# Wreath product decomposition of categories. II*) 

CHARLES WELLS

1. Introduction. In this paper, I prove a theorem which shows how to decompose a functor $F: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C a t}$ into the wreath product of two functors, given a right ideal and a "wide" subcategory of $\mathbf{C}$ which together generate $\mathbf{C}$ (this is made precise in Section 2).

The decomposition is in the sense of Krohn-Rhodes theory: the functor $F$ is not embedded in a wreath product, but rather a subfunctor of the wreath product maps onto $F$, like a covering space. This is in contrast to the decomposition theorem of Wells [4], although of course any embedding is an example of decomposition in the present sense. The theorem in this paper actually generalizes one of the decomposition techniques used in proving the Krohn-Rhodes Theorem (KrohnRhodes [2], Eilenberg [1], Wells [3]), although it works just as well for infinite categories. Note that one of the corollaries of the decomposition theorem in Wells [4] generalizes another of the techniques used in proving the Krohn-Rhodes Theorem.

My hope is that the decomposition techniques described here and in Wells [4] will be useful in developing a theory of "state-transition systems with structured, typed states". This is discussed in Wells [4] so I will say no more about it here.

The present paper is self-contained except for the terminology developed in Section 2.3 of Wells [4].

I am grateful to the Forschungsinstitut für Math., E.T.H. Zürich, where I was a guest while these results were (in part) obtained.
2. Statement of the theorem. If $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}$ are categories and $x$ an object of $\mathbf{D}$, the constant functor $K_{x}^{\mathrm{C}}$ takes all objects of $\mathbf{C}$ to $x$ and all arrows to $1_{x}$. The constant completion of a subcategory A of Cat consists of the subcategory of Cat consisting of everything in $\mathbf{A}$ and all constant functors $K_{x}^{a}$ where $a$ is an object of $\mathbf{A}$ and $x$ is an object of some object of $\mathbf{A}$.

[^0]If $\mathbf{C}$ is a small category, the global hom functor $\mathbf{C}_{*}: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C a t}$ takes an object $c$ to the set of all arrows into $c$, and $f: c \rightarrow d$ to the function from $\mathbf{C}_{*} c$ to $\mathbf{C}_{*} d$ which takes $x: a \rightarrow c$ to $f \circ x . C_{*}$ is set valued, regarded as a discrete-category-valued functor.

The constant completion of a small category $\mathbf{C}$, denoted $\mathbf{C}^{\boldsymbol{c}}$, is the constant completion in the sense defined earlier of the image of $\mathbf{C}_{*} . \mathbf{C}_{*}$ is injective, and $I$ shall identify $\mathbf{C}$ with its image, so that $\mathbf{C}_{*} f: \mathbf{C}_{*} c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{*} d$ is $f: c \rightarrow d$. I shall write $K_{x}^{c}$ for $K_{x}^{\mathbf{C}_{*} c}$. This has the following notational consequences:
a) $K_{x}^{c}: c \rightarrow d$ where $x$ is an arrow with codomain $d$. (The notation does not determine $\operatorname{dom} x$.)
b) If $K_{x}^{c}: c \rightarrow d$ and $g: d \rightarrow e$ then $g \circ K_{x}^{c}=K_{g \circ x}^{c}$.
c) If $K_{x}^{c}: c \rightarrow d$ and $h: b \rightarrow c$ then $K_{x}^{c} \circ h=K_{x}^{b}$.
d) If it is defined, $K_{y}^{d} \circ K_{x}^{c}=K_{y}^{c}$.

The inclusion $\mathbf{C}_{*}: \mathbf{C}^{\boldsymbol{c}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C a t}$ is denoted $d_{\mathbf{C}}$.
A subclass $I$ of arrows of a category $\mathbf{C}$ is a right ideal if for any arrow $f$ of $\mathbf{C}$ and $g$ of $I$, if $g \circ f$ is defined then it is in $I$. An example of a right ideal is any Grothendieck topology on C. If $I$ is a right ideal (which need not be a subcategory of C), $I^{1}$ denotes the subcategory consisting of all objects and identity arrows of $\mathbf{C}$ and all arrows of $I$.

A subcategory $\mathbf{D}$ of $\mathbf{C}$ is wide if it has the same objects as $\mathbf{C}$. If $\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{D} \circ I$ for some subcategory $\mathbf{D}$ and right ideal $I$ then $\mathbf{C}$ is generated by $\mathbf{D}$ and $I$. A functor $H: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ lifts triangles if for all arrows $f$ of $\mathbf{A}$ and $h, k$ of $\mathbf{B}$ for which $H f \circ h$ and $k \circ H f$ are defined, there are arrows $u, v$ of $\mathbf{A}$ for which $f \circ u$ and $v \circ f$ are defined and $H u=h$, $H v=k$. The motivation for requiring this property in wreath product decompositions is discussed in Wells $[4, \S 4]$.

Theorem. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a small category and $G: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow$ Cat a functor. Let $\mathbf{D}$ be a wide subcategory and $I$ a right ideal which generate $\mathbf{C}$. Then there is a subcategory $\mathbf{S}$ of $I^{1}$ wr $\mathbf{D}^{c}$ (action by $J_{\mathbf{D}}$ ), a triangle-lifting functor $H: \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and a surjective natural transformation

$$
\theta: W \rightarrow G \circ H \text { where } W=\left[\left(G \mid I^{1}\right) \text { wr } J_{\mathbf{D}}\right] \mid \mathrm{S}
$$

Note. This theorem cannot be strengthened to make $G \circ H$ a subfunctor of $W$, even when $G$ is set valued and the categories are all monoids.
3. Proof of the Theorem. For an object $c$ of $\mathbf{C}$, let $\delta^{c}: \mathbf{D}_{*} c \rightarrow I^{1}$ be the function taking an arrow to its domain, and $i^{c}: \mathbf{D}_{*} c \rightarrow I^{1}$ the function taking an arrow to the identity arrow of its domain.

Define $\mathbf{S}$ as follows. An object of $\mathbf{S}$ is any pair $\left(c, \delta^{c}\right)$ for any object $c$ of $\mathbf{C}$. Arrows are of the following two forms.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f, i^{b}\right):\left(b, \delta^{b}\right) \rightarrow\left(c, \delta^{c}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all arrows $f: b \rightarrow c$ in $\mathbf{D}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(K_{g}^{c}, \mathbf{C}_{*} h \mid \mathbf{D}_{*} c\right):\left(c, \delta^{c}\right) \rightarrow\left(e, \delta^{e}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $h: c \rightarrow d$ in $I^{1}$ and $g: d \rightarrow e$ in $\mathbf{D}$.
Let's check that (3.2) makes sense ((3.1) is easier). An arrow of $I^{1}$ wr $\mathbf{D}^{c}$ must by definition be of the form $(f, \lambda):(c, P) \rightarrow(d, Q)$ where $f: c \rightarrow d, P: \mathbf{D}_{*} c \rightarrow I^{1}, Q:$ $\mathbf{D}_{*} d \rightarrow I^{1}$, and $\lambda: P \rightarrow Q \circ J_{\mathbf{D}} f$ is a natural transformation (note that $\mathbf{D}_{*}$ is discrete so there are no commutativity conditions for natural transformations here). Here, $K_{g}^{c}: \mathbf{D}_{*} c \rightarrow\{g\} \subset \mathbf{D}_{*} e$. For an object $f: b \rightarrow c$ of $\mathbf{D}_{*} c$ the component of the natural transformation must be an arrow from $\delta^{c} f=b$ to $\left(\delta^{e} \circ K_{g}^{e}\right) f=\delta^{e} g=d$. This works because $\mathbf{C}_{*} h . f=h \circ f: b \rightarrow d$.

Define the functor $H: \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ by $H\left(f, i^{b}\right)=f$ and $H\left(K_{g}^{c}, \mathbf{C}_{*} h\right)=g \circ h$.
We have the following formulas for composition of arrows in $\mathbf{S}$, which prove that $H$ is a functor. $H$ is bijective on objects, so lifts triangles.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g, \delta^{c}\right) \circ\left(f, \delta^{b}\right)=\left(g \circ f, \delta^{b}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f: b \rightarrow c, g: c \rightarrow d$ in $\mathbf{D}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(K_{g}^{c}, \mathbf{C}_{*} h\right) \circ\left(f, \delta^{b}\right)=\left(K_{g}^{b}, \mathbf{C}_{*}(h \circ f)\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f: b \rightarrow c, h: c \rightarrow d, g: d \rightarrow e$ in D .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g, \delta^{d}\right) \circ\left(K_{m}^{b}, \mathbf{C}_{*} k\right)=\left(K_{g \circ m}^{b}, \mathbf{C}_{*} k\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k: b \rightarrow c$ in $I, m: c \rightarrow d, g: d \rightarrow e$ in $\mathbf{D}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(K_{n}^{e}, \mathbf{C}_{*} m\right) \circ\left(K_{g}^{c}, \mathbf{C}_{*} h\right)=\left(K_{n}^{c}, \mathbf{C}_{*}(m \circ g \circ h)\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $h: c \rightarrow d, m: e \rightarrow p$ in $I, g: d \rightarrow e, n: p \rightarrow q$ in $\mathbf{D}$.
To simplify notation in the definition of $\theta$, the component of $\theta$ at an object $\left(b, \delta^{b}\right)$ of $\mathbf{S}$ will be denoted $\theta b$. First note that for each object $b, W\left(b, \delta^{b}\right)$ is the disjoint union of categories $G a$ indexed by all arrows $f: a \rightarrow b$ of $\mathbf{D}$. This follows from the definition of the wreath product of functors in Wells [4, §3]: An object of $W\left(b, \delta^{b}\right)$ is a pair $(f, x)$ with $f: a \rightarrow b$ (some $\left.a\right)$ and $x$ an object of $G a$. An arrow has to look like $(f, r):(f, x) \rightarrow(f, y)$ where $r: x \rightarrow y$ in $G a, f: a \rightarrow b$ in $\mathbf{D}$, since $\mathbf{D}_{*} b$. is a set (discrete category).

Now, to define the component $\theta b: W\left(b, \delta^{b}\right) \rightarrow G \circ H\left(b, \delta^{b}\right)=G b$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta b .(f, r)=G f . r, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f: a \rightarrow b$ in $\mathbf{D}, r$ an arrow of $G a$.
To prove that $\theta$ is a natural transformation requires (after applying the definition of $H$ ) proving the following diagrams commute.

for $g: b \rightarrow c$ in $D$, and

for $h: b \rightarrow c$ in $I$ and $g: c \rightarrow d$ in $\mathbf{D}$.
These facts follow from an easy application of the definitions. Given $f: a \rightarrow b$ in $\mathbf{D}$ and starting at the upper left corner of (3.8), the northeast route gives $(f, r) \mapsto$ $\mapsto(G f) . r \mapsto(G g \circ G f) . r$ and the southwest route gives $(f, r) \mapsto(g \circ f, r) \mapsto G(g \circ f) . r$. For (3.9) the corresponding chases are $(f, r) \mapsto G f . r \mapsto(G(g \circ h) \circ G f) . r$ and $(f, r) \mapsto$ $\mapsto(g, G(h \circ f) . r) \mapsto(G g \circ G(h \circ f)) . r$.

This proves the Theorem.
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