

A note on optimal interpolation with rational functions

THEODORE KILGORE

Introduction. This note provides a further application of results derived in [7], which dealt with polynomial interpolation.

Let \mathbf{Y} be the space of rational functions whose numerators are of degree n or less, with denominator

$$Q(t) = (t - t_{n+1}) \dots (t - t_{n+m}).$$

If nodes of interpolation t_0, \dots, t_n are chosen on an interval, $[a, b]$ such that

$$a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b,$$

and such that

$$t_{n+k} \notin [t_0, t_n] \quad \text{for } k \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

it is possible to construct *fundamental functions* y_0, \dots, y_n such that $y_i(t_j) = \delta_{ij}$ (Kronecker delta) for $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ and for $j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, by means of the formula

$$y_i(t) = \frac{Q(t_i)}{Q(t)} \prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}}^n \frac{(t - t_j)}{(t_i - t_j)}.$$

One defines an interpolating projection $L: C[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ by

$$Lf = \sum_{i=0}^n f(t_i) y_i \quad \text{for } f \in C[a, b].$$

Clearly, L is bounded, and

$$\|L\| = \left\| \sum_{i=0}^n |y_i| \right\|.$$

Our purpose here is to minimize $\|L\|$.

Notation. We define, for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, X_i to be the function (in \mathbf{Y}) which agrees with $\sum_{j=0}^n |y_j|$ on the interval $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$, $\lambda_i = X_i(T_i)$, and T_i as the point in (t_{i-1}, t_i)

Received March 11, 1985.

at which λ_i is attained. We note that

$$X'_i(T_i) = 0, \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Results.

Theorem. *If interpolation is done on an interval $[a, b]$ with rational functions having denominator*

$$Q(t) = (t - t_{n+1}) \dots (t - t_{n+m})$$

and nodes of interpolation t_0, \dots, t_n , such that

$$a = t_0 < \dots < t_n = b < t_{n+1} < \dots < t_{n+m},$$

then

(i) *interpolation of minimal norm is characterized by the Bernstein condition [1] that $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_n$, which is produced by a unique choice of nodes;*

(ii) *the quantities $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ obey the Erdős condition [3] that if one of them is greater than the common value given in (i), another is less;*

(iii) *the norm of interpolation is governed by the ratio $(b-a)/(t_{n+1}-b)$. Specifically, the norm increases without bound as $b \rightarrow t_{n+1}$ and decreases as $b \rightarrow a$, with lower limit equal to the norm of optimal Lagrange interpolation with polynomials of degree n or less.*

Corollary 1. *The above theorem also holds when the space of interpolation consists of all multiples of the function*

$$(t - t_{n+1})^{k_1} \dots (t - t_{n+m})^{k_m}$$

by a polynomial of degree n or less, with $k_j < 0$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Corollary 2. *Some or all of the points t_{n+1}, \dots, t_{n+m} can be to the left of t_0 as well as to the right of t_n , and the above results are still valid.*

Proof of Theorem. One notes that the functions

$$\partial \lambda_i / \partial t_j = -y_j(T_i) X'_i(t_j), \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$$

exist and are continuous in t_0, \dots, t_n . The points T_0, \dots, T_n , of course, depend in an analytic fashion upon the nodes.

All of our results will follow from properties of various submatrices of

$$A = (\partial \lambda_i / \partial t_j)_{i,j=1}^n,$$

which represents the derivative of the function

$$(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n).$$

We define A_i for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ to be the matrix obtained by deleting the i^{th} column and n^{th} row of A . To prove (i) and (ii) of the theorem, it suffices to show

(1) $\det A_i \neq 0$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ for arbitrary t_0, \dots, t_{n+m} ,

and

(2) $\det A_i$ alternates in sign on $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

To prove (iii), it is enough to prove

(3) $\det A \neq 0$.

To show (1) and (2), we first perform some row and column cancellations. For $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the j^{th} row of A is given by

$$-y_i(T_1)X'_1(t_j) \dots -y_j(T_n)X'_n(t_j).$$

It is possible therefore to multiply the j^{th} row by the "denominator" of y_j , namely by

$$\frac{1}{Q(t_j)} \sum_{\substack{t=0 \\ t \neq j}}^{n+m} (t_j - t).$$

When this procedure has been completed, the i^{th} column, for $i \in \{1, \dots, n+m\}$ is of the form

$$\frac{1}{Q(T_i)} \prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}}^n (T_i - t_j) X'_i(t_1)$$

...

$$\frac{1}{Q(T_i)} \prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq n}}^n (T_i - t_j) X'_i(t_n),$$

and the non-zero quantity $\prod_{j=0}^n (T_i - t_j)$ may be divided from the i^{th} column. Following this operation by multiplication of the i^{th} column by $Q(T_i)$, the matrix is left in the form

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{X'_1(t_1)}{t_1 - T_1} & \dots & \frac{X'_n(t_1)}{t_1 - T_n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{X'_1(t_n)}{t_n - T_1} & \dots & \frac{X'_n(t_n)}{t_n - T_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

Now, it is possible to multiply the j^{th} row by $(Q(t_j))^2$, and the expression

$$q_i(t) = \frac{X'_i(t)}{t - T_i} (Q(t))^2, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n+m\}$$

is a polynomial of degree $n+m-2$ or less which is evaluated at the successive points t_1, \dots, t_n down the i^{th} column of the matrix.

Clearly, the roots of the polynomials $(t-T_i)q_i(t)$ will strictly interlace on the interval $[T_1, \infty]$, and it is possible to choose points T_{n+1}, \dots, T_{n+m} with

$$T_n < t_n < T_{n+1} < \dots < T_{n+m}$$

such that the following conditions are satisfied by q_1, \dots, q_n .

(i) Each polynomial q_i has exactly one root in each of the subintervals (T_j, T_{j+1}) , $j \in \{1, \dots, n+m-1\}$ of the interval $[T_1, T_{n+m}]$, except that q_i has no root in (T_i, T_{i+1}) for $i \in \{1, \dots, n+m-1\}$, nor in (T_{i-1}, T_i) for $i \in \{2, \dots, n+m\}$.

(ii) $q_i(T_j) \neq 0$ for $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n+m\}$.

Proposition. *Let polynomials q_1, \dots, q_{n+m} and points T_1, \dots, T_{n+m} satisfy (i) and (ii), and let points t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} be situated so that*

$$T_1 < t_1 < T_2 < \dots < T_{n-1} < t_{n-1} < T_n.$$

Then, for $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$\det(q_i(t_j))_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \\ i \neq k}} \neq 0.$$

A proof of this Proposition appears in [7].

At this point, (1) and (2) follow. To prove (3), we need only to note that, in the present context, $n-1$ may be replaced by n in the above Proposition, with $k=n+1$ and the proposition still holds, permitting one to analyse what occurs as $t_n \rightarrow t_0$ or $t_n \rightarrow t_{n+1}$, subject to the condition $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_n$.

This completes the proof of the Theorem. Corollary 1 is now established by a re-examination of the steps of matrix cancellation, leading to a similar system of polynomials q_1, \dots, q_n . Details of a similar argument appear in [7]. Corollary 3 can clearly be obtained by a slight modification of the above Proposition.

References

- [1] S. BERNSTEIN, Sur la limitation des valeurs d'un polynome $P_n(x)$ de degre n sur tout un segment par ses valeurs en $n+1$ points du segment, *Isv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR*, **7** (1931), 1025—1050.
- [2] C. DE BOOR and A. PINKUS, Proof of the conjectures of Bernstein and Erdős concerning polynomial interpolation, *J. Approx. Theory*, **24** (1978), 289—303.
- [3] P. ERDŐS, Some remarks on polynomials, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **53** (1947), 1169—1176.
- [4] T. A. KILGORE, Optimization of the Lagrange interpolation operator, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **83** (1977), 1069—1071.
- [5] T. A. KILGORE, A characterization of the Lagrange interpolating projection with minimal Tchebycheff norm, *J. Approx. Theory*, **24** (1978), 273—288.
- [6] T. A. KILGORE, Optimal interpolation with incomplete polynomials, *J. Approx. Theory*, **41** (1984), 279—290.
- [7] T. A. KILGORE, Optimal interpolation with polynomials having fixed roots, *J. Approx. Theory*, submitted for publication.