

## On the rate of approximation by orthogonal series

H. SCHWINN

*Dedicated to Professor K. Tandori on his sixtieth birthday*

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$  be a normalized system of orthogonal functions (ONS) with respect to the space  $L^2[0, 1]$ . We ask for additional conditions on coefficients  $\{c_n\}$  with  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n^2 < \infty$  such that the partial sums  $\{s_n(x)\}$  of the orthogonal series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \varphi_n(x)$  are convergent to a limit function  $f(x)$ , uniquely a.e. determined by the Riesz—Fischer theorem, with a certain speed. K. TANDORI [10] proved the following basic result:

**Theorem A.** *Assume that  $\{\lambda(n)\}$  is an increasing sequence tending to  $\infty$ . If  $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n^2 \lambda^2(n) (\ln n)^2 < \infty$ , then the estimate*

$$(1) \quad f(x) - s_n(x) = o_x \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \right) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

holds.

Asking for the finality of Theorem A as a consequence of a result of L. Leindler ([7], Hilfssatz 2) it follows that in case  $\lambda(n+1) > C^* \lambda(n)$  ( $C^* > 1$ ) the factor  $(\ln n)^2$  may be omitted. On the other side, for certain sequences increasing slowly enough, V. A. ANDRIENKO [2] proved the finality of Theorem A. Later on V. I. KOLYADA [6] proved the following result:

**Theorem B.** *Assume that the positive increasing sequence  $\{\lambda(n)\}$  is such that*

$$\ln n = o(\lambda(n))$$

and that there exists a sequence  $\{v_n\}$  with the properties:

$$\mu(n) = v_{n+1} - v_n \cong 2, \quad 1 < \varrho \cong \frac{\lambda(v_{n+1})}{\lambda(v_n)} \cong r.$$

---

Received August 7, 1984.

If  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n^2 \lambda^2(n) < \infty$ , then we have the estimate

$$f(x) - s_n(x) = o_x \left( \frac{\ln \mu(q_{n+1})}{\lambda(n+1)} \right) \quad \text{a.e.};$$

where  $q_n$  is defined with the aid of the strictly increasing function  $v(t)$  with  $v(n) = v_n$  and its inverse  $v^{-1}(t)$  by  $q_n = [v^{-1}(n)]$ .

V. I. Kolyada also proved in [6] the finality of Theorem B in the following way: the speed  $\ln \mu(q_{n+1})/\lambda(n+1)$  may not be replaced by a speed  $(\Lambda(n))^{-1}$  tending faster to zero, i.e. if  $\Lambda(n) \cdot \ln \mu(q_{n+1})/\lambda(n+1) \rightarrow \infty$ .

In this paper we want to establish a general condition for estimations of type (1), which is also necessary for a special class of coefficients  $\{c_n\}$ . In the following let  $\{\lambda(n)\}$  be a nondecreasing sequence tending to infinity. We consider in dependence of a fixed chosen constant  $q > 1$  the uniquely determined sequence of increasing natural numbers  $\{\mu_k\}$  with

$$(2) \quad \lambda(\mu_{k+1}) \cong q \cdot \lambda(\mu_k) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda(\mu_{k+1} - 1) < q \cdot \lambda(\mu_k) \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots).$$

Theorem 1. Let

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=\mu_k}^{\mu_{k+1}-1} c_n^2 \lambda^2(n) (\ln(n - \mu_k + 2))^2 < \infty$$

be fulfilled. Then the estimation

$$(3) \quad f(x) - s_n(x) = o_x \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n+1)} \right) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

holds.

We can extend this statement to partial sums  $\{s_{n_i}(x)\}$ , where  $\{n_i\}$  is an increasing sequence of natural numbers. With respect to the above considered sequence  $\{\mu_n\}$ , let  $l(k)$  be defined by

$$(4) \quad n_{l(k)-1} < \mu_k - 1 \cong n_{l(k)} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then  $l(k+1) - l(k)$  indicates the number out of  $\{n_i\}$  between  $\mu_k - 1$  and  $\mu_{k+1} - 1$ . The above definition also admits the case  $l(k) = l(k+1)$ ; therefore let  $\{k_j\}$  denote the sequence of those numbers when  $l(k_j+1) - l(k_j) > 0$ . Putting

$$(5) \quad C_i = \left\{ \sum_{n=n_{i-1}+1}^{n_i} c_n^2 \right\}^{1/2} \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots; \quad n_{-1} = -1)$$

we prove

Theorem 2. Let

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=l(k_j)+1}^{l(k_j+1)} C_i^2 \lambda^2(n_{i-1}+1) (\ln(i - l(k_j) + 2))^2 < \infty$$

be fulfilled. Then for  $\{s_{n_i}(x)\}$  the estimation

$$f(x) - s_{n_i}(x) = o_x \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n_i+1)} \right) \text{ a.e.}$$

holds.

**Theorem 3.** Let

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^2 \lambda^2(n) < \infty$$

be fulfilled and let  $\alpha(n)$  be defined by  $\alpha(n) = \ln(n - \mu_k + 2)$  if  $\mu_k \leq n < \mu_{k+1}$ . Then the estimation

$$f(x) - s_n(x) = o_x \left( \frac{\alpha(n)}{\lambda(n+1)} \right) \text{ a.e.}$$

holds.

It is possible to show that the conditions of these theorems are also necessary if the coefficients  $\{c_n\}$  resp.  $\{C_i\}$  are nonincreasing in a restricted sense. The following theorem is close to K. Tandori's theorem [9] on the necessity of the condition of coefficients in the Rademacher—Menchoff-theorem (cf. G. ALEXITS [1, p. 83]).

**Theorem 4.** If  $c_n \geq c_{n+1}$  for  $\mu_k \leq n \leq \mu_{k+1} - 2$ ;  $k=1, 2, \dots$ , and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=\mu_k}^{\mu_{k+1}-1} c_n^2 \lambda^2(n) (\ln(n - \mu_k + 2))^2 = \infty,$$

then there exists an ONS  $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$  with

$$(6) \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(n+1) |f(x) - s_n(x)| = \infty \quad (x \in [0, 1]).$$

**Remark.** V. A. ANDRIENKO and L. V. G'RNEVSKA [3] have proved that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n^2 \lambda^2(n) < \infty$  implies estimation (3) if  $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$  defines a convergence system (i.e.  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n^2 < \infty$  implies the convergence a.e. of  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \varphi_n(x)$ ); they further proved that in (3)  $\{\lambda(n)\}$  must not be replaced by a sequence  $\{\Lambda(n)\}$  tending faster to infinity. By Lemma 3 we can conclude that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n^2 \lambda^2(n) < \infty$  is also necessary, for in the case  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n^2 \lambda^2(n) = \infty$  it always exists a convergence system such that estimation (3) fails.

In a way similar to that of L. CSERNYÁK and L. LEINDLER [4] used to extend K. TANDORI'S theorem [9] to subsequences  $\{s_{n_i}(x)\}$ , we can prove with terms (5)

**Theorem 5.** *If  $C_i \cong C_{i+1}$  for  $l(k_j) \cong i \cong l(k_j+1) - 2, j=1, 2, \dots,$  and*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=l(k_j)}^{l(k_{j+1})-1} C_i^2 \lambda^2(n_i+1) (\ln(i-l(k_j)+2))^2 = \infty,$$

*then there exists an ONS  $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$  with*

$$\overline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(n_i+1) |f(x) - s_{n_i}(x)| = \infty \quad (x \in [0, 1]).$$

Obviously Theorems 2 and 4 are generalizations of Theorems 1 and 3. But the result of Theorem 3 is a necessary step in the proof of Theorem 4 and the proof of Theorem 2 is based on Theorem 1. The finality of Theorem 3 follows finally with

**Theorem 6.** *If  $c_n \cong c_{n+1}$  for  $\mu_k \cong n \cong \mu_{k+1} - 2; k=1, 2, \dots,$  and*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^2 \lambda^2(n) = \infty,$$

*then there exists an ONS  $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$  with*

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda(n+1)}{\alpha(n)} |f(x) - s_n(x)| = \infty \quad (x \in [0, 1]).$$

### 2. Proof of Theorems 1, 2 and 3

The following result will be essential.

**Lemma 1.** *For any ONS  $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$  the following estimation holds:*

$$\int_0^1 \left\{ \max_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq N} |c_i \varphi_i(x) + \dots + c_j \varphi_j(x)|^2 \right\} dx \cong K_1 \left( c_1^2 + \sum_{n=2}^N c_n^2 (\ln n)^2 \right). \text{ } ^1)$$

**Proof:** cf. K. TANDORI [11; Satz VII]; see also A. ZYGMUND [13, p. 193].

**Proof of Theorem 1.** In the first step we prove the assertion for the partial sums  $s_{\mu_k-1}(x), k=1, 2, \dots;$  namely by (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \lambda^2(\mu_k) \cdot (f(x) - s_{\mu_k-1}(x))^2 dx &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^2(\mu_k) \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \sum_{n=\mu_j}^{\mu_{j+1}-1} c_n^2 = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=\mu_j}^{\mu_{j+1}-1} c_n^2 \sum_{k=1}^j \lambda^2(\mu_k) = O(1) \sum_{n=\mu_1}^{\infty} c_n^2 \lambda^2(n) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>1)</sup>  $K_1, K_2, \dots$  denote absolute constants.

With the aid of B. Levi's theorem we conclude

$$(7) \quad f(x) - s_{\mu_k-1}(x) = o_x \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(\mu_k)} \right) \quad \text{a.e..}$$

For the remaining partial sums Lemma 1 leads us with

$$\delta_k(x) = \max_{\mu_k \leq n < \mu_{k+1}-1} |s_n(x) - s_{\mu_{k+1}-1}(x)|$$

to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \lambda^2(\mu_k) \delta_k^2(x) dx &\leq K_2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^2(\mu_k) \sum_{n=\mu_k}^{\mu_{k+1}-1} c_n^2 (\ln(n - \mu_k + 2))^2 = \\ &= O(1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=\mu_k}^{\mu_{k+1}-1} c_n^2 \lambda^2(n) (\ln(n - \mu_k + 2))^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This shows

$$s_n(x) - s_{\mu_{k+1}-1}(x) = o_x \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(\mu_k)} \right) \quad \text{a.e.} \quad (\mu_k \leq n \leq \mu_{k+1}-1);$$

by  $\lambda(n+1) \leq q \cdot \lambda(\mu_k)$  for  $\mu_k \leq n \leq \mu_{k+1}-2$  (cf. (2)) together with (7) it follows

$$f(x) - s_n(x) = O(1) \{|f(x) - s_{\mu_{k+1}-1}(x)| + |s_{\mu_{k+1}-1}(x) - s_n(x)|\} = o_x \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n+1)} \right) \quad \text{a.e.,}$$

thus Theorem 1 is proved.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** We represent  $\{s_n(x)\}$  as (direct) partial sums  $\{S_i(x)\}$  of an appropriate orthogonal series with coefficients (5); instead of  $\{\lambda(n)\}$  the sequence  $\{A(i)\}$  with  $A(i) = \lambda(\mu_k)$  if  $\mu_k \leq n_i + 1 < \mu_{k+1}$  is taken. Here with respect to (2)  $\{(k_j)\}$  assumes the role of  $\{\mu_k\}$  (cf. (4)). Theorem 1 gives  $f(x) - S_i(x) = f(x) - s_{n_i}(x) = o_x \left( \frac{1}{A(i+1)} \right)$ ; noting that  $\lambda(n_i+1) = O(\lambda(\mu_k))$  for  $n_i+1 < \mu_{k+1}$  the assertion follows immediately.

**Proof of Theorem 3.** By the proof of Theorem 1 it yields

$$(8) \quad f(x) - s_{\mu_k-1}(x) = o_x \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(\mu_k)} \right) \quad \text{a.e..}$$

Now, for the partial sums  $s_n^*(x)$  of the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n^* \varphi_n(x)$  with  $c_n^* = c_n \cdot (\ln(n - \mu_k + 2))^{-1}$  if  $\mu_k \leq n < \mu_{k+1}$ ;  $k=0, 1, \dots$ , the proof of Theorem 1 has shown that

$$\hat{\delta}_k(x) = \max_{\mu_k \leq n < \mu_{k+1}} |s_n^*(x) - s_{\mu_{k+1}-1}^*(x)| = o_x \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(\mu_k)} \right) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

and

$$\delta_k^*(x) = \max_{\mu_k \leq n < \mu_{k+1}} |s_n^*(x) - s_{\mu_k-1}^*(x)| = o_x \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(\mu_k)} \right) \quad \text{a.e..}$$

By Abel's transformation (cf. G. ALEXITS [1; p. 68]) we get with  $\mu_k \leq n < \mu_{k+1}$

$$\begin{aligned} |s_n(x) - s_{\mu_k-1}(x)| &= \left| \sum_{v=\mu_k}^n c_v \varphi_v(x) \right| = \left| \sum_{v=\mu_k}^n \ln(v - \mu_k + 2) c_v^* \varphi_v(x) \right| = \\ &= \left| \sum_{v=\mu_k}^{n-1} (\ln(v - \mu_k + 2) - \ln(v + 1 - \mu_k + 2))(s_v^*(x) - s_{\mu_k-1}^*(x)) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \ln(n - \mu_k + 2)(s_n^*(x) - s_{\mu_k-1}^*(x)) \right| \leq 3 \ln(n - \mu_k + 2) \delta_k^*(x). \end{aligned}$$

This proves  $\lambda(\mu_k)(\ln(n - \mu_k + 2))^{-1}(s_n(x) - s_{\mu_k-1}(x)) \rightarrow 0$  a.e. ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), the assertion follows by (8) and (2).

### 3. Proof of Theorems 4, 5 and 6

To prove the necessity of the conditions stated in these theorems we need some auxiliary results. We use the following lemma of K. TANDORI [9] (cf. G. ALEXITS [1, p. 87]) which plays an important role in the proof of divergence phenomena of orthogonal series in general.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a nonincreasing sequence of positive real numbers, and let  $N_r = 2^{r+2} - 4$ ,  $r = 0, 1, \dots$ . Then, for every  $r$ , there exists a measurable set  $F_r$  with measure*

$$\mu(F_r) \geq K_1^* \min \{1, N_{r+1} a_{N_{r+1}}^2 (\ln N_{r+1})^2\} \quad (K_1^* > 0),$$

and an ONS  $\{\Phi_n(x)\}$  consisting of piecewise functions, such that

- (a) the sets  $F_0, F_1, \dots$  are stochastically independent<sup>1)</sup>
- (b) for all  $x \in F_r$  it exists a number  $n_{r(x)} < 2^{r+2}$  such that  $\Phi_{N_r}(x), \dots, \Phi_{N_r+n_{r(x)}}(x)$  are of the same sign and

$$|\Phi_{N_r}(x) + \dots + \Phi_{N_r+n_{r(x)}}(x)| \geq \frac{K_2^*}{a_{N_{r+1}}} \quad (K_2^* > 0).$$

**Remark.** The proof of the lemma shows that  $F_r$  may be chosen as a simple set (i.e. consisting of a finite number of segments) and with the additional property: if  $\Phi_0(x), \dots, \Phi_{N_{r+1}-1}(x)$  are constant in a segment  $I^*$ , then either  $F_r \cap I^* = \emptyset$  or  $I^* \subset F_r$ .

To prove the necessity of the condition in Theorem 4 we first state

<sup>1)</sup>  $F_0, F_1, \dots$  are stochastically independent with respect to  $[0, 1]$ , if  $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_l$  then  $\mu(F_{k_1} \cap F_{k_2} \cap \dots \cap F_{k_l}) = \mu(F_{k_1})\mu(F_{k_2})\dots\mu(F_{k_l})$ .

Lemma 3. Let  $\{c_n\}$  be an arbitrary sequence of real numbers. If condition

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n^2 \lambda^2(n) = \infty$$

is fulfilled, then there exists an ONS  $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$  consisting of piecewise constant functions which forms a convergence system with

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(n+1) |f(x) - s_n(x)| = \infty.$$

Proof. We can find a nonincreasing positive sequence  $\{\varepsilon_n\} \rightarrow 0$  with

$$(9) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^2 \lambda^2(n) \varepsilon_n^2 = \infty.$$

We define the system of functions by induction. In the basic step we put with  $r_1(x) = \text{sign}(\sin 2\pi x)$  ( $0 \leq x \leq 1$ )

$$\varphi_0(x) = r_1(x).$$

Now let  $\varphi_0(x), \dots, \varphi_{m-1}(x)$  be defined. The segments where each of these functions are constant are denoted by  $I_0^{(m)}, \dots, I_{q_m}^{(m)}$  (with  $\sum_{l=0}^{q_m} \mu(I_l^{(m)}) = 1$ ). Putting

$$\gamma(m) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } c_m = 0 \text{ or } c_m^2 \lambda^2(m) \varepsilon_m^2 > 1, \\ c_m^2 \lambda^2(m) \varepsilon_m^2 & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$$

we choose in each  $I_l^{(m)} = (s_l^{(m)}, t_l^{(m)})$  a partial segment  $J_l^{(m)} = (u_l^{(m)}, v_l^{(m)})$  with  $\mu(J_l^{(m)}) = \gamma(m) \mu(I_l^{(m)})$  and with  $u_l^{(m)} = s_l^{(m)}$ . In general, for a segment  $J = (u, v)$  and a function  $f(x)$  defined on  $[0, 1]$ , let the denotation

$$(10) \quad f(J; x) = \begin{cases} f\left(\frac{x-u}{v-u}\right) & \text{if } x \in J \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin J \end{cases}$$

be valid. Then we put

$$\varphi_m(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma(m)}} \sum_{l=0}^{q_m} r_1(J_l^{(m)}; x).$$

It is easy to verify that  $\varphi_0(x), \dots, \varphi_m(x)$  constitute a set of orthogonal and normalized functions.

The sets

$$G_m = \bigcup_{l=0}^{q_m} J_l^{(m)}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

are stochastically independent. Thus by the second Borel—Cantelli lemma (cf. W. FELLER [5, p. 155]) we deduce that with  $\mu(G_m) = \gamma(m)$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(G_m) = \infty$  (cf. (9)),

for  $\bar{G} = \overline{\lim} G_m$   $\mu(\bar{G})=1$  holds. Taking  $x_0 \in \bar{G}$  we can find an infinite set of numbers  $m$  with

$$\lambda(m)|c_m \varphi_m(x)| = \frac{|c_m| \lambda(m)}{\sqrt{\gamma(m)}} \cong \frac{1}{\varepsilon_m}.$$

Because of  $\varepsilon_m \rightarrow 0$  and because of the estimate

$$|f(x) - s_{m-1}(x)| \cong |c_m \varphi_m(x)| - |f(x) - s_m(x)|$$

the above stated equality contradicts the estimation  $f(x) - s_{m-1}(x) = O_x\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(m)}\right)$

a.e.. Changing the values of  $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$  in  $[0, 1] - \bar{G}$  in an appropriate way, we get the assertion of Lemma 3.

To prove that  $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$  is a convergence system, we mention a lemma of D. E. Menchoff ([8], Lemma 2) proving that the following conditions are sufficient for  $\{\psi_n(x)\}$  to be a convergence system: Let the segments  $I_l^{(n)}$ ,  $l=1, \dots, p_n$ , with  $I_l^{(n)} \cap I_{l_0}^{(n)} = \emptyset$  if  $l \neq l_0$  and  $\sum_{l=1}^{p_n} \mu(I_l^{(n)}) = 1$ , satisfy

- (i)  $\psi_n(x)$  has constant value in  $I_l^{(n)}$ ,  $l=1, \dots, p_n$ ;
- (ii)  $\int_{I_l^{(n)}} \psi_m(x) dx = 0$  for  $l=1, \dots, p_n$ ;  $m=n+1, n+2, \dots$ ;
- (iii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_l \mu(I_l^{(n)}) = 0$ ;
- (iv) if  $m > n$  then for every  $I_l^{(n)} = (s, t)$  ( $1 \leq l \leq p_n$ ) it exists an index  $\sigma = \sigma(l, n, m)$  such that for  $I_\sigma^{(m)} = (u, v)$  yields  $u = s$ .

It is obvious, that the above mentioned functions  $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$  and the sets  $J_l^{(m)}$  ( $l=0, 1, \dots, q_m$ ;  $m=1, 2, \dots$ ) satisfy conditions (i)–(iv), which completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 4. We first mention that the case  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^2 \lambda^2(n) = \infty$  is treated in Lemma 3. Therefore, in the following, we may assume that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^2 \lambda^2(n) < \infty$ .

For the terms

$$\sigma_k = \begin{cases} \sum_{n=\mu_k}^{\mu_{k+1}-1} c_n^2 \lambda^2(n) (\ln(n - \mu_k + 2))^2 & \text{if } \mu_{k+1} - \mu_k > 4 \\ 0 & \text{if } \mu_{k+1} - \mu_k \leq 4 \end{cases}$$

where  $\{\mu_k\}$  is given in (2), the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_k$  is divergent. Again we can find a nonincreasing sequence  $\{\varepsilon_k\} \rightarrow 0$  with

$$(11) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_k \varepsilon_k^2 = \infty.$$

On the basis of this series we define an ONS which satisfies (6). By the aid of the Rademacher functions  $r_n(x) = \text{sign}(\sin 2^n \pi x)$ ,  $n=0, 1, \dots$ , we take

$$\varphi_n(x) = r_n(x), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \mu_1 - 1.$$



Now let  $\varphi_0(x), \dots, \varphi_{\mu_k-1}(x)$  be defined and let us denote by  $I_l^{(k)}, l=0, \dots, q_k$ ; the segments in which each of these functions have constant value; we may assume that either  $I_l^{(k)} \subset I_{l_0}^{(k-1)}$  or  $I_l^{(k)} \cap I_{l_0}^{(k-1)} = \emptyset$ . In the case of  $\mu_{k+1} - \mu_k \leq 4$  we put (by transformation (10))

$$\varphi_n(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{q_k} r_{n-\mu_{k+1}}(I_l^{(k)}; x), \quad n = \mu_k, \dots, \mu_{k+1} - 1.$$

Otherwise if  $\mu_{k+1} - \mu_k > 4$  we select  $r_0 = r_0(k) \geq 0$  such that with the numbers  $\{N_r\}$  defined in Lemma 2  $N_{r_0+1} < \mu_{k+1} - \mu_k \leq N_{r_0+2}$  is satisfied. Then we refer to Lemma 2 and set

$$(12) \quad a_n = c_{\mu_k+n} \varepsilon_k \lambda(\mu_k), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N_{r_0+1}.$$

Let  $I'_l$  and  $I''_l$  denote the two halves of  $I_l, l=0, 1, \dots, q_k$ ; then we put with the functions  $\{\Phi_n(x)\}$  out of Lemma 2

$$\varphi_{\mu_k+n}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{q_k} \{\Phi_n(I'_l; x) - \Phi_n(I''_l; x)\}, \quad n = 1, \dots, N_{r_0+1}.$$

In the case  $N_{r_0+1} + 1 < \mu_{k+1} - \mu_k$ , we again select all segments  $I_l^*, l=0, 1, \dots, q_k^*$ ; in which the already stated functions have constant value. We then put

$$\varphi_{\mu_k+N_{r_0+1}+n}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{q_k^*} r_n(I_l^*; x), \quad n = 1, \dots, \mu_{k+1} - N_{r_0+1} - 1.$$

With the transformation  $(0, 1) \rightarrow I'_l$  resp.  $(0, 1) \rightarrow I''_l$  if  $\mu_{k+1} - \mu_k > 4$  the sets  $F_r, r=0, 1, \dots, r_0(k)$ , considered in Lemma 2 will be transformed into the sets  $F_r(I'_l)$  resp.  $F_r(I''_l)$ . Then we set

$$G_r^{(k)} = \bigcup_{l=0}^{q_k} \{F_r(I'_l) \cup F_r(I''_l)\}.$$

Let  $l_1, l_2, \dots$  denote those numbers with  $\mu_{l_j+1} - \mu_{l_j} > 4$ . Then the following is true:

(i) the sets  $G_r^{(l_j)}, r=0, 1, \dots, r_0(l_j)$ , are stochastically independent (which follows by the definition and by the Remark to Lemma 2); furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(G_r^{(l_j)}) &= \sum_{l=0}^{q_{l_j}} \{\mu(F_r(I'_l)) + \mu(F_r(I''_l))\} = \mu(F_r) \sum_{l=0}^{q_{l_j}} \{\mu(I'_l) + \mu(I''_l)\} = \mu(F_r) \cong \\ &\cong K^* \min \{1, N_{r+1} c_{\mu_{l_j}}^2 \varepsilon_{l_j}^2 \lambda^2(\mu_{l_j}) (\ln N_{r+1})^2\}; \end{aligned}$$

(ii) if  $x \in G_r^{(l_j)}$ , then there exists a number  $n_r(x) = n_r(l_j; x) < 2^{r+2}$  with

$$|\varphi_{\mu_{l_j}+N_r}(x) + \dots + \varphi_{\mu_{l_j}+N_r+n_r(x)}(x)| \cong \frac{B^*}{c_{\mu_{l_j}+N_r+1} \varepsilon_{l_j} \lambda(\mu_{l_j})}$$

and the considered functions have the same sign at  $x$ . By the second Borel—Cantelli lemma, using  $c_n \cong c_{n+1}$  and  $\lambda(n) \leq q\lambda(\mu_l)$  if  $\mu_l \leq n \leq \mu_{l+1} - 1$ , furthermore that

$$(13) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{r_0(l_j)} \mu(G_r^{(l_j)}) = \infty,$$

(cf. (i), (11)) for  $\bar{G} = \overline{\lim} G_r^{(l_j)}$  we have  $\mu(\bar{G}) = 1$ . On the other side we get by (ii) with  $x \in G_r^{(l_j)}$ ,  $0 \leq r \leq r_0(l_j)$ ;  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , that for a suitable  $n_r(x)$  it holds

$$\lambda(\mu_{l_j} + N_r) \left| \sum_{n=\mu_{l_j} + N_r}^{\mu_{l_j} + N_r + n_r(x)} c_n \varphi_n(x) \right| \cong \frac{B^*}{\varepsilon_{l_j}} \rightarrow \infty,$$

whence (6) obviously follows (changing the values of  $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$  in a suitable set of measure 0, if necessary).

The proof of Theorem 5 is based on Theorem 4, the method being close to that of L. CSERNYÁK and L. LEINDLER [4] where the following extension of K. Tandori's theorem [9] is proved: Let  $\{C_i\}$  (cf. (5)) be a nonincreasing sequence. If  $\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} C_i^2 (\ln i)^2 = \infty$ , then it exists an ONS  $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$  with  $\overline{\lim}_{i \rightarrow \infty} |f(x) - s_{n_i}(x)| = \infty$ . But at first we want to mention a result concerning the Rademacher functions  $\{r_n(x)\}$ . For any sequence  $\{c_n\}$ ,  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n^2 < \infty$ , and for  $f(x) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n r_n(x)$  given by the Riesz—Fischer theorem it holds

$$(14) \quad A \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n^2 \right\}^{1/2} \cong \int_0^1 |f(x)| dx \cong B \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n^2 \right\}^{1/2}.$$

(A, B absolutely constant; cf. A. ZYGMUND [12, p. 213]). On the basis of estimation (14) L. Csernyák and L. Leindler proved

Lemma 4. For an arbitrary sequence  $\{c_n\}$  let the sets  $E_{n,m}$  be defined by

$$E_{n,m} = \left\{ x : \left| \sum_{v=n}^{n+m} c_v r_v(x) \right| > \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{v=n}^{n+m} c_v^2 \right\}^{1/2} \right\}.$$

Then the sets  $E_{n,m}$  are simple sets with

$$\mu(E_{n,m}) \cong \frac{A^2}{4},$$

A given by (14).

Proof of Theorem 5. (a) By Theorem 4 we can find for the sequence  $\{C_i\}$  an ONS  $\{\Phi_i(x)\}$  such that for the partial sums  $\{S_i(x)\}$  of the series  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i \Phi_i(x)$  we have

$$\overline{\lim}_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(n_i + 1) |f(x) - S_i(x)| = \infty \quad (x \in [0, 1]).$$

As in [4] it will be proved that with the aid of  $\{\Phi_i(x)\}$  we can set up an ONS  $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$  for which the assertion of the theorem is true. We outline the proof and refer the reader to [4] for complete argumentation.

We distinguish two cases:  $I(k_j+1)-I(k_j)=O(1)$  and  $I(k_j+1)-I(k_j)\neq O(1)$  (for definition of  $I(k_j)$  cf. the preliminary remark regarding Theorem 2) and treat only the (more complicate) latter one.

When  $I(k_j+1)-I(k_j)\neq O(1)$  let  $l_1^*, l_2^*, \dots$  denote those indices with

$$I(l_j^*+1)-I(l_j^*) > 4.$$

By the proof of Theorem 4 we can find some simple sets  $G_r^{(l_j^*)}$ ,  $r=0, 1, \dots, r_0(l_j^*)$ , with

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{r_0(l_j^*)} \mu(G_r^{(l_j^*)}) = \infty$$

(cf. (13)), and for  $x \in G_r^{(l_j^*)}$  numbers  $i_0 = I(l_j^*) + N_r$  and  $n_r(x)$  such that

$$(15) \quad \lambda(n_{i_0+1}) \left| \sum_{i=i_0}^{i_0+n_r(x)} C_i \Phi_i(x) \right| > \frac{B^*}{\varepsilon_j^*} \quad (\{\varepsilon_n\} \rightarrow 0),$$

and these functions are of the same sign on  $G_r^{(l_j^*)}$ .

(b) Next, corresponding to  $l_j^*$  and  $r \leq r_0(l_j^*)$  the number  $\varkappa(j, r)$  is defined by

$$\varkappa(j, r) = \max \{ (n_{i+1} - n_i) : I(l_j^*) + N_r \leq i < I(l_j^*) + N_{r+1} \}, \\ r = 0, 1, \dots, r_0(l_j^*); \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Now let us choose a fixed  $l_j^*$  and  $r$ . With the above value  $\varkappa = \varkappa(j, r)$  we divide  $[0, 1]$   $Q^* = 2^{\varkappa+1}$  partial segments with equal length  $I_q = (u_q, v_q)$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq Q^*$ . With respect to some  $n_{i_0}$ , where  $n_{I(l_j^*)+N_r} \leq n_{i_0} < n_{I(l_j^*)+N_{r+1}}$ , the number of segments  $I_q$ , where

$$(16) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{n_{i_0+1}-n_{i_0}} c_{n_{i_0}+n} r_n(x) > \frac{A}{2} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{n_{i_0+1}-n_{i_0}} c_{n_{i_0}+n}^2 \right\}^{1/2},$$

is at least  $p^* = 2^{-3} A^2 Q^*$  bearing in mind that  $r_n((1/2)+x) = r_n((1/2)-x)$ . Let us then change the segments  $I_q$  and simultaneously the corresponding values of the functions  $r_n(x)$ ,  $n=1, \dots, n_{i_0+1}-n_{i_0}$ , in such a way that (16) holds for the first  $p^*$  segments. The new functions are denoted by  $r_{i_0, n}(x)$ ,  $n=1, \dots, n_{i_0+1}-n_{i_0}$ , i.e. it yields

$$\sum_{n=1}^{n_{i_0+1}-n_{i_0}} c_{n_{i_0}+n} r_{i_0, n}(x) > \frac{A}{2} \left\{ \sum_{n=n_{i_0}+1}^{n_{i_0+1}} c_n^2 \right\}^{1/2}, \\ x \in I_q; \quad q = 1, \dots, p^*.$$

With the ONS  $\{\Phi_i(x)\}$  mentioned in (a), we consider the functions (cf. (10))

$$g_{i_0q}(x) = \Phi_{i_0}(I_q; x), \quad q = 1, \dots, Q^*,$$

and we put

$$(17) \quad \gamma_{n_{i_0}+n}(x) = \sum_{q=1}^{Q^*} r_{i_0,n}(x) g_{i_0q}(x), \quad n = 1, \dots, n_{i_0+1} - n_{i_0}.$$

As in [4] we can prove that  $\{\gamma_n(x)\}$  are orthogonal and normalized functions.

(c) For those  $n_i$  which are not covered by (b), namely when  $i^* = I(I_j^*) + N_{r_0(I_j^*)+1}$  and  $n_{i^*} \cong n_i < n_{i(Q_{j^*+1}^*)}$  we put  $Q^* = 2^{n_{i^*+1} - n_i + 1}$  and define  $\gamma_{n_{i^*+1}}(x), \dots, \gamma_{n_{i^*+1}}(x)$  analogously to (17) in (b).

(d) For fixed  $I_j^*$  and  $r$  with respect to the transformation  $(0, 1) \rightarrow I_q$  in (b) the set  $G_r^{(I_j^*)}$  will be transformed into the set  $G_r^{(I_q^*)}(I_q)$ . Taking

$$\bar{G}_r^{(I_j^*)} = \bigcup_{q=1}^{Q^*} G_r^{(I_q^*)}(I_q)$$

we get

$$(18) \quad \mu(\bar{G}_r^{(I_j^*)}) \cong 2^{-4} A^3 \mu(G_r^{(I_j^*)}).$$

On the other side, with  $i_0 = I(I_j^*) + N_r$ , we can find for  $x \in \bar{G}_r^{(I_j^*)}$ , e.g.  $x \in G_r^{(I_q^*)}(I_{q_0})$ , a number  $n_r(x)$  with (cf. (15), (16))

$$(19) \quad \left| \sum_{i=i_0}^{i_0+n_r(x)} \sum_{k=n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}} c_k \gamma_k(x) \right| = \left| \sum_{i=i_0}^{i_0+n_r(x)} g_{i_0}(x) \sum_{k=n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}} c_k r_{i,k-n_i}(x) \right| > \frac{A \cdot B^*}{2\epsilon_{I_j} \lambda(\mu_{I_j^*})}.$$

(e) In the last step we have to give the ONS  $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$  asked for. At first we put

$$\varphi_n(x) = r_n(x), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, n_{i(Q_{j^*+1}^*)}.$$

Now let with  $i_0 = I(I_j^*) + N_v$  the functions  $\varphi_0(x), \dots, \varphi_{n_{i_0}}(x)$  be defined. Denoting with  $J_\sigma = J_\sigma^{(i_0)}$ ,  $\sigma = 1, \dots, R(i_0)$  all those partial segments where these functions have constant value, we may assume that  $J_\sigma^{(i_0)} \cap J_\sigma^{(i_0-1)} = J_\sigma^{(i_0)}$  or that  $J_\sigma^{(i_0)} \cap J_\sigma^{(i_0-1)} = \emptyset$ . The two halves of  $J_\sigma$  may be marked by  $J'_\sigma$  and  $J''_\sigma$ .

In the case  $v = r_0(I_j^*) + 1$  and  $I(I_{j+1}^*) - I(I_j^*) > N_{v+1}$ , we put with  $R = R(i_0)$  (cf. (10))

$$\varphi_k(x) = \sum_{\sigma=1}^R (\gamma_k(J'_\sigma; x) - \gamma_k(J''_\sigma; x)), \quad k = n_{i_0} + 1, \dots, n_{i(Q_{j^*+1}^*)};$$

otherwise if  $v \cong r_0(I_j^*)$ , then we take

$$\varphi_k(x) = \sum_{\sigma=1}^R (\gamma_k(J'_\sigma; x) - \gamma_k(J''_\sigma; x)), \quad k = n_{i_0} + 1, \dots, n_{i(Q_{j^*+1}^*) + N_{v+1}}.$$

In the last case we also take up the set

$$H_v^{(j)} = \bigcup_{\sigma=1}^R (G_v^{(j^*)}(J_\sigma') \cup G_v^{(j^*)}(J_\sigma'')),$$

whereby  $G_v^{(j^*)}$  is transformed into  $G_v^{(j^*)}(J_\sigma')$  when  $(0, 1)$  is transformed into  $J_\sigma'$ .

The sets  $H_v^{(j)}$ ,  $v=0, 1, \dots, r_0(l_j)$ ;  $j=1, \dots$  are stochastically independent and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{v=0}^{r_0(l_j^*)} \mu(H_v^{(j)}) = \infty$$

(cf. (18)), i.e.

$$\mu(\overline{\lim} H_v^{(j)}) = 1.$$

But with  $x \in H_v^{(j)}$  we can find  $n(x)$  such that for  $i^* = I(l_j^*) + N_v$ , then e.g. for  $x \in G_v^{(j^*)}(J_\sigma')$

$$\left| \sum_{i=i^*}^{i^*+n(x)} \sum_{n=n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}} c_n \varphi_n(x) \right| = \left| \sum_{i=i^*}^{i^*+n(x)} \sum_{n=n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}} c_n \gamma_n(J_\sigma'; x) \right| \cong \frac{AB^*}{2\varepsilon_{i^*} \lambda(\mu_{i^*})}$$

(cf. (19)) which is not bounded. But this contradicts the estimation  $f(x) - s_{n_i}(x) = O_x\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(n_i+1)}\right)$ . Changing the values of  $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$  on a set with measure 0 we get the assertion of Theorem 5.

(f) In the case  $I(k_j+1) - I(k_j) = O(1)$  we proceed as in (c).

The proof of Theorem 6 is similar to that of Theorem 4, taking

$$\sigma_k^2 = \begin{cases} \sum_{n=\mu_k}^{\mu_{k+1}-1} c_n^2 \lambda^2(n) & \text{if } \mu_{k+1} - \mu_k > 4, \\ 0 & \text{if } \mu_{k+1} - \mu_k \leq 4, \end{cases}$$

in case  $\mu_{k+1} - \mu_k \neq O(1)$  with

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_k^2 \varepsilon_k^2 = \infty \quad (\{\varepsilon_k\} \rightarrow 0)$$

and putting in (12)

$$a_n = c_{\mu_k+n} \varepsilon_k, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N_{r_0+1};$$

otherwise, if  $\mu_{k+1} - \mu_k = O(1)$  the assertion follows by Lemma 3.

## References

- [1] G. ALEXITS, *Konvergenzprobleme der Orthogonalreihen*, Akadémiai Kiadó (Budapest, 1960).
- [2] V. A. ANDRIENKO, On the speed of convergence of orthogonal series, *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I. Mat. Meh.*, **22** (1967), 17—24.
- [3] V. A. ANDRIENKO and L. V. G'RNEVSKA, The rate of approximation of functions by their orthogonal series in convergence systems, *Ukrainian Math. J.*, **34** (1982), 278—280.
- [4] L. CSERNYÁK und L. LEINDLER, Über die Divergenz der Partialsummen von Orthogonalreihen, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **27** (1966), 55—61.
- [5] W. FELLER, *An introduction to probability theory and its applications. I*, 2nd ed., John Wiley and Sons (New York—London, 1957).
- [6] V. I. KOLYADA, On the rate of convergence of orthogonal series, *Ukrainian Math. J.*, **25** (1973), 19—29.
- [7] L. LEINDLER, Über die Rieszischen Mittel allgemeiner Orthogonalreihen, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **24** (1963), 129—138.
- [8] D. E. MENCHOFF, On summation of orthogonal series, *Trudy Moscow Mat. Obs.*, **10** (1961), 351—418. (in Russian)
- [9] K. TANDORI, Über die orthogonalen Funktionen I, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **18** (1957), 57—130.
- [10] K. TANDORI, Über die orthogonalen Funktionen VII, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **20** (1959), 19—24.
- [11] K. TANDORI, Über die Konvergenz der Orthogonalreihen II, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **25** (1964), 219—234.
- [12] A. ZYGMUND, *Trigonometric series. I*, University Press (Cambridge, 1959).
- [13] A. ZYGMUND, *Trigonometric series. II*, University Press (Cambridge, 1959).

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT  
ARNDSTRASSE 2  
6300 GIESSEN, GERMANY