

On approximation by Euler means of orthogonal series

HANS SCHWINN

1. Introduction

Let $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$ be an orthonormal system on the interval $[0, 1]$. We consider orthogonal series

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \varphi_n(x), \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n^2 < \infty$$

and their Euler means of order q , $0 < q < 1$, ((E, q) -means)

$$(2) \quad t_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^k (1-q)^{n-k} s_k(x) \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots),$$

where $s_k(x) = \sum_{v=0}^k c_v \varphi_v(x)$. Our main interest is directed to the rate of convergence of strong (E, q) -means

$$(3) \quad \tau_n^{(\gamma)}(x) = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^k (1-q)^{n-k} |s_k(x) - f(x)|^\gamma \right\}^{1/\gamma} \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots)$$

on $[0, 1]$ with $0 < q < 1$ and $\gamma > 0$; $f(x)$ is connected with the given series (1) by the Riesz—Fischer theorem.

To this end we assume

$$(4) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n^2 \lambda^2(n) < \infty,$$

where $\{\lambda(n)\}$ should be a nondecreasing sequence of positive real numbers tending to infinity which satisfies for a suitable δ , $0 < \delta < 1$, the following condition

$$(5) \quad \lambda(n) \leq C_\delta \lambda([\delta n]) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Let the class A consist of all such sequences $\{\lambda(n)\}$. For (E, q) -means, V. I. KOLJADA [3] proved

Theorem A. (a) Let $\{\lambda(n)\} \in \Lambda$ and $0 < q < 1$. If $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n^2 \lambda^2(n) \log^2 n < \infty$, then

$$t_n(x) - f(x) = o_x(1/\lambda(n))$$

holds true almost everywhere (a.e.).

(b) Let $\{\lambda(n)\} \in \Lambda$. If the sequence $\{\mu(n)\}$ of positive numbers satisfies the condition $\lambda(n) = o(\mu(n))$, then there exists an orthogonal series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \varphi_n(x)$ with $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n^2 \lambda^2(n) \log^2 n < \infty$ and

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(n) |t_n(x) - f(x)| = \infty.$$

Here we prove for strong (E, q) -means

Theorem 1. Let $\{\lambda(n)\} \in \Lambda$ and $0 < q < 1$. If $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n^2 \lambda^2(n) < \infty$, then $t_n(x) - f(x) = o_x(\lambda^{-1}(n))$ a.e. implies

$$\tau_n^{(\gamma)}(x) = o_x(1/\lambda(n)) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

for every $\gamma > 0$.

We show that the conditions in Theorem 1 are not redundant:

Remark 1. For any method (E, q) , $0 < q < 1$, there exists an orthogonal series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \psi_n(x)$ with $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n^2 \lambda^2(n) < \infty$, $\{\lambda(n)\} \in \Lambda$, such that

$$\tau_n^{(\gamma)}(x) \neq o_x(1/\lambda(n)) \quad \text{a.e.} \quad (\gamma \equiv 1).$$

Remark 2. For any method (E, q) , $0 < q < 1$, there exists an orthogonal series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \psi_n(x)$, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n^2 < \infty$, with $|t_n(x) - f(x)| = o_x(1/\lambda(n))$ a.e. ($\{\lambda(n)\} \in \Lambda$) and

$$\tau_n^{(\gamma)}(x) \neq o_x(1/\lambda(n)) \quad \text{a.e.} \quad (\gamma > 0).$$

The proof of the remarks will be found in Section 4.

The condition $t_n(x) - f(x) = o_x((\lambda(n))^{-1})$ in our theorem may be substituted by a condition concerning the rate of approximation of certain partial sums, as follows from the next theorem. For this purpose we consider sequences of natural numbers $\{m_i\}$ with a gap condition

$$(6) \quad \alpha \sqrt{m_i} < m_{i+1} - m_i < \beta \sqrt{m_{i+1}} \quad (0 < \alpha < \beta < \infty).$$

Theorem 2. Let the sequences $\{m_i\}$ and $\{m_i^*\}$ satisfy a gap condition (6) and let $\{\lambda(n)\} \in \Lambda$. If $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n^2 \lambda^2(n) < \infty$, then for partial sums and (E, q) -means, $0 < q < 1$; of the series (1)

- (a) $s_{m_i}(x) - f(x) = o_x(1/\lambda(m_i))$ a.e. implies $s_{m_i^*}(x) - f(x) = o_x(1/\lambda(m_i^*))$ a.e.;
- (b) $t_{m_i}(x) - f(x) = o_x(1/\lambda(m_i))$ a.e. implies $t_n(x) - f(x) = o_x(1/\lambda(n))$ a.e.;
- (c) $s_{m_i}(x) - f(x) = o_x(1/\lambda(m_i))$ a.e. holds if and only if $t_n(x) - f(x) = o_x(1/\lambda(n))$ a.e.

As an immediate consequence of the last theorem we get a result comparing (E, q) -means with different orders, proved by E. MARTIN [5] for a subclass of A :

Corollary. Let $\{\lambda(n)\} \in A$. If $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n^2 \lambda^2(n) < \infty$, then for (E, q) -means $t_n^{(q)}(x)$ and (E, p) -means $t_n^{(p)}(x)$, $0 < p, q < 1$, of the series (1) it holds:

$$t_n^{(q)}(x) - f(x) = o_x(1/\lambda(n)) \quad \text{a.e. implies} \quad t_n^{(p)}(x) - f(x) = o_x(1/\lambda(n)) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

2. Lemmas

For the proof of our theorems we require some auxiliary results. In the following we assume a method (E, q) with a fixed order q , $0 < q < 1$, and we put $\bar{n} = \min \{k \in \mathbb{N} : n \leq qk\}$. Obviously $[q\bar{n}] = n$ holds. Now it is possible to define the sequences $\{n_i\}$ and $\{\bar{n}_i\}$ by the following relations

$$(7) \quad n_0 = 1, \quad n_{i+1} = n_i + [\sqrt{\bar{n}_i}] \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots).$$

We put for brevity

$$e_{nv} = \binom{n}{v} q^v (1-q)^{n-v} \quad (v \leq n)$$

and consider the differences

$$t_n(x) - s_{[qn]}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n d_{nk} c_k \varphi_k(x)$$

with

$$d_{nk} = \begin{cases} - \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} e_{nv} & (1 \leq k \leq qn), \\ \sum_{v=k}^n e_{nv} & (qn < k \leq n), \\ 0 & (k = 0 \text{ or } k > n). \end{cases}$$

The following estimates can be found in L. KANTOROWITSCH [1] (Lemma 1), resp. H. SCHWINN [7] (p. 20).

Lemma 1. Let $0 < q' < q < 1$. Then we have

$$(a) \quad e_{nk} \leq d_{n(k+1)} \leq A(s)/n^s \quad (0 < k \leq q'n; s > 0);$$

$$(b) \quad \sum_{i: \{q'\bar{n}_i\} \leq k \leq \bar{n}_i} d_{\bar{n}_i, k}^2 \leq B \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

With regard to some structural properties of A , V. I. KOLJADA [3] (Lemma 1) proved

Lemma 2. Let $\{\lambda(n)\} \in A$.

- (a) There exists a constant $p > 0$ with $\lambda(n) = O(n^p)$.
- (b) For every $\delta', 0 < \delta' < 1$, it yields $\lambda(n) \equiv C_{\delta'} \lambda([\delta' n])$.

Lemma 3. Let the coefficients of the series (1) satisfy condition (4) with $\{\lambda(n)\} \in A$, and with respect to $(E, q), 0 < q < 1$, let the sequences $\{n_i\}, \{\bar{n}_i\}$ be defined as in (7). Then

$$t_{\bar{n}_i}(x) - s_{n_i}(x) = o_x(1/\lambda(\bar{n}_i)) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Proof. This lemma is evident if we show

$$\Sigma := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \lambda^2(\bar{n}_i) (t_{\bar{n}_i}(x) - s_{n_i}(x))^2 dx = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda^2(\bar{n}_i) \sum_{k=1}^{\bar{n}_i} d_{\bar{n}_i, k}^2 c_k^2 < \infty.$$

With the aid of Lemma 2 (a) we have $\lambda(n) = O(n^p)$. Choosing $s = p + 1$ in Lemma 1 (a), we get $(0 < q' < q < 1)$

$$\Sigma_1 := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda^2(\bar{n}_i) \sum_{k=1}^{[q' \bar{n}_i]} d_{\bar{n}_i, k}^2 c_k^2 = O(1) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\bar{n}_i^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^2 < \infty.$$

Finally, with Lemma 1 (b) and Lemma 2 (b), we get

$$\Sigma_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda^2(\bar{n}_i) \sum_{k=[q' \bar{n}_i]+1}^{\bar{n}_i} d_{\bar{n}_i, k}^2 c_k^2 \equiv C_{q'}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=[q' \bar{n}_i]+1}^{\bar{n}_i} d_{\bar{n}_i, k}^2 c_k^2 \lambda^2(k) \equiv C_{q'}^2 B \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^2 \lambda^2(k) < \infty$$

which proves together with $\Sigma_1 < \infty$ the convergence of Σ .

Using the sequence $\{n_i\}$ defined in (7) we construct the following sequence of functions

$$\sigma_n^*(x) = (1/(n + n_{i+1} - 2n_i + 1)) \sum_{k=n_i}^n (s_k(x) - s_{n_i}(x)) \quad (n_i \leq n < n_{i+1}; i = 0, 1, \dots).$$

The next lemma which is an analogue of a result of G. SUNOUCHI [9] can be found in [8]:

Lemma 4. For any $\gamma > 0$,

$$\int_0^1 \left\{ (1/2(n_{i+1} - n_i)) \sum_{n=n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}-1} |s_n(x) - s_{n_i}(x) - \sigma_n^*(x)|^\gamma \right\}^{2/\gamma} dx \equiv A(\gamma) \sum_{n=n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}-1} c_n^2 \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots).$$

Lemma 5. Condition (4) with $\{\lambda(n)\} \in A$ implies

$$\sigma_n^*(x) = o_x(1/\lambda(n)) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Proof. We consider

$$\Delta_i(x) := \max_{n_i < n < n_{i+1}} |\sigma_n^*(x)|^2$$

and get on account of $\sigma_{n_i}^*(x) \equiv 0$ when applying the Cauchy inequality

$$\Delta_i(x) \leq \left\{ \sum_{n=n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}-1} |\sigma_n^*(x) - \sigma_{n-1}^*(x)| \right\}^2 \leq (n_{i+1} - n_i) \sum_{n=n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}-1} (\sigma_n^*(x) - \sigma_{n-1}^*(x))^2.$$

Using the relations $\lambda(n_{i+1}) \leq C^* \lambda(n_i)$ (cf. (5), Lemma 2 (b)) and $n_{i+1} - n_i < n + n_{i+1} - 2n_i \leq 2(n_{i+1} - n_i)$ if $n_i < n < n_{i+1}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \lambda^2(n_{i+1}) \Delta_i(x) dx &= \\ &= O(1) \lambda^2(n_i) (n_{i+1} - n_i) \sum_{n=n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}-1} (1/(n + n_{i+1} - 2n_i))^4 \sum_{k=n_i+1}^n (k + n_{i+1} - 2n_i)^2 c_k^2 = \\ &= O(1) \sum_{k=n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}-1} c_k^2 \lambda^2(k). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\int_0^1 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda^2(n_{i+1}) \Delta_i(x) dx < \infty$ and B. Levi's theorem leads us to

$$\lambda(n) |\sigma_n^*(x)| \leq \lambda(n_{i+1}) \max_{n_i \leq n < n_{i+1}} |\sigma_n^*(x)| = o_x(1) \text{ a.e.}$$

($n_i \leq n < n_{i+1}$) which proves our lemma.

Dependent on the order q of (E, q) and with the aid of sequence $\{\bar{n}_i\}$ (cf. (7)) we introduce a sequence $\{\bar{\lambda}(n)\}$ by the definition

$$\bar{\lambda}(n) = \lambda(\bar{n}_i) \quad (n_i \leq n < n_{i+1}; i = 0, 1, \dots).$$

Then the method (E, q) transforms $\{(\lambda(n))^{-1}\}$, resp. $\{(\bar{\lambda}(n))^{-1}\}$ with the following properties:

Lemma 6. Let $\{\lambda(n)\} \in A$ and $\gamma > 0$. Then

(a) $\sum_{k=0}^n e_{nk} (1/\lambda^\gamma(k)) = O(1/\lambda^\gamma(n)),$

(b) $\sum_{k=0}^n e_{nk} (1/\bar{\lambda}^\gamma(k)) = O(1/\bar{\lambda}^\gamma(n)),$

(c) $e_{n0} = o(1/\lambda^\gamma(n)).$

Proof. (a) On account of Lemma 2 (a) $\lambda(n) = O(n^p)$; choosing $s = p\gamma$ in Lemma 1 (a) we get with (5) and with $0 < q' < q$

$$\lambda^\gamma(n) \sum_{k=1}^n e_{nk} (1/\lambda^\gamma(k)) \leq \lambda^\gamma(n) \{ \lambda^{-\gamma}(1) d_{n, [q'n]+1} + \lambda^{-\gamma}([q'n]) \sum_{k=[q'n]+1}^n e_{nk} \} = O(1).$$

(b) By the relation $\lambda(n) \leq \bar{\lambda}(n) = O(\lambda(n))$ this case is equivalent to (a). (c) follows similarly.

3. Proof of the theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. With the aid of sequence $\{n_i\}$ (cf. (7)) depending on the method $(E, q) = (e_{nk})$ we consider with $j(k) = i$ if $n_i \leq k < n_{i+1}$ the following estimation

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\lambda(n)\tau_n^{(\gamma)}(x)\}^\gamma \cong C(\gamma)\{\lambda^\gamma(n)e_{n0}|s_0(x) - f(x)|^\gamma + \\ & + \lambda^\gamma(n)\sum_{k=1}^n e_{nk}|s_k(x) - s_{n_j(k)}(x) - \sigma_k^*(x)|^\gamma + \lambda^\gamma(n)\sum_{k=1}^n e_{nk}|\sigma_k^*(x)|^\gamma + \\ & + \lambda^\gamma(n)\sum_{k=1}^n e_{nk}|s_{n_j(k)}(x) - f(x)|^\gamma\} =: C(\gamma)\{\tau_n^{(I)}(x) + \tau_n^{(II)}(x) + \tau_n^{(III)}(x) + \tau_n^{(IV)}(x)\}. \end{aligned}$$

With the aid of Lemma 6 (c), Lemma 5 and Lemma 6 (a) we get a.e.

$$(8) \quad \tau_n^{(I)}(x) = o_x(1); \quad \tau_n^{(III)}(x) = o_x(1).$$

With regard to the assumptions of the theorem and Lemma 3 at first $|s_{n_i}(x) - f(x)| \cong \cong |s_{n_i}(x) - t_{\bar{n}_i}(x)| + |t_{\bar{n}_i}(x) - f(x)| = o_x((\lambda(\bar{n}_i))^{-1})$ is true and with Lemma 6 (b)

$$(9) \quad \tau_n^{(IV)}(x) = o_x(1) \quad \text{a.e..}$$

To prove $\tau_n^{(II)}(x) = o_x(1)$ a.e., in the following for the sake of brevity, we put

$$\delta_k(x) = s_k(x) - s_{n_j(k)}(x) - \sigma_k^*(x).$$

We notice at first that it suffices to consider exponents $\gamma \cong 2$; if $\gamma < 2$ it follows according to Hölder's inequality that

$$(10) \quad \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n e_{nk} |\delta_k(x)|^\gamma \right\}^{1/\gamma} \cong \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n e_{nk} \right\}^{(1-\gamma/2)(1/\gamma)} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n e_{nk} |\delta_k(x)|^2 \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Since $\sum_{k=0}^n e_{nk} = 1$, $\tau_n^{(II)}(x) = o_x(1)$ with $\gamma = 2$ implies this relation for $0 < \gamma < 2$, too.

In the next step we divide $\tau_n^{(II)}(x)$ and consider now with $\gamma \cong 2, 0 < q' < q$,

$$\tau'_n(x) := \lambda^\gamma(n) \sum_{k=1}^{[q'n]} e_{nk} |\delta_k(x)|^\gamma.$$

Lemma 1 (a) and Lemma 2 (a) lead us to

$$\tau'_n(x) = O(n^{p\gamma}) (A(s)/n^{s-1}) \sum_{i=0}^{j(n)} (1/(n_{i+1} - n_i)) \sum_{k=n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}-1} |\delta_k(x)|^\gamma$$

and with Lemma 4 using $\{\sum a_n\}^{1/p} \leqq \sum a_n^{1/p}$ ($a_n \geqq 0; p \geqq 1$) we get with $s=(p+1)\gamma+1$

$$\int_0^1 \{\tau'_n(x)\}^{2/\gamma} dx = O(1/n^2) \sum_{i=0}^{j(n)} \int_0^1 \{(1/2(n_{i+1}-n_i)) \sum_{k=n_{i+1}}^{n_{i+1}-1} |\delta_k(x)|^\gamma\}^{2/\gamma} dx =$$

$$= O(1/n^2) \sum_{k=0}^\infty c_k^2.$$

This shows that $\int_0^1 \sum_{n=1}^\infty \{\tau'_n(x)\}^{2/\gamma} dx = O(1) \sum_{k=0}^\infty c_k^2 < \infty$, i.e.

(11) $\tau'_n(x) = o_x(1)$ a.e..

In the last step of the proof it remains to consider

$$\tau''_n(x) = \lambda^\gamma(n) \sum_{k=[\sqrt{n}]_+}^n e_{nk} |\delta_k(x)|^\gamma.$$

With the aid of (5) and estimation $e_{nk} = O(n^{-1/2})$ (cf. A. RÉNYI [6], p. 127) it holds

$$\tau''_n(x) = O(1) \sum_{k=[\sqrt{n}]_+}^n (\lambda^\gamma(k)/\sqrt{k}) |\delta_k(x)|^\gamma.$$

Using Lemma 4 and the facts that $\{n_i\}$ satisfies a gap condition (6) and $n_{i+1} = O(n_i)$, we obtain by $\gamma \geqq 2$

$$\int_0^1 \left\{ \sum_{k=2}^\infty (\lambda^\gamma(k)/\sqrt{k}) |\delta_k(x)|^\gamma \right\}^{2/\gamma} dx \leqq \int_0^1 \sum_{i=0}^\infty \left\{ \sum_{k=n_{i+1}}^{n_{i+1}-1} (\lambda^\gamma(k)/\sqrt{k}) |\delta_k(x)|^\gamma \right\}^{2/\gamma} dx =$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{i=0}^\infty \lambda^2(n_i) \int_0^1 \{(1/2(n_{i+1}-n_i)) \sum_{k=n_{i+1}}^{n_{i+1}-1} |\delta_k(x)|^\gamma\}^{2/\gamma} dx =$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{i=0}^\infty \lambda^2(n_i) \sum_{k=n_{i+1}}^{n_{i+1}-1} c_k^2 = O(1) \sum_{k=2}^\infty c_k^2 \lambda^2(k) < \infty.$$

In the same way as I. J. MADDOX [4] did we conclude that $\tau''_n(x) = o_x(1)$ a.e. which finally shows together with (11) that $\tau_n^{(II)}(x) = o_x(1)$ a.e.. Considering in addition (8) and (9), the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

Proof of Theorem 2. (a) It is easy to see that the number of the members in $\{m_i^*\}$ between two adjacent m_k and m_{k+1} is bounded, if both sequences satisfy (6). Defining $k(i)$ by $m_{k(i)} \leqq m_i^* < m_{k(i)+1}$, we get with Lemma 3

$$\sum_{i=0}^\infty \int_0^1 \lambda^2(m_i^*) (s_{m_i^*}(x) - s_{m_{k(i)}}(x))^2 dx =$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{i=0}^\infty \lambda^2(m_i^*) \sum_{k=m_i^*+1}^{m_{i+1}^*} c_k^2 = O(1) \sum_{k=1}^\infty c_k^2 \lambda^2(k) < \infty,$$

i.e. $s_{m_i^*}(x) - s_{m_{k(i)}}(x) = o_x((\lambda(m_i^*))^{-1})$ a.e., which together with $s_{m_{k(i)}}(x) - f(x) = o_x((\lambda(m_i^*))^{-1})$ a.e. proves the assertion.

(b) We have to prove

$$\mu_i(x) := \max_{m_i < n < m_{i+1}} |t_n(x) - t_{m_i}(x)| = o_x(1/\lambda(m_{i+1})) \quad \text{a.e..}$$

Similarly to [7] (p. 25) we get with the aid of the Cauchy inequality and taking into account condition (6)

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_i(x) &\leq \left\{ \sum_{v=m_i+1}^{m_{i+1}} v(t_v(x) - t_{v-1}(x))^2 \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \sum_{v=m_i+1}^{m_{i+1}} 1/v \right\}^{1/2} = \\ &= O(1) \left\{ (m_{i+1}/\sqrt{m_i}) \sum_{v=m_i+1}^{m_{i+1}} (t_v(x) - t_{v-1}(x))^2 \right\}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of the identity $t_v(x) - t_{v-1}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^v (k/v)e_{vk}c_k\varphi_k(x)$ (cf. K. KNOPP, G. G. LORENTZ [2]) and $m_{i+1} = O(m_i)$

$$(12) \quad \int_0^1 \lambda^2(m_{i+1})\mu_i^2(x) dx = O(\lambda^2(m_i))\sqrt{m_i} \sum_{v=m_i+1}^{m_{i+1}} \sum_{k=1}^v ((k/v)e_{vk})^2 c_k^2.$$

With an arbitrarily chosen q' , $0 < q' < q$, we divide the inner sums and consider the terms (cf. Lemma 2 (a), Lemma 1 (a), $s = p + 3/2$)

$$\begin{aligned} (13) \quad &\lambda^2(m_i)\sqrt{m_i} \sum_{v=m_i+1}^{m_{i+1}-1} \sum_{k=1}^{[q'm_i]} ((k/v)e_{vk})^2 c_k^2 = \\ &= O(1)m_i^{2p} m_i m_i^{-2s} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^2 = O(1) \frac{1}{m_i^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^2. \end{aligned}$$

Next, using the estimation $(k/v)e_{vk} = \binom{v-1}{k-1} q^k (1-q)^{v-k} = O(v^{-1/2})$ (cf. A. RÉNYI [6], p. 127) and the conditions $m_{i+1} = O(m_i)$ and (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda^2(m_i)\sqrt{m_i} \sum_{v=m_i+1}^{m_{i+1}-1} \sum_{k=[q'm_i]+1}^v ((k/v)e_{vk})^2 c_k^2 \leq \\ &\leq C_{q'}^2 \sum_{k=1}^{m_{i+1}-1} c_k^2 \lambda^2(k) \sum_{v=\max(m_i, k)}^{m_{i+1}-1} \binom{v-1}{k-1} q^k (1-q)^{v-k} \end{aligned}$$

and this together with (13) yields (cf. (12))

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda^2(m_{i+1})\mu_i^2(x) dx = O(1) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1/m_i^2) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^2 + \\ &+ O(1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^2 \lambda^2(k) q^k \sum_{v=k}^{\infty} \binom{v-1}{k-1} (1-q)^{v-k} = O(1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^2 + O(1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^2 \lambda^2(k) < \infty \end{aligned}$$

which proves $\mu_i(x) = o_x((\lambda(m_{i+1}))^{-1})$ a.e.. Together with the assumption $|t_{m_i}(x) - f(x)| = o_x((\lambda(m_i))^{-1})$ a.e. the statement of the theorem is evident.

(c) This is a consequence of Lemma 3 and of the assertions (a) and (b).

4. Proof of the remarks

Remark 1 follows easily from Theorem A and the relation (analogous to (10))

$$|t_n(x) - f(x)| \cong \tau_n^{(1)}(x) \cong \tau_n^{(\gamma)}(x) \quad (\gamma > 1).$$

Proof of Remark 2. (a) We construct at first for a given (E, q) the following sequence $\{n_k^*\}$: With fixed ε , $0 < q - \varepsilon < q$, we take at first n_0 such that $n_0^*(q - \varepsilon) > 1$. Assuming that n_0^*, \dots, n_{k-1}^* are determined we choose n_k^* as the smallest number which satisfies $(q - \varepsilon)n_k^* > n_{k-1}^* + \sqrt{n_{k-1}^*}$.

(b) Putting $v_k = [\sqrt{n_k^*}/4]$ we define our orthogonal system $\{\psi_n(x)\}$ with the aid of the Rademacher functions $r_n(x) = \text{sign}(\sin(2^n \pi x))$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$. To this end we consider the sets

$$I_k^{(0)} = [0, 1 - 1/2^{n_k^*}], \quad I_k^{(1)} = [1 - 1/2^{n_k^*}, 1 - 1/2^{n_k^*+1}],$$

$$I_k^{(2)} = (1 - 1/2^{n_k^*+1}, 1 - 1/2^{n_k^*+2}), \quad I_k^{(3)} = [1 - 1/2^{n_k^*+2}, 1]$$

($k = 0, 1, \dots$). Let us further denote, for an arbitrary interval $I = (a, b)$ (or $I = [a, b]$),

$$f(x; I) = f((x - a)/(b - a)) \quad (x \in I).$$

Then we define $\{\psi_n(x)\}$ in the following way:

$$\psi_n(x) = r_n(x), \quad 0 \leq n \leq n_0^*, \quad \text{resp.} \quad n_k^* + 4v_k < n \leq n_{k+1}^*, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots;$$

and if $n_k^* < n \leq n_k^* + 4v_k$ we distinguish four cases: if $n = n_k^* + 4j + 1$ ($0 \leq j < v_k$):

$$(14a) \quad \psi_n(x) = \begin{cases} (2^{n_k - 2}/(2^{n_k} - 1))^{1/2} r_n(x) & (x \in I_k^{(0)}), \\ (2^{n_k - 1})^{1/2} r_{j+1}(x; I_k^{(1)}) & (x \in I_k^{(1)}), \\ (2^{n_k})^{1/2} r_{j+1}(x; I_k^{(2)}) & (x \in I_k^{(2)}), \\ (2^{n_k})^{1/2} r_{j+1}(x; I_k^{(3)}) & (x \in I_k^{(3)}); \end{cases}$$

if $n = n_k^* + 4j + 2$ ($0 \leq j < v_k$):

$$(14b) \quad \psi_n(x) = \begin{cases} -\psi_{n-1}(x) & (x \in I_k^{(0)} \text{ resp. } x \in I_k^{(1)}), \\ \psi_{n-1}(x) & (x \in I_k^{(2)} \text{ resp. } x \in I_k^{(3)}); \end{cases}$$

if $n = n_k^* + 4j + 3$ ($0 \leq j < v_k$):

$$(14c) \quad \psi_n(x) = \begin{cases} -\psi_{n-2}(x) & (x \in I_k^{(0)} \text{ resp. } x \in I_k^{(2)}), \\ \psi_{n-2}(x) & (x \in I_k^{(1)} \text{ resp. } x \in I_k^{(3)}); \end{cases}$$

if $n = n_k^* + 4j$ ($1 \leq j \leq v_k$):

$$(14d) \quad \psi_n(x) = \begin{cases} \psi_{n-3}(x) & (x \in I_k^{(0)} \text{ resp. } x \in I_k^{(3)}), \\ -\psi_{n-3}(x) & (x \in I_k^{(1)} \text{ resp. } x \in I_k^{(2)}). \end{cases}$$

We note that for $n_k^* < n, m \leq n_k^* + 4v_k, l = 0, \dots, 3$

$$\int_{I_k^{(l)}} \psi_n(x) \psi_m(x) dx = \begin{cases} 1/4 & n_k^* + 4j < m, n \leq n_k^* + 4(j+1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and for $0 < n \leq n_0^*$ resp. $n_k^* + 4v_k < n \leq n_{k+1}^*, 0 \leq m < \infty$,

$$\int_0^1 \psi_n(x) \psi_m(x) dx = \begin{cases} 1 & n = m \\ 0 & n \neq m. \end{cases}$$

It is then easy to see that $\{\psi_n(x)\}$ is an orthonormal system on $[0, 1]$.

Finally we define the coefficients c_n with an arbitrarily chosen $\alpha > 1/4$:

$$c_n = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \leq n \leq n_0^* \text{ resp. } n_k^* + 4v_k < n \leq n_{k+1}^*, k = 0, 1, \dots, \\ (n_k^*)^{-\alpha} & n_k^* < n \leq n_k^* + 4v_k, k = 0, 1, \dots \end{cases}$$

Because of the relation $n_{k+1}^*/n_k^* \geq 1/(q-\varepsilon) > 1$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (n_k^*)^{-2\alpha} \sum_{n=n_k^*+1}^{n_k^*+4v_k} 1 = O(1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (n_k^*)^{-2\alpha+1/2} < \infty,$$

(c) To prove the statement of Remark 2 we consider the partial sums $s_m(x)$ of the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \psi_n(x)$. If $m > n_{k_0}^* + 4v_{k_0}$ we have on $I_{k_0}^{(0)}$ (cf. (14a)–(14d))

$$(15a) \quad s_m(x) = s_{n_{k_0}^* + 4v_{k_0}}(x) = f(x),$$

resp. $n_k^* + 4v_k \leq m \leq n_{k+1}^*$ resp. $m = n_k^* + 2j$ ($1 \leq j \leq 2v_k$), $x \in I_{k_0}^{(0)}$,

$$(15b) \quad s_m(x) = s_{n_{k_0}^* + 4v_{k_0}}(x) + (n_k^*)^{-\alpha} (2^{n_k^* - 2} / (2^{n_k^*} - 1))^{1/2} r_m(x),$$

resp. $m = n_k^* + 4j + 1$ ($0 \leq j < v_k; k > k_0$), $x \in I_{k_0}^{(0)}$,

$$(15c) \quad s_m(x) = s_{n_{k_0}^* + 4v_{k_0}}(x) - (n_k^*)^{-\alpha} (2^{n_k^* - 2} / (2^{n_k^*} - 1))^{1/2} r_{m-2}(x),$$

$m = n_k^* + 4j + 3$ ($0 \leq j < v_k; k > k_0$), $x \in I_{k_0}^{(0)}$.

Consequently $\{s_m(x)\}$ converges on $[0, 1]$ and $f(x) = s_{n_{k_0}^* + 4v_{k_0}}(x)$ if $x \in I_{k_0}^{(0)}$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots$). Let us now consider $t_n(x)$ on $I_{k_0}^{(0)}$; we assume $n_k^* < n \leq n_{k+1}^*$ ($k > k_0$) and get with

(15a)—(15c)

$$\begin{aligned}
 t_n(x) - f(x) &= \sum_{m=0}^n e_{nm}(s_m(x) - f(x)) = \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^{n_k^*+1} e_{nm}(s_m(x) - f(x)) + \sum_{m=n_{k_0}^*+1}^{n_{k-1}^*+4v_{k-1}} e_{nm}(s_m(x) - s_{n_{k_0}^*+4v_{k_0}}(x)) + \\
 &+ \sum_{j:0 \leq j < (1/2)(n-n_k^*)} e_{n, n_k^*+2j+1}(s_m(x) - s_{n_{k_0}^*+4v_{k_0}}(x)) = t_n^{(1)}(x) + t_n^{(2)}(x) + t_n^{(3)}(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows immediately as a consequence of Lemma 1 (with s sufficiently large), regarding $n_{k-1}^* + 4v_{k-1} < (q - \varepsilon) \cdot n_k^*$

(16)
$$t_n^{(1)}(x) = o_x(n^{-\alpha}); \quad t_n^{(2)}(x) = o_x(n^{-\alpha}) \quad (x \in I_{k_0}^{(0)}).$$

Putting now $\mu(n) = \min \{(n - n_k^*)/4, v_k\}$ and $\delta(n) = 1$ if $n = n_k^* + 4j + 1$ ($0 \leq j < v_k$) resp. $\delta(n) = 0$ for all other n , $n_k^* < n \leq n_{k+1}^*$, we find with (15b), (15c)

$$\begin{aligned}
 t_n^{(3)}(x) &= (n_k^*)^{-\alpha} (2^{n_k^* - 2} / (2^{n_k^*} - 1))^{1/2} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\mu(n)-1} r_{n_k^*+4j+1}(x) (e_{n, n_k^*+4j+1} - e_{n, n_k^*+4j+3}) + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \delta(n) r_n(x) e_{nn} \right\} \quad (x \in I_{k_0}^{(0)})
 \end{aligned}$$

which leads with respect to the formerly used estimation $e_{nk} = O(n^{-1/2})$ and to the relations $e_{nk} < e_{n, k+1}$ ($k \leq q(n+1) - 1$) resp. $e_{nk} > e_{n, k+1}$ ($k > q(n+1) - 1$) to

$$\begin{aligned}
 t_n^{(3)}(x) &= O(n^{-\alpha}) \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{v_k} |e_{n, n_k^*+4j+1} - e_{n, n_k^*+4j+3}| + 1/\sqrt{n} \right\} = \\
 &= O(n^{-\alpha-1/2}) = o(n^{-\alpha}) \quad (x \in I_{k_0}^{(0)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Together with (16) we finally get

(17)
$$t_n(x) = o_x(1/n^\alpha) \quad (x \in [0, 1]).$$

(d) On the other hand let us consider for \tilde{n}_k with $[q \cdot \tilde{n}_k] = n_k^*$ the means

$$\tau_{\tilde{n}_k}^{(\gamma)}(x) = \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{\tilde{n}_k} e_{\tilde{n}_k, m} |s_m(x) - f(x)|^\gamma \right\}^{1/\gamma} \cong \left\{ \sum_{m=n_k^*}^{n_k^*+4v_k} e_{\tilde{n}_k, m} |s_m(x) - f(x)|^\gamma \right\}^{1/\gamma}.$$

Taking into account equations (15a)—(15c) we find on an arbitrarily chosen $I_{k_0}^{(0)}$ for $k > k_0$

$$\tau_{\tilde{n}_k}(x) \cong \tilde{C} \left\{ (n_k^*)^{-\gamma\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{2v_k-2} e_{\tilde{n}_k, n_k^*+2j+1} \right\}^{1/\gamma} \quad \text{a.e.} \quad (x \in I_{k_0}^{(0)}).$$

Regarding the estimation $e_{nk} \cong \tilde{C}(q)n^{-1/2}$ if $qn \leq k \leq qn + \sqrt{n}$ (cf. A. RÉNYI [6], p. 31), the last relation yields because of $v_k \cong \sqrt{n_k^*}/4$: $\tau_{\tilde{n}_k}^{(k)}(x) \cong \tilde{C}^*(\tilde{n}_k)^{-\alpha}$ a.e.

$(x \in J_{k_0}^{(0)})$, i.e.

$$(18) \quad \tau_n^{(p)}(x) \neq o_x(1/n^\alpha) \quad \text{a.e.} \quad (x \in [0, 1]).$$

Now we may define $\lambda(n) = n^\alpha$. The statement of Remark 2 is proved by (17) and (18).

I should like to thank Prof. Dr. K. Endl and Prof. Dr. L. Leindler for their kind interest and support of this paper.

References

- [1] L. KANTOROWITSCH, Sur certains développements suivant les polynomes de la forme de S. Bernstein. II, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 1930, 595—600. (Russian)
- [2] K. KNOPP, G. G. LORENTZ, Beiträge zur absoluten Limitierung, *Arch. Math.*, 2 (1949), 10—16.
- [3] V. I. KOLJADA, The rate of summation of orthogonal series by Euler methods, *Izv. Vysš. Učebn. Zaved. Matematika*, 116 (1972), 42—51. (Russian)
- [4] I. J. MADDOX, A note on orthonormal series, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, 16 (1967), 363—368.
- [5] E. MARTIN, Summability with the speed of orthogonal series by the Euler—Knopp and Cesàro methods, *Tartu Riikl. Ül. Toimetised Vih.*, 305 (1972), 222—237. (Russian)
- [6] A. RÉNYI, *Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung*, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften (Berlin, 1971).
- [7] H. SCHWINN, Summierbarkeit von Orthogonalreihen durch Euler-Verfahren und Linearkombinationen von Euler-Verfahren, *Mitteilungen Math. Sem. Giessen*, 141 (1979), 1—65.
- [8] H. SCHWINN, Strong summability and convergence of subsequences of orthogonal series, to appear.
- [9] G. SUNOUCHI, On the strong summability of orthogonal series, *Acta Sci. Math.*, 27 (1966), 71—76.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT
ARNDTSTRASSE 2
6300 GIESSEN, GERMANY