

On the convergence of the differentiated trigonometric projection operators

P. O. RUNCK, J. SZABADOS and P. VÉRTESI*

Let $C_{2\pi}$ be the set of 2π -periodic continuous functions and \mathcal{T}_n the set of trigonometric polynomials of order at most n . We will consider projection operators $P_n \in C_{2\pi} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_n$, i.e. linear operators $P_n(f, t)$ with the properties

$$(i) P_n(f, t) \in \mathcal{T}_n \text{ if } f \in C_{2\pi}$$

$$(ii) P_n(f, t) \equiv f(t) \text{ if } f \in \mathcal{T}_n.$$

Let r be a nonnegative integer, and consider the r times differentiated operator $P_n^{(r)}(f, t)$. One may ask: under what conditions will this operator uniformly converge to $f^{(r)}(t)$? To state a result in the positive direction, we need some definitions. Let

$$(1) \quad \|P_n^{(r)}\| := \sup_{0 \neq f \in C_{2\pi}} \frac{\|P_n^{(r)}(f, t)\|}{\|f\|}$$

be the norm of the r times differentiated operator ($\|\cdot\|$ denotes supremum norm over the real line), and let $E_n(g)$ be the best (uniform) trigonometric approximation of order n of $g \in C_{2\pi}$.

Theorem 1. *If $f^{(r)}(t)$ is continuous and $P_n \in C_{2\pi} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_n$, then*

$$\|f^{(r)}(t) - P_n^{(r)}(f, t)\| = O(E_n(f^{(r)}) + E_n(f) \|P_n^{(r)}\|).$$

Here the O -sign refers to $n \rightarrow \infty$, while r is fixed. Hence a sufficient condition of the uniform convergence is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n(f) \|P_n^{(r)}\| = 0.$$

* The second and third authors were partially supported by The Hungarian Research Fund; Grant No. 1801.

Received April 9, 1986

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $T_n(t)$ be the best approximating polynomial of $f(t)$. Then according to a result of CZIPSZER and FREUD [2] on simultaneous approximation

$$\|f^{(k)}(t) - T_n^{(k)}(t)\| \leq c_0 E_n(f^{(k)}) \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, r).^{1)}$$

Using this result, as well as property (ii) of the projection operator P_n we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f^{(r)}(t) - P_n^{(r)}(f, t)\| &\leq \|f^{(r)}(t) - T_n^{(r)}(t)\| + \|\{T_n(t) - P_n(T_n, t)\}^{(r)}\| + \\ &+ \|P_n^{(r)}(T_n - f, t)\| \leq c_0 E_n(f^{(r)}) + c_0 E_n(f) \|P_n^{(r)}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Now we turn to the divergence phenomena of the operator $P_n^{(r)}(f, t)$. Let $\omega(t)$ be an arbitrary modulus of continuity, and define

$$(2) \quad C_r(\omega) = \left\{ f(t) \mid f^{(r)}(t) \in C_{2\pi}, \sup_{t>0} \frac{\omega(f^{(r)}, t)}{\omega(t)} < \infty \right\}.$$

Theorem 2. Given $r \geq 0$ and a modulus of continuity $\omega(t)$ such that

$$(3) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{t}{\omega(t)} = 0,$$

further a sequence of projection operators $P_n \in C_{2\pi} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_n$, there exists an $f_r(t) \in C_r(\omega)$ such that

$$(4) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|f_r^{(r)}(t) - P_n^{(r)}(f, t)\|}{\omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \log n} > 0.$$

For the proof of Theorem 2 we need the following

Lemma. Given r and n , there exists a function $g_{nr}(t) \in C_{2\pi}$ such that

$$(5) \quad \|g_{nr}^{(j)}(t)\| \leq c_1 n^j \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, r+1)$$

and

$$(6) \quad \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g_{nr}^{(r)}(t) D_n(t) dt \geq c_2 n^r \log n,$$

where

$$(7) \quad D_n(t) = \frac{\sin \frac{2n+1}{2} t}{2 \sin \frac{t}{2}}$$

is the Dirichlet kernel.

¹ In what follows c_0, c_1, \dots will denote constants depending on r but independent of n .

Proof. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1. r is odd. Then let

$$(8) \quad g_{nr}(t) = (-1)^{(r+1)/2} (\operatorname{sgn} t) \cos \frac{2n+1}{2} t \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{2\pi}{2n+1} \equiv |t| \equiv \frac{2n\pi}{2n+1}.$$

To extend the definition of $g_{nr}(t)$ for $|t| < 2\pi/(2n+1)$, let $h_{nr}(t)$ be that uniquely determined algebraic polynomial of degree at most $2r+3$ which satisfies the conditions

$$(9) \quad h_{nr}^{(j)}\left(-\frac{2\pi}{2n+1}\right) = g_{nr}^{(j)}\left(-\frac{2\pi}{2n+1}\right), \quad h_{nr}^{(j)}\left(\frac{2\pi}{2n+1}\right) = g_{nr}^{(j)}\left(\frac{2\pi}{2n+1}\right) \\ (j = 0, 1, \dots, r+1).$$

Then let

$$(10) \quad g_{nr}(t) = h_{nr}(t) \quad \text{if} \quad |t| < \frac{2\pi}{2n+1}.$$

Assume

$$(11) \quad h_{nr}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{2r+3} a_{kn} \left(\frac{2n+1}{2\pi} t\right)^k,$$

then by (9) and (8)

$$h_{nr}^{(j)}\left(-\frac{2\pi}{2n+1}\right) = \left(-\frac{2n+1}{2\pi}\right)^j \sum_{k=j}^{2r+3} a_{kn} k(k-1) \dots (k-j+1) (-1)^k = \\ = g_{nr}^{(j)}\left(-\frac{2\pi}{2n+1}\right) = O(n^j) \\ (j = 0, 1, \dots, r+1),$$

i.e.

$$(12) \quad \sum_{k=j}^{2r+3} (-1)^k k(k-1) \dots (k-j+1) a_{kn} = O(1) \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, r+1).$$

Similarly, from the second group of conditions in (9),

$$(13) \quad \sum_{k=j}^{2r+3} k(k-1) \dots (k-j+1) a_{kn} = O(1) \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, r+1).$$

(12) and (13) together can be considered as a system of linear equations for the unknowns a_{kn} . Since $h_{nr}(t)$ is uniquely determined, this system is uniquely solvable and

$$|a_{kn}| \leq c_9 \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, 2r+3).$$

Thus by (10) and (11) we get for $j=0, 1, \dots, r+1$

$$|g_{nr}^{(j)}(t)| = |h_{nr}^{(j)}(t)| \leq \left(\frac{2n+1}{2\pi}\right)^j \sum_{k=j}^{2r+3} k(k-1)\dots(k-j+1)|a_{kn}| \leq c_1 n^j \text{ if } |t| \leq \frac{2\pi}{2n+1}.$$

Now $g_{nr}(t)$ is defined on $|t| \leq 2\pi/(2n+1)$, and extending the definition by translations of length 2π , the only missing interval is $\left(\frac{2n\pi}{2n+1}, \frac{2(n+1)\pi}{2n+1}\right)$ (and its translates). In this interval the construction is similar: let $H_{nr}(t)$ be that uniquely determined algebraic polynomial of degree at most $2r+3$ for which

$$H_{nr}^{(j)}\left(\frac{2n\pi}{2n+1}\right) = g_{nr}^{(j)}\left(\frac{2n\pi}{2n+1}\right), \quad H_{nr}^{(j)}\left(\frac{2(n+1)\pi}{2n+1}\right) = g_{nr}^{(j)}\left(\frac{2(n+1)\pi}{2n+1}\right)$$

$$(j = 0, 1, \dots, r+1),$$

and let

$$g_{nr}(t) = H_{nr}(t) \text{ if } \frac{2n\pi}{2n+1} < t < \frac{2(n+1)\pi}{2n+1}.$$

Thus the definition of $g_{nr}(t)$ is complete. Property (5) on the interval $\left[\frac{2n\pi}{2n+1}, \frac{2(n+1)\pi}{2n+1}\right]$ can be easily established.

The only thing remained to prove is (6). Since by (7) $\|D_n(t)\| = n+1/2$, we get from (8) and (5)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g_{nr}^{(r)}(t) D_n(t) dt &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\frac{2n+1}{2}\right)^r \int_{\frac{2n\pi}{2n+1}}^{\frac{2(n+1)\pi}{2n+1}} \frac{\sin^2 \frac{2n+1}{2} t}{2 \sin \frac{t}{2}} dt - \\ &- \frac{6}{2n+1} \|g_{nr}^{(r)}(t) D_n(t)\| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{2n+1}{2}\right)^r \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{\frac{(4k+1)\pi}{2(2n+1)}}^{\frac{(4k+3)\pi}{2(2n+1)}} \frac{dt}{t} + 3c_1 n^r \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{2n+1}{2}\right)^r 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{4k+3} - 3c_1 n^r \leq c_2 n^r \log n. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. r is even. Now the definition of $g_{nr}(t)$ starts with

$$g_{nr}(t) = (-1)^{r/2} (\operatorname{sgn} t) \sin \frac{2n+1}{2} t \text{ if } \frac{2n\pi}{2n+1} \leq |t| \leq \frac{2(n+1)\pi}{2n+1}$$

instead of (8). The rest of the proof is very similar to Case 1, and we omit the details.

Proof of Theorem 2. Since $P_n(f, t)$ is a projection operator, according to the Berman—Faber—Marcinkiewicz relation we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_n(f(\cdot + u), x - u) du = S_n(f, x)$$

where

$$S_n(f, x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x+t) D_n(t) dt$$

is the n^{th} partial sum of the Fourier series of $f(x)$ (see e.g. Lorentz [3], p. 97). Applying this for $f(x) = g_{nr}(x)$, differentiating r times and setting $x=0$ we get

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_n^{(r)}(g_{nr}(\cdot + u), -u) du = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g_{nr}^{(r)}(t) D_n(t) dt.$$

Let u_n be a point where $|P_n^{(r)}(g_{nr}(\cdot + u), -u)|$ attains its maximum, then by (6) we get

$$(14) \quad \|P_n^{(r)}(g_{nr}(\cdot + u_n), t)\| \cong |P_n^{(r)}(g_{nr}(\cdot + u_n), -u_n)| \cong c_2 n^r \log n.$$

Now define a sequence of integers $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ with the following properties: let

$$(15) \quad \omega\left(\frac{1}{n_1}\right) \cong \frac{c_2}{8c_1}, \quad n_1 > e^{8/c_2}$$

and assume that n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{j-1} has been already defined.

If there exists a $k, 1 \leq k \leq j-1$, such that for infinitely many n 's we have

$$\|g_{nkr}^{(r)}(t) - P_n^{(r)}(g_{nkr}(\cdot + u_{n_k}), t)\| \cong c_1 \omega(1/n) \log n$$

then this $g_{nkr}(t)$ will satisfy the requirements of the theorem. If this is not the case, then for sufficiently large n 's

$$\|g_{nkr}^{(r)}(t) - P_n^{(r)}(g_{nkr}(\cdot + u_{n_k}), t)\| < c_1 \omega(1/n) \log n \quad (k = 1, \dots, j-1).$$

Now choose n_j in this case such that

$$(16) \quad \|g_{nkr}^{(r)}(t) - P_{n_j}^{(r)}(g_{nkr}(\cdot + u_{n_k}), t)\| < c_1 \omega(1/n_j) \log n_j \quad (k = 1, \dots, j-1)$$

and

$$(17) \quad \frac{2n_{j-1}}{n_j} \omega(1/n_{j-1}) \cong \omega(1/n_j) \cong \min\left(\frac{1}{2} \omega(1/n_{j-1}), \frac{c_2}{4c_1 \|P_{n_{j-1}}^{(r)}\|}\right)$$

hold. (The left hand side inequality is possible because of (3).)

We may assume that we can construct an infinite sequence of indices this way.

Define

$$f_r(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_{nkr}(t + u_{n_k})}{n_k^r} \omega(1/n_k).$$

Here the right hand side series, even after differentiating r times, uniformly converges by (5) and (17). Moreover, if $0 < \delta \leq h$ then

$$|f_r^{(r)}(t+\delta) - f_r^{(r)}(t)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|g_{n_k r}^{(r)}(t+\delta+u_{n_k}) - g_{n_k r}^{(r)}(t+u_{n_k})|}{n_k^r} \omega(1/n_k).$$

Let $0 < h < 1/n_1$ and j be that index for which

$$1/n_{j+1} \leq h < 1/n_j.$$

Then by (5) and (17)

$$\begin{aligned} |f_r^{(r)}(t+\delta) - f_r^{(r)}(t)| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^j \frac{\delta \|g_{n_k r}^{(r)}(t)\|}{n_k^r} \omega(1/n_k) + \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{2 \|g_{n_k r}^{(r)}(t)\|}{n_k^r} \omega(1/n_k) \leq \\ &\leq c_1 \delta \sum_{k=1}^j n_k \omega(1/n_k) + 2c_1 \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \omega(1/n_{k+1}) \leq 2c_1 h n_j \omega(1/n_j) + 4c_1 \omega(1/n_{j+1}) \leq 8c_1 \omega(h), \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $f_r(t) \in C_r(\omega)$ (cf. (2)).

Finally, to show (4) we obtain by (14), (16), (5), (17) and (15)

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_r^{(r)}(t) - P_{n_j}^{(r)}(f_r, t)\| &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_{n_k r}^{(r)}(t+u_{n_k}) - P_{n_j}^{(r)}(g_{n_k r}(\cdot + u_{n_k}), t)}{n_k^r} \omega(1/n_k) \right\| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\|P_{n_j}^{(r)}(g_{n_j r}(\cdot + u_{n_j}), t)\|}{n_j^r} \omega(1/n_j) - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \frac{\|g_{n_k r}^{(r)}(t+u_{n_k}) - P_{n_j}^{(r)}(g_{n_k r}(\cdot + u_{n_k}), t)\|}{n_k^r} \omega(1/n_k) - \\ &- \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \frac{\|g_{n_k r}^{(r)}\|}{n_k^r} \omega(1/n_k) - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{\|P_{n_j}^{(r)}(g_{n_k r}(\cdot + u_{n_k}), t)\|}{n_k^r} \omega(1/n_k) \leq \\ &\leq c_2 \omega(1/n_j) \log n_j - c_1 \omega(1/n_j) \log n_j \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega(1/n_k) - \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \omega(1/n_k) - \\ &- c_1 \|P_{n_j}^{(r)}\| \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \omega(1/n_k) \leq c_2 \omega(1/n_j) \log n_j - 2c_1 \omega(1/n_j) \omega(1/n_j) \log n_j - \\ &- 2\omega(1/n_j) - 2c_1 \|P_{n_j}^{(r)}\| \omega(1/n_{j+1}) \leq c_2 \omega(1/n_j) \log n_j - \frac{c_2}{4} \omega(1/n_j) \log n_j - \\ &- \frac{c_2}{4} \omega(1/n_j) \log n_j - \frac{c_2}{4} \omega(1/n_j) \log n_j = \frac{c_2}{4} \omega(1/n_j) \log n_j \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

$\omega(t) = o(t)$ is excluded in Theorem 2, by condition (3). With a slight modification of the proof we can easily get the following statement in this case.

Theorem 3. Given $r \geq 0$, a sequence of projection operators $P_n \in C_{2\pi} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_n$, and a sequence $\varepsilon_1 \geq \varepsilon_2 \geq \dots$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$, there exists an $f_r(t) \in C_{2\pi}$ such that $f_r^{(r)}(t) \in \text{Lip } 1$ and

$$(18) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|f_r^{(r)}(t) - P_n^{(r)}(f, t)\|}{\varepsilon_n \log n/n} > 0.$$

We do not give the details of the proof of this theorem. We only mention that now

$$f_r(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_{n_k}}{n_k^{r+1}} g_{n_k, r}(t + u_{n_k})$$

will be the function satisfying (18), where $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ is a properly chosen sequence of indices.

An obvious consequence of Theorem 1 is that if $f(t) \in C_r(\omega)$ then

$$(19) \quad \|f^{(r)}(t) - P_n^{(r)}(f, t)\| = O(n^{-r} \omega(1/n) \|P_n^{(r)}\|).$$

Since here $\|P_n^{(r)}\| \geq c_3 n^r \log n$ for any projection operator P_n (cf. BERMAN [1]), the best estimate one can obtain from (19) is

$$\|f^{(r)}(t) - P_n^{(r)}(f, t)\| = O(\omega(1/n) \log n) \quad (f(t) \in C_r(\omega)).$$

This shows that the results of Theorems 2 and 3 are sharp.

In particular, our theorems can be applied to the differentiated partial sums of the Fourier series and to the differentiated interpolating polynomials based on arbitrary systems of nodes.

References

- [1] D. L. BERMAN, On a class of linear operators, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 85 (1952), 13—16 (in Russian).
- [2] I. CZIPSZER—G. FREUD, Sur l'approximation d'une fonction périodique etc., *Acta Math.*, 99 (1958), 33—51.
- [3] G. G. LORENTZ, *Approximation of Functions*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston (New York, 1966).

(P. O. R.)
UNIVERSITÄT LINZ
A—4045, ÖSTERREICH

(J. SZ.—P. V.)
MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE
HUNGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
REÁLTANODA U. 13—15
1053 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY