## On equivalence of two variational problems in k-Lagrange spaces

## MAGDALEN SZ. KIRKOVITS

1. Introduction. In [3] we have considered generalization of the equivalence of two variational problems for single integrals treated by A. Moór ([7]) in Lagrange spaces  $L^{*n} = (M, \mathcal{L}^*)$  and  $L^n = (M, \mathcal{L})$  ([6]). This problem has the following form (1.1)

$$\mathbf{E}_{i}(\mathscr{L}^{*}(x, y)) = \lambda(x, y) \mathbf{E}_{i}(\mathscr{L}(x, y)), \quad \left(\mathbf{E}_{i} := \frac{d}{dt} \dot{\partial}_{i} - \partial_{i}, \ \dot{\partial}_{i} := \partial/\partial y^{i}, \ \partial_{i} := \partial/\partial x^{i}\right),$$
$$\lambda(x, y) \neq 0,$$

where y stands for  $\dot{x}$ ,  $\mathscr{L}$  and  $\mathscr{L}^*$  are the two Lagrangians, and  $\lambda$  depends not only on x but on y too. We have given the transformation of the Lagrangians as a necessary and sufficient condition for this equivalence. Moreover, we have shown geometrical consequences of the equivalence relation (1.1).

In 1975 A. Moór ([8]) gave a definition of equivalence of two variational problems for multiple integrals with the following relation

(1.2) 
$$\mathbf{E}_i(\mathscr{L}^*(x^s, y^s_a)) = \lambda_i^j(x^s) \mathbf{E}_i(\mathscr{L}(x^s, y^s_a)), \quad \operatorname{rank} \|\lambda_i^j(x)\| = n$$

$$\left(y_{\alpha}^{s}:=\partial x^{s}/\partial t^{\alpha}, \mathbf{E}_{i}:=\frac{\partial}{\partial t^{\alpha}}\partial_{i}^{\alpha}-\partial_{i} \text{ (summation over } \alpha); i, j, s=\overline{1,n}; \alpha=\overline{1,k}, k< n\right).$$

He investigated the properties of this relation but not in geometrical manner.

In [4] and [5] we have constructed a *geometrical model* for multiple integrals in the calculus of variations. Now we study a generalization of the Moór equivalence in geometrical manner using the theory of k-Lagrange geometry.

2. The Moór equivalence of multiple integral variational problems in k-Lagrange spaces. Consider the total space  $E = \bigoplus_{1}^{k} TM = TM \oplus TM \oplus TM \oplus \dots \oplus TM$  of the vector

Received May 2, 1989 and in revised form April 22, 1991.

bundle  $\eta = (\bigoplus_{1}^{\infty} TM, \pi, M)$  with canonical coordinates  $(x^i, y^i_{\alpha})$  where *i* runs from 1 to *n* and  $\alpha$  runs from 1 to *k*. By the theory of *k*-Lagrange spaces  $L_k^n$  ([4], [5]) we have a *regular* Lagrangian  $\mathscr{L}: \bigoplus_{k}^{k} TM \to \mathbb{R}$  with the metric tensor field

(2.1) 
$$g_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,y) = \partial_i^{\alpha}\partial_j^{\beta}\mathscr{L}(x,y); \text{ rank } \|g_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\| = nk \quad (\partial_i^{\alpha} := \partial/\partial y_{\alpha}^i).$$

Now let  $\mathscr{L}$  be defined on class  $C^2$  of the *admissible submanifold*  $C_k$ ,  $\overline{C}_k$ , ... on M, where

(2.2) 
$$C_k: x^i = x^i(t^a), \quad \overline{C}_k: \overline{x}^j = \overline{x}^j(t^a), \ldots$$

and they coincide with each other on the boundary  $\partial G_t$  of the parameter domain  $G_t$  ([9], [10]).

Then we can construct the k-fold integral

(2.3)  

$$I(C_k) = \int_{G_t} \mathscr{L}(x^i(t^\beta), y^i_\alpha(t^\beta)) d(t); \ d(t) := dt^1 \dots dt^k; \ y^i_\alpha(t^\beta) := \partial x^i / \partial t^\alpha, \quad (\beta = \overline{1, k}).$$

This integral depends on the submanifold  $C_k$  by means of which it is defined. It is known from the classical calculus of variations of multiple integrals ([9]) that if a submanifold  $C_k$  is to afford an extreme value to *I* relative to other admissible submanifold it is necessary that the first variation  $\delta I$  of (2.3) should vanish. This implies that  $C_k$  must satisfy the system of *n* second order partial differential equations:

(2.4) 
$$\mathbf{E}_{i}(\mathscr{L}) := \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{\alpha}} (\partial_{i}^{\alpha} \mathscr{L}) - \partial_{i} \mathscr{L} = 0 \quad (\partial_{i} := \partial/\partial x^{i}),$$

where  $E_i$  are the components of the Euler-Lagrange covariant vector ([10]).

Let us consider a pair  $L_k^n = (M, \mathcal{L})$  and  $L_k^{*n} = (M, \mathcal{L}^*)$  of k-Lagrange spaces with the same base manifold M.

Definition 2.1. Two variational problems in  $L_k^n = (M, \mathcal{L})$  and  $L_k^{*n} = (M, \mathcal{L}^*)$ are called *equivalent in the sense of Moór* if

(2.5) 
$$\mathbf{E}_i\left(\mathscr{L}^*(x^j, y^j_{\alpha})\right) = \lambda_i^s(x^j, y^j_{\alpha}) \mathbf{E}_s\left(\mathscr{L}(x^j, y^j_{\alpha})\right); \text{ det } \|\lambda_i^s(x, y)\| \neq 0$$

hold identically.

Remark. In (2.5) the tensor field  $\lambda$  depends on y too.

3. Some geometrical characters of the equivalence. Relation (2.5) has the following explicit form:

$$(3.1) \quad (\partial_i^{\alpha} \partial_s^{\beta} \mathscr{L}^* - \lambda_i^{j} \partial_j^{\alpha} \partial_s^{\beta} \mathscr{L}) y_{\alpha\beta}^{s} + (\partial_i^{\alpha} \partial_s \mathscr{L}^* - \lambda_i^{j} \partial_j^{\alpha} \partial_s \mathscr{L}) y_{\alpha}^{s} - (\partial_i \mathscr{L}^* - \lambda_i^{j} \partial_j \mathscr{L}) = 0,$$
  
$$y_{\alpha\beta}^{s} := \frac{\partial^2 x^{s}}{\partial t^{\alpha} \partial t^{\beta}}.$$

Using condition (2.1) for  $\mathscr{L}$  and  $\mathscr{L}^*$  we get from (3.1)

$$(3.2) \qquad (\overset{*}{g}{}^{\alpha\beta}_{is} - \lambda^{j}_{i} g{}^{\alpha\beta}_{js}) y^{s}_{\alpha\beta} + (\partial^{\alpha}_{i} \partial_{s} \mathscr{L}^{*} - \lambda^{j}_{i} \partial^{\alpha}_{j} \partial_{s} \mathscr{L}) y^{s}_{\alpha} - (\partial_{i} \mathscr{L}^{*} - \lambda^{j}_{i} \partial_{j} \mathscr{L}) = 0.$$

Since (3.2) is an identity in (x, y) it is necessary that the coefficients of  $y^s_{\alpha\beta}$  should vanish. Hence we obtain from (3.2):

Theorem 3.1. A necessary geometrical condition for equivalence of two variational problems of multiple integrals is that the k-Lagrange spaces  $(M, \mathcal{L}^*)$  and  $(M, \mathcal{L})$  be in ,,k-conformal" correspondence:

(3.3) 
$$g_{is}^{\alpha\beta}(x,y) = \lambda_i^j(x,y)g_{js}^{\alpha\beta}(x,y).$$

From this Theorem it directly follows that the metrical d-connections (cf. [4])

$$LD^* = (\overset{*}{L_{jm}^i}, \overset{*}{L_{i\beta m}^{aj}}, \overset{*}{C_{jm}^{ia}}, \overset{*}{C_{maj}^{\gamma i\beta}})$$
 and  $LD = (L^i_{jm}, L^{aj}_{i\beta m}, C^{ia}_{jm}, C^{\gamma i\beta}_{maj}),$ 

respectively, are related by the geometrical condition (3.3).

Proposition 3.1. The d-tensor fields  $\tilde{C}_{ijm}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  and  $\tilde{C}_{ijm}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  are in the following relation

(3.4) 
$$2\widetilde{C}_{ijm}^{*} = (\partial_m^{\gamma} \lambda_i^l) g_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} + 2\lambda_i^l \widetilde{C}_{ljm}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}.$$

Proof. We have

(a) 
$$C_{m\alpha j}^{\gamma i\beta} = \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha \epsilon}^{is} \partial_{j}^{\beta} \partial_{m}^{\gamma} \partial_{s}^{\epsilon} \mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha \epsilon}^{is} \partial_{s}^{\epsilon} g_{jm}^{\beta\gamma},$$

(3.5)

(b) 
$$\tilde{C}_{msj}^{\gamma\epsilon\beta} = g_{si}^{\epsilon\alpha} C_{m\alpha j}^{\gamma i\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_m^{\gamma} g_{ij}^{\alpha\beta},$$

(cf. [4]). Hence a direct calculus leads to (3.4).

Using the result of the above Proposition we shall prove that our equivalenceproblem can be reduced to the MOÓR one ([8]), i.e. his equivalence is a special case of relation (2.5).

Theorem 3.2. If two variational problems of multiple integrals are equivalent in the sense of Moór then the k-conformal factor  $\lambda_i^j(x, y)$  is necessarily independent of  $y_a^i$ .

Proof. Differentiating (3.3) with respect to  $y_{y}^{l}$  we obtain

(3.7) 
$$\partial_l^{\gamma} g_{is}^{\alpha\beta} = (\partial_l^{\gamma} \lambda_i^j) g_{js}^{\alpha\beta} + \lambda_i^j (\partial_l^{\gamma} g_{js}^{\alpha\beta})$$

and by virtue of (3.4) we have

(3.8) 
$$2\tilde{C}_{isl}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} = (\partial_i^{\gamma}\lambda_i^{j})g_{js}^{\alpha\beta} + 2\lambda_i^{j}\tilde{C}_{jsl}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}.$$

Since the *d*-tensor fields  $\tilde{\tilde{C}}$  and  $\tilde{C}$  are totally symmetric ([4]), after the cyclic permutation of the indices we get

(3.9) 
$$(\partial_i^{\gamma} \lambda_i^j) g_{js}^{\alpha\beta} = (\partial_j^{\alpha} \lambda_i^j) g_{sl}^{\beta\gamma} = (\partial_s^{\beta} \lambda_i^j) g_{lj}^{\gamma\alpha}$$

By using the symmetric property of the metric tensor  $g_{is}^{\alpha\beta}$  from (3.9) it follows that

(3.10) 
$$(\partial_i^{\gamma}\lambda_i^j)g_{sj}^{\beta\alpha} - (\partial_s^{\beta}\lambda_i^j)g_{ij}^{\gamma\alpha} = 0.$$

Contracting by  $g_{\alpha\beta}^{js}$  the last relation we get

(3.11) (a) 
$$(\partial_i^{\gamma} \lambda_i^j) nk - (\partial_s^{\beta} \lambda_i^j) \delta_{i\beta}^{\gamma s} = 0,$$

(b) 
$$(\partial_i^{\gamma}\lambda_i^j)nk - \partial_i^{\gamma}\lambda_i^j = 0,$$

respectively. This means that

(3.12)  $(\partial_i^{\gamma} \lambda_i^j)(nk-1) = 0.$ 

Because of  $(nk-1) \neq 0$  the relation (3.12) holds iff

(3.13) 
$$\partial_i^y \lambda_i^j(x,y) = 0.$$

Thus  $\lambda$  is independent of  $y_{\gamma}^{l}$ .

Corollary. A geometrical character of the equivalence in (2.5) with the k-conformal factor  $\lambda_i^j(x)$  is that the torsion tensor field  $C_{jem}^{\beta s \gamma}$  of the metrical d-connection LD is invariant.

Proof. Suppose that (2.5) holds with  $\lambda_i^j(x)$ . Using the relation  $C_{jem}^{\beta_{S\gamma}} = g_{ex}^{si} C_{jim}^{\beta_{\alpha\gamma}}$ , from Proposition 3.1 we directly get

(3.14) 
$$\begin{array}{c} \overset{*}{C} \overset{*}{}_{j \epsilon m}^{s \gamma} = \overset{*}{g_{\epsilon a}} \overset{*}{C} \overset{i}{}_{j i m}^{\beta a \gamma} = \tilde{\lambda}_{i}^{i} g_{\epsilon a}^{s t} \lambda_{i}^{l} \tilde{C} \overset{\beta a \gamma}{}_{j l m}^{\beta a \gamma} = \delta_{i}^{l} g_{\epsilon a}^{s t} \tilde{C} \overset{\beta a \gamma}{}_{j l m}^{\beta a \gamma} = C \overset{*}{}_{j \epsilon m}^{\beta s \gamma}, \\ \text{where } \tilde{\lambda}_{i}^{i} \lambda_{i}^{l} = \delta_{i}^{l}. \end{array}$$

4. Transformation of the Lagrangians. We can easily check if the Lagrangians differ by a total derivative, i.e.  $\mathscr{L}^*(x, y) = \mathscr{L}(x, y) + \partial_s^{\beta} A(x) y_{\beta}^{s}$ , then  $\mathbf{E}_i(\mathscr{L}^*) \equiv \equiv \mathbf{E}_i(\mathscr{L})$ . This means that two variational problems of multiple integrals are equivalent in the sense of Moór with tensor field  $\delta_i^{j}$ .

Now we examine the transformation of the Lagrangians under the equivalence relation in (2.5). First we prove

Proposition 4.1. If the relation (2.5) holds and the k-conformal factor  $\lambda$  is independent of y then it is necessary that  $\lambda_i^j(x) = \delta_i^j \lambda(x)$ .

Proof. Let us consider relation (3.3). Since the metric tensor fields  $g^*$  and g are symmetric in the indices  $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ i \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ s \end{pmatrix}$  we get

(4.1) 
$$\lambda_i^j g_{js}^{\alpha\beta} - \lambda_s^j g_{ji}^{\beta\alpha} = 0,$$

which can be written in the following form

$$(4.2) \qquad g_{jh}^{\epsilon\gamma}(\lambda_i^j \delta_{\gamma}^{\beta} \delta_s^h \delta_{\varepsilon}^a - \lambda_s^j \delta_i^h \delta_{\varepsilon}^{\beta} \delta_{\gamma}^a) = 0 \quad (\beta, \gamma, \varepsilon = \overline{1, k}; \ i, j, s, h = \overline{1, n}).$$

We infer from the symmetry of the *d*-tensor field g that the coefficients of  $g_{jh}^{\epsilon\gamma}$  in (4.2) must be skewsymmetric in  $\binom{\varepsilon}{j}$  and  $\binom{\gamma}{h}$ . This gives for the symmetric part:

(4.3) 
$$\lambda_i^j \delta_{\gamma}^{\beta} \delta_s^h \delta_{\varepsilon}^a - \lambda_s^j \delta_i^h \delta_{\varepsilon}^{\beta} \delta_{\gamma}^a + \lambda_i^h \delta_{\varepsilon}^{\beta} \delta_s^j \delta_{\gamma}^a - \lambda_s^h \delta_i^j \delta_{\gamma}^{\beta} \delta_{\varepsilon}^a = 0.$$

Let h=s,  $\beta=\gamma$ ,  $\alpha=\varepsilon$ , then we obtain

(4.4) 
$$\lambda_i^j k^2 n - \lambda_i^j k + \lambda_i^j k - \lambda_h^h \delta_i^j k^2 = 0$$

Now putting

(4.5) 
$$\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{n} \lambda_h^h(x),$$

we get from (4.4)

(4.6) 
$$k^2 n \lambda_i^j(x) - k^2 n \delta_i^j \lambda(x) = 0.$$

Thus

(4.7) 
$$\lambda_i^j(x) = \delta_i^j \lambda(x).$$

Proposition 4.2. If relation (2.5) holds with  $\lambda_i^j(x) = \delta_i^j \lambda(x)$  then the transformation between the Lagrangians  $\mathcal{L}^*(x, y)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(x, y)$  is as follows:

(4.8) 
$$\mathscr{L}^*(x,y) = \lambda(x) \,\mathscr{L}(x,y) + A_s^{\beta}(x) y_{\beta}^s + U(x).$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 we obtain  $g_{is}^{\alpha\beta} = \delta_i^j \lambda(x)_{js}^{\alpha\beta}$ . In view of property of Lagrangians we get

(4.9) 
$$\partial_i^{\alpha} \partial_s^{\beta} \left( \mathscr{L}^* - \lambda(x) \mathscr{L} \right) = 0.$$

Hence the function  $\mathscr{L}^* - \lambda(x)\mathscr{L}$  is necessarily linear in  $y^s_{\beta}$ .

5. Some remarks about the normal form of the Euler—Lagrange equations in  $L_k^n$ . It is known that in the equations of geodesics of Lagrange space the second derivatives  $\ddot{x}^i$  appear explicitly and the functions  $G^i(x, y)$  can be derived directly from the Lagrangians (cf. [6]). This suggests us to write the  $E_i(\mathscr{L}(x, y))$  in such form which is a generalization of that of geodesics. Hence we get

(5.1) 
$$\mathbf{E}_{i}(\mathscr{L}(x^{j}, y^{j}_{\gamma})) = g_{is}^{\alpha\beta} y^{s}_{\alpha\beta} + G_{i}(x^{j}, y^{j}_{\gamma}) \quad \left(y^{s}_{\alpha\beta} := \frac{\partial^{2} x^{s}}{\partial t^{\alpha} \partial t^{\beta}}\right)$$

where the generalized  $G_i(x^j, y_{\gamma}^j)$  are defined by

$$G_i := (\partial_i^{\gamma} \partial_s \mathscr{L}) y_{\gamma}^s - \partial_i \mathscr{L}.$$

By means of  $g_{ih}^{\alpha\beta}g_{\beta\gamma}^{hl} = \delta_{i\gamma}^{\alpha l}$  equation (5.1) can be written in the following form

(5.2) 
$$\mathbf{E}_i(\mathscr{L}(x,y)) = g_{is}^{\alpha\beta}(y_{\alpha\beta}^s + G_{\alpha\beta}^s(x,y)),$$

where the generalized  $G_{\alpha\beta}^{s}$  are defined by

$$G^s_{\alpha\beta} := g^{is}_{\alpha\beta} G_i \quad (G_i := G^s_{\alpha\beta} g^{\alpha\beta}_{is}).$$

Finally we directly obtain

Proposition 5.1. If two variational problems in  $L_k^*$  and  $L_k^n$  are equivalent in the sense of Moór then

(5.3) 
$$\overset{*}{G}^{s}_{\alpha\beta} = G^{s}_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Indeed, from the equivalence relation (2.5) using Theorem 3.1 and relation (5.2) we obtain (5.3).

Remark. Relation (5.3) corresponds to that result which was obtained for equivalent single-integral variational problems in Lagrange spaces (cf. [3]).

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to express her gratitude to Professor Radu Miron and Mihai Anastasiei for their kind comments and suggestions.

## References

- C. CARATHÈODORY, Variationsrechnung und partielle Differentialgleichungen erster Ordnung, Teubner (Leipzig und Berlin, 1935).
- [2] M. HASHIGUCHI, On conformal transformations of Finsler metrics, J. of Math. of Kyoto Univ., 16 No. 1. 1976.
- [3] M. Sz. KIRKOVITS, On equivalence of variational problems and its geometrical background in Lagrange spaces, Anal. Sti. ale Univ., Al. I. Cuza" din Iaşi, Sect. Mat., 35 (1989), 267–272.
- [4] M. Sz. KIRKOVITS, On k-Lagrange geometry, Publicationes Math. Debrecen, 39 (1991), 263-282.
- [5] R. MIRON, M. SZ. KIRKOVITS, M. ANASTASIEL, A geometrical model for variational problems of multiple integrals, in *Proc. Int. Conf. on Diff. Geometry and Applications* (Dubrovnik, 1988), pp. 209---217.
- [6] R. MIRON, M. ANASTASIEI, Fibrate vectoriale. Spații Lagrange. Aplicații în teoria relativitații. Editura Academiei, R. S. Romania (Bucureşti, 1987) (in Romanian).
- [7] A. MOÓR, Über äquivalente Variationsprobleme erster und zweiter Ordnung, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, 223 (1966), 131–137.
- [8] A. Moór, Untersuchungen über äquivalente Variationsprobleme von mehreren Veranderlichen, Acta Sci. Math., 37 (1975), 323-330.
- [9] H. RUND, The Hamilton—Jacobi theory in the calculus of variations (London—New York, 1966).
- [10] H. RUND, D. LOVELOCK, Tensor, Differential forms and Variational principles, Wiley-Interscience Publ., 1975.

UNIVERSITY OF FORESTRY AND WOOD SCIENCES DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS H-9401 SOPRON P.O.B. 132.