

## On $G$ -finite $W^*$ -algebras\*

JOSEPH M. SZŰCS

*Dedicated to Professor Károly Tandori on his 60th birthday*

Let  $M$  be a  $W^*$ -algebra and  $G$  a group of  $*$ -automorphisms of  $M$ . In [3] we have proved that if there exists a faithful  $G$ -invariant *normal* state  $\varphi$  on  $M$ , then for every  $t \in M$ , the  $w^*$ -closure of the convex hull of the orbit of  $t$  under  $G$  contains a unique  $G$ -invariant element  $t^G$ . (In fact, we have proved this result under the more general assumption that the family of  $G$ -invariant normal states on  $M$  is faithful, i.e.,  $M$  is  $G$ -finite. If  $M$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, for example, if  $M$  is an operator algebra in a separable Hilbert space, then this assumption obviously implies the existence of a faithful  $G$ -invariant normal state on  $M$ ). In the present paper we shall prove that the assumption of normalcy of  $\varphi$  is superfluous in this theorem in case  $G$  contains all inner automorphisms of  $M$ . In fact, we shall prove the stronger result that the mapping  $t \rightarrow t^G$  is normal, i.e.,  $M$  is  $G$ -finite [3]. Under additional hypotheses, we shall also prove that  $\varphi$  is itself a normal state.

At the end of the paper we shall make two comments on our paper [4].

**Proposition.** *Let  $M$  be an Abelian  $W^*$ -algebra and  $G$  a group of  $*$ -automorphisms of  $M$ . If there exists a faithful  $G$ -invariant (not necessarily normal) positive linear form  $\varphi$  on  $M$ , then  $M$  is  $G$ -finite. (For the notion of  $G$ -finiteness, cf. [3].)*

**Proof.** Let  $e$  be the least upper bound of the supports of all  $G$ -invariant normal positive linear forms on  $M$ . According to [3], we have to prove that  $e=1$ . Assume on the contrary that  $e \neq 1$ . Since  $e$  is a  $G$ -invariant projection in  $M$ , the restrictions of the elements of  $G$  to the  $W^*$ -algebra  $M(1-e)=(1-e)M(1-e)$  form a group  $G_{1-e}$  of  $*$ -automorphisms of  $M(1-e)$ . By the definition of  $e$ , the only  $G_{1-e}$ -invariant normal positive linear form on  $M(1-e)$  is the zero functional. Consequently, to prove the theorem, i.e., to obtain a contradiction to the assumption  $e \neq 1$ , it is suf-

---

\*) This work was supported in part by organized research money granted by Texas A&M University at Galveston.

Received July 23, 1984.

ficient to show that there is a nonzero  $G_{1-e}$ -invariant normal positive linear form on  $M(1-e)$ . Since the restriction  $\varphi_{1-e}$  of  $\varphi$  to  $M(1-e)$  is a faithful  $G_{1-e}$ -invariant normal positive linear form on  $M(1-e)$ , we may assume that  $e=0$  and thus  $M=M(1-e)$ ,  $G_{1-e}=G$  and  $\varphi_{1-e}=\varphi$ . In other words, we have to prove that under the hypotheses of the theorem, there exists a nonzero  $G$ -invariant normal positive linear form on  $M$ .

Let  $S$  denote the family of those projections  $p$  in  $M$  for which  $t \rightarrow \varphi(tp) = \varphi(p tp)$  is a normal positive linear form on  $M$ . We are going to show that

- (1) if  $p, q \in S$ , then  $p \vee q \in S$
- (2)  $\sup S = 1$ .

To prove (1), let  $p, q \in S$ . Then by the commutativity of  $M$ , we have  $p \vee q = p + q - pq$  and thus the functional  $t \rightarrow \varphi(t(p \vee q)) = \varphi(tp) + \varphi(t(q - pq))$  is a normal positive linear form on  $M$ . (Because  $p, q \in S$  and  $q - pq \leq q$ .) This proves (1). To prove (2), we have to show that every nonzero projection  $p$  in  $M$  majorizes a nonzero projection belonging to  $S$ . This can be shown by using arguments of J. DIXMIER [1], which originate from Lebesgue's work. Let  $p$  be a nonzero projection in  $M$ . Consider a normal positive linear form  $\mu$  on  $M$ , such that  $\mu(p) \cong \varphi(p)$ . We are going to prove that there exists a nonzero projection  $q$  in  $M$ , such that  $\varphi(r) \leq \mu(r)$  for every projection  $r$  in  $M$ , such that  $r \leq q$ . Then the spectral decomposition theorem will imply that  $\varphi(t) \leq \mu(t)$  for every  $t \in Mq$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . Since every positive linear form majorized by a normal positive linear form is normal [1], this will prove (2). Now assume on the contrary that every nonzero projection  $q \leq p$  in  $M$  majorizes a nonzero projection  $r \in M$  such that  $\varphi(r) > \mu(r)$ . By Zorn's lemma, there exists a maximal family  $C$  of mutually orthogonal nonzero projections  $s$  in  $M$  such that  $\varphi(s) > \mu(s)$  for  $s \in C$ . By the indirect hypothesis,  $\sum_{s \in C} s = p$ . Then  $\varphi(p) = \varphi(\sum_{s \in C} s) \cong \sum_{s \in C} \varphi(s) > \sum_{s \in C} \mu(s) = \mu(p)$ , which contradicts the choice of  $\mu$  (i.e., that  $\mu(p) \cong \varphi(p)$ ). Consequently, there is a nonzero projection  $q \leq p$  in  $M$ , such that  $\varphi(r) \leq \mu(r)$  for every projection  $r \in M$  majorized by  $q$ . Hence (2) is proved.

Since  $S$  is an upward directed set, it may serve as an index set for generalized sequences. We shall prove that

$$(*) \quad \psi(t) = \lim_{p \in S} \varphi(tp), \quad t \in M$$

exists (and is finite). First let  $t \geq 0$ . If  $p \leq q$  and  $p, q \in S$ , then the equality  $tq = tp + t(q - p)$  shows that  $tq \geq tp$ . By the positivity of  $\varphi$ , the function  $p \rightarrow \varphi(tp)$  is a nondecreasing nonnegative numerical-valued function on  $S$  and  $\varphi_p(tp) \leq \varphi(t)$  for  $p \in S$ . Consequently, the finite limit  $\lim_{p \in S} \varphi(tp)$  exists and is equal to  $\sup \{\varphi(tp) : p \in S\}$ :

$$(**) \quad \lim_{p \in S} \varphi(tp) = \sup \{\varphi(tp) : p \in S\}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

The existence of  $\lim_{p \in S} \varphi(tp)$  for all  $t \in M$  follows by linearity.

It is clear that  $\psi$  is a positive linear form on  $M$ . Moreover,  $\psi$  is normal. Indeed, it is an elementary observation that for  $p \in S$ , the functional  $t \rightarrow \varphi(tp)$  is normal on  $M$ . The normalcy of  $\psi$  follows from  $(**)$  by using the elementary result that the supremum of an upward directed family of normal positive linear forms is normal [1].

Now we are going to prove that  $\psi$  is  $G$ -invariant. First let us consider any element  $p_0$  of  $S$ ,  $g_0$  of  $G$  and  $t_0$  of  $M$ . Since  $\varphi$  is  $G$ -invariant and  $p_0 \in S$ , the linear form  $t \rightarrow \varphi(tg_0(p_0))$  is normal. Consequently,  $g_0(p_0) \in S$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(g_0(t_0)g_0(p_0)) &= \lim_{p \in S} \varphi(g_0(t_0)g_0(p_0)p) = \lim_{p \geq g_0(p_0), p \in S} \varphi(g_0(t_0)g_0(p_0)p) = \\ &= \lim_{p \geq g_0(p_0), p \in S} \varphi(g_0(t_0)g_0(p_0)) = \varphi(g_0(t_0)g_0(p_0)) = \varphi(g_0(t_0p_0)) = \varphi(t_0p_0) = \\ &= \lim_{p \geq p_0, p \in S} \varphi(t_0p_0p) = \lim_{p \in S} \varphi(t_0p_0p) = \psi(t_0p_0). \end{aligned}$$

So we have shown that  $\psi(g_0(t_0)g_0(p_0)) = \psi(t_0p_0)$ . By using property (2) of  $S$ , proved above, we can let  $p_0$   $w^*$ -converge to 1 in this equality. Then relying on the normalcy of  $\psi$  and on the continuity properties of  $g_0$ , we obtain that  $\psi(g_0(t_0)) = \psi(t_0)$ . Since  $g_0 \in G$  and  $t_0 \in M$  have been chosen arbitrary, we have proved that  $\psi$  is  $G$ -invariant.

Finally,  $\psi$  is not identically zero. It is in fact faithful. Indeed, if  $t \in M$ ,  $t \geq 0$  and  $t \neq 0$ , then  $\psi(T) = \sup \{\varphi(tp) : p \in S\}$ . By property (2) of  $S$ , we have  $\varphi(tp) \neq 0$  for some  $p \in S$ . Therefore,  $\psi(t) > 0$  and the proof of our proposition is complete.

**Theorem.** *Let  $M$  be a  $W^*$ -algebra and  $G$  a group of  $*$ -automorphisms of  $M$  containing the inner automorphism group. If there exists a faithful  $G$ -invariant (not necessarily normal) positive linear form on  $M$ , then  $M$  is  $G$ -finite.*

*Proof.* Since  $G$  contains the inner automorphism group,  $\varphi$  is central [1]. Let  $t \in M$  be such that  $t^*t = 1$ . Then  $\varphi(1 - tt^*) = \varphi(1) - \varphi(tt^*) = \varphi(t^*t) - \varphi(tt^*) = 0$ . Since  $1 - tt^*$  is a projection, the faithfulness of  $\varphi$  implies that  $tt^* = 1$ , i.e.,  $M$  is a finite  $W^*$ -algebra. Let  $Z$  denote the center of  $M$ . It is easy to see that  $Z$  is invariant under the elements of  $G$ . Consequently, we can apply Proposition to  $Z$ , the restriction  $G_Z$  of  $G$  to  $Z$  and the restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $Z$ . We obtain that  $Z$  is  $G_Z$ -finite. The  $G$ -finiteness of  $M$  follows from (simple) results of [3].

**Corollary.** *Suppose that under the hypotheses of the theorem, for every element  $t$  of the center  $Z$  of  $M$ , the uniformly closed convex hull of the orbit of  $t$  under  $G$  contains at least one  $G$ -invariant element. If the restriction of  $\varphi$  to the algebra  $Z^G$  of  $G$ -invariant elements of  $Z$  is normal, then  $\varphi$  is normal on  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be the inner automorphism group of  $M$ . We know [1] that for every  $t \in M$ , the norm closure of the convex hull of the orbit of  $t$  under  $I$  contains at least one element  $t^{\natural}$  of  $Z$ . Moreover, by the hypotheses of the corollary, the norm closure of the convex hull of the orbit of  $t^{\natural}$  under  $G$  contains a  $G$ -invariant element  $t_G$ . It is clear that  $t_G$  is a  $G$ -invariant element in the norm closure of the convex hull of

the orbit of  $t$  under  $G$ . Now let  $t \rightarrow t^G$  be the  $G$ -canonical mapping of  $M$  onto  $M^G$  [3]. Since  $t^G$  is the unique  $G$ -invariant element in the  $w^*$ -closure of the convex hull of the orbit of  $t$  under  $t^G$ , we have  $t^G = t_G$  and  $t^G$  is in the norm closure of the convex hull of the orbit of  $t$  under  $G$ .

Since  $\varphi$  is norm-continuous and  $G$ -invariant,  $\varphi(t) = \varphi(t^G)$ , i.e.,  $\varphi$  is the composition of the mappings  $t \rightarrow t^G$  ( $t \in M$ ) and  $s \rightarrow \varphi(s)$  ( $s \in M^G$ ). We know [3] that  $t \rightarrow t^G$  is normal. If  $\varphi$  is normal on  $M^G$ , then this composite mapping, i.e.,  $\varphi$ , is also normal on  $M$ .

**Remark 1.** We do not have to use generalized sequences in the proof of the Proposition if  $G$ , as a subset of the space of linear self-mappings of  $M$  is separable in the topology of pointwise  $w^*$ -convergence. In this case we can choose a dense countable subgroup  $G_0 = \{g_1, g_2, \dots\}$  of  $G$ , a non-zero projection  $q$  in  $M$ , such that  $\varphi$  is normal on  $Mq$  and take the ordinary limit  $\psi(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t[g_1(q) \vee \dots \vee g_n(q)])$ . It can be shown that  $\psi$  is a non-zero  $G$ -invariant normal positive linear form on  $M$ . It is easy to see that  $G$  is separable if the predual of  $M$  is separable. This will always be the case if we only consider  $W^*$ -algebras  $M$  of operators in a separable Hilbert space.

**Remark 2.** The assumption of Proposition that  $\varphi$  is faithful is essential. Indeed, let  $G$  be an abstract infinite Abelian group. Then  $G$  acts naturally on  $M = l^\infty(G)$  as a group of  $*$ -automorphisms. A  $G$ -invariant state on  $l^\infty(G)$  is nothing else but an invariant mean on  $G$ . We know that there are infinitely many invariant means on  $G$ , none of which are normal. In this situation the entire proof of the theorem is valid except that  $\psi$  will be identically zero. Indeed,  $S$  will consist of the characteristic functions of finite subsets of  $G$ . It is an elementary fact that every invariant mean is zero on such functions.

Finally, the author would like to make two comments on his paper [4]. The first comment is that in Proposition 2 and in its corollary the assumption that  $M$  is  $\sigma$ -finite should be replaced by the assumption that the predual of  $M$  is separable.

The second comment is that all the results of the above mentioned paper remain valid if  $G$  is only assumed to be an amenable group (instead of an Abelian one). Indeed, if  $U_n \subset G$  is a summing sequence [2], then it is easy to prove that under the hypotheses of Lemma 1, the sequence  $|U_n|^{-1} \sum_{g \in U_n} g(t)$   $w^*$ -converges to  $t^G$  for every  $t \in B^*$ . The remaining results of the paper can be extended to amenable groups  $G$  without altering the proofs.

*Added in proof:* By adapting the method of proof of Proposition to the nonabelian case, Theorem can be proved without the assumption that  $G$  contains the inner automorphisms. As suggested by R. R. Smith, this can be done even in a simpler manner by appealing to the decomposition of a state into singular and normal parts.

**References**

- [1] J. DIXMIER, *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace Hilbertien (Algèbres de von Neumann)*, 2nd ed., Gauthier-Villars (Paris, 1969).
- [2] F. P. GREENLEAF, Ergodic theorems and the construction of summing sequences in amenable locally compact groups, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **26** (1973), 29—46.
- [3] I. KOVÁCS and J. SZÚCS, Ergodic theorems in von Neumann algebras, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **27** (1966), 233—246.
- [4] J. SZÚCS, Some weak-star ergodic theorems, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **45** (1983), 389—394.

TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY  
DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL ACADEMICS  
MITCHELL CAMPUS  
GALVESTON, TEXAS 77553, U.S.A.