# Behavior of the extended Cauchy representation of distributions

### DRAGIŠA MITROVIĆ

1. Introduction. There is an interesting correspondence between the spaces  $\mathcal{O}'_{\alpha} = \mathcal{O}'_{\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$  of distributions and the class of functions that are analytic in the complex plane C with a boundary on R, except for a set of points lying in  $\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{R}$ , and vanish at the point of infinity. In the present paper we make a study of this correspondence. As we shall see it depends essentially on the support of distributions, order relation of included functions, and their distributional boundary value in either half plane.

$$\Delta^{+} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}: \text{ Im } (z) > 0\}, \quad \Delta^{-} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}: \text{ Im } (z) < 0\}, \quad z = x + iy.$$

The problem under study is motivated by some facts from the theory of integrals of the Cauchy type. Namely, to every function  $u: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$  Hölder continuous with compact support equal K there corresponds the function

$$\hat{u}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{u(t)}{t-z} dt, \quad z \in K.$$

If  $v(z) \equiv \hat{u}(z)$ , then: (1) v is an analytic function in  $\mathbf{C} - K$ ; (2) v(z) has the boundary values  $v^+(x)$  and  $v^-(x)$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ ; (3) v(z) = O(1/|z|) as  $|z| \to \infty$ . Conversely, given a function v which satisfies the conditions (1)—(3), then it is the Cauchy integral of some u with supp u = K.

If T is a distribution, then the notation  $T_t$  is used to indicate that the testing functions on which T is defined have t as their variable. The pairing between a testing function space and its dual is denoted by  $\langle T, \varphi \rangle$ . The space of  $C^{\infty} = C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$  functions having compact support is denoted by  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R})$ ; its dual  $\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R})$  is the space of Schwartz distributions on **R**. As regards the general properties of the spaces  $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha}$  and  $\mathcal{O}'_{\alpha}$  we refer to [1].

2. Definitions. In order to describe the correspondence in question in a condensed form we introduce some classes of functions.

Received April 10, 1984.

Let K be a closed subset of **R** and let  $\{a_1, a_2, ..., a_n\}$   $(n \in N)$  be a finite set of distinct complex points lying in  $\Delta^+ U \Delta^-$ . A function f is said to belong to the class  $\{M\}$  if

(m.1) f is analytic in the domain  $C - (K \cup \{a_1, a_2, ..., a_n\})$ ,  $a_k$  being a pole of order  $\alpha_k$  (k=1, 2, ..., n);

(m.2) f(z) converges (weakly) in either half plane to a  $\mathcal{D}'$ -boundary value;

(m.3) 
$$f(z) = O(1/|z|) \text{ as } |z| \to \infty.$$

We use the notation  $\{M_c\}$  for the class of functions in  $\{M\}$  that satisfy (m.1) when K is a compact set. Also, a function f is said to belong to the class  $\{M_0\}$  if it satisfies the conditions (m.1), (m.2) and the condition

(m.4) 
$$f(\infty) \equiv \lim_{z \to \infty} f(z) = 0.$$

Thus we have the inclusions  $\{M_c\} \subset \{M\} \subset \{M_0\}$ . Further, the class of functions that satisfy the conditions (m.1)—(m.3) when the set of poles is empty is denoted by  $\{A\}$ . The class  $\{A_c\}$  is the subclass of  $\{A\}$  relative to compact set K in (m.1). If here the condition (m.3) is replaced by (m.4) we have the class  $\{A_0\}$ .

Remark. The arbitrary sets involved in (m.1) are not necessarily the same for all functions in a class defined above.

Now denote by R(z) a meromorphic function, vanishing at the point  $z = \infty$ , with prescribed poles  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$  (in  $\Delta^+ \cup \Delta^-$ ) and their principal parts. Let  $T \in \mathcal{O}'_a$  ( $\alpha \ge -1$ ). The function  $\hat{F}$  from C-(supp  $T \cup \{a_1, a_2, ..., a_n\}$ ) to C defined by

(1) 
$$\hat{F}(z) = (1/2\pi i) \langle T_t, 1/(t-z) \rangle + R(z)$$

will be referred to as the extended Cauchy representation of T.

Let us observe that every function f in  $\{A_0\}$  ( $\{M_0\}$ ) is sectionally analytic in **C** with a boundary on **R** (except for the poles), that is, it can be decomposed into two independent functions  $f^+(z)$  and  $f^-(z)$  such that  $f(z)=f^+(z)$  for  $z \in \Delta^+$ ,  $f(z)==f^-(z)$  for  $z \in \Delta^-$  (the half planes being punctured at the points of poles).

## 3. Main result. We need the following

Lemma [5]. If  $f^+(z)$  is a function analytic in  $\Delta^+$  with  $f^+(z)=O(1/|z|)$  as  $|z| \to \infty$ in  $\Delta^+$ , and if  $f^+(x+i\varepsilon)$  converges to  $\mathcal{D}'$ -boundary value  $f_x^+$  as  $\varepsilon \to +0$ , then: 1)  $f_x^+$ belongs to  $\mathcal{O}'_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha < 0$ ; 2)  $f^+(x+i\varepsilon)$  converges to  $\mathcal{O}'_{\alpha}$ -boundary value  $f_x^+$  as  $\varepsilon \to$  $\rightarrow +0(\alpha < 0)$ ; 3)  $f_x^+$  generates the Cauchy representation

(2) 
$$(1/2\pi i)\langle f_t^+, 1/(t-z)\rangle = \begin{cases} f^+(z) & \text{for } z \in \Delta^+, \\ 0 & \text{for } z \in \Delta^-. \end{cases}$$

For a function  $f^{-}(z)$  analytic in  $\Delta^{-}$  and satisfying here the conditions similar to ones of  $f^{+}(z)$ , we have

(3) 
$$-(1/2\pi i)\langle f_t^-, 1/(t-z)\rangle = \begin{cases} f^-(z) & \text{for } z \in \Delta^-, \\ 0 & \text{for } z \in \Delta^+. \end{cases}$$

The distributional version of the previous discussion concerning the integral of the Cauchy type leads to the following

Theorem. Let  $T \in \mathcal{O}'_{\alpha}$   $(\alpha \ge -1)$  with supp T = K and let  $\{a_1, a_2, ..., a_n\}$   $(n \in N)$ be a set of distinct complex points located in  $\Delta^+ \cup \Delta^-$ . If  $f(z) \ge \hat{F}(z)$ , then  $f \in \{M_0\}$ . Conversely, given an  $f \in \{M\}$ , then it is the extended Cauchy representation of some  $T \in \mathcal{O}'_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in [-1, 0]$  with supp T = K.

Proof. Consider the direct part of the theorem. To prove the statement (m.1) it suffices to note that the Cauchy representation  $\hat{T}(z)$  of T is an analytic function in the domain C-K ([1, p. 56]). The statement (m.2) follows directly from [4, Theorem 2]:

$$f_x^+ = \hat{F}_x^+ = T_x/2 - (1/2\pi i)(T_x * \operatorname{vp} 1/x) + R(x),$$
  
$$f_x^- = \hat{F}_x^- = -T_x/2 - (1/2\pi i)(T_x * \operatorname{vp} 1/x) + R(x).$$

Observe that the rational function R(x) is a regular distribution (in  $\mathcal{O}'_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha < 0$ ). As regards the statement (m.4) it is a simple consequence of the hypothesis  $R(\infty)=0$ and the fact that every sequence of functions  $\varphi_n(t)=1/(t-z_n)$  converges to zero in  $\mathcal{O}'_{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha \ge -1$ ) as  $z_n \to \infty(n \to \infty)$ .

Conversely, suppose given an  $f \in \{M\}$ . Then in view of Lemma the assertions (m.2) and (m.3) together imply  $f_x^+ \in \mathcal{O}'_{\alpha}$ ,  $f_x^- \in \mathcal{O}'_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha < 0$ . Now define  $T_x = -f_x^+ - f_x^-$ . Since  $(f_x^+ - f_x^-) \in \mathcal{O}'_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha < 0$  and the Cauchy kernel belongs to  $\mathcal{O}'_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \ge -1$ , we can associate to T the Cauchy representation

$$\hat{T}(z) = (1/2\pi i) \langle T_t, 1/(t-z) \rangle = (1/2\pi i) \langle (f_t^+ - f_t^-), 1/(t-z) \rangle$$

for all  $\alpha \in [-1, 0)$ . Clearly,  $\hat{T}$  is analytic in C-supp T and vanishes at the point  $z = \infty$ ; moreover, it is easy to show that in this situation  $\hat{T}(z) = O(1/|z|)$  as  $|z| \to \infty$ . To prove that f is the extended Cauchy representation of T first we shall show that the function H from C-(supp  $T \cup \{a_1, a_2, ..., a_n\}$ ) to C defined by

(4) 
$$H(z) = f(z) - \hat{T}(z)$$

is meromorphic in C. In fact, after a simple computation we have

$$\langle (H_x^+ - H_x^-), \varphi \rangle = \langle (f_x^+ - f_x^-), \varphi \rangle = \langle (\hat{T}_x^+ - \hat{T}_x^-), \varphi \rangle$$

for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ . Since  $T_x = \hat{T}_x^+ - \hat{T}_x^-$  it follows

(5) 
$$\langle H_x^+, \varphi \rangle = \langle H_x^-, \varphi \rangle$$

10\*

for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ . Further, let  $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : -d < \operatorname{Im}(z) < d\}$  be the strip of the half height  $d = \operatorname{Min} \{|\operatorname{Im}(a_1)|, |\operatorname{Im}(a_2)|, ..., |\operatorname{Im}(a_n)|\}.$ 

Let (a, b) be an arbitrary finite open interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $E^+$  and  $E^-$  two open rectangles contained in  $\Delta$  which have (a, b) as a common edge. Evidently, H is an analytic function in  $\Delta$  except the boundary on  $\mathbb{R}$  consisting of the set supp  $T \cup K$ . Applying the distributional analytic continuation principle ([6], [3, p. 244]) the equality (5) implies that the function H is analytic in  $E^+ \cup (a, b) \cup E^-$ , and consequently, in all of  $\Delta$ . Thus H is analytic everywhere in  $\mathbb{C}$  except for the poles  $a_k$  of f, and as a meromorphic function which vanishes at the point of infinity it may be written uniquely in the form

(6) 
$$H(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{p=1}^{a_k} B_{k,p}/(z-a_k)^p,$$

where the coefficients  $B_{k,p}$  must be determined (by means of f). Since the function  $\hat{T}$  is analytic in C-supp T, using Theorem on the partial fraction expansion of rational functions ([2]) from (4) we get

(7) 
$$B_{k,\alpha_k-m} = (1/m!) \lim_{z \to a_k} d^m [(z-a_k)^{\alpha_k} f(z)]/dz^m$$

 $(m=0, 1, 2, ..., a_k-1)$ . Returning to equality (4) with (6) and (7) it follows the representation

(8) 
$$f(z) = (1/2\pi i) \langle T_t, 1/(t-z) \rangle + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^{a_k} B_{k,p}/(z-a_k)^p.$$

So we have established that the given  $f \in \{M\}$  is the extended Cauchy representation of  $T_x = (f_x^+ - f_x^-) \in \mathcal{O}'_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in [-1, 0)$ . Next we have to prove that supp T = K. First let K be a closed proper subset of **R**. Since the function f is analytic on the open set  $\mathbf{R} - K$ , it follows that

$$\langle f_x^+, \varphi \rangle = \lim_{\varepsilon \to +0} \langle f^+(x+i\varepsilon), \varphi \rangle = \lim_{\varepsilon \to +0} \langle f^-(x-i\varepsilon), \varphi \rangle = \langle f_x^-, \varphi \rangle$$

for all  $\varphi$  with support disjoint from  $K(\varphi \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbf{R}-K))$ . Thus  $\langle (f_x^+ - f_x^-), \varphi \rangle = = \langle T_x, \varphi \rangle = 0$  for all such  $\varphi$ . Hence we may conclude that supp T = K. The assumption that supp  $T \subset K$  properly leads to the conclusion that there exists an open interval  $(a, b) \subset (K - \text{supp } T)$  on which  $(f_x^+ - f_x^-)$  is zero of  $\mathscr{D}'((a, b))$ . Therefore (by the analytic continuation principle) f would be analytic on  $(\mathbf{R}-K) \cup (a, b)$  contrary to the hypothesis. For the same reason supp  $T = \mathbf{R}$  in the case  $K = \mathbf{R}$ . Finally suppose that there exists a distribution  $S \in \mathscr{O}'_a(a \ge -1)$  distinct from T and such that  $f(z) = \widehat{S}(z) + H(z)$  for  $z \in \mathbf{C} - (K \cup \{a_1, a_2, ..., a_n\})$ . According to [4, Theorem 2] we have  $f_x^+ - f_x^- = \widehat{S}_x^+ - \widehat{S}_x^- = S_x$ . Hence  $T_x = S_x$  on  $\mathscr{D}$  and this implies  $T_x = S_x$  on  $\mathscr{O}_a$  (since  $\mathscr{D}$  is dense in  $\mathscr{O}_a$  for all  $a \in \mathbf{R}$ ). But this contradicts the hypothesis on S. Thus, the distribution T is unique. The proof is complete.

÷.

In particular, if all poles  $a_k$  of the function f are simple (k=1, 2, ..., n), then in the representation (8) instead of double sum we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{res} [f(z), a_k]/(z-a_k).$$

4. Consequences. First assume that the set of poles of the function  $\hat{F}$  is empty. In this case  $\hat{F}$  is reduced to the Cauchy representation  $\hat{T}$  of T. Thus we have at once

Corollary 1. Let  $T \in \mathcal{O}'_{\alpha}$   $(a \ge -1)$  with supp T = K. If  $f(z) \ge \hat{T}(z)$ , then  $f \in \{A_0\}$ . Conversely, given an  $f \in \{A\}$ , then it is the Cauchy representation of some  $T \in \mathcal{O}'_{\alpha}$  for all  $a \in [-1, 0]$  with supp T = K.

Nevertheless we can prove the second part of this Corollary directly, that is, without intervention of the meromorphy. In fact, since the distributions  $f_x^+$  and  $f_x^-$  belong to  $\mathscr{O}'_{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha < 0$ ) we may define  $T_x = f_x^+ - f_x^-$ . As  $T_x$  is a linear continuous functional on  $\mathscr{O}_{\alpha}$  generating the Cauchy integral  $\hat{T}(z)$  we have for all  $\alpha \in [-1, 0)$ 

$$\hat{T}(z) = (1/2\pi i) \langle f_t^+, 1/(t-z) \rangle - (1/2\pi i) \langle f_t^-, 1/(t-z) \rangle.$$

Using the formulas (2) and (3) we get at once the required result

$$\hat{T}(z) = \begin{cases} f^+(z) & \text{for } z \in \Delta^+, \\ f^-(z) & \text{for } z \in \Delta^-, \end{cases}$$

that is,  $f(z) = \hat{T}(z)$ . So we have proved by Lemma that the given  $f \in \{A\}$  is the Cauchy representation of some  $T \in \mathcal{O}'_{\alpha}$ .

Denote in Schwartz's notation by  $\mathscr{E}' = \mathscr{E}'(\mathbf{R})$  the space of distributions on **R** with compact support (recall that  $\mathscr{E}' \subset \mathscr{O}'_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ , but an  $T \in \mathscr{O}'_{\alpha}$  with compact support belongs to  $\mathscr{E}'$ ). From Theorem we derive

Corollary 2. Let  $T \in \mathscr{E}'$  with supp T = K. If  $f(z) \equiv \hat{F}(z)$ , then  $f \in \{M_c\}$ . Conversely, given an  $f \in \{M_c\}$ , then it is the extended Cauchy representation of some  $T \in \mathscr{E}'$  with supp T = K.

We have to comment only the assertion (m.3). The function  $\hat{F}$  around the point z=0 has the Laurent expansion of the form

$$\hat{F}(z) = c_0 + c_1/z + c_2/z^2 + \dots$$

which converges uniformly and absolutely outside the smallest disk containing K and all poles  $a_k$  (k=1, 2, ..., n). The fact that  $\hat{F}(z)$  vanishes as  $z \to \infty$  implies that  $c_0=0$ , and the required result follows at once.

Consequently, to every pair  $(T, \{a_1, a_2, ..., a_n\})$  with  $T \in \mathscr{E}', n \in N$ , there corresponds an  $f \in \{M_c\}$  and to every  $f \in \{M_c\}$  there corresponds a pair  $(T, \{a_1, a_2, ..., a_n\})$  with  $T \in \mathscr{E}', n \in N$ .

#### D. Mitrović

Corollary 3. Let  $T \in \mathscr{E}'$  with supp T = K. If  $f(z) \equiv \hat{T}(z)$ , then  $f \in \{A_c\}$ . Conversely, given an  $f \in \{A_c\}$ , then it is the Cauchy representation of some  $T \in \mathscr{E}'$  with supp T = K.

Thus one can place distributions in  $\mathscr{E}'$  into a one-to-one correspondence with functions in  $\{A_c\}$ .

It may happen that  $f \equiv \hat{F} \in \{M\}$  (any given  $f \in \{M\}$  is the extended Cauchy representation of some  $T \in \mathcal{O}'_{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha \ge -1$ ) with supp T = K). For example, the function f defined by

$$f(z) \equiv \hat{F}(z) = (1/2\pi i) \langle \operatorname{vp} 1/t, 1/(t-z) \rangle + 1/(z-i)$$

belongs to  $\{M\}$  with K=R. This follows from

$$f^{+}(z) = 1/2z + 1/(z-i), \quad z \in \Delta^{+} - \{i\},$$
  
$$f^{-}(z) = -1/2z + 1/(z-1), \quad z \in \Delta^{-}$$

· ;

with  $f_x^+ = 1/2(x+i0) + 1/(x-i)$ ,  $f_x^- = 1/2(x-i0) + 1/(x-i)$ . Conversely, given  $f^+(z)$  and  $f^-(z)$  we reconstruct f(z) starting with  $f_x^+ - f_x^- = vp 1/x$ .

In addition, by means of the second part of Theorem we'solve the following boundary value

Problem 1. Let T be a given distribution in  $\mathcal{O}'_{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha \ge -1$ ). Find a function  $f \in \{M\}$  whose  $\mathcal{D}'$ -boundary values  $f_x^+$  and  $f_x^-$  satisfy the condition  $f_x^+ - f_x^- = T_x$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

The general solution is given by (8), where  $B_{k,p}$  are arbitrary real or complex coefficients.

It is of interest to sketch the following results: if in Corollaries 2—3 we replace (via condition (m.2)) the convergence in the  $\mathscr{D}'$  topology by one in  $\mathscr{O}'_{\alpha}$  for a given  $\alpha \in [-1, 0)$ , we get new corollaries 2.1—3.1 respectively.

Fact 1. Corollaries 2-3 are equivalent to Corollaries 2.1-3.1.

To prove this first observe that  $f \in \{M\}$  remains in  $\{M\}$  if we substitute the convergence in  $\mathcal{D}'$  for one in  $\mathcal{O}'_{\alpha}(\alpha \in \mathbb{R})$ . Next, we use the representation (8) or Lemma.

Also, if in Problem 1 we replace  $T \in \mathcal{O}'_{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha \ge -1$ ) by  $T \in \mathcal{O}'_{\alpha}$  ( $-1 \le \alpha < 0$ ) and the convergence in  $\mathcal{D}'$  by one in  $\mathcal{O}'_{\alpha}$ , we come to

Problem 1.1. Let T be a given distribution in  $\mathcal{O}'_{\alpha}(-1 \leq \alpha < 0)$ . Find a function  $f \in \{M\}$  whose  $\mathcal{O}'_{\alpha}$ -boundary values  $f_x^+$  and  $f_x^-$  satisfy the condition  $f_x^+ - f_x^- = T_x$  on **R**.

Fact 2. Problem 1 with  $T \in \mathcal{O}'_{\alpha} (-1 \leq \alpha < 0)$  is equivalent to Problem 1.1. Similarly, substituting under previous conditions the class  $\{M\}$  for  $\{M_c\}$  and  $\{A_c\}$  we come to an equivalent Problem 1.2 and Problem 1.3, respectively.

#### References

- [1] H. J. BREMERMANN, Distributions, complex variables, and Fourier transforms, Addison-Wesley (New York, 1965).
- [2] J. W. DETTMAN, Applied complex variables, Macmillan (New York, 1965).
- [3] A. MARTINEAU, Distributions et valeurs au bord des fonctions holomorphes, in: Proceedings of an International Summer Institute held in Lisbon (1964).
- [4] D. MITROVIĆ, The Plemelj distributional formulas, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 77 (1971), 562-563.
- [5] D. MITROVIĆ, A distributional representation of strip analytic functions, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 5 (1982), no. 1, 1-9.
- [6] W. RUDIN, Lectures on the edge-of-the wedge theorem, Amer. Math. Soc. (Providence, R. I., 1971).

UNIVERSITY OF ZAGREB FACULTY OF TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS PIEROTTILEVA 6 ZAGREB, YUGOSLAVIA