists we set $N_i = \infty$. Now, if $N_i < n < N_{i+1}$, then $a_{n+1} < \beta a_n$ and so $a_{N_i+r} \beta^{r-1}$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots, N_{i+1} - N_i$. Therefore, we have for $i = 0, 1, \dots$

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{n=N_i+1}^{N_{i+1}} q^n a_n &\leq q^{N_i+1} a_{N_i+1} \cdot (1 + q\beta + q^2\beta^2 + \dots) \leq 2q^{N_i+1} a_{N_i+1} \leq 2q^{N_i+1} a_{N_i} \leq \\ &\leq 2q\beta^{-\gamma} q^{N_i} a_{N_i} \left(\frac{a_{N_i+1}}{a_{N_i}}\right)^\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

on using, in the last inequality, the definition of the sequence $\{N_i\}$. Now, for any given integer m , let j be the largest integer so that $N_j < m$. We then have, on using (11), and the fact that $\beta \leq a_1/a_0$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^m q^n a_n \leq \beta^{-\gamma} a_0 \left(\frac{a_1}{a_0}\right)^\gamma + 2q\beta^{-\gamma} \sum_{i=0}^j q^{N_i} a_{N_i} \left(\frac{a_{N_i+1}}{a_{N_i}}\right)^\gamma \leq C \cdot \sum_{n=0}^m q^n a_n \left(\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}\right)^\gamma,$$

with $C = \beta^{-\gamma}(1 + 2q)$.

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

4. Proof of Theorem 1. For $p \geq 1$ we have, by the "power sum inequality",

$$|\tau_n - f|^p \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} |s_k - f|^p.$$

Hence

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n |\tau_n - f|^p &\cong \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_n/n) \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} |s_k - f|^p \cong \\ &\cong \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |s_k - f|^p \sum_{n=k/2}^{k-1} (\lambda_n/n) \cong K \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \lambda_k |s_k - f|^p, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from (6). Inequality (12) clearly implies (7).

Proof of Theorem 2. First we consider the case $p \geq 1$. Suppose $f \in V_p(\lambda)$. Then we have for $n=1, 2, \dots$

$$(13) \quad E_{4n}(f) \cong \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} |\tau_k - f| \right\| \cong \left\| \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} |\tau_k - f|^p \right\}^{1/p} \right\| \cong K_1 (n\lambda_n^*)^{-1/p},$$

where $\lambda_n^* = \min(\lambda_{n+1}, \lambda_{2n})$ and the last inequality follows from the assumption $f \in V_p(\lambda)$. Now, from (13), both if $\{\lambda_n\}$ is increasing or decreasing we can deduce that

$$(14) \quad \sum_{v=1}^m 4^v E_{4^v}(f) \cong K_2 \sum_{v=0}^m 4^v (4^v \lambda_{4^v})^{-1/p},$$

with a suitable $K_2 > 0$.

Hence, by Lemma 1 and (2), for $m=1, 2, \dots$

$$(15) \quad \omega(f; 4^{-m}) \cong K_3 \omega(4^{-m}),$$

which proves that $f \in H^\omega$.

We turn now to the case $0 < p < 1$. We have for $n=1, 2, \dots$

$$(16) \quad n E_{4n}(f) \cong \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} |\tau_k - f| \right\| = \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} |\tau_k - f|^p \cdot |\tau_k - f|^{1-p} \right\|.$$

It is known [see e.g. [2], p. 58] that $\|\tau_k - f\| \cong K E_k(f)$ for all k ; hence, in particular, for $n+1 \leq k \leq 2n$, $\|\tau_k - f\| \cong K E_n(f)$. Therefore, from (16) we obtain that

$$n E_{4n}(f) \cong K (E_n(f))^{1-p} \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} |\tau_k - f|^p \right\|$$

which, since $f \in V_p(\lambda)$, implies that $E_{4n}(f) \cong K_1 (E_n(f))^{1-p} (n\lambda_n^*)^{-1}$, with $\lambda_n^* = \min(\lambda_{n+1}, \lambda_{2n})$. If we rewrite the last inequality as

$$E_n(f) \cdot \left(\frac{E_{4n}(f)}{E_n(f)} \right)^{1/p} \cong K_2 (n\lambda_n^*)^{-1/p},$$

and use it for $n=4^v$, $v=0, 1, \dots, m$, we see that

$$\sum_{v=0}^m 4^v E_{4^v}(f) \left(\frac{E_{4^{v+1}}(f)}{E_{4^v}(f)} \right)^{1/p} \cong K_3 \sum_{v=0}^m 4^v (4^v \lambda_{4^v})^{-1/p}$$

holds. Applying Lemma 2 now with $a_n = E_{4^n}$, $q=4$ and $\gamma=1/p$, we get that (14) is satisfied in this case as well. Hence, as before, f satisfies (15) and so $f \in H^\omega$.

This completes the proof of (8) for all positive p .

In order to prove that, under the stated assumptions, (8) implies (2), it is sufficient to note that, because of (7), relation (3) of Theorem A is satisfied; therefore Theorem A provides the proof of the required assertion.

References

- [1] N. BARY, *A treatise on trigonometric series* (Oxford, 1964).
- [2] R. P. FEINERMAN and D. J. NEWMAN, *Polynomial Approximation*, Waverly Press (1974).
- [3] V. G. KROTOV and L. LEINDLER, On the strong summability of Fourier series and the class H^ω , *Acta. Sci. Math.*, **40** (1978), 93—98.

(L. L.)
BOLYAI INTÉZET
ARADI VÉRTANÚK TERE 1
SZEGED, HUNGARY

(A. M.)
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA
EDMONTON, CANADA