

## Free product of ortholattices

SYLVIA PULMANNOVÁ

The purpose of this paper is to prove a structure theorem for the free product of ortholattices. The method of BRUNS [1] for constructing a free ortholattice is combined with GRÄTZER's method for constructing the free product of lattices [2].

An ortholattice is a lattice  $L$  with a smallest element  $0$  and a largest element  $1$  and with an orthocomplementation  $\prime: L \rightarrow L$  such that

- (i)  $a'' = a, a \in L,$
- (ii)  $a \equiv b$  implies  $b' \equiv a', a, b \in L,$
- (iii)  $a \vee a' = 1, a \wedge a' = 0, a \in L.$

The free product of ortholattices is defined as follows.

**Definition 1.** Let  $(L_i, 0_i, 1_i, \prime_i), i \in I,$  be a set of ortholattices. An ortholattice  $(L, 0, 1, \prime)$  is a free product of the ortholattices  $L_i, i \in I,$  if

- (i) for any  $i \in I,$  there is an injective homomorphism  $u_i: L_i \rightarrow L$  which preserves the lattice operations and orthocomplementation so that each  $L_i$  can be considered as a subalgebra of  $L,$  and for  $i, j \in I, i \neq j, L_i - \{0_i, 1_i\}$  and  $L_j - \{0_j, 1_j\}$  are disjoint;
- (ii)  $L$  is generated by  $\cup \{u_i(L_i): i \in I\};$
- (iii) for any ortholattice  $A$  and for a family of homomorphisms  $\varphi_i: L_i \rightarrow A, i \in I,$  there exists a homomorphism  $\varphi: L \rightarrow A$  such that  $\varphi \circ u_i$  agrees with  $\varphi_i$  for all  $i \in I.$

**Definition 2.** Let  $X$  be an arbitrary set. The set  $P(X)$  of polynomials over  $X$  is the smallest set satisfying (i) and (ii), where

- (i)  $X \subset P(X),$
- (ii) if  $p, q \in P(X),$  then  $p \vee q$  and  $p \wedge q \in P(X).$

For a lattice  $A$  we define  $A^b = A \cup \{0^b, 1^b\},$  where  $0^b, 1^b \notin A,$  and we order  $A^b$  by the rules:  $0^b < x < 1^b$  for any  $x \in A, x \equiv y$  in  $A^b$  if  $x, y \in A$  and  $x \equiv y$  in  $A.$  Thus

$A^b \neq A$  and we have  $a \wedge b = 0^b$  only if  $a = 0^b$  or  $b = 0^b$ , and  $a \vee b = 1^b$  only if  $a = 1^b$  or  $b = 1^b$ .

Let  $\{L_i: i \in I\}$  be a set of ortholattices. Put  $Q = \cup \{L_i: i \in I\}$ . We suppose that  $L_i$  and  $L_j$  are disjoint provided  $i \neq j$ ,  $i, j \in I$ .

**Definition 3.** Let  $P(Q)$  be the set of polynomials over  $Q$ . The upper  $i$ -cover of  $p \in P(Q)$ ,  $p^{(i)}$ , is an element of  $(L_i)^b$ , defined as follows:

(i) for  $a \in Q$  (i.e.  $a \in L_i$  for exactly one  $i \in I$ ),  $a^{(j)} = a$  if  $j = i$ ,  $a^{(j)} = 1^b$  if  $j \neq i$ .

(ii)  $(p \wedge q)^{(i)} = p^{(i)} \wedge q^{(i)}$  and  $(p \vee q)^{(i)} = p^{(i)} \vee q^{(i)}$ , where  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  is taken in  $(L_i)^b$ .

The definition of lower  $i$ -cover,  $p_{(i)}$ , is analogous, with  $0^b$  replacing  $1^b$  in (i).

It is clear that  $p^{(i)} \neq 0_b$  and  $p_{(i)} \neq 1^b$ . An upper or lower  $i$ -cover is proper if it is not  $1^b$  or  $0^b$ .

**Corollary 4.** [2] For any  $p \in P(Q)$  and  $i \in I$  we have that  $p_{(i)} \cong p^{(i)}$ , and if  $p_{(i)}$  and  $p^{(j)}$  are proper and  $p_{(i)} \cong p^{(j)}$ , then  $i = j$ .

**Definition 5.** For  $p, q \in P(Q)$ , we put  $p \subseteq q$  if one of the following cases (i)–(vi) below occurs:

- (i)  $p = q$ ,
- (ii) for some  $i \in I$ ,  $p^{(i)} \cong q_{(i)}$ ,
- (iii)  $p = p_0 \wedge p_1$  where  $p_0 \subseteq q$  or  $p_1 \subseteq q$ ,
- (iv)  $p = p_0 \vee p_1$  where  $p_0 \subseteq q$  and  $p_1 \subseteq q$ ,
- (v)  $q = q_0 \wedge q_1$  where  $p \subseteq q_0$  and  $p \subseteq q_1$ ,
- (vi)  $q = q_0 \vee q_1$  where  $p \subseteq q_0$  or  $p \subseteq q_1$ .

The rank  $r(p)$  of a  $p \in P(Q)$  is defined as follows: for  $p \in Q$ ,  $r(p) = 1$  and  $r(p) = r(p_1) + r(p_2)$  if  $p = p_1 \wedge p_2$  or  $p = p_1 \vee p_2$ .

**Lemma 6.** [2] Let  $p, q, r \in P(Q)$  and  $i \in I$ . Then

- (i)  $p \subseteq q$  implies  $p_{(i)} \cong q_{(i)}$  and  $p^{(i)} \cong q^{(i)}$ .
- (ii)  $p \subseteq q$  and  $q \subseteq r$  imply  $p \subseteq r$ .

Since by 5 (i),  $p \subseteq p$  for any  $p \in P(Q)$ , the relation  $\subseteq$  is a quasiordering, and so we can define  $p \equiv q$  iff  $p \subseteq q$  and  $q \subseteq p$ ,  $p, q \in P(Q)$ . We put

$$R(p) = \{q: q \in P(Q) \text{ and } p \equiv q\}, \quad R(Q) = \{R(p): p \in P(Q)\},$$

$$R(p) \cong R(q) \quad \text{if } p \subseteq q.$$

**Lemma 7.** [2]  $R(Q)$  is a lattice, and we have

$$R(p) \wedge R(q) = R(p \wedge q), \quad R(p) \vee R(q) = R(p \vee q).$$

Furthermore, if  $a, b, c, d \in L_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , and if  $a \wedge b = c$ ,  $a \vee b = d$  in  $L_i$ , then  $R(a) \wedge R(b) = R(c)$  and  $R(a) \vee R(b) = R(d)$ .

As a consequence of Lemma 7 we get that  $p \mapsto R(p)$ ,  $p \in L_i$ , is an embedding of  $L_i$  into  $R(Q)$ . Therefore, identifying  $p \in L_i$  with  $R(p)$  we get each  $L_i$  as a sublattice of  $R(Q)$ , and hence  $Q \subset R(Q)$ . It is also obvious that the partial ordering induced by  $R(Q)$  on  $Q$  agrees with the original partial ordering.

Let us add the set  $\{0, 1\}$  to  $P(Q)$  and let us define  $0 \subseteq p \subseteq 1$  for any  $p \in P(Q)$ ,  $p \vee 0 = p$ ,  $p \wedge 0 = 0$ ,  $p \vee 1 = 1$ ,  $p \wedge 1 = p$ . Let us further define the map  $'$  on  $P(Q) \cup \{0, 1\}$  as follows: if  $x \in L_i$  for some  $i \in I$ , put  $x' = x^i$ ;  $1' = 0$ ,  $0' = 1$ , and recursively,  $(a \wedge b)' = a' \vee b'$ ,  $(a \vee b)' = a' \wedge b'$ .

We note that the elements  $0, 1$  are different from the auxiliary elements  $0^b$  and  $1^b$  used in the definition of the lower and upper covers. In the following lemma we put  $(0^b)' = 1^b$ ,  $(1^b)' = 0^b$ .

**Lemma 8.** For any  $p \in P(Q)$ ,  $(p')^{(i)} = (p_{(i)})'$  and  $(p')_{(i)} = p^{(i)'}$ .

**Proof.** We shall proceed by induction on  $r(p)$ . If  $r(p) = 1$ , then  $p \in L_i$  for some  $i \in I$ , and  $p^{(i)} = p_{(i)} = p$ ,  $p^{(j)} = 1^b$ ,  $p_{(j)} = 0^b$  for  $j \neq i$ . Therefore,  $p^{(j)'} = (1^b)' = 0^b = p_{(j)}$  for  $j \neq i$ , and as  $p' = p^{i'}$  is the orthocomplement of  $p$  in  $L_i$ , we have  $(p')^{(j)} = 1^b$ ,  $(p')_{(j)} = 0^b$  for  $j \neq i$ . From this we obtain that  $(p^{(j)})' = 0^b = (p')_{(j)}$ ,  $(p_{(j)})' = 1^b = (p')^{(j)}$  for  $j \neq i$ . Further,  $(p')^{(i)} = p' = (p_{(i)})'$ ,  $(p')_{(i)} = p' = (p^{(i)})'$ . Now let  $p = q \vee r$ , then  $p' = q' \wedge r'$ , and  $(p')^{(i)} = (q')^{(i)} \wedge (r')^{(i)} = (q_{(i)})' \wedge (r_{(i)})'$  by the induction hypothesis, so that  $(p')^{(i)} = (q_{(i)} \vee r_{(i)})' = (p_{(i)})'$ , and dually for  $p = q \wedge r$ . The proof of  $(p')_{(i)} = (p^{(i)})'$  is similar.

**Lemma 9.**  $a'' = a$  for any  $a \in P(Q) \cup \{0, 1\}$ , and  $a \subseteq b$  implies  $b' \subseteq a'$  for any  $a, b \in P(Q) \cup \{0, 1\}$ .

**Proof.** By the definition,  $0'' = 1' = 0$ ,  $1'' = 0' = 1$ . If  $a \in L_i$  for some  $i \in I$ , then obviously  $a'' = a$ . Let  $a = b \wedge c$ . Then  $a' = b' \vee c'$ , and  $a'' = b'' \wedge c''$ . By induction we obtain that  $a'' = a$ . For  $a = b \vee c$  the situation is dual.

Now we shall prove the second statement. If  $a = 0$  or  $b = 1$ , it is obvious. We shall suppose that  $a, b \notin \{0, 1\}$  and proceed by induction on  $r(a) + r(b)$ . If  $r(a) + r(b) = 2$ , then  $a \subseteq b$  holds by 5 (i) or 5 (ii), so that  $a, b \in L_i$  for some  $i \in I$ , and  $a' = a^i$ ,  $b' = b^i$ , which implies that  $b' \subseteq a'$ . Now let  $r(a) + r(b) = r$ , and let the statement hold for all  $r(a) + r(b) < r$ . If  $a \subseteq b$  holds by 5 (i), then  $a' = b'$ . If  $a \subseteq b$  holds by 5 (ii), then  $a^{(i)} \subseteq b_{(i)}$  for some  $i \in I$ . By Lemma 8,  $(a^{(i)})' = (a')_{(i)}$  and  $(b_{(i)})' = (b')^{(i)}$ . Therefore  $a^{(i)} \subseteq b_{(i)}$  implies  $(b')^{(i)} \subseteq (a')_{(i)}$ , which in turn implies that  $b' \subseteq a'$  by 5 (ii). If  $a \subseteq b$  by 5 (iii) with  $a = a_0 \wedge a_1$ , then  $a_0 \subseteq b$  or  $a_1 \subseteq b$ , which implies by the induction hypothesis that  $b' \subseteq a'_0$  or  $b' \subseteq a'_1$ . As  $a' = a'_0 \vee a'_1$ , we get that  $b' \subseteq a'$  by 5 (vi). If  $a \subseteq b$  by 5 (iv) and  $a = a_0 \vee a_1$ , where  $a_0 \subseteq b$  and  $a_1 \subseteq b$ ,

then  $b' \subseteq a'_0$  and  $b' \subseteq a'_1$  and this implies that  $b' \subseteq a'_0 \wedge a'_1 = a'$  by 5 (v). If  $a \subseteq b$  by 5 (v), then  $b = b_0 \wedge b_1$  and  $a \subseteq b_0$  and  $a \subseteq b_1$ . This implies that  $b'_0 \subseteq a'$  and  $b'_1 \subseteq a'$ , which implies that  $b' = b'_0 \vee b'_1 \subseteq a'$  by 5 (iv). If  $a \subseteq b$  by 5 (vi), where  $b = b_0 \vee b_1$  with  $a \subseteq b_0$  or  $a \subseteq b_1$ , then  $b'_0 \subseteq a'$  or  $b'_1 \subseteq a'$ , and therefore  $b'_0 \wedge b'_1 \subseteq a'$  by 5 (iii).

Following BRUNS [1], we shall define the subset  $S$  of reduced elements in  $P(Q) \cup \{0, 1\}$ .

**Definition 10.** Define a subset  $S$  of  $P(Q) \cup \{0, 1\}$  recursively as follows:  $a$  is in  $S$  if

- (i)  $a \in \{0, 1\}$  or  $a \in \cup \{L_i - \{0_i, 1_i\} : i \in I\}$ ,
- (ii)  $a = b \vee c$  with  $b, c \in S$  and  $b' \not\subseteq a, c' \not\subseteq a$ ,
- (iii)  $a = b \wedge c$  with  $b, c \in S$  and  $a \not\subseteq b', a \not\subseteq c'$ .

**Lemma 11.** *The set  $S$  is closed under '.*

**Proof.** If  $a \in \{0, 1\}$ , then obviously  $a' \in \{0, 1\}$ . If  $a \in L_i - \{0_i, 1_i\}$  for some  $i \in I$ , then  $a' \in L_i - \{0_i, 1_i\}$  so that  $a' \in S$ . If  $a = b \vee c$ ,  $b, c \in S$  and  $b' \not\subseteq a, c' \not\subseteq a$ , then  $a' = b' \wedge c'$  and  $a' \not\subseteq b, a' \not\subseteq c$ . By induction,  $b', c' \in S$ , and  $a' \in S$  by 10 (iii). If  $a = b \wedge c$  with  $b, c \in S$  and  $a \not\subseteq b', a \not\subseteq c'$ , then by induction,  $b', c' \in S$ , and  $b \not\subseteq a', c \not\subseteq a'$  implies that  $a' \in S$  by 10 (ii).

**Lemma 12.** *If  $a \in S - \{0, 1\}$  then  $a^{(i)} \neq 0_i$  and  $a_{(i)} \neq 1_i$  for all  $i \in I$ .*

**Proof.** We shall proceed by induction. If  $a \in L_i - \{0_i, 1_i\}$  then  $a^{(i)} = a_{(i)} = a \notin \{0_i, 1_i\}$ , and  $a_{(j)} = 0^b, a^{(j)} = 1^b$  for  $j \neq i$ . Now let  $a = b \vee c$ . Let us suppose that  $a^{(i)} = 0_i$  for some  $i \in I$ . Then  $a^{(i)} = b^{(i)} \vee c^{(i)}$  implies that  $b^{(i)}$  and  $c^{(i)}$  are proper, and  $b^{(i)} = c^{(i)} = 0_i$ , which contradicts the induction hypothesis. Now let  $a = b \wedge c$ ,  $b, c \in S$ ,  $a \not\subseteq b', a \not\subseteq c'$ . If  $a^{(i)} = 0_i$ , then  $a^{(i)} = b^{(i)} \wedge c^{(i)}$  implies that  $b^{(i)}$  or  $c^{(i)}$  are proper, and  $a^{(i)} = 0_i \subseteq (b^{(i)})' = (b')_{(i)}$  implies by 5 (ii) that  $a \subseteq b'$ , a contradiction. Now let us suppose that  $a_{(i)} = 1_i$  for  $a \in S, i \in I$ . By Lemma 11,  $a' \in S$ , and by Lemma 8,  $(a_{(i)})' = (a')^{(i)} = 0_i$ , which contradicts the above part of the proof.

**Lemma 13.** *For any  $a \in S - \{1\}$ ,  $a' \not\subseteq a$ . If  $a \in P(Q)$  and  $b \in S - \{1\}$ , then  $a \not\subseteq b$  or  $a' \not\subseteq b$ .*

**Proof.** If  $a \in S - \{1\}$  by 10 (i), then  $a = 0$  or  $a \in L_i - \{0_i, 1_i\}$  for some  $i \in I$ . In both cases  $a' \not\subseteq a$  holds. Now let us suppose that  $a \in S - \{1\}$  and  $a' \subseteq a$  holds by 5 (ii). Then  $(a')^{(i)} \subseteq a_{(i)}$  for some  $i \in I$ . This implies that  $(a')^{(i)} = (a_{(i)})' \subseteq a_{(i)}$ , but this is impossible by Lemma 12. Now let  $a \in S$  by 10 (ii) with  $a = b \vee c$ . If  $b' \wedge c' \subseteq b \vee c$  holds by 5 (iii), then  $b' \subseteq b \vee c$  or  $c' \subseteq b \vee c$ , which contradicts 10 (ii). If  $b' \wedge c' \subseteq b \vee c$  by 5 (vi), then  $b' \wedge c' \subseteq b$  or  $b' \wedge c' \subseteq c$ . From this it follows that  $b' \subseteq a$  or  $c' \subseteq a$ , contradicting 10 (ii). If  $a = b \wedge c$ , then if  $b' \vee c' \subseteq b \wedge c$  by 5 (iv), then

$b' \subseteq b \wedge c$  and  $c' \subseteq b \wedge c$ . But this implies that  $b' \subseteq b$  and  $c' \subseteq c$  by 5 (v), contradicting the induction hypothesis. If  $b' \vee c' \subseteq b \wedge c$  by 5 (v), then  $b' \vee c' \subseteq b$  and  $b' \vee c' \subseteq c$ , and this implies by 5 (iv) that  $b' \subseteq b$  and  $c' \subseteq c$ , contradicting the induction hypothesis. Thus the first part of Lemma 13 is proved.

Finally, if  $a \subseteq b$  and  $a' \subseteq b$  with  $a \in P(Q)$  and  $b \in S - \{1\}$ , then  $a' \subseteq b$  implies  $b' \subseteq a$ , and this together with  $a \subseteq b$  gives  $b' \subseteq b$ , which contradicts the first part of the proof.

Obviously, the relation  $\subseteq$  defined on  $S \times S$  by Definition 5 together with the rule  $0 \subseteq x \subseteq 1$  for all  $x \in S$ , is a quasiordering on  $S$ . Let  $\theta$  be the relation defined on  $S \times S$  by  $a \theta b$  iff  $a \subseteq b$  and  $b \subseteq a$ . We prove now that  $S/\theta$  is an ortholattice with  $0/\theta$  as the smallest and  $1/\theta$  as the largest element,  $a/\theta \vee b/\theta = (a \vee b)/\theta$  if  $a \vee b \in S$  and  $a/\theta \vee b/\theta = 1/\theta$  if  $a \vee b \notin S$ , and, finally, that  $a/\theta \mapsto a'/\theta$  is an orthocomplementation.

Let us define  $a/\theta \equiv b/\theta$  iff  $a \subseteq b$ ,  $a, b \in S$ . Obviously,  $\equiv$  is a partial ordering on  $S/\theta$ , and  $0/\theta$  and  $1/\theta$  are the smallest and largest element of  $S/\theta$ , respectively. If, for  $a, b \in S$ , the element  $a \vee b \in S$ , then  $a/\theta \vee b/\theta = (a \vee b)/\theta$  by Lemma 7. If, for  $a, b \in S$ , the element  $a \vee b \notin S$ , then  $a' \subseteq a \vee b$  or  $b' \subseteq a \vee b$  holds, and for every  $c$  in  $S$  such that  $a, b \subseteq c$  we get by 5 (iv) that  $a, a' \subseteq c$  or  $b, b' \subseteq c$ . This implies by Lemma 13 that  $c = 1$ . Thus  $1/\theta$  is the supremum of  $a/\theta$  and  $b/\theta$ . For meets the situation is dual. Therefore,  $S/\theta$  is a lattice. For every  $a \in S - \{0, 1\}$  the elements  $a \vee a'$  and  $a \wedge a'$  are not in  $S$ , and this implies that  $a'/\theta$  is the complement of  $a/\theta$  in  $S$ .

**Theorem 14.** *Let  $\{L_i: i \in I\}$  be a set of ortholattices and let  $Q = \cup \{L_i: i \in I\}$ . Denote by  $P(Q)$  the set of all polynomials over  $Q$  and by  $S$  the subset of  $P(Q) \cup \{0, 1\}$  given by Definition 10. Finally, let  $\theta$  be the congruence relation defined by  $a \theta b$  iff  $a \subseteq b$  and  $b \subseteq a$ . Then  $S/\theta$  is a free product of  $L_i, i \in I$ .*

**Proof.** Put  $L = S/\theta$ . We have to prove that

(i) each  $L_i, i \in I$ , is a subalgebra of  $L$  and for  $i, j \in I, i \neq j, L_i - \{0_i, 1_i\}$  and  $L_j - \{0_j, 1_j\}$  are disjoint,

(ii)  $L$  is generated by  $\cup \{L_i: i \in I\}$ ,

(iii) for any ortholattice  $A$  and for a family of homomorphisms  $\varphi_i: L_i \rightarrow A, i \in I$ , there exists a homomorphism  $\varphi: L \rightarrow A$  such that  $\varphi$  agrees on  $L_i$  with  $\varphi_i$  for all  $i \in I$ .

(i) We have already proved that  $L$  is an ortholattice. Define  $\psi_i: L_i \rightarrow L$  by  $\psi_i(x) = x/\theta \equiv R(x)$  if  $x \in L_i - \{0_i, 1_i\}$ , and  $\psi_i(1_i) = 1/\theta, \psi_i(0_i) = 0/\theta$ . Clearly, we have  $\psi_i(x') \equiv \psi_i(x)'$ , and  $\psi_i(x \vee y) = \psi_i(x) \vee \psi_i(y)$  for  $x, y \in L_i$ . If  $x \in L_i, x \neq 0_i$ , then  $x/\theta \neq 0/\theta$ , which implies that  $\psi_i$  is an embedding.

(ii) is clear.

(iii) We define inductively a map  $\nu: P(Q) \rightarrow A$  as follows: for  $p \in Q$  we set

$v(p) = \varphi_i(p)$  if  $p \in L_i$ ,  $i \in I$ . If  $p = p_0 \wedge p_1$  or  $p = p_0 \vee p_1$ ,  $v(p_0)$  and  $v(p_1)$  have already been defined, we set  $v(p) = v(p_0) \wedge v(p_1)$  or  $v(p) = v(p_0) \vee v(p_1)$ , respectively.

We need the following lemma.

**Lemma 15.** *For  $p \in P(Q)$  and  $i \in I$ , the following hold.*

- (i) *If  $p_{(i)}$  is proper, then  $v(p_{(i)}) \leq v(p)$ .*
- (ii) *If  $p^{(i)}$  is proper, then  $v(p) \leq v(p^{(i)})$ .*
- (iii)  *$p \subseteq q$  implies that  $v(p) \leq v(q)$ .*
- (iv)  *$v(p') = v(p)'$  in  $A$ .*

**Proof.** (i)—(iii) The proof is the same as the proof of Lemma 9 in [2].

(iv) If  $p \in Q$ , then  $p \in L_i$  for exactly one  $i \in I$ , and  $v(p) = \varphi_i(p)$ , so that  $v(p') = \varphi_i(p') = \varphi_i(p)' = v(p)'$ . If  $p = p_0 \wedge p_1$ , then  $v(p') = v(p_0' \vee p_1') = v(p_0') \vee v(p_1') = v(p_0)' \vee v(p_1)'$  by the induction hypothesis, which implies that  $v(p') = (v(p_0) \wedge v(p_1))' = v(p)'$ . The situation for  $p = p_0 \vee p_1$  is dual.

Now we can complete the proof of Theorem 14. Take a  $p \in S$  and define  $\varphi(p/\Theta) = v(p)$  if  $p \in S - \{0, 1\}$ , and  $\varphi(1/\Theta) = 1$ ,  $\varphi(0/\Theta) = 0$  in  $A$ .  $\varphi$  is well-defined since if  $p, q \in S - \{0, 1\}$  and  $p/\Theta = q/\Theta$ , then  $p \subseteq q$  and  $q \subseteq p$ , which implies by Lemma 15 that  $v(p) = v(q)$ . Further,  $\varphi(p/\Theta \wedge q/\Theta) = \varphi((p \wedge q)/\Theta) = v(p \wedge q) = v(p) \wedge v(q) = \varphi(p/\Theta) \wedge \varphi(q/\Theta)$  if  $p \wedge q \in S$ ,  $p, q \in S - \{0, 1\}$ . Clearly,  $\varphi(p/\Theta \wedge 0/\Theta) = \varphi(0/\Theta) = 0 = \varphi(p/\Theta) \wedge \varphi(0/\Theta)$ , and  $\varphi(p/\Theta \wedge 1/\Theta) = \varphi(p/\Theta) = \varphi(p/\Theta) \wedge \varphi(1/\Theta)$ . If  $p, q \in S$ , and  $p \wedge q \notin S$ , then  $p \wedge q \subseteq p'$  or  $p \wedge q \subseteq q'$ , so that  $v(p \wedge q) \leq v(p)'$  or  $v(q)'$ , which implies that  $v(p \wedge q) = v(p) \wedge v(q) = 0$ . Hence,  $\varphi(p/\Theta) \wedge \varphi(q/\Theta) = v(p) \wedge v(q) = 0 = \varphi(0/\Theta) = \varphi(p/\Theta \wedge q/\Theta)$ . Further,  $\varphi(p'/\Theta) = v(p') = v(p)' = \varphi(p/\Theta)'$  if  $p \in S - \{0, 1\}$ , and  $\varphi(1/\Theta) = \varphi(0/\Theta)'$ . We see that  $\varphi: S/\Theta \rightarrow A$  is a homomorphism. Finally, for  $p \in L_i$ ,  $p \neq 0_i, 1_i$ , we have  $\varphi(p/\Theta) = \varphi(\psi_i(p)) = v(p) = \varphi_i(p)$ ,  $\varphi(\psi_i(0_i)) = \varphi(0/\Theta) = 0 = \varphi_i(0_i)$ ,  $\varphi(\psi_i(1_i)) = \varphi(1/\Theta) = 1 = \varphi_i(1_i)$ , so that  $\varphi \circ \psi_i = \varphi_i$ . This completes the proof.

## References

- [1] G. BRUNS, Free ortholattices, *Canad. J. Math.*, **28** (1976), 977—985.
- [2] G. GRÄTZER, Free products and reduced free products of lattices, in: *Proc. Univ. Houston Lattice Theory Conf.* (1973), Dept. Math., Univ. of Houston (Houston, 1973); pp. 539—563.