

**$p$ -algebras with Stone congruence lattices**

T. KATRINÁK and S. EL-ASSAR

**1. Introduction.** In [12] we have described by means of subdirect factorization congruence distributive algebras  $A$  whose congruence lattices  $\text{Con}(A)$  are atomic, Boolean, or Stonean. The purpose of this paper is to give an intrinsic characterization of those quasi-modular  $p$ -algebras whose congruence lattices are atomic, Stonean or relatively Stonean. To obtain this we use the representation of congruence relations of quasi-modular  $p$ -algebras in terms of congruence pairs. That means (see [11]), that every congruence relation  $\alpha \in \text{Con}(L)$  of a quasi-modular  $p$ -algebra  $L$  can be uniquely represented by a congruence pair  $(\alpha_B, \alpha_D)$ , where  $\alpha_B$  is a (Boolean) congruence relation of  $B(L)$  and  $\alpha_D$  a (lattice) congruence relation of  $D(L)$ .

We start with a description of congruence pairs corresponding to (relative) pseudocomplements in the lattice  $\text{Con}(L)$  (Theorem 1). By way of application, we characterize those quasi-modular  $p$ -algebras with atomic congruence lattices (Theorems 2, 3 and 4). As a second application we provide a characterization of (relative) Stone congruence lattices of quasi-modular  $p$ -algebras (Theorems 5, 6 and 11): Analogous, but deeper results, are obtained for distributive  $p$ -algebras (Theorems 7, 8 and 12).

**2. Preliminaries.** A (modular, distributive)  $p$ -algebra or *pseudocomplemented lattice* is an algebra  $(L; \vee, \wedge, *, 0, 1)$  in which the deletion of the unary operation  $*$  yields a bounded (modular, distributive) lattice and  $*$  is the operation of pseudocomplementation, that is,  $x \equiv a^*$  if and only if  $a \wedge x = 0$ . A  $p$ -algebra is said to be *quasi-modular* if it satisfies the identity

$$[(x \wedge y) \vee z^{**}] \wedge x = (x \wedge y) \vee (z^{**} \wedge x).$$

The variety of quasi-modular  $p$ -algebras properly contains the class of modular  $p$ -algebras and is properly contained in the class of  $p$ -algebras satisfying the identity

$$x = x^{**} \wedge (x \vee x^*).$$

If, for any  $p$ -algebra  $L$ , we write

$$B(L) = \{x \in L: x = x^{**}\} \quad \text{and} \quad D(L) = \{x \in L: x^* = 0\}$$

then  $(B(L); \mathbf{V}, \wedge, *, 0, 1)$  is a Boolean algebra (of closed elements) when  $a \mathbf{V} b$  is defined to be  $(a^* \wedge b^*)^*$ , for any pair  $a, b \in B(L)$ , and  $D(L)$  is a filter in  $L$  (of dense elements). By a congruence relation of a  $p$ -algebra we mean a lattice congruence of  $L$  preserving  $*$ . The relation  $\gamma$  of  $L$  defined by  $a \equiv b(\gamma)$  if and only if  $a^* = b^*$  is a congruence relation of  $L$ , called the *Glivenko congruence* of  $L$ , and  $L/\gamma \cong B(L)$ . The lattice  $\text{Con}(L)$  of all congruence relations of a  $p$ -algebra  $L$  is algebraic and distributive, which implies that  $\text{Con}(L)$  is a distributive  $p$ -algebra. The least and greatest elements of  $\text{Con}(L)$  will be denoted by  $\Delta$  and  $\nabla$ , respectively.

A distributive  $p$ -algebra  $L$  in which the identity

$$x^* \vee x^{**} = 1$$

holds is called a *Stone algebra (lattice)*. A *relative Stone algebra (lattice)* is a distributive lattice in which every interval  $[a, b]$  is a Stone lattice.

A double  $p$ -algebra is an algebra  $(L; \vee, \wedge, *, +, 0, 1)$  in which the deletion of  $+$  gives a  $p$ -algebra and the deletion of  $*$  gives a dual  $p$ -algebra, that is  $a \vee x = 1$  if and only if  $x \cong a^+$ . The relation  $\Phi$  of  $L$  defined by

$$a \equiv b(\Phi) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad a^* = b^* \quad \text{and} \quad a^+ = b^+$$

is a congruence relation of  $L$ , called the *determination congruence*. It is known that a double  $p$ -algebra is *regular* (that is, any two congruence relations of  $L$  having a class in common are the same) if and only if  $\Phi = \Delta$  (see [16]).

A special class of distributive  $p$ -algebras is formed by the *Heyting algebras*  $(L; \vee, \wedge, *, 0, 1)$ , where  $(L; \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$  is a bounded lattice and  $x \wedge y \leq z$  if and only if  $y \leq x * z$ . Then  $x^* = x * 0$  plays the role of a pseudocomplement of  $x$ . It is easy to verify that  $\text{Con}(L)$  of a  $p$ -algebra  $L$  is even a Heyting algebra.

A lattice with 0 is called *atomic*, if for every  $a \neq 0$  there exists an atom  $p \leq a$ .

We refer to [1], [8] or [10] for the standard results about  $p$ -algebras and to [1], [9] or [16] for the standard results about double  $p$ -algebras. For general lattice-theoretic terminology, notation and results we follow G. Grätzer [6].

**3. Congruence pairs.** Let  $(L; \vee, \wedge, *, 0, 1)$ , henceforth simply  $L$ , be a quasi-modular  $p$ -algebra. Let  $\text{Con}(L)$  denote the lattice of congruence relations of  $L$ . Since  $\text{Con}(L)$  is a Heyting algebra, there exists a complete Boolean algebra  $B(\text{Con}(L))$  of closed elements (congruences) and the filter of dense elements (congruences)  $D(\text{Con}(L))$ . We shall also consider  $\text{Con}(B(L))$ , the lattice of (**Boolean**) congruence relations of  $B(L)$  and  $\text{Con}(D(L))$ , the lattice of (lattice) congruence relations of  $D(L)$ .

Having  $\Theta \in \text{Con}(L)$ , the restrictions  $\Theta_B = \Theta|B(L)$  and  $\Theta_D = \Theta|D(L)$  are congruence relations of  $B(L)$  and  $D(L)$ , respectively. Hence, there exists an isotone map  $\Theta \mapsto (\Theta_B, \Theta_D)$  from  $\text{Con}(L)$  into  $\text{Con}(B(L)) \times \text{Con}(D(L))$ . The following definition is crucial (see also [11], [4]).

A pair  $(\Theta_1, \Theta_2) \in \text{Con}(B(L)) \times \text{Con}(D(L))$  is said to be a *congruence pair* of  $L$  if the following condition holds:  $a \in B(L)$ ,  $u \in D(L)$ ,  $u \cong a$  in  $L$ , and  $a \equiv 1(\Theta_1)$  imply that  $u \equiv 1(\Theta_2)$ .

**Theorem A** (see [11, Theorem 1]). *Every congruence relation  $\Theta$  of a quasi-modular  $p$ -algebra  $L$  determines a congruence pair  $(\Theta_B, \Theta_D)$  and, conversely, every congruence pair  $(\Theta_1, \Theta_2)$  of  $L$  determines a unique congruence relation  $\Theta$  of  $L$  having the property that  $\Theta_B = \Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_D = \Theta_2$ . Moreover,  $x \equiv y(\Theta)$  if and only if  $x^* \equiv y^*(\Theta_1)$  and  $x \vee x^* = y \vee y^*(\Theta_2)$ .*

In what follows we shall often identify  $\Theta \in \text{Con}(L)$  with the corresponding congruence pair  $(\Theta_B, \Theta_D)$ . If there is no danger of confusion, we shall omit the subscripts in notation of some congruence pairs, e.g.  $\Delta = (\Delta, \Delta)$ ,  $\nabla = (\nabla, \nabla)$ ,  $(\Delta, \alpha)$ .

Clearly, having  $\alpha \in \text{Con}(B(L))$ , there exists  $\text{Ker } \alpha = J \in I(B(L))$  (=the lattice of all ideals of  $B(L)$ ) such that  $\alpha = \Theta[J]$ . Similarly, for  $\beta \in \text{Con}(D(L))$ ,  $\text{Ker } \beta = \{x \in D(L) : x \equiv 1(\beta)\}$  is a filter of  $D(L)$ , i.e.  $\text{Ker } \beta \in F(D(L))$ .

Given a quasi-modular  $p$ -algebra  $L$ , there is a map  $\varphi(L) : B(L) \rightarrow F(D(L))$  defined as follows:

$$a\varphi(L) = \{x \in D(L) : x \cong a^*\} = [a^*] \cap D(L).$$

This map proved instrumental in characterizing the quasi-modular  $p$ -algebras (see [13]). We shall need the following result.

**Theorem B** (see [13, Theorem 3]). *In a quasi-modular  $p$ -algebra  $L$ , the map  $\varphi(L) : B(L) \rightarrow F(D(L))$  is a  $\{0, 1, \vee\}$ -homomorphism.*

Now, we can reformulate the definition of a congruence pair.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $L$  be a quasi-modular  $p$ -algebra and let  $(\Theta_1, \Theta_2) \in \text{Con}(B(L)) \times \text{Con}(D(L))$ . Then  $(\Theta_1, \Theta_2)$  is a congruence pair if and only if  $J\varphi(L) := \cup \cup (a\varphi(L) : a \in J) \subseteq \text{Ker } \Theta_2$ , where  $J = \text{Ker } \Theta_1$ .*

**Proof.** Clearly,  $a \in J = \text{Ker } \Theta_1$  if and only if  $a^* \equiv 1(\Theta_1)$ . Therefore,  $J\varphi(L) \subseteq \subseteq \text{Ker } \Theta_2$  if and only if  $(\Theta_1, \Theta_2)$  is a congruence pair.

From Lemma 1 we see that for every  $\Theta_1 \in \text{Con}(B(L))$  with  $J = \text{Ker } \Theta_1$  there exists a smallest  $\delta(\Theta_1) \in \text{Con}(D(L))$  such that  $J\varphi(L) \subseteq \subseteq \text{Ker } \delta(\Theta_1)$ . That means,  $(\Theta_1, \Theta_2)$  is a congruence pair of  $L$  if and only if  $\Theta_2 \cong \delta(\Theta_1)$ . Dually, for every  $\Theta_2 \in \text{Con}(D(L))$  there exists a largest ideal  $J \in I(B(L))$  such that  $J\varphi(L) \subseteq \subseteq \text{Ker } \Theta_2$ , i.e.  $(\Theta[J], \Theta_2)$  is a congruence pair. Notation:  $\tau(\Theta_2) = \Theta[J]$ . Evidently,  $(\Theta_1, \Theta_2)$  is a congruence pair of  $L$  if and only if  $\tau(\Theta_2) \cong \Theta_1$ .

An abstract description of the lattice of all congruence pairs of quasi-modular  $p$ -algebras can be found in [4]. In the next theorem we give a description of (relative) pseudocomplements in  $\text{Con}(L)$  by means of congruence pairs.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $L$  be a quasi-modular  $p$ -algebra and let  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Con}(L)$ . Then  $(\alpha_B \vee \beta_B, \alpha_D \vee \beta_D)$ ,  $(\alpha_B \wedge \beta_B, \alpha_D \wedge \beta_D)$  and  $(\alpha_B * \beta_B \wedge \tau(\alpha_D * \beta_D), \alpha_D * \beta_D)$  are congruence pairs of  $\alpha \vee \beta$ ,  $\alpha \wedge \beta$  and  $\alpha * \beta$ , respectively. In particular,*

$$(\alpha_B^* \wedge \tau(\alpha_D), \alpha_D^*) \text{ and } ((\alpha_B^* \wedge \tau(\alpha_D))^* \wedge \tau(\alpha_D^{**}), \alpha_D^{**})$$

*are congruence pairs of  $\alpha^*$  and  $\alpha^{**}$ , respectively.*

**Proof.** Clearly,  $(\alpha \vee \beta)_B \cong \alpha_B \vee \beta_B$  and  $(\alpha \vee \beta)_D \cong \alpha_D \vee \beta_D$ . Assume  $a \equiv b(\alpha \vee \beta)$  for  $a, b \in B(L)$ . Then there exists a finite sequence  $a = z_0, \dots, z_n = b$  such that  $z_{i-1} \equiv z_i(\alpha)$  or  $z_{i-1} \equiv z_i(\beta)$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Therefore  $z_{i-1}^{**} \equiv z_i^{**}(\alpha)$  or  $z_{i-1}^{**} \equiv z_i^{**}(\beta)$ , which implies  $a \equiv b(\alpha_B \vee \beta_B)$ . Hence  $(\alpha \vee \beta)_B = \alpha_B \vee \beta_B$ . A similar argument yields  $(\alpha \vee \beta)_D = \alpha_D \vee \beta_D$ ,  $(\alpha \wedge \beta)_B = \alpha_B \wedge \beta_B$  and  $(\alpha \wedge \beta)_D = \alpha_D \wedge \beta_D$ .

It is easy to verify that  $(\alpha_B * \beta_B \wedge \tau(\alpha_D * \beta_D), \alpha_D * \beta_D)$  is a congruence pair of  $L$ . Clearly,

$$(\alpha_B, \alpha_D) \wedge (\alpha_B * \beta_B \wedge \tau(\alpha_D * \beta_D), \alpha_D * \beta_D) \cong (\beta_B, \beta_D).$$

Assume  $(\alpha_B, \alpha_D) \wedge (\eta_B, \eta_D) \cong (\beta_B, \beta_D)$  in  $\text{Con}(L)$ . Therefore,  $\eta_B \cong \alpha_B * \beta_B$  and  $\eta_D \cong \alpha_D * \beta_D$ . Since  $(\eta_B, \eta_D)$  is a congruence pair, we have  $\eta_B \cong \tau(\eta_D) \cong \tau(\alpha_D * \beta_D)$ . Hence  $(\eta_B, \eta_D) \cong (\alpha_B * \beta_B \wedge \tau(\alpha_D * \beta_D), \alpha_D * \beta_D)$ . The last part of Theorem can be established in the same way because  $(\alpha_B, \alpha_D)^* = (\alpha_B, \alpha_D) * (\Delta, \Delta)$ .

**Corollary 1** (see [1, Theorem 2]). *Let  $L$  be a quasi-modular  $p$ -algebra. Then  $\text{Con}(D(L)) \cong [D, \gamma]$ , where  $\gamma$  is the Glivenko congruence.*

**Proof.** Consider the map  $\alpha_2 \mapsto (\Delta, \alpha_2)$  from  $\text{Con}(D(L))$  into  $\text{Con}(L)$ . Since  $\gamma = (\Delta, \nabla)$ , we see that this map is an isomorphism between  $\text{Con}(D(L))$  and  $[D, \gamma]$ .

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $L$  be a quasi-modular  $p$ -algebra. Then  $\text{Con}(B(L)) \cong [\gamma, \nabla]$ .*

**Proof.** Consider the map  $\alpha_1 \mapsto (\alpha_1, \nabla)$  from  $\text{Con}(B(L))$  into  $\text{Con}(L)$ . This map is an isomorphism between  $\text{Con}(B(L))$  and  $[\gamma, \nabla]$ .

**4. Atomic congruence lattices.** In [12] we have extended Tanaka's result [15, Theorem 1].

**Theorem C.** *Let  $A$  be a congruence distributive algebra. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\text{Con}(A)$  is atomic;
- (ii)  $D(\text{Con}(A))$  is a principal filter;

(iii)  $B(\text{Con}(A))$  is atomic and every dual atom of  $B(\text{Con}(A))$  is completely meet-irreducible in  $\text{Con}(A)$ ;

(iv)  $\text{Con}(A)$  satisfies the (infinite) identity

$$\bigwedge (x_i^{**}: i \in I) = (\bigwedge (x_i: i \in I))^{**}.$$

Lemma 2. Let  $L$  be a quasi-modular *p*-algebra. Then  $\alpha = (\alpha_B, \alpha_D)$  is an atom of  $\text{Con}(L)$  if and only if

(i)  $\alpha_B = \Delta$  and  $\alpha_D$  is an atom of  $\text{Con}(D(L))$

or

(ii)  $\alpha_D = \Delta$ ,  $\alpha_B \cong \tau(\Delta)$  and  $\alpha_B$  is an atom of  $\text{Con}(B(L))$ .

Proof. Suppose that  $(\alpha_B, \alpha_D)$  is an atom of  $\text{Con}(L)$ . Two cases can arise:  $\alpha_D \neq \Delta$  or  $\alpha_D = \Delta$ . In the first event  $(\Delta, \alpha_D) \cong \alpha$ , whence  $\alpha = (\Delta, \alpha_D)$  and  $\alpha_D$  is an atom of  $\text{Con}(D(L))$ . In the second case we obtain (ii). The converse is trivial.

Theorem 2. Let  $L$  be a quasi-modular *p*-algebra. Then  $\text{Con}(L)$  is atomic if and only if

(i)  $\text{Con}(D(L))$  is atomic

and

(ii)  $\{a \in B(L): a\varphi(L) = [1]\}$  is an atomic ideal of  $B(L)$ , i.e. it is an atomic lattice.

Proof. Assume that  $\text{Con}(L)$  is atomic. Therefore,  $[\Delta, \gamma]$  is atomic as well. By Corollary 1 of Theorem 1 we obtain (i). Take  $0 \neq a \in B(L)$  with  $a\varphi(L) = [1]$ . By Lemma 1,  $(\Theta[[a]], \Delta) \in \text{Con}(L)$ . There exists an atom  $\alpha \in \text{Con}(L)$  with  $\alpha = (\alpha_B, \alpha_D) \cong (\Theta[[a]], \Delta)$ . Hence  $\alpha_D = \Delta$ ,  $\alpha_B \cong \tau(\Delta)$  and  $\alpha_B$  is an atom of  $\text{Con}(B(L))$  (Lemma 2). Thus  $\text{Ker } \alpha_B = (b)$  and  $b$  is an atom of  $B(L)$  with  $b\varphi(L) = [1]$ .

Conversely, assume (i) and (ii). Take  $\Delta \neq \alpha = (\alpha_B, \alpha_D)$  from  $\text{Con}(L)$ . Two cases can occur:  $\alpha_D \neq \Delta$  or  $\alpha_D = \Delta$ . In the first case, there is by (i) an atom  $\beta \in \text{Con}(D(L))$  with  $\beta \cong \alpha_D$ . Hence  $(\Delta, \beta)$  is by Corollary 1 to Theorem 1 an atom of  $\text{Con}(L)$  and  $(\Delta, \beta) \cong (\alpha_B, \alpha_D)$ . In the second case,  $\Delta \neq \alpha_B \cong \tau(\Delta)$ . There exists an atom  $a \in J = \text{Ker } \alpha_B$  by (ii). Hence  $(\Theta[[a]], \Delta)$  is an atom of  $\text{Con}(L)$  (Lemma 2) and  $(\Theta[[a]], \Delta) \cong \alpha$ .

Lemma 3. Let  $K$  be an ideal of a Boolean algebra  $B$  and let  $K$  be an atomic sublattice of  $B$ . Let  $J$  be the ideal of  $B$  generated by all atoms of  $K$ . Then  $J^* = K^*$  in the lattice  $I(B)$  of all ideals of  $B$ .

Proof. Clearly  $J \subseteq K$ . Therefore,  $J^* \supseteq K^*$ . Take  $b \in J^*$ . If  $(b) \cap K \neq (0)$ , then there exists an atom  $a \in K$  such that  $a \leq b$ . Hence  $a \in J \cap J^* = (0)$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $K \cap J^* = (0)$ , which implies  $J^* \subseteq K^*$ . So,  $J^* = K^*$ .

Theorem 3. Let  $L$  be a quasi-modular *p*-algebra. Then  $(\beta_1, \beta_2) \in \text{Con}(L)$  is the smallest element of  $D(\text{Con}(L))$  if and only if

(i)  $\beta_2$  is the smallest element of  $D(\text{Con}(D(L)))$

and

(ii) the ideal  $K = \{a \in B(L) : a\varphi(L) = [1]\}$  of  $B(L)$  is atomic and  $\beta_1 = \Theta[J]$ , where  $J$  is the ideal of  $B(L)$  generated by all atoms of  $K$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(\beta_1, \beta_2) \in \text{Con}(L)$  be the smallest element of  $D(\text{Con}(L))$ . It is easy to verify that  $(\tau(\Delta), \alpha) \in \text{Con}(L)$  for every  $\alpha \in \text{Con}(D(L))$ . Moreover,  $(\tau(\Delta), \alpha)^* = \Delta$  if and only if  $\alpha \in D(\text{Con}(D(L)))$ . Therefore,  $(\beta_1, \beta_2) \leq (\tau(\Delta), \alpha)$  for every  $\alpha \in D(\text{Con}(D(L)))$ . Thus  $\beta_2$  is the smallest element of  $D(\text{Con}(D(L)))$  and  $\beta_1 \leq \tau(\Delta)$ . Since  $\Delta = (\beta_1, \beta_2)^* = (\beta_1^* \wedge \tau(\Delta), \Delta)$ , we see that  $\beta_1^* \leq \tau(\Delta)^*$ . But  $\beta_1 \leq \tau(\Delta)$  implies  $\beta_1^* \leq \tau(\Delta)^*$ . Hence  $\beta_1^* = \tau(\Delta)^*$ . Clearly,  $\beta_1 = \Theta[M]$  and  $\tau(\Delta) = \Theta[K]$ , where  $M$  is an ideal of  $B(L)$  and  $M \subseteq K$ . According to Theorems C and 2,  $K$  is atomic. Without difficulties one can check that  $M$  contains all atoms of  $K$ , as  $\beta_1^* = \tau(\Delta)^*$ . Let  $J$  denote the ideal of  $B(L)$  generated by all atoms of  $K$ . Lemma 3 yields  $\beta_1^* = \tau(\Delta)^* = \Theta[J]^*$ . Now,  $(\Theta[J], \beta_2)^* = (\beta_1^* \wedge \tau(\Delta), \beta_2^*) = \Delta$  implies  $\beta_1 \leq \Theta[J]$ . Eventually,  $\beta_1 = \Theta[J]$ .

Conversely, let  $L$  satisfy (i) and (ii). Take  $\beta_1 = \Theta[J]$  from  $\text{Con}(B(L))$  and  $\beta_2 \in \text{Con}(D(L))$  as defined in (i) and (ii). Clearly,  $(\beta_1, \beta_2) \in \text{Con}(L)$ , as  $\beta_1 \leq \tau(\Delta)$ . By Lemma 3,  $\beta_1^* = \tau(\Delta)^*$ . Therefore,  $(\beta_1, \beta_2)^* = \Delta$ ; that means  $(\beta_1, \beta_2) \in D(\text{Con}(L))$ . Consider  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in D(\text{Con}(L))$ . Since  $\alpha_2^* = \Delta$ , we have  $\beta_2 \leq \alpha_2$ . In addition,  $\alpha_1^* \wedge \tau(\Delta) = \Delta$ . Hence  $\alpha_1^* \leq \tau(\Delta)^* = \beta_1^*$ . Clearly  $\alpha_1 = \Theta[M]$  for some ideal  $M$  of  $B(L)$ . We claim that  $M \supseteq J$ . Really, if  $J \not\subseteq M$ , then there exists an atom  $a \in J - M$  and  $a \in M^*$ . That means  $\Theta[a] \leq \alpha_1^* \wedge \beta_1 = \Delta$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $J \subseteq M$ , as claimed. Hence  $\beta_1 \leq \alpha_1$ , and  $(\beta_1, \beta_2)$  is the smallest dense congruence relation of  $L$ . The proof is complete.

**Lemma 4.** Let  $L$  be a quasi-modular  $p$ -algebra. Let  $a \in B(L)$  with  $a\varphi(L) = [1]$ . Then  $(\Theta[a], \Delta) \in B(\text{Con}(L))$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\Theta[a] \leq \tau(\Delta)$ , we see that  $(\Theta[a], \Delta) \in \text{Con}(L)$ . By Theorem 1,  $(\Theta[a], \Delta)^{**} = (\Theta[a]^{**} \wedge \tau(\Delta), \Delta)$ . Since  $\Theta[a]^{**} = \Theta[a]$ , the proof is complete.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $L$  be a quasi-modular  $p$ -algebra. Then  $B(\text{Con}(L))$  is atomic if and only if

(i)  $B(\text{Con}(D(L)))$  is atomic

and

(ii)  $\{a \in B(L) : a\varphi(L) = [1]\}$  is an atomic ideal of  $B(L)$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $B(\text{Con}(L))$  is atomic. Let  $\Delta \neq \alpha \in B(\text{Con}(D(L)))$ . Therefore,  $(\Delta, \alpha) \in \text{Con}(L)$ . Clearly,  $(\Delta, \alpha)^{**} = (\tau(\alpha^*) \wedge \tau(\alpha), \alpha) \neq \Delta$ , by Theorem 1. By assumption there exists an atom  $(\beta_1, \beta_2)$  of  $B(\text{Con}(L))$  such that  $(\beta_1, \beta_2) \leq (\tau(\alpha^*) \wedge \tau(\alpha), \alpha)$ . Evidently,  $\beta_2^{**} = \beta_2$  in  $\text{Con}(D(L))$ . Hence  $\beta_2 \leq \alpha$ . We claim

that  $\beta_2$  is an atom of  $B(\text{Con}(D(L)))$ . First we show that  $\beta_2 \neq \Delta$ . Assume to the contrary that  $\beta_2 = \Delta$ . Hence  $\beta_1 \leq \tau(\Delta)$ . Since  $\tau(\Delta) \leq \tau(\alpha^*)$ , we get  $\beta_1 \leq \tau(\alpha^*)^* \leq \tau(\Delta)^*$ . Therefore  $\beta_1 = \Delta$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\beta_2 \neq \Delta$ . Take  $\Delta \neq \eta \in B(\text{Con}(D(L)))$  with  $\eta \leq \beta_2$ . Therefore  $\Delta \neq (\Delta, \eta) \leq (\beta_1, \beta_2)$  implies  $(\Delta, \eta)^{**} = (\beta_1, \beta_2)$ , as  $(\beta_1, \beta_2)$  is an atom of  $B(\text{Con}(L))$ . But  $(\Delta, \eta)^{**} = (\tau(\eta^*)^* \wedge \tau(\eta), \eta)$ . Hence  $\eta = \beta_2$  and  $\beta_2$  is an atom of  $B(\text{Con}(D(L)))$ , as claimed. The second part of Theorem follows from Lemma 4.

Conversely, let  $L$  satisfy (i) and (ii). Consider  $\Delta \neq (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in B(\text{Con}(L))$ . Clearly  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_2^{**}$  in  $\text{Con}(D(L))$ . If  $\alpha_2 = \Delta$  then  $\alpha_1 \leq \tau(\Delta)$ , and  $\alpha_1 = \Theta[J]$ , where  $J$  is an ideal of  $\{a \in B(L) : a\varphi(L) = [1]\}$ . By (ii) there exists an atom  $a \in J$ . Put  $\beta_1 = \Theta[a]$  in  $\text{Con}(B(L))$ . Clearly  $(\beta_1, \Delta)^{**} = (\beta_1, \Delta) \leq (\alpha_1, \Delta)$ , using Lemma 4. Thus  $(\beta_1, \Delta)$  is an atom of  $B(\text{Con}(L))$ . Assume  $\alpha_2 \neq \Delta$ . Then there exists an atom  $\beta_2 \leq \alpha_2$  in  $B(\text{Con}(D(L)))$  by (i). Since  $(\Delta, \beta_2) \leq (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ , we see that

$$(\Delta, \beta_2)^{**} = (\tau(\beta_2^*)^* \wedge \tau(\beta_2), \beta_2) \leq (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)^{**}.$$

It remains to verify that  $(\Delta, \beta_2)^{**}$  is an atom of  $B(\text{Con}(L))$ . Really, suppose that there exists  $\Delta \neq (\eta_1, \eta_2) \in B(\text{Con}(L))$  with  $(\eta_1, \eta_2) \leq (\Delta, \beta_2)^{**}$ . Two cases can arise:  $\eta_2 \neq \Delta$  or  $\eta_2 = \Delta$ . But  $\eta_2 \neq \Delta$  implies  $\beta_2 = \eta_2$ . Moreover,  $(\Delta, \beta_2) \leq (\eta_1, \eta_2) \leq (\Delta, \beta_2)^{**}$  implies  $(\eta_1, \eta_2)^{**} = (\eta_1, \eta_2) = (\Delta, \beta_2)^{**}$ . Assume  $\eta_2 = \Delta$ . Therefore,  $\eta_1 \leq \tau(\Delta) \leq \tau(\beta_2^*)$ . Similarly as above,  $\eta_1 \leq \tau(\beta_2^*)^* \leq \tau(\Delta)^*$ , which implies  $\eta_1 = \Delta$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $(\Delta, \beta_2)^{**}$  is an atom of  $B(\text{Con}(L))$  and the proof is complete.

**5. Stonean congruence lattices.**

Lemma 5. *Let  $L$  be a Stone lattice and  $a \in L$ . Then  $[0, a]$  is also a Stone lattice.*

The proof is straightforward (see [8, 2.11]).

Theorem 5. *Let  $L$  be a quasi-modular  $p$ -algebra. Then  $\text{Con}(L)$  is a Stone lattice if and only if*

- (i)  $\text{Con}(D(L))$  is a Stone lattice,
- (ii) if  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \text{Con}(L)$  then  $\text{Ker}(\alpha_1^* \wedge \tau(\alpha_2^*)) = [a]$  for some  $a \in B(L)$ ,
- (iii) if  $\alpha \in \text{Con}(D(L))$  then  $\tau(\alpha^{**}) \leq (\tau(\alpha)^* \wedge \tau(\alpha^*))^*$ .

Proof. Suppose that  $\text{Con}(L)$  is a Stone lattice. The condition (i) follows directly from Lemma 5 and Corollary 1 to Theorem 1. Take now  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \text{Con}(L)$ . By Theorem 1 and the hypothesis,

$$\nabla = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)^* \vee (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)^{**} = (\alpha_1^* \wedge \tau(\alpha_2^*), \alpha_2^*) \vee ((\alpha_1^* \wedge \tau(\alpha_2^*))^* \wedge \tau(\alpha_2^{**}), \alpha_2^{**}).$$

Therefore,

$$(\alpha_1^* \wedge \tau(\alpha_2^*)) \vee [(\alpha_1^* \wedge \tau(\alpha_2^*))^* \wedge \tau(\alpha_2^{**})] = \nabla.$$

Consequently,  $(\alpha_1^* \wedge \tau(\alpha_2^*)) \vee (\alpha_1^* \wedge \tau(\alpha_2^*))^* = \nabla$ . Hence,  $(\alpha_1^* \wedge \tau(\alpha_2^*))^*$  is a complement of  $\alpha_1^* \wedge \tau(\alpha_2^*)$  in  $\text{Con}(B(L))$ , and  $(\alpha_1^* \wedge \tau(\alpha_2^*))^* = (\alpha_1^* \wedge \tau(\alpha_2^*))^* \wedge \tau(\alpha_2^{**})$ . Thus  $\tau(\alpha_2^{**}) \cong \cong (\alpha_1^* \wedge \tau(\alpha_2^*))^*$ . As  $(\tau(\alpha), \alpha) \in \text{Con}(L)$  for every  $\alpha$  from  $\text{Con}(D(L))$ , this yields (iii). The condition (ii) follows from the fact that  $\alpha_1^* \wedge \tau(\alpha_2^*) = \Theta[J]$  and  $(\alpha_1^* \wedge \tau(\alpha_2^*))^* = \Theta[J^*]$  for some  $J \in I(B(L))$ . By the hypothesis,  $J^*$  is a complement of  $J$  in  $I(B(L))$ . It follows that  $J = (a)$  and  $J^* = (a^*)$  for some  $a \in B(L)$  (see [5] or [7]).

Conversely, suppose that  $L$  satisfies (i)–(iii). Take  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \text{Con}(L)$ . Clearly  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \cong (\tau(\alpha_2), \alpha_2)$ . By Theorem 1 and the hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)^* \vee (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)^{**} &= (\alpha_1^* \wedge \tau(\alpha_2^*), \alpha_2^*) \vee ((\alpha_1^* \wedge \tau(\alpha_2^*))^* \wedge \tau(\alpha_2^{**}), \alpha_2^{**}) = \\ &= (\Theta[(a)], \alpha_2^*) \vee (\Theta[(a^*)], \alpha_2^{**}) = \nabla, \end{aligned}$$

because  $(\alpha_1^* \wedge \tau(\alpha_2^*))^* \cong (\tau(\alpha_2)^* \wedge \tau(\alpha_2^*))^*$ . The proof is complete.

*Corollary.* Let  $L$  be a quasi-modular  $p$ -algebra and let  $\text{Con}(L)$  be a Stone lattice. Then for  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \text{Con}(L)$  we have

- (i)  $(\alpha_1^{**}, \alpha_2^{**}) \in \text{Con}(L)$ ,
- (ii)  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)^{**} = (\alpha_1^{**}, \alpha_2^{**})^{**} = ((\alpha_1^* \wedge \tau(\alpha_2^*))^*, \alpha_2^{**})$

and

- (iii)  $\alpha \in \text{Con}(D(L))$  implies  $\tau(\alpha^{**}) \cong \tau(\alpha)^{**} \vee \tau(\alpha)^*$ .

*Proof.* (i) Since, by Theorem 5,  $\alpha_1^{**} \cong \tau(\alpha_2^{**})$ , we have  $(\alpha_1^{**}, \alpha_2^{**}) \in \text{Con}(L)$ . (ii) and (iii) follows from Theorems 1 and 5.

In [12] we have also investigated algebras whose congruence lattices satisfy the (infinite) identity

$$(1) \quad \vee(x_i^{**}: i \in I) = (\vee(x_i: i \in I))^{**}.$$

*Theorem 6.* Let  $L$  be a quasi-modular  $p$ -algebra. Then  $\text{Con}(L)$  satisfies the identity (1) if and only if

- (i)  $\text{Con}(L)$  is a Stone lattice

and

- (ii)  $B(\text{Con}(L))$  is finite.

*Proof.* Assume that  $\text{Con}(L)$  satisfies the identity (1). Then by [12, Lemma 2],  $\text{Con}(L)$  is a Stone lattice and  $B(\text{Con}(L))$  is atomic. Moreover, [12, Theorem 9] says that  $L$  has an irredundant discrete subdirect factorization with finitely subdirectly irreducible factors. Let  $\{\alpha_i: i \in I\}$  denote the set of all dual atoms of  $B(\text{Con}(L))$ . Then by [12, Theorem 2],  $(L/\alpha_i: i \in I)$  is the subdirect factorization of  $L$  in question. Therefore, every element  $x \in L$  can be represented as  $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ , where  $x_i \in L/\alpha_i$  for every  $i \in I$ . Take now the elements  $v=0$  and  $u=1$  from  $L$ ,



i.e. the smallest and the largest elements of  $L$ , respectively. Since the factorization of  $L$  is discrete, there exists a finite subset  $I_1 \subseteq I$  such that  $\{i \in I: u_i \neq v_i\} = I_1$ . Moreover,  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  implies  $u_i = x_i = v_i$  for every  $i \in I - I_1$ . But the factorization  $(L/\alpha_i: i \in I)$  is irredundant that means  $\bigwedge(\alpha_j: j \in I, i \neq j) \neq \Delta$  for every  $i \in I$ . Hence  $I = I_1$  is finite.  $B(\text{Con}(L))$  is an atomic and complete Boolean algebra. Therefore,  $B(\text{Con}(L))$  is finite.

Conversely, assume that  $L$  satisfies (i) and (ii). Therefore,  $\text{Con}(L)$  satisfies the identity  $\bigvee(x_i^{**}: i \in I) = (\bigvee(x_i: i \in I))^{**}$  for every finite  $I$ . According to (ii),  $\text{Con}(L)$  enjoys the identity (1) for arbitrary  $I$ . The proof is complete.

Deeper results can be obtained for distributive *p*-algebras. First we recall two results.

**Theorem D.** *Let  $L$  be a distributive lattice with 0. Then  $L$  can be embedded in a generalized Boolean lattice  $B$  such that every congruence relation of  $L$  has one and only one extension to  $B$ , that means  $\text{Con}(L) \cong \text{Con}(B)$ .*

For the proof see [6, Lemma II.4.5].

**Theorem E** ([10, Theorem 2]). *Every distributive *p*-algebra can be embedded in a Heyting algebra  $H$  of order 3 (i.e.  $D(H)$  is relatively complemented) such that*

(i) *every congruence relation of  $L$  has one and only one extension to  $H$ , i.e.*

$\text{Con}(L) \cong \text{Con}(H)$ ,

(ii)  $B(L) = B(H)$

and

(iii)  *$D(H)$  is an extension of  $D(L)$  such that  $\text{Con}(D(L)) \cong \text{Con}(D(H))$ .*

For the proof of (ii) and (iii) see the proof of [10, Theorem 2].

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $L$  be a distributive *p*-algebra. Then  $\text{Con}(L)$  is a Stone lattice if and only if*

(i)  *$D(L)$  is relatively complemented,*

(ii) *the dual lattice  $\check{L}$  is a Stone lattice*

and

(iii)  *$B(\check{L})$  is a complete Boolean algebra.*

**Proof.** Let  $\text{Con}(L)$  be a Stone lattice. Then there exists a Heyting algebra  $H$  of order 3 such that  $L$  is a subalgebra of the *p*-algebra  $H$  and  $\text{Con}(L) \cong \text{Con}(H)$  (Theorem E). It is well known that  $\text{Con}(H) \cong F(H)$ , that means, every congruence relation of  $H$  is uniquely determined by a filter of  $H$ . Hence  $F(H)$  is a Stone lattice. Now we can apply [7, Satz 9]. Therefore, (a) the dual lattice  $\check{H}$  is a Stone lattice and (b)  $B(\check{H})$  is a complete Boolean algebra. Evidently,  $B(\check{H}) \subseteq B(H) = B(L)$  (Theorem E). Take  $a \in L$ . There exists a dual pseudocomplement  $a^+ \in B(\check{H})$  of  $a$  in  $H$ , i.e.  $a \vee x = 1$  if and only if  $x \cong a^+$ . As  $B(\check{H}) \subseteq B(L)$ ,  $a^+ \in L$  and  $L$  is dual pseudocomplemented,

that means  $\check{L}$  is pseudocomplemented. Moreover,  $\check{L}$  is a Stone lattice by (a). Again,  $B(\check{L}) \subseteq B(\check{H}) \subseteq B(L)$  implies  $B(\check{L}) = B(\check{H})$ . Hence,  $B(\check{L})$  is a complete Boolean algebra by (b). We have established (ii) and (iii).

Now we shall prove (i). Using (a) we see that  $H$  is a double  $p$ -algebra. Moreover, by the hypothesis,  $D(H)$  is relatively complemented. Therefore,  $H$  is a regular double  $p$ -algebra (see [9, Theorem 2]). Above we have shown that  $L$  is a subalgebra of the double  $p$ -algebra  $H$  (see also Theorem E). But the regular double  $p$ -algebras form a variety (see [9, Theorem 2] or [16]). Hence, again by [9, Theorem 2],  $L$  is also regular and this implies that  $D(L)$  is relatively complemented.

Conversely, let  $L$  satisfy (i)–(iii). Then  $L$  is a distributive double  $p$ -algebra. By [9, Theorem 2],  $L$  is a regular double  $p$ -algebra, because  $D(L)$  is relatively complemented. According to [9, Theorem 1],  $L$  forms a (double) Heyting algebra  $H$ . But every congruence relation of  $L$  is also a Heyting algebra congruence relation, that means  $\text{Con}(L) = \text{Con}(H)$  (see [10, Lemma 1]). Therefore,  $\text{Con}(L) \cong F(H) = F(L)$ . Now, conditions (ii) and (iii) imply by [7, Satz 9] that  $F(L)$  is a Stone lattice. Thus,  $\text{Con}(L)$  is a Stone lattice and the proof is complete.

For the next Theorem we need the following

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $L$  be a distributive lattice with 0. Then  $B(\text{Con}(L))$  is finite if and only if  $L$  is finite.*

**Proof.** By Theorem D there is an extension  $K$  of  $L$  such that  $K$  is a generalized Boolean lattice and  $\text{Con}(L) \cong \text{Con}(K)$ . Every congruence relation of  $K$  is uniquely determined by its kernel, that means  $\text{Con}(K) \cong I(K)$ . By assumption,  $B(I(K))$  is finite. Take  $a \in K$ . We claim that  $[a] \in B(I(K))$ . Really, if  $J \in I(K)$ , then  $J^* = \{x \in K: x \wedge y = 0 \text{ for every } y \in J\}$ . Consider  $[a]^*$  and  $[a]^{**}$ . It suffices to show that  $[a]^{**} = [a]$ . Clearly  $[a] \subseteq [a]^{**}$ . Choose  $b \in [a]^{**}$ . Take  $c = a \vee b$  and observe  $[c] \in I(K)$ .  $[c]$  is a Boolean lattice. Since  $[a] \vee ([a]^* \wedge [c]) = [c]$ , we see that  $b \leq a$  and  $[a] = [a]^{**} \in B(I(K))$ , as claimed. Hence  $K$  is finite, as  $B(I(K))$  is finite. Consequently,  $L$  is finite. The converse implication is trivial.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $L$  be a distributive  $p$ -algebra. Then  $\text{Con}(L)$  satisfies the identity (1) if and only if*

- (i)  $\text{Con}(L)$  is a Stone lattice,
- (ii)  $D(L)$  is finite

and

- (iii)  $\{a \in B(L): a \varphi(L) = [1]\}$  is finite.

**Proof.** Let  $\text{Con}(L)$  satisfy the identity (1). The condition (i) follows from Theorem 6. Again from Theorem 6 we know that  $B(\text{Con}(L))$  is finite. Hence,  $B(\text{Con}(L))$  is atomic. With regard to Theorem 4,  $B(\text{Con}(D(L)))$  is also atomic

and the set of all atoms of  $B(\text{Con}(L))$  comprises

$$\{(A, \alpha) \in \text{Con}(L) : \alpha \text{ is an atom of } B(\text{Con}(D(L)))\}$$

and

$$\{(\Theta[a], A) \in \text{Con}(L) : a\varphi(L) = [1] \text{ and } a \text{ is an atom of } B(L)\}.$$

This and Lemma 6 imply (ii), because  $B(\text{Con}(L))$  is finite. Now we shall establish (iii). Again by the hypothesis the set of atoms  $a \in B(L)$  such that  $a\varphi(L) = [1]$  is finite. Observe  $b \in B(L)$  with  $b\varphi(L) = [1]$ . We claim that  $b = a_1 \mathbf{V} \dots \mathbf{V} a_n$ , where  $a_i \varphi(L) = [1]$  and  $a_i$  is an atom of  $B(L)$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $a \in B(L)$  be a join of atoms  $a_i$  of  $B(L)$  with  $a_i \leq b$ , i.e.  $a = a_1 \mathbf{V} \dots \mathbf{V} a_n$ . Therefore,  $a \leq b$ . Then there exists  $c \in B(L)$  such that  $a \wedge c = 0$  and  $b = a \mathbf{V} c$ . Hence  $b\varphi(L) = a\varphi(L) \mathbf{V} c\varphi(L) = [1]$  (see Theorem B). Consequently,  $c\varphi(L) = [1]$ . If  $t \leq c$  and  $t$  is an atom of  $B(L)$  then by assumption  $t \leq a$ . This implies  $c = 0$ . Thus  $b = a$ , as claimed. Now it is easy to show that  $\{a \in B(L) : a\varphi(L) = [1]\}$  is finite.

Conversely, let  $L$  satisfy (i)—(iii). By Theorem 4,  $B(\text{Con}(L))$  is atomic and the set of all atoms of  $B(\text{Con}(L))$  is finite. Therefore, the Boolean algebra  $B(\text{Con}(L))$  is finite. The rest follows from Theorem 6.

Before closing this section we shall generalize Beazer's [1, Theorem 6] (see also [2]). We shall characterize those finite *p*-algebras, which have the same congruence lattices as the finite distributive *p*-algebras.

Having an (arbitrary) finite *p*-algebra  $L$ , then  $\text{Con}(L)$  is a finite distributive lattice, and thus,  $\text{Con}(L)$  can be considered as a finite double *p*-algebra. In this case we introduce the ideal  $\bar{D}(\text{Con}(L))$  of dual dense elements from  $\text{Con}(L)$ , that means,  $\alpha \in \bar{D}(\text{Con}(L))$  if and only if  $\alpha^+ = \nabla$ .

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $L$  be a finite *p*-algebra. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *there exists a finite distributive *p*-algebra  $L'$  such that  $\text{Con}(L) \cong \text{Con}(L')$ ;*
- (ii)  *$D(\text{Con}(L))$  is a Boolean lattice;*
- (iii)  *$\bar{D}(\text{Con}(L))$  is a Boolean lattice;*
- (iv)  *$\text{Con}(L)$  is a regular double *p*-algebra.*

**Proof.** By assumption,  $\text{Con}(L)$  is finite and distributive. Now the equivalence between (ii)—(iv) follows from [9, Theorem 2]. Assume (i). Then there exists a finite Heyting algebra  $H$  of order 3 with  $\text{Con}(H) \cong \text{Con}(L)$ . Since  $H$  is finite, we see that  $H$  is a double *p*-algebra. Eventually,  $H$  is regular, because  $H$  is of order 3. The same is also true for the dual lattice  $\check{H}$ . But  $\text{Con}(H) \cong F(H) \cong \check{H}$ . Hence  $\check{H} \cong \text{Con}(L)$ , and (iv) is true. Conversely, assume (iv). Let  $H$  denote the dual lattice of  $\text{Con}(L)$ . Clearly,  $H$  is also a regular double *p*-algebra. By [9, Theorem 2]  $H$  is in fact a Heyting algebra of order 3. Let  $L'$  be  $H$  considered as a *p*-algebra. Then

$\text{Con}(L') \cong F(H)$ , by [10, Lemma 1]. Since  $H$  is finite, we see that  $F(H) \cong \check{H} \cong \text{Con}(L)$ , and (i) is established.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $L$  be a finite quasi-modular  $p$ -algebra. Then  $\bar{D}(\text{Con}(L)) = [\Delta, \gamma]$  (that means that the Glivenko congruence is the largest dual dense element of  $\text{Con}(L)$ ).*

**Proof.** We know that  $\gamma = (\Delta, \nabla)$ . Take  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \text{Con}(L)$  with  $\nabla = \gamma \vee \alpha$ . Therefore,  $\alpha_1 = \nabla$ . As  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  is a congruence pair, we see that  $\alpha_2 = \nabla$ . Now, assume  $\alpha \cong \gamma$  for some  $\alpha \in \bar{D}(\text{Con}(L))$ . Corollary 2 to Theorem 1 says that  $\nabla \neq \alpha \in [\gamma, \nabla] \cong \text{Con}(B(L))$ . But  $\text{Con}(B(L)) \cong B(L)$ , as  $L$  is finite. Take the complement  $\alpha'$  of  $\alpha$  in  $[\gamma, \nabla]$ . But  $\alpha' \neq \nabla$  is impossible, because  $\alpha \in \bar{D}(\text{Con}(L))$ . Hence  $\alpha' = \nabla$ , which implies  $\alpha = \gamma$ .

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $L$  be a finite quasi-modular  $p$ -algebra. Then there exists a finite distributive  $p$ -algebra  $L'$  such that  $\text{Con}(L) \cong \text{Con}(L')$  if and only if  $\text{Con}(D(L))$  is a Boolean lattice.*

**Proof.** Corollary 1 to Theorem 1 and Lemma 7 imply that  $\bar{D}(\text{Con}(L)) = [\Delta, \gamma] \cong \text{Con}(D(L))$ . Hence, by Theorem 9,  $\text{Con}(D(L))$  is a Boolean lattice if and only if there exists a finite distributive lattice  $L'$  such that  $\text{Con}(L) \cong \text{Con}(L')$ .

**Corollary** (see [1, Theorem 6]). *Let  $L$  be a finite modular  $p$ -algebra. Then there exists a finite distributive  $p$ -algebra  $L'$  such that  $\text{Con}(L) \cong \text{Con}(L')$ .*

**Proof.**  $D(L)$  is a finite modular lattice. It is well known that the congruence lattice of a finite modular lattice is Boolean. Hence  $\text{Con}(D(L))$  is a Boolean lattice. The rest follows from Theorem 10.

## 6. Relative Stone congruence lattices. We start with general results.

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $L$  be a distributive lattice with 1. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $L$  is relative Stone;
- (ii) for every  $a \in L$ ,  $[a, 1]$  is a Stone lattice;
- (iii) for every  $a \leq b$  in  $L$ ,  $[a, b]$  is a relative Stone lattice;
- (iv)  $L$  is a Brouwerian lattice (i.e. relatively pseudocomplemented) satisfying the identity  $x * y \vee y * x = 1$ .

**Proof.** The equivalences between (i), (ii) and (iii) follow from Lemma 5. The equivalence between (i) and (iv) can be found in [8, 2.10].

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $L$  be a Heyting algebra. Then  $L$  is a relative Stone lattice if and only if*

- (i)  $L$  is a Stone lattice

and

(ii)  $D(L)$  is relative Stone.

For the proof see [8, 2.13].

Lemma 10. Let  $L$  be a quasi-modular *p*-algebra and let  $B(L)$  be finite. Then  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in D(\text{Con}(L))$  if and only if

(i)  $\alpha_2^* = \Delta$ , i.e.  $\alpha_2 \in D(\text{Con}(D(L)))$

and

(ii)  $\alpha_1 \cong \tau(\Delta)$ .

Proof. Assume  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in D(\text{Con}(L))$ . Then, by Theorem 1,  $\Delta = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)^* = (\alpha_1^* \wedge \tau(\Delta), \Delta)$ . So,  $\alpha_2^* = \Delta$ . Moreover,  $\Delta = \alpha_1^* \wedge \tau(\Delta)$  in  $\text{Con}(B(L))$ . Since  $B(L)$  is finite, we have  $B(L) \cong \text{Con}(B(L))$ . Hence  $\alpha = \alpha^{**}$  for every  $\alpha \in \text{Con}(B(L))$ . Now,  $\Delta = \alpha_1^* \wedge \tau(\Delta)$  implies  $\alpha_1^{**} = \alpha_1 \cong \tau(\Delta)$  proving (ii). Conversely, (i) and (ii) imply  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)^* = (\alpha_1^* \wedge \tau(\Delta), \Delta) = \Delta$ , as  $\alpha_1^* \cong \tau(\Delta)^*$ .

Lemma 11. Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra. Then  $\text{Con}(B)$  is a relative Stone lattice if and only if  $B$  is finite.

Proof. Let  $\text{Con}(B)$  be a relative Stone lattice. This is equivalent to the fact that  $I(B/J)$  is a Stone lattice for every  $J \in I(B)$  (Lemma 8). But  $I(B)$  is a Stone lattice if and only if  $B$  is complete (see [5] or [7, Satz 9]). By [3, Theorem 4.3] every infinite complete Boolean algebra contains an ideal  $J$  such that  $B/J$  is not complete. That means  $I(B/J)$  is not a Stone lattice. Hence  $B$  is finite. The converse is trivially true.

Theorem 11. Let  $L$  be a quasi-modular *p*-algebra. Then  $\text{Con}(L)$  is a relative Stone lattice if and only if

(i)  $\text{Con}(L)$  is a Stone lattice,

(ii)  $B(L)$  is finite,

(iii)  $\text{Con}(D(L))$  is a relative Stone lattice

and

(iv) for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Con}(D(L))$  with  $\alpha \cong \beta$ ,  $\beta \in D(\text{Con}(D(L)))$  and  $\tau(\beta) \cong \tau(\Delta)$  it is true that  $\tau(\alpha * \beta)^* \cong \tau((\alpha * \beta) * \beta)$ .

Proof. Let  $\text{Con}(L)$  be relative Stone. (i) follows from Lemma 9. Corollary 2 to Theorem 1 says that  $\text{Con}(B(L)) \cong [\gamma, \nabla]$ . Using Lemma 8 we see that  $[\gamma, \nabla]$  is also relative Stone. Hence  $\text{Con}(B(L))$  is relative Stone. By Lemma 11,  $B(L)$  is finite and (ii) is established. The condition (iii) follows from the hypothesis and Corollary 1 to Theorem 1. Eventually we shall prove (iv). Lemma 9 and the hypothesis imply that  $D(\text{Con}(L))$  is relative Stone. Take  $\alpha_2 = \alpha$  and  $\beta_2 = \beta$  from  $\text{Con}(D(L))$  with  $\alpha \cong \beta$ ,  $\beta \in D(\text{Con}(D(L)))$  and  $\tau(\beta) \cong \tau(\Delta)$ . Since  $(\tau(\Delta), \alpha_2), (\tau(\Delta), \beta_2) \in D(\text{Con}(L))$  (see Lemma 10), there exist  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (\beta_1, \beta_2) \in D(\text{Con}(L))$  with  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \cong (\beta_1, \beta_2)$ .

By the hypothesis,  $[(\beta_1, \beta_2), \nabla]$  is a Stone lattice (Lemma 8). The pseudocomplements of elements in this interval can be calculated using Théorem 1. Therefore,

$$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) * (\beta_1, \beta_2) = (\alpha_1 * \beta_1 \wedge \tau(\alpha_2 * \beta_2), \alpha_2 * \beta_2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ((\alpha_1, \alpha_2) * (\beta_1, \beta_2)) * (\beta_1, \beta_2) &= (\alpha_1 * \beta_1 \wedge \tau(\alpha_2 * \beta_2), \alpha_2 * \beta_2) * (\beta_1, \beta_2) = \\ &= (((\alpha_1 * \beta_1) \wedge \tau(\alpha_2 * \beta_2)) * \beta_1 \wedge \tau((\alpha_2 * \beta_2) * \beta_2), (\beta_1 * \beta_2) * \beta_2). \end{aligned}$$

By the hypothesis

$$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) * (\beta_1, \beta_2) \vee ((\alpha_1, \alpha_2) * (\beta_1, \beta_2)) * (\beta_1, \beta_2) = \nabla.$$

Since  $B(L)$  is finite, we have  $\text{Con}(B(L)) \cong B(L)$ . This implies that in  $\text{Con}(B(L))$  pseudocomplements are complements (i.e.  $\alpha^* = \alpha'$ ) and  $\alpha * \beta = \alpha' \vee \beta$ . Bearing this in mind we see that  $((\alpha_1 * \beta_1) \wedge \tau(\alpha_2 * \beta_2)) * \beta_1$  is the complement of  $\alpha_1 * \beta_1 \wedge \tau(\alpha_2 * \beta_2)$  in  $[\beta_1, \nabla]$ . Therefore,

$$((\alpha_1 * \beta_1) \wedge \tau(\alpha_2 * \beta_2)) * \beta_1 = (\alpha_1 * \beta_1)^* \vee \tau(\alpha_2 * \beta_2)^* \vee \beta_1 \cong \tau((\alpha_2 * \beta_2) * \beta_2)$$

and consequently,  $\tau(\alpha_2 * \beta_2)^* \cong \tau((\alpha_2 * \beta_2) * \beta_2)$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $L$  satisfies (i)—(iv). With regard to (i) and Lemma 9 it suffices to show that  $D(\text{Con}(L))$  is a relative Stone lattice. Take  $(\beta_1, \beta_2) \in D(\text{Con}(L))$ . By Lemma 10,  $\beta_2^* = \Delta$  and  $\tau(\beta_2) \cong \tau(\Delta)$ . We want to show that  $[(\beta_1, \beta_2), \nabla]$  is a Stone lattice (Lemma 8). Take  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \cong (\beta_1, \beta_2)$  in  $\text{Con}(L)$ . Evidently,  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) * (\beta_1, \beta_2)$  and  $((\alpha_1, \alpha_2) * (\beta_1, \beta_2)) * (\beta_1, \beta_2)$  is a pseudocomplement of  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  and  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) * (\beta_1, \beta_2)$ , respectively, in  $[(\beta_1, \beta_2), \nabla]$ . By Théorem 1,

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_1, \delta_2) &= (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) * (\beta_1, \beta_2) \vee ((\alpha_1, \alpha_2) * (\beta_1, \beta_2)) * (\beta_1, \beta_2) = \\ &= ((\alpha_1 * \beta_1 \wedge \tau(\alpha_2 * \beta_2)) \vee (((\alpha_1 * \beta_1 \wedge \tau(\alpha_2 * \beta_2)) * \beta_1 \wedge \tau((\alpha_2 * \beta_2) * \beta_2)), \alpha_2 * \beta_2 \vee \\ &\quad \vee (\alpha_2 * \beta_2) * \beta_2). \end{aligned}$$

Condition (iii) implies  $\alpha_2 * \beta_2 \vee (\alpha_2 * \beta_2) * \beta_2 = \nabla$ . Clearly,  $\alpha_2 \cong (\alpha_2 * \beta_2) * \beta_2$  yields  $\beta_1 \cong \alpha_1 \cong \tau((\alpha_2 * \beta_2) * \beta_2)$ . The last condition, (ii) and (iv) imply

$$(\alpha_1 * \beta_1 \wedge \tau(\alpha_2 * \beta_2)) * \beta_1 = (\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1^*) \vee \tau(\alpha_2 * \beta_2)^* \vee \beta_1 \cong \tau((\alpha_2 * \beta_2) * \beta_2).$$

Now, it is easy to see that  $(\delta_1, \delta_2) = \nabla$ . Thus  $\text{Con}(L)$  is relative Stone and the proof is complete.

Before establishing the last theorem we need a concept. A lattice  $L$  is said to be *locally finite* if all intervals in  $L$  are finite.

**Lemma 12.** *Let  $L$  be a Stone lattice. Assume that  $B(L)$  is finite. Let  $J \in I(L)$ . Then  $J \in D(I(L))$ , i.e.  $J^* = \{0\}$ , if and only if  $J \cap D(L) \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $J \in D(I(L))$ . Assume to the contrary that  $J \cap D(L) = \emptyset$ . It is well known that there exists a prime ideal  $P \in I(L)$  such that  $J \subseteq P$  and  $P \cap D(L) = \emptyset$ . Note that  $a \in P$  implies  $a^{**} \in P$ , as  $a^* \wedge a^{**} = 0$ . Let  $a \in B(L)$  be the join of all elements from  $P \cap B(L)$ . Since  $B(L)$  is finite and  $L$  is a Stone lattice, we have  $(a) = P$ . Evidently  $a \neq 1$ . Hence  $(a^*) \cong J^*$ , a contradiction. Thus  $J \cap D(L) \neq \emptyset$ . The converse statement is trivially true.

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $L$  be a distributive  $p$ -algebra. Then  $\text{Con}(L)$  is a relative Stone lattice if and only if*

- (i)  $B(L)$  is finite,
- (ii)  $D(L)$  is locally finite and relatively complemented,
- (iii) the dual lattice  $\check{L}$  is a Stone lattice

and

- (iv) the ideal of dual dense elements  $\bar{D}(L)$  (i.e.  $\bar{D}(L) = D(\check{L})$ ) is locally finite and relatively complemented.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\text{Con}(L)$  is a relative Stone lattice. Combining Lemma 9, Theorem 7 and Theorem 11 we get (i), (iii) and that  $D(L)$  is relatively complemented. In other words,  $L$  is a regular double  $p$ -algebra (see [9, Theorem 2]). Again by this theorem we get that  $\bar{D}(L)$  is also relatively complemented. By Theorem 11  $\text{Con}(D(L))$  is a relative Stone lattice. But  $\text{Con}(D(L)) \cong F(D(L))$ . Take  $a \in D(L)$ . Then  $[[1], [a]]$  is an interval in the lattice of all filters  $F(D(L))$ . Since  $(a)$  is a Boolean lattice and  $[[1], [a]] = F((a))$ , we see that  $(a)$  is finite, as  $[[1], [a]]$  is a relative Stone lattice (see Lemma 11). Thus  $D(L)$  is locally finite and (ii) is completely established. It remains to prove the locally finiteness of  $\bar{D}(L)$ . Since every congruence relation  $\Theta \in \text{Con}(L)$  is also a Heyting algebra congruence relation of  $L$  ([10, Lemma 1]), we see that  $\text{Con}(L) \cong F(L)$ . Take  $b \in \bar{D}(L)$ , i.e.  $b^+ = 1$ . Evidently,  $(b)$  is a Boolean lattice and  $F((b)) \cong [[b], [0]]$ . By assumption  $[[b], [0]]$  is a relative Stone lattice. Therefore, by Lemma 11,  $(b)$  is a finite Boolean lattice. Thus  $\bar{D}(L)$  is locally finite, and of course, relatively complemented.

Conversely, suppose that  $L$  satisfies (i)—(iv). Theorem 7 says that  $\text{Con}(L)$  is a Stone lattice. According to Lemma 10 it suffices to prove that  $D(\text{Con}(L))$  is a relative Stone lattice. This follows from the fact (Lemma 9) that for every  $\alpha \in D(\text{Con}(L))$ ,  $[\alpha, \nabla]$  is a Stone lattice. Again [9, Theorem 2] and [10, Lemma 1] imply that  $\text{Con}(L) \cong F(L)$ . Let  $\text{Ker } \alpha = K \in F(L)$ . With regard to Lemma 12,  $K \cap \bar{D}(L) \neq \emptyset$ . Take  $b \in K \cap \bar{D}(L)$ . By (iv),  $(b)$  is a finite Boolean lattice. Thus  $\text{Con}(L)$  is a relative Stone lattice and the proof is complete.

## References

- [1] R. BEAZER, On congruence lattices of some  $p$ -algebras and double  $p$ -algebras, *Algebra Universalis*, **13** (1981), 379—388.
- [2] J. BERMAN, Congruence relations of pseudocomplemented distributive lattices, *Algebra Universalis*, **3** (1973), 288—293.
- [3] PH. DWINGER, On the completeness of the quotient algebras of a complete Boolean algebra. I, *Indag. Math.*, **20** (1958), 448—456.
- [4] S. EL-ASSAR, Two notes on the congruence lattice of the  $p$ -algebras, *Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae*, **XLVI—XLVII** (1985), 13—20.
- [5] O. FRINK, Pseudo-complements in semi-lattices, *Duke Math. J.*, **29** (1962), 505—514.
- [6] G. GRÄTZER, *General Lattice Theory*, Birkhäuser Verlag (Basel, 1978).
- [7] T. KATRIŇÁK, Pseudokomplementäre Halbverbände, *Mat. Časop.*, **18** (1968), 121—143.
- [8] T. KATRIŇÁK, Die Kennzeichnung der distributiven pseudokomplementären Halbverbände, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **241** (1970), 160—179.
- [9] T. KATRIŇÁK, The structure of distributive double  $p$ -algebras. Regularity and congruences, *Algebra Universalis*, **3** (1973), 238—246.
- [10] T. KATRIŇÁK, The congruence lattice of distributive  $p$ -algebras, *Algebra Universalis*, **7** (1977), 265—271.
- [11] T. KATRIŇÁK, Essential and strong extensions of  $p$ -algebras, *Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège*, **49** (1980), 119—124.
- [12] T. KATRIŇÁK and S. EL-ASSAR, Algebras with Boolean and Stonean congruence lattices, *Acta Math. Hung.*, **48** (1986), 301—316.
- [13] T. KATRIŇÁK and P. MEDERLY, Constructions of  $p$ -algebras, *Algebra Universalis*, **17** (1983), 288—316.
- [14] W. C. NEMITZ, Implicative semi-lattices, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **117** (1965), 128—142.
- [15] T. TANAKA, Canonical subdirect factorization of lattices, *J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ., Ser. A.*, **16** (1952), 239—246.
- [16] J. VARLET, A regular variety of type  $\langle 2, 2, 1, 1, 0, 0 \rangle$ , *Algebra Universalis*, **2** (1972), 218—223.

(T. K.)  
 KATEDRA ALGEBRY A TEÓRIE ČÍSEL  
 MATEMATICKO-FYZIKÁLNEJ FAKULTY  
 UNIVERZITY KÖMENSKÉHO  
 84215 BRATISLAVA, MLYNSKÁ DOLINA, CZECHOSLOVAKIA