

## On imbedding theorems for weighted polynomial approximation and modulus of continuity of functions

NGUYEN XUAN KY

### 0. Introduction

Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be two measurable functions on  $(a, b)$ ,  $(-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty)$ . Denote  $\varphi(L)\psi(L) = \varphi(L)\psi(L)_{(a,b)}$  the set of those measurable functions  $f$  on  $(a, b)$  for which

$$\int_a^b \varphi(|f(x)|)\psi(|f(x)|) dx$$

exists. In the case  $\psi \equiv 1$  and  $\varphi(x) = |x|^p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) we usually write  $L^p$  instead of  $\varphi(L)\psi(L)$ .

The norm of  $f \in L^p(a, b)$  is defined by

$$\|f\|_p = \left( \int_a^b |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

The space  $L^p$  of all the functions of periodic  $2\pi$  will be denoted by  $L^p[2\pi]$ . The modulus of continuity of a function  $f \in L^p(a, b)$  is defined as follows

$$\omega(f, \delta)_p = \sup_{0 \leq h \leq \delta} \left( \int_a^{b-h} |f(x+h) - f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \quad (0 \leq \delta \leq b-a).$$

If  $f \in L^p[2\pi]$  then let

$$\omega(f, \delta)_p = \sup_{0 \leq h \leq \delta} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+h) - f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \quad (\delta \geq 0).$$

A nondecreasing continuous function  $\Omega$  on  $[0, 1]$  is called a modulus of continuity if

$$\Omega(0) = 0, \quad \Omega(\delta_1 + \delta_2) \leq \Omega(\delta_1) + \Omega(\delta_2) \quad (0 \leq \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \leq \delta_1 + \delta_2 \leq 1).$$

For a modulus of continuity  $\Omega$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$  let

$$H_p^\Omega = H_p^{\Omega, \omega} := \{f \in L^p: \omega(f, \delta) \leq c(f)\Omega(\delta), \delta > 0\}$$

here and later  $c(x, \dots)$  denotes a constant depending only on  $x, \dots$ , furthermore  $c$  will denote an absolute constant (not necessarily the same in different formulae).

Let  $F = \{f_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  be an orthonormal system on  $(a, b)$ . Define for  $n=0, 1, \dots$

$$\Pi_n(F) := \{p_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k f_k: \lambda_k \text{ are real numbers, } k = 0, 1, \dots\}.$$

If for some  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $F \subset L^p$ , then let

$$E_n(F, f)_p := \inf_{p_n \in \Pi_n(F)} \|f - p_n\|_p \quad (f \in L^p, n = 0, 1, \dots).$$

For a given decreasing sequence of real numbers tending to zero  $\alpha = (\alpha_n) = (\alpha_n \downarrow 0)$ , let

$$E(F, \alpha, p) := \{f \in L^p: E_n(F, f)_p \leq c(f)\alpha_n, n = 0, 1, \dots\}.$$

Many authors have studied the so-called imbedding problems: What are sufficient conditions and what are necessary conditions (regarding  $\Omega$ ) for

$$(1) \quad H_p^{\Omega, \omega} \subset A,$$

where  $A$  is a given set of functions. A similar problem is to find sufficient conditions and necessary conditions (regarding  $\alpha$ ) for

$$(2) \quad E(F, \alpha, p) \subset B,$$

where  $B$  denotes some given set of functions. For example UL'JANOV [10] considered these problems in the case  $A=B=L^q[2\pi]$  ( $1 \leq p < q < \infty$ ) and if  $F$  is the trigonometric system. TIMAN [9] answering one of Ul'janov's questions proved that a certain sufficient condition due to Ul'janov is also necessary for imbedding (2) with  $B=L^q[2\pi]$ . L. Leindler generalized these results for  $A=B=\varphi(L)\psi(L)$  (see e.g. [4], [5]). Some analogous results on the infinite interval due to J. NÉMETH [8].

Let  $\lambda > 0$ . The orthonormal system  $F$  is called (by the present author) a  $\{N, \lambda\}$ -system if the inequality

$$(3) \quad \|p_n\|_q \leq cn^{\lambda((1/p)-(1/q))} \|p_n\|_p$$

holds for every  $p_n \in \Pi_n(F)$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$  and  $1 \leq p < q < \infty$ . In the case  $\lambda=1$ , inequality (3) is called Nikol'skiĭ-inequality.

The following statement is true, its proof is similar to that of TIMAN [9]. Let  $F$  be a  $\{N, \lambda\}$ -system and let  $f \in L^p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ). If for some  $1 \leq p < q < \infty$

$$(4) \quad \varepsilon := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\lambda(q/p-1)-1} E_n^q(F, f)_p < \infty$$

then  $f \in L^q$  and  $\|f\|_q \leq c \{\|f\|_p^q + \varepsilon\}^{1/q}$ . Consequently, for a  $\{N, \lambda\}$ -system, the condition

$$(5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\lambda(q/p-1)-1} \alpha_n^q < \infty$$

is sufficient for imbedding (2) with  $B=L^q$ . We can ask if this is also necessary.

On the other hand, many results of the approximation theory show that for a given system  $F$  there exist new moduli of continuity for which the analogues of Jackson and Bernstein theorems are true. Therefore the following problem seems to be natural: What can we say about imbedding (1) in the case if  $\omega$  is also a modulus of continuity?

In this paper we give an answer to the first question in the case of the generalized Hermite functions and we consider the second problem for the modulus of continuity to be defined later on. Some results will be proved for  $\varphi(L)\psi(L)$  as well.

### 1. The main results

Let

$$w(x) = (1 + |x|^u)^{v/2u} e^{-|x|^{u/2}} \quad (-\infty < x < \infty), \quad u \geq 2, \quad v \geq 0$$

and let  $\{h_n\}$  be the system of the orthonormal polynomials with respect to the weight  $w^2$ . Then the system  $F_{u,v} = \{f_n w\}$  is orthonormal on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . If  $u=2, v=0$  then  $F_{u,v}$  is the system of the orthonormal Hermite functions. The weight  $w$  was introduced by FREUD [2] for all real  $v$  and  $u \geq 2$ . In this paper, when no additional condition is required, we always assume that  $v \geq 0, u \geq 2$ .

We define the modulus of continuity of a function  $f \in L^p(-\infty, \infty)$  as follows

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega^*(f, \delta)_p &= \omega_{A,B}^*(f, \delta)_p = \omega_{A,B}^*(u, v, f, \delta)_p = \\ &= \sup_{0 \leq h \leq \delta} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^B |f_p(x+h) - f_p(x)|^p w^p(x) dx \right\}^{1/p} + \\ &+ \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \left\{ \int_A^\infty |f_p(x-h) - f_p(x)|^p w^p(x) dx \right\}^{1/p} \quad (\delta > 0, \quad -\infty < A < B < \infty), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f_p := w^{-p} f.$$

The modulus of this type was introduced in [3].

For a given sequence of real numbers  $(\varphi_n)$  and  $1 \leq p, q < \infty$ , let

$$(7) \quad \Phi(x) = \Phi_{p,q,\lambda}(x) := \sum_{k=1}^{[x]} k^{\lambda(q/p-1)-1} \varphi_k.$$

In the case  $\lambda=1$  this function was introduced by LEINDLER [6].

Further on we simply write  $\varphi(L)\psi(L)$  for  $\varphi(L)\psi(L)_{(-\infty, \infty)}$ .

The following theorems are true:

**Theorem 1.** Let  $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$  and let  $\alpha = (\alpha_n \downarrow 0)$ ,  $(\varphi_n)$  be given nonnegative monotonic sequences satisfying

$$(8) \quad n\alpha_n \leq c m \alpha_m \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n < m$$

and  $\varphi_{k^2} \leq c \varphi_k$ , and if  $q > p$  then let  $(\varphi_n)$  be decreasing. Let  $\Phi = \Phi_{p,q,\lambda}$  be the function defined in (7) with  $\lambda = 1 - 1/u$ . Then a necessary condition for

$$(9) \quad E(F_{u,v}, \alpha, p) \subset L^{q+(1-1/u)(1-q/p)} \Phi(L)$$

is

$$(10) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(1-1/u)(q/p-1)-1} \varphi_n \alpha_n^q < \infty.$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $1 \leq p < q < \infty$  and let  $\alpha = (\alpha_n \downarrow 0)$  be a sequence having the properties required in Theorem 1. Let  $v_0 = 0$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for

$$(11) \quad E(F_{u,v_0}, \alpha, p) \subset L^q$$

is

$$(12) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(1-1/u)(q/p-1)-1} \alpha_n^q < \infty.$$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\Omega$  be a modulus of continuity,  $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$  and let  $(\varphi_n)$  be a sequence having the properties required in Theorem 1. Let  $\Phi = \Phi_{p,q,\lambda}$  with  $\lambda = 1 - 1/u$ . A necessary condition for

$$(13) \quad H_p^{\Omega, \omega^*} \subset L^{q+(1-1/u)(1-q/p)} \Phi(L)$$

is

$$(14) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(1-1/u)(q/p-1)-1} \varphi_n \Omega^q(n^{-(1-1/u)}) < \infty.$$

**Theorem 4.** Let  $1 \leq p < q < \infty$  and let  $\omega_0^* = \omega_{A,B}(u, v_0, f, \delta)_p$  with  $v_0 = 0$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for

$$(15) \quad H_p^{\Omega, \omega_0^*} \subset L^q$$

is

$$(16) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(1-1/u)(q/p-1)-1} \Omega^q(n^{-(1-1/u)}) < \infty.$$

2. Lemmas

Lemma 1 ([6], Lemma 5). Let  $p > 0$  and let  $(\alpha_n \downarrow 0)$  be a sequence satisfying (8). Let  $(\varphi_n)$  be a nonnegative monotonic sequence having the property that for a certain  $\alpha$

$$(17) \quad \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_k}{k^{\alpha+p}} \leq c \frac{m\varphi_m}{m^{\alpha+p}}$$

and

$$(18) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi_k k^{-\alpha} \alpha_k^p = \infty.$$

Then there exists a sequence  $\{B_k\}$  such that

$$(19) \quad B_k \downarrow 0, \quad B_k \leq \alpha_k, \quad \sum_{k=1}^m k^{\lambda p-1} B_k^p \leq c(\lambda, p) m^{\lambda p} \alpha_m^p \quad \text{for any } \lambda > 0$$

and

$$(20) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi_k k^{-\alpha} B_k^p = \infty.$$

This lemma differs from Lemma 5 of [6] in the rate of  $\lambda$ , since the last inequality in (19) is true for any  $\lambda > 0$  (in [6] this inequality was proved for  $\lambda = 1$ ). Indeed, the sequence  $\{B_k\}$  defined in [6] has property (19). The proof of this fact is similar to that of the last inequality in (2.4) of [6].

We have similar remark concerning the inequality (25) in the following lemma.

Lemma 2 ([6], Lemma 6). Let  $p \geq 1, \alpha < 1$  and let  $(\alpha_n \downarrow 0)$  be a sequence satisfying (8). If for the positive increasing sequence  $(\varphi_n)$ ,

$$(21) \quad \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \varphi_k k^{-\alpha-p} \leq c\varphi_m m^{1-\alpha-p}$$

and

$$(22) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n n^{-\alpha} \alpha_n^p = \infty$$

hold, then there exist a sequence  $\{B_k\}$  and a sequence of integers  $\{n_k\}$  such that

$$(23) \quad B_n \downarrow 0, \quad B_n \leq \alpha_n$$

$$(24) \quad n_{k+1} > 2n_k \quad \text{and} \quad B_{n_{k+1}} \leq \frac{1}{2} B_{n_k} \quad (k \geq 1)$$

$$(25) \quad \sum_{n=1}^m n^{2q-1} B_n^q \leq c(q, \lambda) m^{2q} \alpha_m^q \quad \text{for any } q, \lambda > 0$$

$$(26) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n n^{-\alpha} B_n^p = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{n_k} n_k^{1-\alpha} B_{n_k} = \infty$$

and

$$(27) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{2^n} 2^{n(1-\alpha)} (B_{2^n} - B_{2^{n-1}})^p = \infty.$$

Lemma 3. Let  $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$ ,  $\lambda \geq 1/2$  and let  $(\alpha_n)$ ,  $(\varphi_n)$  be sequences having the properties required in Theorem 1. If

$$(28) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\lambda(q/p-1)-1} \varphi_n \alpha_n^q = \infty$$

then there exists a function  $f_0 \in L^p[0, 1]$  having the following properties:

$$(29) \quad f_0(x) = 0, \quad x \in [2^{-\lambda}, 1]$$

$$(30) \quad \int_0^h |f_0(x)|^p dx \leq c \alpha_{2^k}^p \quad (0 < h \leq 2^{-\lambda(k+2)}, k = 1, 2, \dots)$$

$$(31) \quad \omega(f_0, 2^{-\lambda k})_p \leq c \alpha_{2^k}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$(32) \quad f_0 \notin L^{q+\lambda(1-q/p)} \Phi(L),$$

where  $\Phi = \Phi_{p,q,\lambda}$  is defined by (7).

Proof. First we remark that in the case  $\lambda=1$  this lemma was proved in [6], [7]. Here we use a similar method for the construction of  $f_0$ .

If  $q=p$  then the conditions of Lemma 1 with  $\alpha=1$  are satisfied, so there exists a sequence  $\{\bar{B}_k\}$  satisfying (19) and (20) with  $\alpha=1$ .

If  $q>p$  then the conditions of Lemma 2 are satisfied with  $\alpha=1-\lambda\left(\frac{q}{p}-1\right)$  and the exponent  $p$  appearing in Lemma 2 is chosen to be  $q$ . Therefore there exist  $\{\hat{B}_k\}$  and  $\{n_k\}$  satisfying (23)–(27).

Now we can define

$$(33) \quad f_0(x) = \begin{cases} \varrho_n & \text{if } x = 3^\lambda 2^{-\lambda(n+2)} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in [2^{-\lambda}, 1], \quad x = 2^{-\lambda n} \\ \text{linear} & \text{on } [2^{-\lambda(n+1)}, 3^\lambda 2^{-\lambda(n+2)}], \\ & [3^\lambda 2^{-\lambda(n+2)}, 2^{-\lambda n}], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \end{cases}$$

where

$$(34) \quad \varrho_n := 2^{(n+1)\lambda/p} (B_{2^{n+1}}^p - B_{2^n}^p)^{1/p} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

with

$$B_n := \begin{cases} \bar{B}_n & \text{if } p = q \\ \hat{B}_n & \text{if } p < q. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$(35) \quad \sigma := \frac{\sqrt{2}-1}{2}$$

and let

$$(36) \quad h \in (\sigma 2^{-\lambda(k+3)}, \sigma 2^{-\lambda(k+2)}], \quad k \geq 2.$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$0 < (4^\lambda - 1)h < 1 - h.$$

We have

$$\int_0^{1-h} |f_0(t+h) - f_0(t)|^p dt = \int_0^{(4^\lambda-1)h} + \int_{(4^\lambda-1)h}^{1-h} =: I_1 + I_2.$$

By (19) and (23) we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\cong \int_0^{4^\lambda h} |f_0(x)|^p dx \cong \int_0^{2^{-\lambda k}} |f_0(x)|^p dx = \sum_{n=k}^\infty \int_{2^{-\lambda(n+1)}}^{2^{-\lambda n}} |f_0(x)|^p dx = \\ &= (1-2^{-\lambda}) \sum_{n=k}^\infty 2^{-\lambda(n+1)} \varrho_n^p = (1-2^{-\lambda}) \sum_{n=k}^\infty (B_n^p - B_{2^{n+1}}^p) \cong \\ &\cong c(\lambda) B_{2^k}^p \cong c(\lambda) \alpha_{2^k}^p. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate  $I_2$  we notice that by (35) and (36) we have for every  $t \leq 2^{-\lambda n}$ ,  $1 \leq n \leq k+1$

$$t+h \leq 2^{-\lambda(n-1)}.$$

Therefore for those values of  $t$

$$|f_0(t+h) - f_0(t)| \leq (\varrho_n + \varrho_{n-1}) \leq ch 2^{\lambda(n+2)} (\varrho_n + \varrho_{n-1}).$$

Now, using (19), (23) and (25) we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_{(4^\lambda-1)h}^{1-h} |f_0(t+h) - f_0(t)|^p dt \leq \int_{2^{-\lambda(k+2)}}^{2^{-\lambda}} |f_0(t+h) - f_0(t)|^p dt = \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{k+1} \int_{2^{-\lambda(n+1)}}^{2^{-\lambda n}} |f_0(t+h) - f_0(t)|^p dt \leq c \sum_{n=1}^{k+1} 2^{-\lambda n} [h 2^{\lambda(n+2)} (\varrho_n + \varrho_{n-1})]^p \leq \\ &\leq ch^p \sum_{n=1}^{k+1} 2^{\lambda(p-1)n} (\varrho_n + \varrho_{n-1})^p \leq ch^p \sum_{n=0}^{k+1} 2^{\lambda(p-1)n} \varrho_n^p = \\ &= ch^p \sum_{n=0}^{k+1} 2^{\lambda p n} (B_{2^n}^p - B_{2^{n+1}}^p) \leq ch^p \sum_{n=0}^{k+1} 2^{\lambda p n} B_{2^n}^p \leq \\ &\leq ch^p \sum_{i=1}^{2^{k+1}} i^{\lambda p - 1} B_i^p \leq ch^p (2^{k+1})^{\lambda p} \alpha_{2^{k+1}}^p \leq c \alpha_{2^k}^p. \end{aligned}$$

So  $I_1 + I_2 \leq c\alpha_{2^k}^p$ , from which (31) follows. (29) follows from the definition of  $f_0$ . We obtain (30) by the estimate of  $I_1$ .

Now let us prove (32). If  $q=p$  then the function  $\Phi_{p,q,\lambda}$  and the sequence  $\{B_n\}$  do not depend on  $\lambda$ , therefore we can use the estimates on p. 61 of [6]. According to this, for  $N=1, 2, \dots$ , there exists  $\mu$  depending on  $N$  such that

$$(37) \quad \sum_{k=1}^N \varphi_k k^{-1} B_k^p \leq c \sum_{n=1}^{\mu-1} \Phi(2^n) (B_{2^n}^p - B_{2^{n+1}}^p) + c \leq \\ \leq c \sum_{k=1}^{\mu} \Phi(2^n) \varrho_n^p 2^{-\lambda(n+1)} + c.$$

Since by our assumption and (20) the first sum in inequality (37) tends to infinity as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , therefore

$$(38) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\mu} \Phi(2^n) \varrho_n^p 2^{-\lambda n} \rightarrow \infty \quad (\mu \rightarrow \infty).$$

On the other hand, by the assumption  $\varphi_{k^2} \leq c\varphi_k$  we have  $\Phi(u^2) \leq c\Phi(u)$ . Consequently, since  $\lambda \geq 1/2$  we get

$$\Phi(2^n) \leq c\Phi(2^{2n}).$$

Hence by (38) we have

$$(39) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi(2^{2n}) \varrho_n^p 2^{-\lambda n} = \infty.$$

However,

$$\int_0^1 |f_0(x)|^p \Phi\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) dx = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-\lambda(n+1)}}^{2^{-\lambda n}} |f_0(x)|^p \Phi\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) dx \leq \\ \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi(2^{2n}) \int_{2^{-\lambda(n+1)}}^{2^{-\lambda n}} |f_0(x)|^p dx \leq c \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi(2^{2n}) \varrho_n^p 2^{-\lambda n}.$$

So by (39)

$$(40) \quad \int_0^1 |f_0(x)|^p \Phi\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) dx = \infty.$$

This together with the property  $\Phi(u^2) \leq c\Phi(u)$  implies by Lemma 13 of [10] that  $f_0 \notin L^p \Phi(L)_{[0,1]}$ , which proves (32) for  $q=p$ .

Let now  $q > p$ . Using the assumption  $\varphi_{k^2} \leq c\varphi_k$  we have

$$\Phi(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{[x]} k^{\lambda(q/p-1)-1} \varphi_k \leq \sum_{k=[x/2]}^{[x]} k^{\lambda(q/p-1)-1} \varphi_k \leq cx^{\lambda(q/p-1)} \varphi_{[x]}.$$



Therefore

$$(41) \quad \int_0^1 |f_0(x)|^{q+\lambda(1-q/p)} \Phi(|f_0(x)|) dx \cong c \int_0^1 |f_0(x)|^q \varphi(|f_0(x)|) dx,$$

where

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in [0, 1] \\ \varphi_n & \text{if } x = n \\ \text{linear on } [n, n+1], & n = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

Using Lemma 2 with  $\alpha = 1 - \lambda(q/p - 1)$  we have

$$(42) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{2^n} 2^{n\lambda(q/p-1)} (B_{2^n} - B_{2^{n+1}})^q = \infty.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 |f_0(x)|^q \varphi\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) dx &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-n\lambda}}^{2^{-(n-1)\lambda}} |f_0(x)|^q \varphi\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) dx \cong \\ &\cong c(\lambda) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(2^{n\lambda}) \varrho_n^q 2^{-n\lambda} \cong c(\lambda) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{2^n} \varrho_n 2^{-n\lambda} \cong \\ &\cong c(\lambda) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{2^n} 2^{n\lambda(q/p-1)} (B_{2^n}^p - B_{2^{n+1}}^p)^{q/p} \cong c(\lambda) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{2^n} 2^{n\lambda(q/p-1)} (B_{2^n} - B_{2^{n+1}})^q. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (42) we get

$$\int_0^1 |f_0(x)|^q \varphi\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) dx = \infty.$$

Therefore again using Lemma 13 of [10] we have

$$\int_0^1 |f_0(x)|^q \varphi(|f_0(x)|) dx = \infty$$

so, by (41)

$$f_0 \notin L^{q+\lambda(1-q/p)} \Phi(L).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.

Lemma 4 ([7], Theorem 3.1). *Let  $v_0=0, u \geq 2, n=1, 2, \dots$ . Then for any  $p_n \in \Pi_n(F_{u, v_0})$  and  $1 \leq p < q < \infty$  we have*

$$(43) \quad \|p_n\|_q \cong cn^{(1-1/u)(1/p-1/q)} \|p_n\|_p.$$

Lemma 5 ([1], Lemma 3.6 and [2] Lemma 4.7). Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Suppose that a function  $g$  is absolutely continuous on every finite interval and  $f := wg, wg' \in L^p$ , then

$$(44) \quad E_n(F_{u,v}, f)_p \leq \frac{c}{n^{1-1/u}} \|wg'\|_p \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Lemma 6. Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . For any  $f \in L^p$  and  $-\infty < A < B < \infty$  we have

$$(45) \quad E_n(F_{u,v}, f)_p \leq c(A, B) \omega_{A,B}^*(f, n^{-(1-1/u)})_p \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

where  $\omega^*$  is defined in (5).

Proof. The existence of  $\omega^*$  indeed follows from the following inequalities

$$(46) \quad \begin{cases} w(x) \leq c(B, \delta) w(x+h) & (-\infty < x \leq B) \\ w(x) \leq c(A, \delta) w(x-h) & (A \leq x < \infty) \end{cases} \quad (\delta > 0, 0 < h \leq \delta).$$

Let now

$$\lambda_n := n^{-(1-1/u)}, \quad f_p := w^{-p} f.$$

By Minkowski-inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \int_A^B |2\lambda_n^{-1} \int_{\lambda_n/2}^{\lambda_n} [f_p(x+t) - f_p(x-t)] dt|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \leq \\ & \leq 2c\lambda_n^{-1} \int_{\lambda_n/2}^{\lambda_n} \left\{ \int_A^B |f_p(x+t) - f_p(x-t)|^p w^p(x) dx \right\}^{1/p} dt \leq c(A, B) \omega_{A,B}^*(f, \lambda_n)_p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows that there exists an  $A \leq x_n \leq B$  such that

$$|d_n| \leq c(A, B) \omega_{A,B}^*(f, \lambda_n)_p$$

with

$$d_n := 2\lambda_n^{-1} \int_{\lambda_n/2}^{\lambda_n} [f_p(x_n+t) - f_p(x_n-t)] dt.$$

Let

$$\varphi_n(x) := \begin{cases} 2\lambda_n^{-1} \int_{\lambda_n/2}^{\lambda_n} f_p(x+t) dt & \text{if } x \leq x_n \\ 2\lambda_n^{-1} \int_{\lambda_n/2}^{\lambda_n} f_p(x-t) dt + d_n & \text{if } x > x_n. \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$\|(f_p - \varphi_n)w\|_p \leq c(A, B) \omega_{A,B}^*(f, \lambda_n)_p$$

and

$$\|\varphi_n'w\|_p \leq c(A, B) \omega_{A,B}^*(f, \lambda_n)_p \cdot \lambda_n^{-1}.$$

Since  $\varphi_n$  is absolutely continuous on every finite interval, by the last two inequalities, using Lemma 6 we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_n(F_{u,v}, f)_p &\cong \|(f_p - \varphi_n)w\|_p + E_n(F_{u,v}, \varphi_n w) \cong \\ &\cong c(A, B)\omega_{A,B}^*(f, \lambda_n)_p + c(A, B)\lambda_n \|\varphi_n' w\|_p \cong \\ &\cong c(A, B)\omega_{A,B}^*(f, \lambda_n)_p, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (45).

#### 4. Proofs of the theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that

$$(47) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(1-1/u)(q/p-1)-1} \varphi_n \alpha_n^q = \infty.$$

Then by Lemma 3 there exists a function  $f_0 \in L^p[0, 1]$  satisfying (29)—(32) with  $\lambda = 1 - \frac{1}{u} \left( \cong \frac{1}{2} \right)$ .

We define

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} df_0(x) w^p(x) & \text{if } x \in [0, 1] \text{ with } d = e^{p/2}, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin [0, 1], \end{cases}$$

and estimate  $\omega_{A,B}^*(f, \delta)_p$  with  $A=2, B=3$ . By  $\lambda := 1 - \frac{1}{u}$  we have for  $1-h \cong 2^{-k}$

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(h) &:= \int_{-\infty}^3 |f_p(x+h) - f_p(x)|^p w^p(x) dx \cong \\ &\cong c \int_0^h |f_0(x)|^p dx + c \int_0^{1-h} |f_0(x+h) - f_0(x)|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (30), (31) we get

$$I_1(h) \cong c\alpha_{2^k}^p \text{ if } h \cong 2^{-\lambda(k+2)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Therefore by the definition of  $\omega^*$  we have

$$\omega_{2,3}^*(f, 2^{-\lambda k})_p \cong c\alpha_{2^k} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots),$$

from which it follows by (45) that

$$E_{2^k}(F_{u,v}, f)_p \cong c\alpha_{2^k} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Since  $n\alpha_n \cong c m \alpha_m$  for  $1 \leq n < m$ , we obtain

$$E_n(F_{u,v}, f)_p \cong c \alpha_n \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

too. This proves that  $f \in E(F_{u,v}, \alpha, p)$ .

On the other hand, since  $f(x) \cong f_0(x)$  ( $x \in [0, 1]$ ), by (32) we have

$$f \notin L^{q+\lambda(1-q/p)} \Phi(L).$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

**Remark 1.** In the proof of Theorem 1 the chosen values of constants  $A$  and  $B$  in  $\omega^*$  indeed are not essential. For any  $-\infty < A < B < \infty$  by similar method we can construct a function  $f$  such that  $f \notin L^{q+\lambda(1-q/p)} \Phi(L)$  and

$$\omega_{A,B}^*(f, 2^{-\lambda k})_p \cong c \alpha_{2^k} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

**Proof of Theorem 2.** If  $\varphi_n = 1$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ) then

$$L^{q+\lambda(1-q/p)} \Phi_{p,q,\lambda}(L) = L^q.$$

Therefore the necessary part in Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 1. The sufficient part is a consequence of the statement summarized in the introduction, since by

(43)  $F_{u,v_0}$  is a  $\left\{N, \left(1 - \frac{1}{u}\right)\right\}$ -system.

**Proof of Theorem 3.** Assume that series (14) is divergent. Then by virtue of Remark 1, with  $\alpha_n := \Omega(n^{-(1-1/u)})$ , we can construct a function  $f \in L^p$  such that  $f \notin L^{q+\lambda(1-q/p)} \Phi(L)$  and

$$\omega_{A,B}^*(f, 2^{-(1-1/u)k})_p \cong c \Omega(2^{-(1-1/u)k}) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Hence by the properties of the modulus of continuity it follows that

$$\omega_{A,B}^*(f, \delta)_p \cong c \Omega(\delta) \quad (\delta > 0).$$

So, we have  $f \in H_p^{\Omega, \omega^*}$ .

**Proof of Theorem 4.** The necessary part of Theorem 4 is a consequence of Theorem 3. The sufficient part follows from Theorem 2 and Lemma 6.

Finally the author would like to thank Professor L. Leindler for pointing out the problems considered in this paper. I am grateful to Professor J. Szabados for his information about the paper of H. N. MHASKAR and E. B. SAFF [7].

## References

- [1] G. FREUD, A contribution to the problem of weighted polynomial approximation, in: *Linear operators and approximation*, Proc. Conf. Oberwolfach, 1971, Birkhäuser (Basel, 1972), pp. 431—447.
- [2] G. FREUD, Investigations on weighted approximation by polynomials, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 3—4 (1973), 285—307.
- [3] N. X. KY, On Jackson and Bernstein type approximation theorem in the case of approximation by algebraic polynomials in  $L_p$ -space, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 9 (1974), 405—415.
- [4] N. X. KY, *On approximation of functions*, Mathematical doctorate thesis (Budapest, 1975) (in Hungarian).
- [5] L. LEINDLER, Necessary conditions for imbedding of classes of functions, *Analysis Math.*, 1 (1975), 55—61.
- [6] L. LEINDLER, On imbedding for classes of functions, *Analysis Math.* 5 (1979), 51—65.
- [7] H. N. MHASKAR and E. B. SAFF, Extremal problems for polynomials with exponential weights, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 285 (1984), 203—234.
- [8] J. NÉMETH, Necessary and sufficient conditions for imbedding of classes of functions, *Acta Sci. Math.*, 10 (1978), 317—326.
- [9] M. F. TIMAN, Orthogonal system satisfying an inequality of S. M. Nikol'skii, *Analysis Math.*, 4 (1978), 75—82.
- [10] П. Л. УЛЬЯНОВ, О вложении некоторых классов функций  $H_p^\omega$ , *Изв. АН СССР, серия матем.*, 32 (1986), 649—686.

INSTITUTE OF COMPUTER SCIENCE  
AND CYBERNETICS  
LIEU GIÁI BA DINH, HANOI  
VIETNAM