

Local upper estimates for the eigenfunctions of a linear differential operator

V. KOMORNIK

Dedicated to Professor Károly Tandori on his 60th birthday

Let $G \subset \mathbf{R}$ be an arbitrary open interval, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $q_1, \dots, q_n \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(G)$ arbitrary complex functions, and consider the differential operator

$$Lu = u^{(n)} + q_1 u^{(n-1)} + \dots + q_n u.$$

We recall the definition of the eigenfunctions of higher order:

Given a complex number λ , the function $u: G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, $u \equiv 0$ is called an eigenfunction of order -1 of the operator L with the eigenvalue λ . A function $u: G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, $u \not\equiv 0$ is called an eigenfunction of order m ($m=0, 1, \dots$) of the operator L with the eigenvalue λ if the following two conditions are satisfied:

— u , together with its first $n-1$ derivatives is absolute continuous on every compact subinterval of G ;

— there exists an eigenfunction u^* of order $m-1$ of the operator L with the eigenvalue λ such that for almost all $x \in G$

$$(1) \quad (Lu)(x) = \lambda u(x) + u^*(x).$$

Let u be an eigenfunction of order m ($m=0, 1, \dots$) of the operator L with some eigenvalue λ . Let us index the n -th roots of λ such that

$$(2) \quad \operatorname{Re} \mu_1 \cong \dots \cong \operatorname{Re} \mu_n.$$

It is known (see the references below) that to any compact subinterval K of G there exists a constant $G = G_m$ such that

$$(3) \quad \|u\|_{L^\infty(K)} \cong C \left(1 + \max_{1 \leq p \leq n} |\operatorname{Re} \mu_p|\right) \|u\|_{L^1(K)}$$

and for $|\lambda|$ sufficiently large

$$(4) \quad \|u^{(i)}\|_{L^\infty(K)} \cong C \left(1 + \max_{1 \leq p \leq n} |\operatorname{Re} \mu_p|\right) \|u^{(i)}\|_{L^1(K)} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n-1).$$

Received August 22, 1983.

Furthermore, if $q_1, \dots, q_n \in L^p_{loc}(G)$ for some $p \in [1, \infty]$ then

$$(5) \quad \|u^*\|_{L^{p'}(K)} \cong C(1 + |\mu_1|)^{n-1} \left(1 + \max_{1 \leq p \leq n} |\operatorname{Re} \mu_p|\right) \|u\|_{L^{p'}(K)}.$$

The constant C does not depend on the choice of u .

Remarks. (i) If $n \geq 3$ then the quantity $\max_{1 \leq p \leq n} |\operatorname{Re} \mu_p|$ may be replaced by $|\mu_1| = |\lambda|^{1/n}$: they are equivalent. One can see easily by counterexamples that the above estimates are the best possible.

(ii) The estimates (3), (4), (5) were proved in [3] for the case $n=2$ and $q_1 \equiv 0$ (see also [2]), in [5] for the case $n \geq 3$ and $q_1 \equiv 0$; in the general case $q_1 \neq 0$, using the results of the paper [5], they were proved in [6] for the case $n \geq 3$ and in [7] for the case $n \leq 2$.

The aim of this paper is to show that if we replace the compact interval K on the right side of the estimates (3), (4), (5) by another compact interval, strictly containing K , then the terms $(1 + \max_{1 \leq p \leq n} |\operatorname{Re} \mu_p|)$ can be omitted. This phenomenon plays an important role in the local investigation of spectral expansions.

Remarks. (i) The first results of this type were proved by V. A. IL'IN [1] and were used to prove a general local basis theorem. For the proof he used the following condition: putting

$$(6) \quad \mu = \begin{cases} [(-1)^{n/2} \lambda]^{1/2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ [i\lambda]^{1/n} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } \operatorname{Im} \lambda \leq 0, \\ [-i\lambda]^{1/n} & \text{if } n \text{ odd and } \operatorname{Im} \lambda > 0 \end{cases}$$

where

$$[re^{i\varphi}]^{1/n} = r^{1/n} e^{i\varphi/n}, \quad -\frac{\pi}{2} < \varphi \leq \frac{3\pi}{2},$$

the existence of a constant C was proved for any fixed band

$$(7) \quad |\operatorname{Im} \mu| \leq C_1 \quad (C_1 \text{ is constant}).$$

Also, the coefficients of the differential operator were assumed to be sufficiently smooth. As we shall see, the above conditions can be omitted.

(ii) In the proof of Theorem 2 of this paper we shall use a formula obtained in [5] for the coefficients of which very simple explicit formulas were found by by Joó [4]. This will play an important role in the proof.

In the sequel we shall use the following notations:

$$(8) \quad n' = \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor, \quad N' = n'(m+1), \quad N = n(m+1), \quad \mu = \mu_n, \quad \varrho = |\operatorname{Re} \mu|.$$

Obviously

$$(9) \quad \varrho = \min \{ |\operatorname{Re} \mu_p| : p = 1, \dots, n \}$$

and

$$(10) \quad \varrho = \operatorname{Re} \mu \text{ if } n \text{ is even.}$$

Remark. Suppose n is odd and consider the operator

$$\tilde{L}u = u^{(n)} + \tilde{q}_1 u^{(n-1)} + \dots + \tilde{q}_n u$$

on the interval $\tilde{G} := -G$ where $\tilde{q}_s(y) := (-1)^s q_s(-y)$. Then, for any eigenfunction u of order m of the operator L with some eigenvalue λ , the function $\tilde{u}(y) := u(-y)$ is an eigenfunction of order m of the operator \tilde{L} with the eigenvalue $-\lambda$. This correspondence makes us possible to consider always the case $\operatorname{Re} \mu \geq 0$ i.e. $\varrho = \operatorname{Re} \mu$.

In the sequel $u = u_m$ will denote an arbitrary eigenfunction of order m of the operator L with some eigenvalue λ . Let us introduce recursively the continuous functions

$$u_j : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad u_j = Lu_{j+1} - \lambda u_{j+1} \text{ a.e. on } G$$

for $0 \leq j \leq m-1$. Then u_j is an eigenfunction of order j of the operator L with the eigenvalue λ and $u_{m-1} = u^*$.

1. Local "anti a priori" estimates. In this section we shall prove the following result:

Theorem 1. Assume $q_1 \equiv 0$ and $q_2, \dots, q_n \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(G)$ for some $p \in [1, \infty]$. Then to any $m \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$ and to arbitrary compact intervals $K_1, K_2 \subset G$, $K_1 \subset \text{int } K_2$, there exists a constant C such that for any eigenfunction u of order m of the operator L with some eigenvalue $\lambda = \mu^n$,

$$(11) \quad \|u^*\|_{L^p(K_1)} \leq C(1 + |\mu|)^{n-1} \|u\|_{L^p(K_2)}.$$

The proof will be based on the following assertion:

Proposition 1. Given $0 \neq \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ arbitrarily, there exist numbers $d(\mu, t)$, $d_k(\mu, t)$ and continuous functions $D_r(\mu, t, \cdot)$ such that for any eigenfunctions u of order m of the operator L with the eigenvalue $\lambda = \mu^n$,

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} & t^n d(\mu, t) u_{m-1}(x) = \\ & = \sum_{k=N'-N+1}^{N'} d_k(\mu, t) u_m(x+kt) + \sum_{r=0}^m \sum_{s=1}^n \int_{x+(N'-N+1)t}^{x+N't} D_r(\mu, t, x-\tau) q_s(\tau) u_{m-r}^{(n-s)}(\tau) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

whenever $x + (N' - N + 1)t \in G$ and $x + N't \in G$. Furthermore, introducing the no-

tation

(13)

$$P(\mu, t) = (\mu t)^{n(1+\dots+m)} \exp\left(\sum_{i=n'-n+1}^{n'} (i(m+1) + \dots + ((i-1)(m+1) + 1))\mu_{n'+1-i}t\right),$$

there exist positive constants C_1, C_2 and to any fixed positive number A a positive constant C such that

(14) $|d_k(\mu, t)| \leq C|\mu|^{n-1}|P(\mu, t)|e^{-|k|e^t}$ for all k ,

(15) $|D_r(\mu, t, x-\tau)| \leq C|\mu|^{r(1-n)}|P(\mu, t)|e^{-e|x-\tau|}$

for all $x+(N'-N+1)t \leq \tau \leq x+N't$,

(16) $\sup_{t/2 \leq t_0 \leq t} |d(\mu, t_0)| > C_1|P(\mu, t_0)|$

whenever

(17) $\operatorname{Re} \mu \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq A \quad \text{and} \quad |\mu t| \geq C_2.$

First we deduce Theorem 1 from Proposition 1. For $m=0$ the theorem is obvious because $u^* \equiv 0$. Assume $m \geq 1$ and that the theorem is true for $m-1$. Let us fix a compact interval $K \subset G$ such that

$$K_1 \subset \operatorname{int} K \quad \text{and} \quad K \subset \operatorname{int} K_2$$

and put

$$\varepsilon = (N')^{-1} \operatorname{dist}(K_1, \partial K).$$

It suffices to consider the case $\operatorname{Re} \mu \geq 0$ in view of (10) and the Remark after (10). For $|\mu|$ sufficiently large we can fix a number $t \in [\varepsilon/2, \varepsilon]$ by Proposition 1 such that

$$|d_k(\mu, t)| \leq C|\mu|^{n-1}|d(\mu, t)|, \quad |D_r(\mu, t, x-\tau)| \leq C|\mu|^{r(1-n)}|d(\mu, t)|.$$

Fixing t by this manner, we have by (12) for any $x \in K_1$

$$|u_{m-1}(x)| \leq C|\mu|^{n-1} \sum_{k=N'-N+1}^{N'} |u_m(x+kt)| + C \sum_{r=0}^m \sum_{s=2}^n |\mu|^{r(1-n)} \|q_s\|_{L^p(K)} \|u_{m-r}^{(n-s)}\|_{L^p(K)}$$

whence

(18) $\|u_{m-1}\|_{L^p(K_1)} \leq C|\mu|^{n-1} \|u_m\|_{L^p(K)} + C \sum_{r=0}^m \sum_{s=2}^n |\mu|^{r(1-n)} \|u_{m-r}^{(n-s)}\|_{L^p(K)}.$

(Here and in the sequel C denotes diverse constants which do not depend on the choice of u .) Being $|\mu|$ large, by Theorem 2 of [5] we have

$$\|u_{m-r}^{(n-s)}\|_{L^p(K)} \leq C|\mu|^{n-s} \|u_{m-r}\|_{L^p(K)} \leq C|\mu|^{n-2} \|u_{m-r}\|_{L^p(K)}.$$

On the other hand, using the inductive hypothesis, for $r \geq 2$

$$\|u_{m-r}\|_{L^p(K)} \leq C|\mu|^{(r-1)(n-1)} \|u_{m-1}\|_{L^p(K_2)}.$$

Finally, using again Theorem 2 of [5] we obtain

$$\|u_{m-1}\|_{L^{p'}(K_2)} \leq C|\mu|^n \|u_m\|_{L^{p'}(K_2)}.$$

Therefore we obtain from (18) the estimate

$$\|u_{m-1}\|_{L^{p'}(K_1)} \leq C|\mu|^{n-1} \|u_m\|_{L^{p'}(K_2)}$$

i.e. (11) is proved for $|\mu|$ sufficiently large. But for $|\mu|$ bounded (11) follows immediately from (5) and the theorem is proved.

Let us now turn to the proof of Proposition 1. Putting

$$K_0(\mu, y) = \sum_{p=1}^n \frac{\mu_p}{n\lambda} e^{\mu_p y}, \quad K_r(\mu, y) = \int_0^y K_0(\mu, \xi) K_{r-1}(\mu, y-\xi) d\xi \quad (r = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and for any fixed $x \in G$

(19)

$$v_m(y) = u_m(y) + \sum_{r=0}^m \int_x^y K_r(\mu, y-\tau) \sum_{s=0}^n q_s(\tau) u_{m-r}^{(n-s)}(\tau) d\tau, \quad v_{m-1}(y) = v_m^{(n)}(y) - \lambda v_m(y),$$

it follows from the results of the paper [5] that v_m is an eigenfunction of order m of the operator $L_0 v = v^{(n)}$ (defined on G) with the eigenvalue λ , and $v_{m-1}(x) = u_{m-1}(x)$.

Consequently the function $v_m(y)$ is a linear combination of the functions

$$y \mapsto (r!)^{-1} (\mu_p (y-x))^r e^{\mu_p (y-x)} \quad (r = 0, \dots, m, \quad p = 1, \dots, n);$$

therefore the determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} \dots & v_m(x+kt) & \dots & t^n u_{m-1}(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \dots & \frac{(k\mu_p t)^r}{r!} e^{k\mu_p t} & \dots & C_r (\mu_p t)^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\left(r = 0, \dots, m, \quad p = 1, \dots, n, \quad k = N' - N + 1, \dots, N', \quad C_r = (1 - \delta_{r0}) \binom{n}{r} \right)$$

vanishes whenever $x + (N' - N + 1)t \in G$ and $x + N't \in G$. Developing the determinant according to its first row, in view of (19) we obtain (with obvious notations) the formula (12).

Let us set for brevity

$$\begin{aligned}
 z_1^* &= \sum_{i=1}^{n'} (i(m+1) + \dots + ((i-1)(m+1) + 1)) \mu_{n'+1-i} t, \\
 (21) \quad z_2^* &= \sum_{i=n'-n+1}^0 (i(m+1) + \dots + ((i-1)(m+1) + 1)) \mu_{n'+1-i} t, \\
 z^* &= z_1^* + z_2^*.
 \end{aligned}$$

We shall also use the notation

$$w_1 \stackrel{r}{\cong} w_2 \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Re} w_1 \cong \operatorname{Re} w_2.$$

First we prove (14). One can see easily that each term of the development of the minor defining $d_k(\mu, t)$ can be estimated by an expression of type

$$C|\mu|^{n-1+n(1+\dots+m)} |e^z|.$$

In view of (13) and (21) it suffices to show that we can always choose z such that

$$(22) \quad \operatorname{Re}(z - z^*) \cong -|k| \varrho t.$$

Introducing the notation $k = (m+1)l_1 - l_2$, $l_1 \in \{n' - n + 1, \dots, n'\}$, $l_2 \in \{0, \dots, m\}$, we can choose

$$\begin{aligned}
 z &= z_2^* + \sum_{i=l_1+1}^{n'} (i(m+1) + \dots + ((i-1)(m+1) + 1)) \mu_{n'+1-i} t + \\
 &+ (l_1(m+1) + \dots + (k+1) + (k-1) + \dots + (l_1-1)(m+1)) \mu_{n'+1-l_1} t + \\
 &+ \sum_{i=1}^{l_1-1} ((i(m+1)-1) + \dots + (i-1)(m+1)) \mu_{n'+1-i} t
 \end{aligned}$$

is $k \cong 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
 z &= z_1^* + \sum_{i=n'-n+1}^{l_1-1} (i(m+1) + \dots + ((i-1)(m+1) + 1)) \mu_{n'+1-l_1} t + \\
 &+ ((l_1(m+1)+1) + \dots + (k+1) + (k-1) + \dots + ((l_1-1)(m+1)+1)) \mu_{n'+1-l_1} t + \\
 &+ \sum_{i=l_1+1}^0 ((i(m+1)+1) + \dots + ((i-1)(m+1)+2)) \mu_{n'+1-i} t - \mu_{n'+1} t
 \end{aligned}$$

if $k \cong 0$. Using (2) hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 z - z^* &= ((l_1-1)(m+1) - k) \mu_{n'+1-l_1} - (m+1) \sum_{i=1}^{l_1-1} \mu_{n'-1-i} t \stackrel{r}{\cong} \\
 &\stackrel{r}{\cong} ((l_1-1)(m+1) - k) (\mu_{n'+1-l_1} - \mu_{n'}) t - k \mu_{n'} t \stackrel{r}{\cong} -k \mu_{n'} t = -|k| \mu_{n'} t
 \end{aligned}$$

if $k \geq 1$, and

$$z - z^* = (l_1(m+1) + 1 - k)\mu_{n'+1-l_1}t + (m+1)\left(\sum_{i=l_1-1}^0 \mu_{n'+1-i}t\right) - \mu_{n'+1}t \stackrel{r}{\cong} \\ \stackrel{r}{\cong} (l_1(m+1) + 1 - k)(\mu_{n'+1-l_1} - \mu_{n'+1})t - k\mu_{n'+1}t \stackrel{r}{\cong} -k\mu_{n'+1}t = |k|\mu_{n'+1}t$$

if $k \leq 0$. In view of (9) hence (22) follows in both cases and (14) is proved.

Now we prove (15). Let us fix $r \in \{0, \dots, m\}$ arbitrarily and let $l \in \{N' - N + 2, \dots, \dots, N'\}$ be such that

$$(23) \quad x + (l-1)t \cong \tau \cong x + lt.$$

Then $D_r(\mu, t, x - \tau)$ is defined by the determinant which differs from the determinant (20) in the first row:

in case $\tau \geq x$ the element $v_m(x + kt)$ is replaced by $K_r(\mu, x - \tau + kt)$ if $l \leq k \leq N'$, all the other elements are replaced by 0;

in case $\tau \leq x$ the element $v_m(x + kt)$ is replaced by $-K_r(\mu, x - \tau + kt)$ if $N' - N + 1 \leq k \leq l - 1$, all the other elements are replaced by 0.

One can see easily by induction on r that with some constants $c_{r\beta\alpha}$

$$K_r(\mu, x - \tau + kt) = \sum_{p=1}^n \mu_p^{1-rn-n} \sum_{\alpha=0}^r c_{r\beta\alpha} (\mu_p(x - \tau + kt))^\alpha e^{\mu_p(x - \tau + kt)}.$$

In view of (17) it suffices to show that for any fixed $q \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\beta \in \{0, \dots, r\}$, if we replace in the first row of the determinant (20)

in case $\tau \geq x$ the element $v_m(x + kt)$ by $k^\beta e^{\mu_q(x - \tau + kt)}$ if $l \leq k \leq N'$, all the other element by 0;

in case $\tau \leq x$ the element $v_m(x + kt)$ by $-k^\beta e^{\mu_q(x - \tau + kt)}$ if $N' - N + 1 \leq k \leq l - 1$, all the other elements by 0,

then this new determinant can be estimated by

$$C|\mu|^{n-1}|P(\mu, t)|e^{-\varrho|x-\tau|}.$$

One can see easily that those terms of this determinant the factors of which chosen from the first row and from the row corresponding to $p=q$ and $r=\beta$ are in case $\tau \geq x$ in one of the l -th, ..., N' -th columns, in case $\tau \leq x$ in one of the $(N' - N + 1)$ -th, ..., $(l - 1)$ -th columns, pairwise eliminate each other. All the other terms can be estimated by

$$C|\mu|^{n-1+n(1+\dots+m)}|e^z|;$$

it suffices to show that here one can always choose z such that

$$(24) \quad \text{Re}(z - z^*) \leq -\varrho|x - \tau|.$$

Let us consider first the case $\tau \geq x$. Putting

$$l = (m+1)l_1 - l_2, \quad l_1 \in \{1, \dots, n'\}, \quad l_2 \in \{0, \dots, m\},$$

we can take

$$z = z_2^* + \sum_{i=l_1+1}^{n'} (i(m+1) + \dots + ((i-1)(m+1) + 1)) \mu_{n'+1-i} t + \mu_q (x-\tau) + (l-1) \mu_q t + \\ + (l_1(m+1) + \dots + l + (l-2) + \dots + (l_1-1)(m+1)) \mu_{n'+1-l_1} t + \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{l_1-1} ((i(m+1)-1) + \dots + (i-1)(m+1)) \mu_{n'+1-i} t$$

if $q \cong n'+1-l_1$, and

$$z = z_2^* + \sum_{i=l_1+1}^{n'} (i(m+1) + \dots + ((i-1)(m+1) + 1)) \mu_{n'+1-i} t + \mu_q (x-\tau + lt) + \\ + (l_1(m+1) + \dots + (l+1) + (l-1) + \dots + (l_1-1)(m+1)) \mu_{n'+1-l_1} t + \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{l_1-1} ((i(m+1)-1) + \dots + (i-1)(m+1)) \mu_{n'+1-i} t$$

if $q > n'+1-l_1$. Now using (2) and (23), in both cases

$$z - z^* \stackrel{r}{\cong} \mu_{n'+1-l_1} (x-\tau + (l_1-1)(m+1)t) - (m+1) \sum_{i=1}^{l_1-1} \mu_{n'+1-i} t \stackrel{r}{\cong} \\ \stackrel{r}{\cong} (\mu_{n'+1-l_1} - \mu_{n'}) (x-\tau + (l_1-1)(m+1)t) + \mu_{n'} (x-\tau) \stackrel{r}{\cong} \mu_{n'} (x-\tau) = -\mu_{n'} |x-\tau|$$

whence (24) follows.

Let us now consider the case $\tau \cong x$. Putting $l-1 = (m+1)l_1 - l_2$, $l_1 \in \{n'-n+1, \dots, 0\}$, $l_2 \in \{0, \dots, m\}$, we can take

$$z = z_1^* + \sum_{i=n'-n+1}^{l_1-1} (i(m+1) + \dots + ((i-1)(m+1) + 1)) \mu_{n'+1-i} t + \mu_q (x-\tau) + l \mu_q t + \\ + ((l_1(m+1)+1) + \dots + (l+1) + (l-1) + \dots + ((l_1-1)(m+1)+1)) \mu_{n'+1-l_1} t + \\ + \sum_{i=l_1+1}^0 ((i(m+1)+1) + \dots + ((i-1)(m+1)+2)) \mu_{n'+1-i} t - \mu_{n'+1} t$$

if $q \cong n'+1-l_1$, and

$$z = z_1^* + \sum_{i=n'-n+1}^{l_1-1} (i(m+1) + \dots + ((i-1)(m+1) + 1)) \mu_{n'+1-i} t + \mu_q (x-\tau + (l-1)t) + \\ + ((l_1(m+1)+1) + \dots + l + (l-2) + \dots + ((l_1-1)(m+1)+1)) \mu_{n'+1-l_1} t + \\ + \sum_{i=l_1+1}^0 ((i(m+1)+1) + \dots + ((i-1)(m+1)+2)) \mu_{n'+1-i} t - \mu_{n'+1} t$$

if $q < n' + 1 - l_1$. Using again (2) and (23), in both cases

$$z - z^* \stackrel{r}{\cong} \mu_{n'+1-l_1}(x - \tau + (l_1(m+1)+1)t) - (m+1) \left(\sum_{i=l_1+1}^0 \mu_{n'+1-i}t \right) - \mu_{n'+1}t \stackrel{r}{\cong} \\ \stackrel{r}{\cong} (\mu_{n'+1-l_1} - \mu_{n'+1})(x - \tau + (l_1(m+1)+1)t) + \mu(x - \tau) \stackrel{r}{\cong} \mu_{n'+1}(x - \tau) = \mu_{n'+1}|x - \tau|$$

whence (24) follows and (15) is proved.

Finally we prove (16). One can see by induction on m that

$$|d(\mu, t)| = |\mu t|^{m(m+1)/2} |e^{\mu t}|^{(m+1)(m+2)/2}$$

if $n=1$, and

$$|d(\mu, t)| = |\mu t|^{m(m+1)n/2} \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} |e^{\mu_p t} - e^{\mu_q t}|^{(m+1)^2}$$

if $n \geq 2$. In case $n=1$ (16) hence follows at once because $|d(\mu, t)| = |P(\mu, t)|$. In case $n \geq 2$, taking into account that $e^{(\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_n)t} = 1$, we obtain

$$|d(\mu, t)| = |P(\mu, t)| \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} |1 - e^{(\mu_q - \mu_p)t}|^{(m+1)^2}.$$

Taking into account that

$$\operatorname{Re} z \leq -1/2 \Rightarrow |1 - e^z| \geq 1 - e^{-1/2},$$

we have for any $t_0 \in [t/2, t]$

$$|d(\mu, t_0)| \geq |P(\mu, t_0)| (1 - e^{-1/2})^{n(n-1)/2} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq p < q \leq n \\ \operatorname{Re}(\mu_q - \mu_p)t_0 > -1}} |1 - e^{(\mu_q - \mu_p)t_0}|^{(m+1)^2}.$$

If we choose C_2 sufficiently large, the condition (17) implies for all the pairs (p, q) in this product

$$|\operatorname{Im}(\mu_q - \mu_p)t| > 2\pi$$

and then, in view of the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} z > -1 \Rightarrow |1 - e^z| \geq e^{-1} |\sin(\operatorname{Im} z)|$$

(16) reduces to the following lemma:

Lemma. *Given $a_1, \dots, a_{k_0} \in \mathbf{R}$, $k_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $|a_k| > 2\pi$ for all $k=1, \dots, k_0$, we have*

$$\sup_{1/2 \leq b \leq 1} \min_{k=1}^{k_0} |\sin(ba_k)| \geq \sin(\pi/(12k_0)).$$

Indeed, for any $k \in \{1, \dots, k_0\}$ the measure of the set

$$\{b \in [1/2, 1]: |\sin(ba_k)| < \sin(\pi/(12k_0))\}$$

is less than or equal to $(3k_0)^{-1}$ whence the lemma follows.

The proof of Proposition 1 (and also of Theorem 1) is finished.

Remark. In case $n \leq 2$ Theorem 1 remains valid under the weaker condition $q_1 \in L^p_{\infty}(G)$, too. Indeed, we proved in [7] that in case $n \leq 2$ there exists a positive constant R such that for all the eigenfunctions u of order m of the operator L with some eigenvalue λ ,

$$(25) \quad \|u\|_{L^\infty(K_1)} \leq C e^{-R|\operatorname{Re} \mu_1|} \|u\|_{L^\infty(K_2)}.$$

Using (3), (5) and (25),

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^*\|_{L^{p'}(K_1)} &\leq C(1+|\mu|)^{n-1}(1+|\operatorname{Re} \mu_1|) \|u\|_{L^{p'}(K_1)} \leq C(1+|\mu|)^{n-1}(1+|\operatorname{Re} \mu_1|) \|u\|_{L^\infty(K_1)} \leq \\ &\leq C(1+|\mu|)^{n-1}(1+|\operatorname{Re} \mu_1|) e^{-R|\operatorname{Re} \mu_1|} \|u\|_{L^\infty(K_2)} \leq \\ &\leq C(1+|\mu|)^{n-1}(1+|\operatorname{Re} \mu_1|)^2 e^{-R|\operatorname{Re} \mu_1|} \|u\|_{L^1(K_2)} \leq \\ &\leq C(1+|\mu|)^{n-1} \|u\|_{L^1(K_2)} \leq C(1+|\mu|)^{n-1} \|u\|_{L^{p'}(K_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Conjecture. The condition $q_1 \equiv 0$ in Theorem 1 can be replaced by the weaker condition $q_1 \in L^p_{\infty}(G)$ in case $n \geq 3$, too.

2. Local uniform estimates. We shall prove the following result:

Theorem 2. Assume $q_1 \equiv 0$. Then to any $m \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$ and to any compact intervals $K_1, K_2 \subset G$, $K_1 \subset \operatorname{int} K_2$, there exists a constant C such that for any eigenfunction u of order m of the operator L with some eigenvalue λ ,

$$(26) \quad \|u\|_{L^\infty(K_1)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^1(K_2)}.$$

For $|\lambda|$ sufficiently large we have also

$$(27) \quad \|u^{(i)}\|_{L^\infty(K_1)} \leq C \|u^{(i)}\|_{L^1(K_2)} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n-1).$$

We need the following assertion:

Proposition 2. There exist continuous functions f_k, F_r such that for any eigenfunction u_m of order m of the operator L with some eigenvalue $\lambda = \mu^n$,

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=N'-N}^{N'} f_k(\mu, t) u_m^{(i)}(x+kt) = \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^m \int_{x+(N'-N)t}^{x+N't} D_3^i F_r(\mu, t, x-\tau) \sum_{s=1}^n q_s(\tau) u_m^{(n-s)}(\tau) d\tau \quad (i = 0, \dots, n-1) \end{aligned}$$

whenever $x+(N'-N)t \in G$ and $x+N't \in G$. Furthermore, introducing the notation

$$(29) \quad Q(\mu, t) = \exp((m+1)(\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_n)t),$$

to any fixed positive number A there exists a constant C such that

$$(30) \quad |f_0(\mu, t) - Q(\mu, t)| \leq C|Q(\mu, t)|e^{\operatorname{Re}(\mu_{n'+1} - \mu_n)t},$$

$$(31) \quad |f_{m+1}(\mu, t) - e^{-(m+1)\mu_{n'}t}Q(\mu, t)| \leq C|e^{-(m+1)\mu_{n'}t}Q(\mu, t)|e^{\operatorname{Re}(\mu_n - \mu_{n'-1})t}$$

$$(32) \quad |f_k(\mu, t)| \leq C|Q(\mu, t)|e^{-|k|et},$$

$$(33) \quad |D_3^i F_r(\mu, t, x - \tau)| \leq C|\mu|^{i+(r+1)(1-n)}|Q(\mu, t)|e^{-e|x-\tau|}$$

whenever

$$(34) \quad \operatorname{Re} \mu \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq A \quad \text{and} \quad |\mu| \geq 1.$$

First we deduce Theorem 2 from Proposition 2. As in Theorem 1, it suffices to consider the case $\operatorname{Re} \mu \geq 0$. Let us fix a compact interval $K \subset G$ such that

$$K_1 \subset \operatorname{int} K \quad \text{and} \quad K \subset \operatorname{int} K_2$$

and put

$$R = (m+1+N')^{-1} \operatorname{dist}(K_1, \partial K)$$

Let us fix $B_1 > 0$ such that

$$(35) \quad \operatorname{Re} \mu \geq B_1 \quad \text{and} \quad t \geq R/2 \Rightarrow |f_0(\mu, t)| \leq 2^{-1}|Q(\mu, t)|$$

and then $B_2, B_3 > 0$ such that

$$(36) \quad |\mu| \geq B_2 \Rightarrow \|u\|_{L^\infty(K_2)} \leq B_3|\mu|^{1-i}\|u^{(i)}\|_{L^1(K_2)}$$

and

$$(37) \quad |\mu| \geq B_2, \operatorname{Re} \mu \leq B_1 \quad \text{and} \quad t \geq R/2 \Rightarrow |f_{m+1}(\mu, t)| \leq 2^{-1}|e^{-(m+1)\mu_{n'}t}Q(\mu, t)|.$$

This is possible by (30), (31) and by Theorems 3, 4 in [6] (if we are interested only in the estimate (26), it suffices to use Theorem 2 in [5] instead of the results of the paper [6]). Now we distinguish three cases.

If $|\mu| \leq B_2$ then (26) follows from (3).

If $|\mu| > B_2$ and $\operatorname{Re} \mu \geq B_1$ then we apply the formula (28) with any $x \in K_1$ and $R/2 \leq t \leq R$; in view of (22), (33) and (35) we obtain

$$|u_m^{(i)}(x)| \leq C \sum_{\substack{N'-N \leq k \leq N' \\ k \neq 0}} |u_m^{(i)}(x+kt)| + C \sum_{r=0}^m \sum_{s=2}^n |\mu|^{i+(r+1)(1-n)} \|q_s\|_{L^1(K)} \|u_{m-r}^{(n-s)}\|_{L^\infty(K)}.$$

Using Theorem 2 in [5], Theorem 1 from the preceding section and (36),

$$|\mu|^{i+(r+1)(1-n)} \|u_{m-r}^{(n-s)}\|_{L^\infty(K)} \leq C|\mu|^{i+1-s} \|u_m\|_{L^\infty(K_2)} \leq C|\mu|^{2-s} \|u_m^{(i)}\|_{L^1(K_2)} \leq C \|u_m^{(i)}\|_{L^1(K_2)};$$

therefore

$$|u_m^{(i)}(x)| \leq C \sum_{\substack{N'-N \leq k \leq N' \\ k \neq 0}} |u_m^{(i)}(x+kt)| + C \|u_m^{(i)}\|_{L^1(K_2)}.$$

Applying the transformation $\int_{R/2}^R dt$ we obtain

$$|u_m^{(i)}(x)| \leq C \|u_m^{(i)}\|_{L^1(K_2)}$$

whence

$$\|u_m^{(i)}\|_{L^\infty(K_1)} \leq C \|u_m^{(i)}\|_{L^1(K_2)}$$

and (26), (27) are proved.

If $|\mu| > B_2$ and $\text{Re } \mu < B_1$, then we apply the formula (28) with any $x \in K_1$ and $R/2 \leq t \leq R$ (we put x in place of $x + (m+1)t$); using (32), (33) and (37) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |u_m^{(i)}(x)| &\leq C \sum_{\substack{N'-N-m-1 \leq k \leq N'-m-1 \\ k \neq 0}} |u_m^{(i)}(x+kt)| + \\ &+ C \sum_{r=0}^m \sum_{s=2}^n |\mu|^{i+(r+1)(1-n)} \|q_s\|_{L^1(K)} \|u_{m-r}^{(n-s)}\|_{L_\infty(K)}; \end{aligned}$$

hence we can conclude (26), (27) similarly as in the preceding case. The theorem is proved.

Now we prove Proposition 2. Let us denote by $S_k(\mu, t)$ the elementary symmetric polynomial of order k of $e^{\mu_1 t}, \dots, e^{\mu_n t}$ with the main coefficient $(-1)^{n-k}$ if $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$; otherwise we put $S_k(\mu, t) = 0$. Define

$$f_{k+N'-N}(\mu, t) = S_{n-k}(\mu, t)$$

if $m=0$, and

$$f_{k+N'-N}(\mu, t) = \sum_{r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \dots \sum_{r_m \in \mathbb{Z}} S_{n-r_1}(\mu, t) \dots S_{n-r_m}(\mu, t) S_{n-k+r_1+\dots+r_m}(\mu, t)$$

if $m \geq 1$. It was shown by Joó [4] that for any eigenfunction v_m of order m of the operator $L_0 v = v^{(n)}$ with some eigenvalue $\lambda = \mu^n$,

$$\sum_{k=N'-N}^{N'} f_k(\mu, t) v_m(x+kt) \equiv 0;$$

hence for $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$

$$(38) \quad \sum_{k=N'-N}^{N'} f_k(\mu, t) v_m^{(i)}(x+kt) \equiv 0.$$

Using the notations of the preceding section, let us define v_m by the formula (19). Then we have (see also [5])

$$(39) \quad v_m^{(i)}(y) = u_m^{(i)}(y) + \sum_{r=0}^m \int_x^y D_2^i K_r(\mu, y-\tau) \sum_{s=1}^n q_s(\tau) u_{m-r}^{(n-s)}(\tau) d\tau.$$

(38) and (39) imply (28) (with obvious notations).

The estimates (30), (31), (32) follow easily from the explicit expressions of the functions f_k . To prove (33) we note that the formula (38) can be obtained if we develop the determinant

$$(40) \quad \begin{vmatrix} \dots & v_m(x+kt) & \dots \\ & \vdots & \\ \dots & \frac{(k\mu_p t)^r}{r!} e^{k\mu_p t} & \dots \\ & \vdots & \end{vmatrix}$$

$$(r = 0, \dots, m, \quad p = 1, \dots, n, \quad k = N' - N, \dots, N')$$

according to the first row and then we simplify the obtained formula by a suitable expression $R(\mu, t)$. Repeating the proof of the estimate (15) in Proposition 1, we obtain (33) under the condition $R(\mu, t) \neq 0$. But this condition can be omitted because for any fixed $\mu \neq 0$, both sides of (33) are continuous in t and the set

$$\{t \in \mathbf{R}: R(\mu, t) = 0\}$$

is discrete.

The proposition (and also the theorem) is proved.

Remark. In case $n \leq 2$ the condition $q_1 \equiv 0$ in Theorem 2 can be omitted. Indeed, using (25) and (3),

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(K_1)} \leq C e^{-R|\operatorname{Re} \mu_1|} \|u\|_{L^\infty(K_2)} \leq C e^{-R|\operatorname{Re} \mu_1|} (1 + |\operatorname{Re} \mu_1|) \|u\|_{L^1(K_2)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^1(K_2)}.$$

Conjecture. The condition $q_1 \equiv 0$ in Theorem 2 can be omitted in case $n \geq 3$, too.

Finally we note another version of Theorem 2 which is a little weaker than the above conjecture:

Theorem 3. Assume $q_1, \dots, q_n \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(G)$ for some $p \in [1, \infty]$. Then to any compact intervals $K_1, K_2 \subset G$, $K_1 \subset \text{int } K_2$, there exists a constant C such that for any eigenfunction u of order 0 of the operator L with some eigenvalue λ ,

$$(41) \quad \|u\|_{L^\infty(K_1)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^p(K_2)}.$$

For $|\lambda|$ sufficiently large we have also

$$(42) \quad \|u^{(i)}\|_{L^\infty(K_1)} \leq C \|u^{(i)}\|_{L^p(K_2)} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n-1).$$

Proof. We repeat the proof of Theorem 2 with the following changes:

In case $|\mu| > B_2$ and $\operatorname{Re} \mu \geq B_1$ we have now

$$|u_0^{(i)}(x)| \leq C \sum_{\substack{n'-n \leq k \leq n' \\ k \neq 0}} |u_0^{(i)}(x+kt)| + C \sum_{s=1}^n |\mu|^{i+1-n} \|q_s\|_{L^p(K)} \|u_0^{(n-s)}\|_{L^p(K)}.$$

Using Theorem 2 in [5] and (36),

$$|\mu|^{i+1-n} \|u_0^{(n-s)}\|_{L^{p'(K)}} \cong C |\mu|^{i+1-s} \|u_0\|_{L^{p'(K)}} \cong C |\mu|^i \|u_0\|_{L^{p'(K)}} \cong C \|u_0^{(i)}\|_{L^{p'(K)}};$$

therefore

$$|u_0^{(i)}(x)| \cong C \sum_{\substack{n'-n \leq k \leq n' \\ k \neq 0}} |u_0^{(i)}(x+kt)| + C \|u_0^{(i)}\|_{L^{p'(K)}},$$

and

$$|u_0^{(i)}(x)| \cong C \|u_0^{(i)}\|_{L^1(K)} + C \|u_0^{(i)}\|_{L^{p'(K)}},$$

$$\|u_0^{(i)}\|_{L^\infty(K_1)} \cong C \|u_0^{(i)}\|_{L^{p'(K)}} \cong C \|u_0^{(i)}\|_{L^{p'(K_2)}}.$$

The case $|\mu| > B_2$ and $\operatorname{Re} \mu < B_1$ is similar.

References

- [1] В. А. Ильин, Необходимые и достаточные условия базисности и равносходимости с тригонометрическим рядом спектральных разложений 1—2, *Дифференциальные уравнения*, **16** (1980), 771—794, 980—1009.
- [2] В. А. Ильин и И. Йо, Равномерная оценка собственных функций и оценка сверху числа собственных значений оператора Штурма—Лиувилля с потенциалом из класса L^p , *Дифференциальные уравнения*, **15** (1979), 1164—1174.
- [3] I. Joó, Upper estimates for the eigenfunctions of the Schrödinger operator, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **44** (1982), 87—93.
- [4] I. Joó, Remarks to a paper of V. Komornik, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **47** (1984), 201—204.
- [5] V. KOMORNIK, Upper estimates for the eigenfunctions of higher order of a linear differential operator, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **45** (1983), 261—271.
- [6] V. KOMORNIK, Some new estimates for the eigenfunctions of higher order of a linear differential operator, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, to appear.
- [7] V. KOMORNIK, On the eigenfunctions of the first- and second-order differential operators, *Studia Math. Hungar.*, to appear.

EÖTVÖS LORÁND UNIVERSITY
DEPARTMENT II OF ANALYSIS
1445 BUDAPEST 8, PF. 323,
HUNGARY